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Abstract
This teaching case pertains to the spending and development decisions that must be made concerning the disposition of a sizable fictional grant that has been provided to the Republic of Kiribati. The overall objective of the case is to provide students with the opportunity to apply their knowledge of international development, business and macroeconomics to determine a strategic direction for an economically challenged island nation in the Central Pacific. The case is oriented towards upper-level undergraduate or graduate level students in a discipline relating to international development, policy or business and can be taught within a one hour class with little or no outside student preparation.
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INSTRUCTOR NOTES
Although the exercise can be completed by individual students, group work is suggested to maximize student learning. There are also no specific "right" answers for this case as all of the initiatives possess some degree of value to the Republic of Kiribati. However, instructors should focus their constructive criticism on the student's strategic goal(s) for the nation, their initiative and funding choices to achieve that goal, and the reasoning and assumptions behind student decisions.

At the instructor's discretion, the case can also be expanded to require actual student research into the country, its disposition and options. As noted on the case worksheets, all information provided within the case, other than the fictional grant, is based on the actual context and situation Kiribati is currently facing.

APPENDIX : CASE WORKSHEETS

The referenced handouts in the appendix are to be distributed to the participating students as the primary materials for this exercise.
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Development Decisions for Kiribati

The Republic of Kiribati has been awarded a fictional five year grant of $20 million per year from an international organization. There were no stipulations regarding the use of the funds except that they be spent to better the lives of the people of Kiribati. Also, this money is outside the normal budget of the nation and, as such, can be spent strategically rather than simply being allocated towards short-term expenses. Lastly, other than the fictional nature of the grant, all information provided within this case is based on the actual context and situation Kiribati is currently facing.

As you, the reader, are a part of the republic's Ministry of Development, it will be your task to provide recommendations to the senior minister as to the most strategic uses for the grant. To aid you in your task, ten potential initiatives have been identified as being the most beneficial for the nation. Analyze, select and allocate the grant funds to the initiatives based on the expected long-term benefits to the nation. The reasons and assumptions for your decisions will be required.

Context
The Republic of Kiribati consists of 107,000 people living on 33 islands and coral atolls in the Central Pacific. Possessing an Economic Exclusive Zone of roughly 3.6 million sq km, the country has a total land area of about 811 sq km. Kiribati has a GDP of $203 million and a real growth rate of 4.2% (2015 est.) (Kiribati, 2016). The GDP is composed of agriculture focused mostly on copra and breadfruit production (26.3%), industry relating to fishing and handicrafts (9.2%) and various services (64.5%) (Kiribati, 2016). In 2010, unemployment sidelined 30.6% of the labor force (Kiribati Unemployment Rate, n.d.). Much of the government's revenue comes from earnings from fishing licenses (50%) and remittances of workers abroad (6%). However, the rest of the budget comes from foreign aid donated by countries such as Australia, New Zealand, the US and Japan (Kiribati, 2016).

Overall, the republic is seen as being one of the least developed countries in the world with a per capita GDP of only $1,800 (Kiribati, 2016). Some of the primary developmental challenges faced by the country is the overall shortage of skilled workers, distance to potential markets, issues with energy and water and a general lack of infrastructure.
Initiatives Under Consideration:

Initiative 1: Medical Services
There are currently only .38 doctors per 1,000 citizens in Kiribati. This lack of medical care is a contributor to the average citizen life span of only 66.2 years. The deficit is also evident in the area of infant mortality where there are 33.2 deaths for every 1,000 live births (Kiribati, 2016). Additional doctors and improved medical facilities are in great demand.

% of Grant: 
Reasons:

Initiative 2: Utility Improvement
Water is a constant issue as the overall level of water consumption exceeds the nation's ability to provide fresh water and the current water infrastructure is lacking the ability to catch rainwater and store it. Sanitation is another major issue due to inadequate liquid and solid remediation facilities and the susceptibility for groundwater contamination. Lack of environmental awareness and legal framework are contributing factors.

% of Grant: 
Reasons:

Initiative 3: Tourism Development
Based on its weather, natural environment, and historical features, Kiribati has great potential to be developed into a mecca for tourism. However, due to the lack of facilities and orientation, the country has relatively few visitors and it is said that those visitors must be prepared to "rough it" (Kiribati, n.d.). Improvements made to further develop tourism on Kiribati’s islands can provide a solid basis for a new growth industry.
% of Grant:
Reasons:

Initiative 4: Human Resource Development
Although Kiribati maintains a 91% basic literacy rate, the country's only institution of higher education is not able to provide the necessary training and human resource development to adequately support the country's initiatives, needs and industries (Health Service Delivery Profile - Kiribati, 2012). Additional emphasis in developing the expertise of the nation's workforce in fields such as information technology and alternative energy technical skills must be undertaken if Kiribati is to fulfill its workforce needs in the future.

% of Grant:
Reasons:

Initiative 5: Coastal Management
Poor land management practices and inappropriate or lacking coastal protection has led to severe erosion in many areas of Kiribati. Improved education, management and the construction of barriers will reduce land loss and improve coastal sustainability (Kiribati Country Profile, 1988).

% of Grant:
Reasons:

Initiative 6: Information Technology and Communication
The existing technological, phone and cellular system infrastructure is generally limited and expensive to use. Furthermore, there is a definitive lack of trained individuals to service the current and especially future estimated needs in these fields. Funding would enhance the current information technological base, allow greater access to the internet and enhance communication between the islands and abroad.

% of Grant:
Reasons:

Initiative 7: Natural Resource Development
Within the nation's Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), there exists substantial proven deposits of manganese nodules and cobalt-rich manganese ore (Kiribati Country Profile, 1988). Although ore prices are currently relatively low and the extraction technology is not well developed, it is hoped that building the appropriate infrastructure will encourage FDI in this sector of the economy and provide for a future growth engine.
% of Grant:
Reasons:

Initiative 8 : Renewable Energy
At this time, only 59% of the population has access to electricity, and it is all is generated from expensive fossil fuel imports which places a continuing drain on the economy (Kiribati, 2016; Kiribati Country Profile, 1988). It is estimated that solar and wind energy generation could more than completely provide for all energy needs. However, there is virtually no renewable energy infrastructure or expertise available on the islands.

% of Grant:
Reasons:

Initiative 9 : Defense
Kiribati has no armed forces and only possesses one ocean-going patrol boat to cover the 3.5 million sq. kilometers of its EEZ. Although Kiribati currently has no major territorial disputes with its neighbors, it does have issues with poachers abusing its fisheries (Posts Tagged 'Kiribati Marine Protected Area', 2016). Kiribati could use additional patrol boats to police its EEZ and the fuel to power them to maintain the integrity of its marine resources.

% of Grant:
Reasons:

Initiative 10 : Climate Change "Last Resort" Preparation
Climate change is considered to be an assured threat by the government of Kiribati. There is no question as to if it will impact the nation, but how greatly and how quickly. One alternative that has been somewhat adopted is the purchase of additional land in neighboring countries. This policy prompted Kiribati to purchase some land on Fiji in 2014, and was adopted as it would allow some citizens to "migrate with dignity" when Kiribati’s islands are eventually inundated by the sea (Ellsmoor & Rosen, 2016). Any additional land purchases are expected to allow the nation to improve its food security and provide materials to assist in national building efforts.

% of Grant:
Reasons:
Questions
1. Based on the provided contextual and initiative specific information, what is your overall strategic vision for Kiribati?

2. To which initiatives will you allocate the funding from the grant?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Initiative Name</th>
<th>% of Grant</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>Initiative Name</th>
<th>% of Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Medical Services</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Information Technology and Communication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Utility Improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Natural Resource Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tourism Development</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Renewable Energy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Human Resource Development</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Defense</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Coastal Management</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Climate Change &quot;Last Resort&quot; Preparation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Reasons for your allocations above will be listed under each initiative on the following pages.

4. Will your funding allocations remain the same for the full five year period of the grant? If not, list how/why the dispositions will change.
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