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ABSTRACT

Disciplines and academic performances are the core of our today’s education. Some scholars have attributed poor performance of students in academic to high level of indiscipline among students while others disagreed. Nevertheless, it becomes imperative in recent times that many schools have traded away discipline and as a result led to poor academic performance of students. This study was carried out to establish the relationships between schools discipline and students’ academic performance. The study employed cross sectional research survey design in which questionnaire was the main instrument of data collection in addition to interview guide and document review. Simple percentage and Chi-square statistical method were used to analyze the data. However, the findings of the study clearly showed that effective school discipline should be encouraged in controlling students' behaviour thus affects students' general academic performance.

Index Terms: School discipline, Performance, Academics, Expulsion

INTRODUCTION

Scholars in recent times have written more on indiscipline among pupils and its effects on learning outcome and their progress in schools. Some scholars suggest that disciplinary policies simply do not have different effects (Verdugo and Glenn, 2002; Chen, 2008; Schoonover, 2009). Other asserts that suspensions do not prevent students’ future misbehaviour (Nichols, 2004). If school is effectively disciplined, the academic performance on the part of student and teacher will be highly rated. Gawe, Vakalisa and Jacobs, (2001:190) express cooperative learning if academic performance is to be achieved among students. However, apart from the fact that effective discipline helps in the achievement of goals, expectation and responsibility in students (Dunham, 1984:66). Discipline creates a good image of the school and prepares learners for the future. Disruptive behaviour amongst learners is eliminated if there is good discipline at school. The implementation of effective discipline at school is a key for the student in the journey to adulthood. Parents often have no choice but to
enroll their children in a school with good discipline, which often leads to better academic performances.

In our secondary schools today, learners are habitual late comers; this is contrary to the school rules and regulations. They leave school premises without permission; do not bring their books to school; refuse to do their homework; reject any kind of authority and resist any disciplinary measures taken against them. Teachers on the other hand, are always absent from school; present ill-prepared lessons; fail to exercise discipline in the classroom and lack a professional work ethic. According to Bieketty, (2004) he opine that lack of discipline and respect among teachers cause a severe barrier to effective teaching and learning in the classroom. Discipline have been underestimated by over actualizing freedom and rights, an understatement of responsibilities and obligations, marginalisation of the authority of the head teacher, poor role models by some teachers, lack of punctuality, abscondment from classes by both learners and teachers and the unionist attitude of some teachers.

The head teachers as school managers need to have an effective leadership style so as to acquaint them with the challenges of disciplining learners. Everard and Morris, (1996:14) explain leadership styles as autocratic, paternalistic, consultative as well as democratic. These styles can play a major role in the implementation of discipline.

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM
School administrators continue with maintaining conducive learning environment by ensuring the operation of discipline in school for academic performance. However, the researcher discovered in recent times that students’ poor academic performance is attributed to school’s administrator, teacher and student indiscipline. Indiscipline in schools can manifest itself in students’ violent behaviour, poor disciplinary style, ineffectiveness and inefficiency of teacher, poor time management, and ineffective code of conduct and so on. These acts of indiscipline engage by the schools disrupt learning and have direct impact on students’ achievement.

RESEARCH QUESTION
This study identifies some research questions which are the main objectives of this work. The research questions are:

- Could management of school discipline affect students’ academic performance?
- Would the incident of observance of time management affect student performance?
- Are rule and regulation in school affect students’ academic performance?
- Would administrations of punishment in school improve students’ academic performance

RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS
In answering the research questions, the following hypotheses were formulated and tested.

**Hypothesis 1**

*H₀*: There is no significant relationship between school discipline and students’ academic performance.
**Hypothesis 2**

**Hi:** The administration of punishment enhances academic performance.

**Ho:** The administration of punishment does not enhance academic performance.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

Time management skill between students and teachers has proved to have direct correlation with performance level. However, the students who perceive to have good time management are those that have the desire to achieve, result in higher level of academic performance. However, the issue of punctuality needs to be observed not only by students but also teachers, head teachers and non-teaching staff in an educational institution, as part of the efforts toward academic excellence. Mafabi, et al (1993), opine that, success can only be achieved in school when teachers shows good example of time management. Though the practice in most of the secondary schools is that school activities seems not to respect the designed time table. There is a need therefore to establish compliance on the part of the stakeholders in the management of school to ensure good "academic performance. Docking, (2000) argues that, a law abiding student is the one expected to arrive on time for lectures and wait for the teacher, while law abiding teacher is expected to respect all the time allocated to him or her on the timetable. Kelly, (2004) argues that efficient use of time on the part of the students and school administrator directly associated with increased academic performance. Brint, S. and Cantwell, A. 2006) also concur and further explain that extra time study has a strong influence on academic attainment. Eilam and Aharon, (2003) stress that time management can be view as a way of monitoring and regulating oneself with regards to the performance of multiple tasks within a certain time period. Therefore, to improve academic performance, both the student and staff self attitude and participation is required as a principle of time management practice.

The use of punishment in schools is to instil discipline and is melted on student who violates the agreed rules and regulations in schools. It is administered to bring about a desirable change in behaviour and therefore improving school discipline, if commensurate with the offense committed (Okumbe, 1998). However, what we experience in recent times is that there are situation where a student who commits an offence, can easily go unpunished. Nevertheless, in most secondary schools some forms of punishments are unfair and undeserved like corporal punishment in schools involving severe canning, suspension, expulsion, branding and mutilation of students (Encarta, 2009). Docking, (2000) in his opinion on application of punishments in schools in the United Kingdom he observed that, some punishments are appropriate and constructive while others are not desirable, baseless and instead intended to instil fear. This idea is also in agreement with Canter, (2000) who argues that although discipline remains one of the most common problems for teachers, some punishments such as corporal punishments should not be used because no evidence suggests that they have produced better results academically, morally or that it improves school discipline. According to Mafabi, et al, (1993) punishments are expected to enforce compliance when students are under the care of teachers. This opinion is also shared by Cotton, et al (2000), who said that Punishments in a
school system are expected to teach students the relationship between their behaviours and 
the outcome or accountability for their mistakes. Creswel, (2003), also argues that with a well-
behaved class, teaching could be among the most wonderful jobs in the world. However what 
really occurs on the ground is that unwanted behaviours are on the increase despite the 
presence of these punishments. Teachers are worried about the aggression being directed to 
them by both students and their parents. This has resulted into some students being expelled, 
others suspended, forced to do hard labour at school, chased out of classes all of which seem to 
affect their academic performance. They further argue that some forms of punishments like 
corporal punishment could lead to physical injury if teachers are not careful in its 
administration. This would lead to absence from schools and consequently reducing the 
avademic performance of the injured students Baumard, (1999) shared the same opinion but 
argued that punishment is a means of controlling disruptive behaviour. He further stated that if 
punishment is the logical result of misconduct, the student is likely to accept it without 
resentment. Teachers need always realize the appropriateness of punishment before initiating 
it. Cotton, et al, (2000) also contends that uniform punishment can be an effective way of 
controlling students’ behaviour if students, teachers and school administrators know and 
understand that punishment are firm, fair and consistent.

Ideally, schools set discipline for the proper governing of the various lifestyles of students i.e 
the dos and don’ts. Okumbe, (1998), opines that regulations on the other hand are 
authoritative disciplines with a course of law intended to promote discipline in school. 
Hernandez and Seem, (2004), argue that the operation of schools’ is directly influenced by the 
way the schools’ administered students disruptive behaviour. They further explained that the 
parameters for students’ behaviour and academic expectations must be clearly stated to 
students. If the school administrator fails to clearly established rules and consequences are 
ambiguous and incidents of students’ disruptive behaviour will further affect academic 
effective schools demonstrate sound inclusive practices which includes emphasizing school 
discipline, collaborative leadership and their good practice. The school discipline therefore 
prescribes the standard of behaviour expected of the teachers and the students. According to 
Matsoga, (2003) in his study, he discovers the wide spread violence and misbehaviour that 
existed in many secondary schools. This lack of discipline which interferes with the teaching and 
learning process manifested itself in various ways including bullying, lateness, vandalism, 
alcohol consumption and substance abuse, truancy and inability or unwillingness to do class 
work at home. Schools disciplines are among the strategies designed to instil good conduct of 
students, this implies self-control, good behaviour and obedience to school authority (Adams, 
2003). However, on admission students are given prospectus, which spell out some of these 
rules specify in most cases what students should do and what they should not do. Kabandize, 
(2001) observes that disciplines are enforced through prefects” bodies and councils, 
that the best results could be obtained through vigilantly reminding students about disciplines 
in school and monitoring their compliance with them. Adeyemo, (1985), in his study on the 
level of discipline in secondary schools in Nigeria, he established that there is wide spread 
violation of school rules and regulations which was capable of obstructing the smooth 
functioning of the school system and thereby affect pupils performance.
MATERIAL AND METHOD

This study examines the effects of school discipline on academic performance in Nigerian secondary schools and a structured questionnaire was used as the main instrument of data gathering. However, ten secondary schools were selected for the purpose of this study on the basis of: ownership, religion, old, new and gender while sample technique was applied. The total number of questionnaires distributed was 400 in all the ten schools and 380 were returned to the researcher representing 95% of the total questionnaires distributed. Meanwhile, all the data collected through the questionnaire were analyzed, summarised and interpreted using simple percentage and Chi-square method to measure inconsistency between the observed and expected frequencies and to prove the level of significance in testing the stated hypotheses. The responses from the questionnaires were measured with likert scale: a five-point scale namely, strongly agrees, agree, neural, disagree and strongly disagree. This is used to register the extent of agreement or disagreement with a particular statement of an attitude, belief or judgement. In addition to, the questionnaire the researcher also conducted interviews with some of the administrative staff of the schools i.e the Vice principal and the principal to further prove the effects of school discipline on students’ academic performance. However, other secondary sources of data consulted in course of this study include academic journals, research work and textbooks.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The responses obtained from the distributed questionnaires are presented and analyzed below.

Table 1: Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>57.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field survey, 2014

Table 1 shows that 220 or 57.8% of the respondents were females while 160 or 42.2% were males. This revealed that more of the respondents were females. See figure 1 below for a graphic picture.
Table 2 depict that 180 or 47.4% of the respondents were between the ages of 10-13 years while 200 or 52.6% of the respondent were between the ages of 14-17 years. Obviously, this revealed that majority of the respondents were in above 13 years of age. See figure 2 below for a graphic picture.

Table 3: student status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Junior class</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior class</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Field survey 2014

Table 3 shows that 150 or 39.5% of the respondents were in junior class while 230 or 60.5% were in the senior class. Consequently, it revealed that most of the respondents were in senior class. See figure 3 below for a graphic picture.
Table 4: Management of School Discipline Has Impact on Students’ Academic Performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field survey 2014

Table 4 shows that 280 or 73.7% of the respondents strongly agree, while 74 or 19.5% of the respondents are of the similar views; 6 or 1.6% were neutral; 12 or 3.2% disagree and 9 or 2.1% strongly disagree. Obviously, majority of the respondents believed that management of school discipline has impact on the students’ academic performance. See figure 4 below for a graphic picture of this result.

Table 5: Observance of time management affects student performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field survey 2014

From the table 5, 280 or 73.7% of the respondents strongly agree that the observance of time management affects students’ academic performance while 100 or 26.3% of the respondents agree. See figure 5 below for a graphic picture of this result.
Table 6: School Rule and regulation affect students’ academic performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>60.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>37.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>380</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Field survey 2014

Table 6 depict that 230 or 60.53% of the respondents strongly agree that rule and regulation affect students’ academic performance; 144 or 37.89% agree while 6 or 1.58% were neutral. Hence, majority of the students were in support that rule and regulation impact on the students’ academic performance. See figure 6 below for a graphic picture of this result.
Table 7: The administrations of punishment in school improve students’ academic performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Field survey 2014

Table 7 indicates that 280 or 73.7% of the respondents strongly agree that punishment in school improve students’ academic performance; 74 or 19.5% agree; 12 or 3.2% disagree while 8 or 2.1% strongly disagree. Thus, the survey revealed that majority of the students’ i.e about 354 or 93.2% were in support of punishments, thus improved academic performance. See figure 7 below for a graphic picture of this result.

TEST OF HYPOTHESIS

Chi-square ($x^2$) statistical tool was used for testing the hypotheses of the survey work for the primary data collected. However, the formula for calculating Chi-square ($x^2$) is stated below:

$$x^2 = \sum \frac{(0 - E)^2}{E}$$

Where $0$ is the observed frequency and $E$ is the expected frequency

Hypothesis 1

$H_1$: Management of school discipline affect students’ academic performance.

$H_0$: Management of school discipline does not affect student academic performance.
Table 8: Test of Hypothesis 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent view</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>Expected E</th>
<th>Residual 0-E</th>
<th>(0-E)^2 E</th>
<th>(0-E)^2 E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>15,376</td>
<td>202,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2,809</td>
<td>36,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>4,900</td>
<td>64,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>3,136</td>
<td>41,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>-71</td>
<td>5,041</td>
<td>66,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>380</td>
<td></td>
<td>411,343</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Computed from data, 2014

Therefore the calculated Chi-square (x^2) = 411,342

Degree of freedom (df) = (r-1) (c-1)

Hence, df = (5-1) (5-1)

Therefore df =16

Tabulated (x^2) at 0.05% level of significance for 16 degree of freedom is 26.296.

Decision: since the calculated Chi-square is greater than the tabulated, the alternative hypothesis (H1) is accepted and the null hypothesis (H0) is rejected. This indicates that management of school discipline affect student academic performance.

Hypothesis 2

H1: The administration of punishment enhances academic performance.

H0: The administration of punishment does not enhance students’ academic performance.

Table 9: Test of Hypothesis 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent view</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>Expected E</th>
<th>Residual 0-E</th>
<th>(0-E)^2 E</th>
<th>(0-E)^2 E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>92,416</td>
<td>121,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>4,900</td>
<td>64,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>4,096</td>
<td>53.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>-68</td>
<td>4,624</td>
<td>60.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300.811</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Computed from data, 2014

Therefore the calculated Chi-square (x^2) = 300.811
Degree of freedom (df) = (r-1) (n-1)
Hence, df = (5-1) (5-1)
Therefore df= 16
Tabulated ($x^2$) at 0.05% level of significance for 16 degree of freedom is 26.296.
Decision: since the calculated Chi-square is greater than the tabulated, the alternative hypothesis ($H_1$) is accepted and the null hypothesis ($H_0$) is rejected. This indicates that administration of punishment in school enhance academic performance.

RESEARCH FINDINGS
The analysis of the primary data in this study generated the following findings.

1. That more than 70% of the respondents were of the opinion that management of school discipline has impact on the students’ academic performance.
2. Over 70% of the respondents strongly agreed that the observance of time management affect students’ academic performance.
3. Significant proportion of the respondents (60.53%) strongly agree that rule and regulation affect students’ academic performance.
4. However, 78.9% were not in support of punishments and does not improve academic performance.

CONCLUSION
The study dealt with the effects of discipline on students’ academic performance in some secondary schools in Nigeria. On the whole, the study revealed that school rule and regulation play significant roles in enhancing students’ academic performance. The adoption further revealed that when rule and regulation is emphasized, it in a long run prescribes the standard of behaviour expected of students and teachers.

It should be noted that a lot of activities take place in schools and much of these activities are to be guided by a structured school time table. In view of this, it is suggested that the school head teacher and the teachers should be very strict and respect the time allocated to some activities as indicated on the time table. This further portrait the fact that student have to adhered to the allocated time, knowing full well that there will be no time compensation for time lose thereby make the students’ to be more serious and time conscious in their studies.

However, we must understand that punishment is a mean of bring about desirable change in students, if measurable and commensurate with the offence committed. There should be disciplinary committees that must be respected in terms of decision-making and be given powers and authority to execute their duties on any student regardless their background. We must also understand that students should be counsel but such counselling is to enable pupils the offence committed and why they should be punished. Though the role of guidance and counselling is to prevent the students from committing an offence but we must know that this cannot be realised in totality, therefore counsellor should not be made head of disciplinary committee. Nevertheless, the realisation of the above measures of punishment creates a proper decorum for teaching and learning to take place, thereby boast students’ performance. Lastly, education with morality and vice versa is needed for national development.
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