Relationship between Consumer Involvement and Consumer Engagement with Consumer Loyalty in Tourism and Hospitality Industry
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Abstract – Economic growth has seen in the tourism and hospitality industry and competition in this industry has caused in discovering consumer loyalty as a key success factor. Consumer loyalty can raise the business’s value and maintain a long term relationship between the consumers and company. In this review, consumer involvement is identified as the independent variable and able to influence consumer loyalty. Furthermore, the newly emerged term of consumer engagement acts as the mediator of the relationship between consumer involvement and consumer loyalty. This review paper proposed that these three constructs are interrelated. Besides that, due to the widely used of term involvement, engagement and loyalty, this study explores the complexity of the multi-dimensions and dynamic nature of the constructs. The purpose of this study is to review published journals on consumer involvement, consumer engagement and consumer loyalty to better understand its evolution and development especially in tourism and hospitality industry. Besides that, the theories and its application were also discussed. The theory that is suitable to the current research paper is Service Dominant Logic theory while the supporting foundations are Consumer Engagement Model, Consumer Involvement Profile, and 3H’s Model. This review on previous published materials also found out that linkage between the variables exists. Besides that, the dimensionalities of consumer involvement and consumer engagement were found to have varies sets of dimensions. Thus, selecting suitable dimensionality in representing the constructs is essential to gain a reliable result. The main conclusion drawn from this review is that consumer involvement and consumer engagement are able to influence consumer loyalty in tourism and hospitality industry. The contributions of current paper and implications for future researchers were discussed.
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Introduction
Tourism and hospitality industry has seen growth in economies over the past decade. This industry has become the major economic motivator in creating jobs and investment opportunities. According to Hamid and Cheng (2012), the hotel sector is the largest service provider in the tourism and hospitality industry. However, airline sector in Malaysia had suffered loss due to the accident happened to MH370, MH17 and QZ8501 in the year 2014. This had caused disadvantages for Malaysia airline sector, competition of airline sector become more intensified after the incident.
Therefore, ways to stay communicated with consumers and to increase competitive advantages are discovered. Communication through social media is crucial to enable the organization to stay connected and competitive. Internet had changed the way of interactivity with consumers and organization especially with the growing popularity of the internet (Xiang, Wang, O'Leary & Fesenmaier, 2015). According to So, King, Spark and Wang (2016), tourism and hospitality organizations have created new ways to stay connected with their consumers. One of the ways is to stay connected through social media such as Facebook, Twitter and Instagram.
The changing global market has influenced the competitive advantages of hotels and airline companies, one of the method to increase competitiveness is to build consumer loyalty as consumer loyalty is considered as a success factor of a company (Kim, Vogt & Knutson, 2015). Loyal consumers will increase the value of business and maintain costs lower than to attract new consumers (Johan, Noor, Bahar, Liu & Low, 2014). According to Hurley (2004), consumer loyalty is a long term repeat purchase business where require a high level of consumer involvement. Hence, consumer involvement is predicted to influence consumer loyalty in tourism and hospitality industry. In addition, consumer engagement is ultimately result in consumer loyalty (Bowden, 2009).

Theoretical Development
Dessart, Veloutsou and Morgan-Thomas (2015) stated that consumer engagement is receiving increasing attention, yet the current literatures are inconsistent in its dimensionality. According to Brodie, Ilic, Juric and Hollebeek (2010), there are limited researches examine the term differs from similar relational terms including of participation and involvement. Researchers continuously identified the definitions and the dimensionality of the constructs in order to understand the terms to study it extensively.
Hence, to strengthen the knowledge in this area, this article presents the review of the theoretical foundation of the study. There are four theoretical foundations in this study, which are Service-dominant logic, customer engagement model, consumer involvement profile and three H’s model. According to Brodie, Ilic, Juric and Hollebeek (2010), the theoretical root is the service-dominant logic by Vargo and Lusch (2008). Vargo and Lusch (2008) believe service is defined as the process of using one’s resources for the benefit of another entity. This theory implies that the co-creation is superior value when consumer replacing it with the traditional value proposition and it’s become a competitive advantage to the certain firms. This theory has established a set of 10 foundations in building marketing relationship as shown below:
1. Service is the fundamental basis of exchange
2. Indirect exchange masks the fundamental basis of exchange
3. Goods are a distribution mechanism for service provision.
4. Operant resources are the fundamental source of competitive advantages.
5. All economies are service economies.
6. The customer is always a co-creator of value.
7. The enterprise cannot deliver value, but only offer value propositions.
8. A service-centered view is inherently customer oriented and relational.
9. All social and economic actors are resource integrators.
10. Value is always uniquely and phenomenologically determined by the beneficiary.

Among these theory foundations, four service-dominant logic theory foundations are relevant in explaining the conceptual roots of consumer engagement. The fundamental premises number 6 refers to the value creation between consumers and the company is interactional. Number 8 explains consumer determined benefits and it is co-created inherently consumers oriented and relational as service. Number 9 foundational premise defines the context of value creation is resource integrators. Lastly, the foundational premise number 10 is to explain the value is determined by consumers and it is idiosyncratic, experiential, contextual, and meaning laden.

These foundations of the theory are also similar and in line with current study. This paper studies the tourism and hospitality industry, more specifically is hotel and airline sector which is in the service industry. The fundamental premises number 2 is service is indirect exchange masks the fundamental basis of exchange because service is provided from the hotel and airline companies through a complex combination of goods, money and institutions and the service basis of exchange may not always visible. Next, fundamental premise number 3 is goods are distribution mechanisms for service provision which refers to the goods including of durable and non-durable gaining value through using the services provided by hotel and airline. For example, the airline provides seats to passengers and the seats can only derive their value through the airplane reached their designated destination.

Meanwhile, Customer Engagement Model was founded by Vivek, Beatty, and Morgan in the year 2012 in a research related to consumer engagement and relationships. This model explains the relationships among buyers, sellers, potential consumers, existing consumers, non-consumers, company and society. This model believes engagement will form value, trust, affective, commitment, word of mouth, loyalty and brand community involvement. Based on the results, consumers tend to become more involved and participate in activities when individual receive greater value than expectation. Besides that, this model found out that involvement and customer participation have significant influence on consumer engagement. This research adopts partial of the model which only take place for the variable of consumer involvement, consumer engagement and loyalty. This research is separable as it had been studied separately by So, King, Spark and Wang (2016), Bowden (2014) and Hollebeek, Glynn and Brodie (2014).
Consumer Involvement Profile is developed by Laurent and Kapferer (1985). The researchers believe consumer involvement is complicated variable and it is not a unitary one. Consumer involvement consists of four facets which are importance, risk importance, risk probability, pleasure and sign value. A measurement for each of the facets separately was done rather than to measure them as a single outcome of involvement (Laurent & Kapferer, 1985). A few researchers (e.g. Guthrie & Kim, 2009; Dimanche, Havitz & Howards, 1991) had already conducted reliability and validity test to this measurement and scaling system introduced by Laurent and Kapferer (1985) and it proven to be reliable and valid.

Three H’s Model is developed by Orr in the year 1992 for the subject of transformational learning. This model had been widely used and further develops in many other fields such as eco-literacy, science, sustainable education and transformative learning theory. Sipos, Battisti and Grimm (2006) suggested head to represent the engaging cognitive domains through academic study, inquiry and understanding of ecological and sustainability concepts. Heart refers to affective domain which is emotional driven values and attitudes that later are transformed into behaviours (Sipos, Battisti & Grimm, 2006). At the same time, hand represent the practical skill and physical work such as planting, painting, and building as is known as psychomotor domain. In this research, head is defined as the cognitive aspect of engagement such as thoughtful and process oriented while heart represents affective aspect of engagement that is emotionally driven and hands refers to the behavioural aspect of consumer engagement which is the act of participating.

**Literature Review**

A summary of 29 published journals are presented in Table 1, 2 and 3. The journals are including of conceptual articles, empirical studies either quantitative or qualitative in consumer involvement, consumer engagement and consumer loyalty. Many of these journals are not commonly recognized in tourism and hospitality industry, yet these researches are from original conceptual to the development of variables in tourism and hospitality industry. In this section, a more-in depth discussion of some critical aspects associated with the research design, independent variable, mediator, moderator and dependent variables in this study will be discussed.

The origin of involvement is first developed by Sherif and Cantril in the year 1947, and later involvement is expended to consumer behavior. According to Martin (1998), involvement has received attentions and been recognized as one of the most important variables in consumer research.

The definition of involvement has generated a number of debates in the literature. Some of the researchers classified involvement as uni-dimensional construct (Evrard & Aurier, 1996; Mittal, 1995), while other supports as multidimensional constructs (Cabanero, 2006; Chaudhuri, 2000; Zaichowsky, 1994; Laurent & Kapferer, 1985).

One of the widely accepted frameworks for consumer involvement is introduced by Houston and Rothchild (1978), where the researchers introduced three types of involvement namely situational involvement, enduring involvement and response involvement and the authors distinguish involvement between situational involvement and enduring involvement.
construct is further developed by Richins, Bloch and McQuarrie (1992) to enhance the previous research. Richins, Bloch and McQuarrie (1992) further develop the model to three combination model and tested empirically using field survey. This research construct had been adapted and adopted by some researchers (Michaelidou & Dibb, 2008; Cabanero, 2006). Laurent and Kapferer (1985) believe consumer involvement is complicated construct and it is cannot measure as one. Hence, consumer involvement consists of four facets which are importance, risk importance, risk probability, pleasure and sign value. They had done an empirical analysis to justify their dimensions of the model they introduced as Consumer Involvement Profile. Later on, a few researchers (e.g. Lee & Graefe, 2012; Hanzae et al., 2011; Hochgraefe, 2009; Kerstetter & Kovich, 1997; Dimanche et al., 1991) had adopted and adapted consumer involvement dimension and tested those facets are interdependent to each other and proven that consumer involvement are a multidimensional construct.

Zaichowsky (1985) had developed the Personal Involvement Inventory to capture the concept of involvement products. Personal Involvement Inventory is consisted of 20 items and the purpose is to measure a person’s involvement or interest in products they regularly purchase or purchased in the past. Later in 1994, Zaichowsky reduced the number of items in Personal Involvement Inventory from 20 items to 10 items which are important, relevant, means a lot to me, valuable, interesting, exciting, appealing, fascinating, needed and involving. Zaichowsky (1994) believes that these reduced items can represent the 20 items and it proven in the empirical research on the validity and reliability. According to Zaichowsky (1994), Personal Involvement Inventory is a context free measurement thus it can be apply for products, advertisements and purchase situations. Personal Involvement Inventory had been used by Hollebeek, Glynn and Brodie (2014) to test the relationship between consumer involvement and consumer engagement.

In a study done by Jacoby and Chestnut (1978), their research findings have proven there are a significant relationship between product involvement and loyalty. On the other hand Hanzae, Khoshpanjeh and Rahnama (2011) claims that product involvement and brand loyalty are two crucial construct in explaining consumer purchase decision. Suh and Yi (2006), they specified and tested empirically the moderating effect of involvement determining the customer satisfaction and loyalty relationship. Hence, these have proven that there is a link and relationship between involvement and loyalty even though involvement construct as a moderating role. According to Chen, Wang, Cheng and Kuntjara (2008), consumer involvement in service industry, especially in airline sector are important to be further research as travellers tends to spend more time in choosing an airline company and have a more complicated purchase decision making process. So, King, Spark and Wang (2016) suggest consumer involvement should be tested as the antecedent of the consumer engagement to extend the model of consumer engagement and consumer loyalty, especially in tourism and hospitality industry. Therefore, this research attempt to close the gaps by adding in consumer involvement construct with multidimensional facets and by focusing on tourism and hospitality industry especially in hotel and airline sector. Below is shown the Table 1, summary of involvement studies had been done in recent years.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Related construct/dimensions</th>
<th>Paper type</th>
<th>Relationship to consumer loyalty/ result of the research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laurent &amp; Kapferer (1985)</td>
<td>Importance, pleasure, risk consequences, risk probability and sign value</td>
<td>Empirical: Qualitative</td>
<td>There is more than one kind of consumer involvement depending of the antecedent and consequences of involvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimanche et al. (1991)</td>
<td>Importance, pleasure, risk consequences, risk probability and sign value.</td>
<td>Empirical: Quantitative</td>
<td>This research confirms the validity and reliability of the questionnaire developed by Laurent and Kapferer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaichkowsky (1994)</td>
<td>Importance, relevant, means a lot to me, valuable, interesting, exciting, appealing, fascinating, needed and involving.</td>
<td>Empirical: Quantitative</td>
<td>Twenty items of involvement construct reduced to ten items.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerstetter &amp; Kovich (1997)</td>
<td>Importance, pleasure, risk consequences, risk probability and sign value.</td>
<td>Empirical: Quantitative</td>
<td>The multidimensional involvement was substantiating in college sport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruyter &amp; Bloemer (1999)</td>
<td>Loyalty, satisfaction, emotions, low involvement and high involvement.</td>
<td>Empirical: Quantitative</td>
<td>There are no significant relationships in determining customer loyalty with low involvement category services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suh &amp; Yi (2006)</td>
<td>Customer satisfaction, loyalty and involvement.</td>
<td>Empirical: Quantitative and Qualitative</td>
<td>Customer satisfaction had greater effect on brand loyalty and brand attitudes when product involvement was low. When product involvement was high, the corporate image and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
attitudes toward the advertisement had more effect on brand attitudes, and attitudes had more effect on loyalty.

Michaelidou & Dibb (2008)  
Enduring, Situational and Response  
Conceptual  
Choice of shopping channel can moderate the level of purchase involvement.

Hochgraefe et al. (2009)  
Product interest, pleasure, sign value, risk importance, risk probability, brand loyalty  
Empirical: Quantitative  
Increase in involvement increase brand loyalty especially interest, pleasure and sign value.

Hanzaee et al. (2011)  
Interest, pleasure, sign, risk importance and risk probability.  
Empirical: Quantitative  
Interest, pleasure and sign value has a direct effect on brand loyalty.

Lee & Graefe (2012)  
Involvement (Importance, pleasure, risk consequences, risk probability and sign value.), commitment, loyalty  
Empirical: Quantitative  
Involvement has a direct and positive effect on loyalty.

Manan & Mokhtar (2013)  
Self-congruity, sponsorship, customer involvement and customer loyalty.  
Empirical: Quantitative  
High involved customers portray a high level of loyalty.

Table 1: Summary Table of Involvement Studies

Engagement had been studied in many fields previously such as sociology, psychology, political science, and organizational behaviour (Ilic, 2008). Engagement is referred as the simultaneous employment and expression of a person’s preferred self in task behaviours that promote connections to work with others, personal presence such as physical, cognitive and emotion and active full performance. The concept of engagement was later studied as employee engagement by Salanova, Agut and Peiro (2005) and defined employee engagement as positive, fulfilling, work-related state of mind that is characterized by vigor, dedication and absorption. Similarly, Saks (2006) refers employee engagement as the amount of cognitive, emotional and physical resources an individual is prepared to devote to the performance of one’s work roles. Cheung, Lee and Jin (2011) further research employee engagement and developed it into consumer engagement in the online social platform. According to Cheung et al. (2011),
customer engagement in an online social platform is referred as the level of a customer’s physical, cognitive and emotional presence in connections with a certain online social platform. Cheung et al. (2011) claims that customer engagement consists of three dimensions, namely vigor, absorption and dedication. Vigor is defined as the level of energy and mental resilience when using an online social platform, willingness to invest time and effort as a customer, vigor is also referred as physical. Absorption represents the customers’ full concentration and deeply engrossed in an online social platform, it is also known as cognitive dimension. Dedication refers to the sense of significance, enthusiasm, inspiration, pride and challenge towards an online social platform, is also known as the emotional dimension.

The debate related to appropriate consumer engagement dimensionality is still exist in consumer behavior and marketing field. A few researchers (e.g. Van Doorn et al., 2010; Verhoef, Reinartz, & Krafft, 2010; Gummerus, Liljander, Weman, & Pilhstrom, 2010) believe consumer engagement is uni-dimensional, while other researchers (e.g. Dessart et al., 2015; So et al., 2012; Hollebeek, 2011; Brodie et al., 2011; Mollen & Wilson, 2010; Kumar, Aksoy, Donkers, Venkatesan, Wiesel & Tillmanns, 2010; Patterson, 2006) proposed consumer engagement as multidimensional constructs.

Among the dimensions, one of the most comprehensive and accepted is affective, behavioural and cognitive dimensions. This multidimensional construct are comprehensive enough because it covers all three dimensions and it had been tested by several researchers (e.g. Dessart et al., 2015; So et al., 2012; Hollebeek, 2011). Dessart et al. (2015) define affective engagement as the summative and enduring levels of emotions experienced by the consumers with respect to the individual engagement focus. The authors also explain cognitive engagement as a set of enduring and active mental states that a consumer experiences with respect to the certain engaged object. Behavioural is defined as the behavioural manifestations toward an engagement focus, beyond purchase resulting from the motivational drivers.

Vivek et al. (2012) claims that consumer engagement will positively associated with an individual’s loyalty. Jakste and Kaykaite (2012) found out that brand equity and loyalty can be built with engaged consumer through communication. Banyte and Dovaliene (2014) hold the view that consumers become engaged when consumers are loyal. Thus, these have proven that there is a relationship between consumer engagement and consumer loyalty. Bowden (2009) believes engagement and loyalty relationship at a suitable level and forms are expected to give favorable impact on loyalty under all circumstances and the engagement will ultimately lead to consumer loyalty. According to Hollebeek (2011), further academic research regarding the consumer engagement concept is required, as there are the concept of consumer engagement is in its infancy level. In addition, there is insufficient concern and attention is given to understand the mediating role of consumer engagement between the relationship of consumer involvement and consumer loyalty, especially in tourism and hospitality industry. Hence, this study aims to investigate the mediating effect of consumer engagement between the relationship of consumer involvement and consumer loyalty in tourism and hospitality industry. Table 2 shows the relative lack of research done in consumer engagement in tourism and hospitality industry regarding the appropriate constructs, forms and dimensionality of consumer engagement.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Related construct</th>
<th>Paper type</th>
<th>Relationship to consumer loyalty/ result of the research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bowden (2009)</td>
<td>Satisfaction, trust, involvement, affective commitment, and loyalty</td>
<td>Conceptual</td>
<td>The process of consumer engagement traces the temporal development of loyalty from newly purchase to repeat purchase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprott et al. (2009)</td>
<td>Brand engagement in self-concept</td>
<td>Conceptual</td>
<td>The higher the consumer brand engagement self-concept level, the stronger is the willingness to wait longer for a new product introduced by the favourite brand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Doorn et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Valence, form and modality, scope, nature of impact, and customer goals.</td>
<td>Conceptual</td>
<td>Consumer engagement is the manifestation of consumers’ behavior to a brand or company resulting from the motivation drivers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollebeek (2011)</td>
<td>Involvement, cognitive, behavioral, emotional,</td>
<td>Conceptual</td>
<td>Different segments of consumers have</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Summary Table of Engagement Studies

Loyalty has become an important variable in marketing and customer relationship management (Ball, Ceolho & Machas, 2004). Unfortunately, consumer loyalty has no universally agreed definition (Isoraite, 2015; Lewis & Soureli, 2006; Jacoby & Chestnut, 1978). Consumer loyalty is
defined as a deep held commitment to repurchase or re-patronise a preferred goods or service consistently in the future, thereby causing repetitive same-brand or same brand-set purchasing, despite situational influences and making efforts that have the potential to cause switching behaviour by Oliver (1997). According to Henry (2000), repeat purchaser who feels a sense of belonging to a company and not willing to change despite the same offering from other companies is loyal consumers. As a result, research attention has concentrated on the identification of effective methods to increase loyalty which including introducing loyalty programs (Lach, 2000).

According to Molla and Bisschoff (2012), loyalty first appears in 1940s and became an important construct to consumer and marketing academic literature. The authors added loyalty had been emerged in various industries such as food and beverage industry (Rasheed, 2015), finance industry (Baumann, Elliot & Hamin, 2011), service industry such as hotels (Yang & Lau, 2015; Naeem & Nasir, 2011; Kim & Adler, 2011), and airline (Dolnicar, Grabler, Grun & Kulnig, 2011; Soderlund, 1998; Ostrowski, O’Brien & Gordon, 1993), but the research focuses on hotel and airline sectors is still limited.

According to Kim, Vogt and Knutson (2015), consumer loyalty has been widely studied in tourism and hospitality industry in these twenty years. Loyalty research within tourism and hospitality industry is still an emerging field due to different model, focus and construct been applied in the certain research (McKercher, Denizci-Guillet & Ng, 2012). In this competitive era, consumer loyalty has become a significant component in airline companies’ strategy formation (Forgas, Moliner, Sanchez & Palau, 2010). In addition, Yi and Jeon (2003) suggest consumer loyalty is a necessary prerequisite for the future survival of hotel organizations. Hence, further researches on consumer loyalty in tourism and hospitality industry are essential to maintain the future survival of hotels. Riley et al. (2001) claim that the literature on loyalty demonstrates a problem in its conceptualization have to be resolved by empirical means or operational definitions, depending on the purpose of the study. Due to limited empirical research had done in testing the relationship between consumer involvement and consumer loyalty in tourism and hospitality industry, this research attempt to develop the studies in empirical research to examine the relationship between consumer involvement and consumer loyalty. Table 3 shows the summary of the loyalty studies in the past twenty years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Related construct</th>
<th>Paper type</th>
<th>Construct dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oliver (1999)</td>
<td>Loyalty</td>
<td>Conceptual</td>
<td>Cognitive loyalty, affective loyalty, conative loyalty, action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandampully &amp;</td>
<td>Hotel image, customer satisfaction, behavioural and attitudinal</td>
<td>Empirical:</td>
<td>Hotel image, customer satisfaction is prerequisite to gain consumer loyalty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suhartanto (2000)</td>
<td>loyalty</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riley et al.</td>
<td>Loyalty, repeat behavior, relationship</td>
<td>Conceptual</td>
<td>The research explore the result of loyalty which is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Focus</td>
<td>Research Method</td>
<td>Findings/Implications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurau (2012)</td>
<td>Consumer loyalty, generation x and millennial consumers</td>
<td>Empirical: Qualitative and Quantitative</td>
<td>The result indicated a high similarity of loyalty between Millennium and generation x.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoo &amp; Bai (2012)</td>
<td>Loyalty</td>
<td>Conceptual</td>
<td>The result is useful to hotelier to make new strategy based on their guest attitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keshavarz &amp; Nezakati (2013)</td>
<td>Perceived service quality, excepted service quality, tourist satisfaction and tourist loyalty.</td>
<td>Empirical: Quantitative</td>
<td>The result is useful to hotelier to make new strategy based on their guest attitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johan et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Customer satisfaction, perceived value, perceived quality, corporate image, customer loyalty.</td>
<td>Empirical: Quantitative</td>
<td>There are significant relationships of customer satisfaction, perceived value, perceived quality, corporate image to loyalty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balakrishnan et al. (2014)</td>
<td>E-word of mouth, online communities, online advertisement, purchase intention and brand loyalty.</td>
<td>Empirical: Quantitative</td>
<td>The findings indicated significant relationship of e-word of mouth, online communities, online advertisement, purchase intention and brand loyalty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang &amp; Lau (2015)</td>
<td>Generation X and Y, perceived hotel quality, perceived value, satisfaction,</td>
<td>Empirical: Quantitative</td>
<td>The result presents the significant moderating effect of generation on the path of quality, value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conceptual Model

The performed analyses of academic literature allow this research to state the relationship between consumer involvement and consumer engagement with consumer loyalty. However, this relationship requires a more extensive empirical study in order to prove the relationship of those constructs. This section presents a conceptual model illustrating the hypothesis of this study and relations between consumer involvement and consumer engagement with consumer loyalty has been constructed in Figure 1. The structure of the conceptual model is based on the combination of Consumer Engagement Model proposed by Vivek et al. (2012), Consumer Involvement Profile developed by Laurent and Kapferer (1985), Three H's Model introduced by Orr (1992), and a theoretical foundation Service Dominant Logic Theory developed by Vargo and Lusch (2008) to integrate the relations between the construct model. Figure 1 shows the application of the framework to the theoretical foundations and dimensionality of each construct.

There are few researchers suggest the relationship between consumer involvement and consumer loyalty (Hu, 2012). Ressell-Bennett, McColl-Kennedy, and Coote (2007) believe involvement is important and is a potential driver of consumer loyalty. Hence, involvement and loyalty has a significant relationship and it had been proven by Kontogianni, Kouthousis, Barlas and Voutselas (2011). It is crucial to have an understanding of the operating process behind consumer involvement with the changes of time and availability of new information as the consumer will make comparisons on the existing knowledge and new information. Further to examine the context of the consumer loyalty, expectation of a positive relationship between these contracts as summarised by the following research hypothesis:

H1: There is a significant relationship between consumer involvement and consumer loyalty.

The consumer involvement had been shown as consumer engagement antecedent as mentioned in the previous section. Further to support this contention is presented by Vivek et al. (2012) which highlight the consumer involvement construct is relatively related with consumer engagement. Hollebeek (2010) holds the view that consumer involvement construct provide an accurate explanatory or predictive of consumer engagement with the conceptual roots of affective, behavioural and cognitive. Previous studies (Leckie, Nyadzaya & Johnsons, 2016; Vivek et al., 2012) had proven some evidence that support the relationship between the consumer engagement and loyalty. Based on the discussion made by these previous researchers, this shows the relationship between consumer involvement and consumer engagement with consumer loyalty is significant. Previous researches on consumer engagement had been studied by several researchers (Dwivedi, 2015; Banyte et al., 2014; Greve, 2014; Kuvykaite & Piligrimiene, 2014; Vivek et al., 2012; So et al., 2012; Hollebeek, 2011; Cheung et al., 2011). However, too little attention is given to understand the mediating effect of consumer
engagement in the tourism and hospitality industry, especially in multidimensional context which are affective, behavioural and cognitive. The above rationale is summarized to the following hypothesis:

H2: The consumer engagement will significantly mediate the relationship between consumer involvement and consumer loyalty.

- H2a: Affective will mediate the relationship between consumer involvement and consumer loyalty.
- H2b: Behavioral will mediate the relationship between consumer involvement and consumer loyalty.
- H2c: Cognitive will mediate the relationship between consumer involvement and consumer loyalty.

*Consumer Engagement Model
**Consumer Involvement Profile
***3H Model

Figure 1: Research Framework

Conclusion
This study aimed to explore the concept of consumer involvement and consumer loyalty with the mediator role of consumer engagement in tourism and hospitality industry. Although consumer loyalty had recorded a huge number of researchers’ attention in academic studies through the past twenty years, yet a few studies have focus on the relationship between consumer involvement and consumer loyalty in the tourism and hospitality industry.

As a conclusion, this review paper suggests that there is a relationship between consumer involvement, consumer engagement, and consumer loyalty in tourism and hospitality industry. Besides that, the dimensionality of consumer involvement is appropriate to adopts consumer involvement profile as a guideline due to its comprehensiveness in covering all aspect of consumer involvement. Furthermore, consumer engagement is suitable to adopt affective, behaviour, and cognitive as the base dimensionality due to its ability to distinguish the dimensions. The service dominant logic, consumer involvement profile, consumer engagement model, and 3H’s model is appropriate to serve as the basic foundation of the proposed research framework.
Based on the background of conceptual and qualitative researches, this paper refines the concept and presents a theoretical framework that suits the independent variable, dependent variable and mediator. The key contributions in current paper are combination of five dimensions of consumer involvement, combinations of three dimensions of consumer engagement with the application of mediator. This finding also shows the exploratory relationships between consumer loyalty and other constructs.

This article makes three important contributions. First, it contributes to consumer loyalty literature by exploring the relationship between consumer involvement dimensionality in depth with consumer loyalty. It proposed an understanding of concept dimensions of consumer involvement and limited studies in empirical researches. Secondly, the article contributes by examining the mediating effects of consumer engagement in a multidimensionality construct namely affective, behavioural and cognitive between consumer involvement and consumer loyalty. Lastly, this article contribute in the potential role of consumer involvement, consumer engagement and consumer loyalty in the advancement of the theoretical perspective of service dominant logic theory, three h’s model, consumer involvement profile and consumer engagement model.

Despite these contributions, this research is subject to several limitations. First is the time constrains. Time has always been the main constrains in each and every studies, thus this research only based in Malaysia and not in other countries. Secondly is the number of dimensions of consumer loyalty which can be exploring in the near future. Lastly, this paper is only been done in literature review and have yet to explore in empirical research.

Recommended for future researchers to study the relationship of consumer involvement and consumer loyalty with consumer engagement can be done in other industries as well to enhance the understanding of consumer behaviour despite industries. It is suggested that the dependent variable which is consumer loyalty can test in a multidimensional construct as this research only apply in one dimension. Lastly, it is recommended to conduct an empirical research such as quantitative or qualitative research to deepen the understanding of consumer loyalty in tourism and hospitality industry and the result may be fruitful findings.
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