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Abstract
Takaful is one of the Islamic financial instruments that emphasize the principles of brotherhood, unity and mutual cooperation in providing assistance to the participants who is in need of aid. Regular takaful participants deal with takaful agents to promote their products and takaful protection plans to the public. Takaful agents act as representatives for the consumers in managing their takaful plan participation procedures while at the same time providing a transparent and clear information to the consumers regarding takaful plans. However, there are some negative issues that arise regarding takaful agents in representing the consumers such as unethical management and unable to provide an accurate information of the takaful. Thus, the question arise, is the behaviour of a negative takaful agent arising from the behaviour of the takaful consumers or is it actually caused by the behaviour of takaful agent itself? Hence, this study aims to examine the negative behaviour of takaful agents with consumers in Malaysia. There are two objectives of the study. First, to identify the behaviour of takaful agent against consumers in previous studies; and second, to analyse the negative behaviours of takaful agents with consumers in previous studies. The findings reveal that among the few of the negative behaviour of takaful agents is deliberately concealing information on products and services, and being unable to identify the needs of the takaful participants resulting in the failure to provide suitable products to the participants.
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Introduction
Takaful is an Islamic investment scheme that emphasize the principle of brotherhood, unity and cooperation in providing assistance to the participants that is in need of some aid. Takaful agents is the first party to meet the community as to provide information on the product or plan that is appropriate with the participants’ request (Khuzana Ab Rahaman & Farah Adibah Mohd Apandi, 2016). Takaful agents also act as a mediator to the participants in managing their takaful plan entry procedure. These agents carry the image of a takaful company while at the same time playing a role
in providing a transparent and clear information to the participants so that the quality of good relations between the parties can be perfectly maintained.

Takaful agents plays an important role with the takaful consumers especially in identifying takaful products and providing the best services to the consumers. However, there are some behaviour of takaful agents in takaful management that are unethical. The unpleasant behaviour of a takaful agent will undermine the trust and satisfaction of the consumers of the selected takaful. Thus, the question arises, is the behaviour of a negative takaful agent arising from the behaviour of takaful consumers or actually caused by the behaviour of the takaful agent themselves? To answer this question, the paper contain two objectives. First, to identify the behaviour of takaful agents with consumers in previous studies; and second, to analyse the negative behaviours of takaful agents with consumers in previous studies.

In order to achieve the two objectives, this descriptive study will only be involving secondary data. The secondary data is obtained through document review. Then, the data gained in this study will be analysed by the qualitative content analysis method. Generally, the results of this data analysis will be divided into four parts. First, this paper will discuss the definition of the takaful system; second, identifying the behaviour of takaful agents with consumers; third, analysing the behaviour of takaful agents with users and to be finalized with a conclusion.

**Takaful System**

*Kafala* is the root word for takaful. It means to guarantee, protect or care. Takaful is a noun derived from the verb *takafala* which means mutual guarantee, mutual protection and mutual caring (Mahmud & Kader, 2012). These concepts are the foundation of takaful system (Borhan & Saari, 2003). According to the definition issued by Takaful Ikhlas Company on their website, the takaful system is based on the concept of cooperation, responsibility, guarantees, protection and mutual assistance among participants. At the same time, takaful operations are the provision of shared contributions among other participants by giving and providing assistance to participants who are suffering from calamities. According to Isa & Deni (2009), the term takaful can be interpreted as takaful scheme based on the relationship of Muslim brotherhood, mutual responsibility towards each other as well as the mutual cooperation between takaful participants. The definition given by Isa & Deni (2009) is similar to the definition given by Abd Sattar Abu Ghuddah (2008) that defines takaful as participation of an individual in an Islamic investment scheme that emphasize the concept of brotherhood, cooperation and mutual guarantee between takaful participants as they will help each other in the event misfortune on other participants of the takaful. Mohd Noor & Zakaria (2010) define takaful as a concept of cooperation that is allowed by the Islamic law and it is based on the *tabarru’* (donation) and *ta’awun* (helping).

The definition given by Abd Sattar Abu Ghuddah (2008), Mohd Noor & Zakaria (2010) supported the definition given by Billah (2006) stating that in the takaful system, there are several important aspects contained in the definitions that lead to the need for takaful contracts to be practiced by Muslims. There are five important aspects that have been expressed by the author, the
first aspect is the agreement between participating members for the common good and the second being the element of *tabarru’* in takaful operations. The *tabarru’* element is intended to divide losses and distributing liabilities through the community savings system. The third aspect in the definition is if there are participants who is in need, each contributor have to agree to provide aid by using the takaful savings money. The fourth aspect is there should no elements of uncertainty regarding subscription and compensation in the takaful system. The last aspect is that the takaful scheme is not an Islamic financial instrument that takes advantage of the costs incurred by others.

At the same time, the takaful system acts as a contractual agreement between the takaful companies and participants. In the contract, takaful participants will agree to contribute money into the takaful fund. The funds donated by participants will be managed by the companies (Ab Mumin Ab Ghani, 1997). Takaful participants will be compensated by the takaful fund if they face any accidents or loss. The participants must agree to contribute part of their contributions to other takaful participants in the event of any accidents or loss that befall to other participants. Therefore, it can be concluded that takaful is an Islamic financial instrument that emphasize the concept of mutual assistance, mutual cooperation, mutual responsibilities among each other and independent of any elements of uncertainty, usury and gambling.

**Takaful Agents Behaviour Against Consumers**


Based on past studies, researchers have found various behaviour of the agents particularly the behaviour of agents towards the consumers. Hamid, Ab Rahman, & Nor (2012) have studied the leadership among takaful agents on the performance of the takaful industry in Malaysia while Aziz, Ghani, & Shaari (2015) discussed on the factors that contributes to the ethical behaviour among takaful agents.

Other than that, the study of Cheng, Hsieh and Yang (2014), Mei and Mau (2009) and Ahmad, & Sungip (2008) are related with the takaful service. Cheng, Hsieh and Yang (2014), Mei and Mau (2009) studies explored on ethical issues related to the offering of services offered by the agents while the study of Ahmad, & Sungip (2008) evaluates expectations and perception of takaful consumers towards the services offered by takaful companies. May and Mau (2009) study found that if the consumers’ trust in agents decreases, the likelihood of them leaving the takaful company is higher. However, Cheng, Hsieh & Yang (2014) found that the differences in age and experience among the respondents displays a significant impact on unethical behaviour among agents.
Cooper and Frank (1991) studied the respondents’ perceptions of the major ethical issues faced in the takaful industry. In 2002, Cooper & Frank (2002) again conducted studies on ethical issues but this time the issues in focus are regarding the challenges and ethical issues in the takaful industry.

Eastman and Drennan (1997), Marcum and Robin (2003) and Howe, Hoffman and Hardigree (1994) studied the ethics and behavioural attitudes of the agents towards their customers, however the study conducted by Eastman and Drennan (1997) is a study of the implications of education among takaful agents with students.

From the total results of the studies, the researcher have listed the behaviour of the agents found by previous researchers in the form of a table. Table 1 below shows a summary of the behaviour of the agent with the consumers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Researcher</th>
<th>Type/Methodology</th>
<th>Results of Study on Agents Behaviour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1   | Hamid, Ab Rahman, & Nor (2012) | i Quantitative Research  
ii A total of 170 respondents are among takaful agents on Malaysia.  
iii Data analysed using SPSS software version 17.0 | i Lacking skills to attract participants and retain consumers  
ii Do not know how to communicate  
iii Do not know how to disclose about takaful products and fail to persuade consumers |
| 2   | Chia Yi Cheng, Chia Hung hsieh & Yu Song Yang (2014) | i Quantitative Research  
ii A total of 957 respondents  
iii Data analysed using SPSS software. | i Wrong and false information regarding the products and services  
ii Deliberately hides information regarding the products and services  
iii Unable to identify the appropriate product for the consumers  
iv Lack understanding regarding the concept and knowledge of takaful  
v Behaviour that affects the reputation of the company  
vi Do not fulfil responsibilities on tasks due to conflict of interest |
| 3   | Aziz, Ghani, & Shaari (2015) | i Qualitative Research  
ii Unstructured interviews on focused group (Branch | i Provide false information  
ii Lack skills to provide understanding to consumers. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Authors (Year)</th>
<th>Research Type</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 4 | Mei Fang Chen & Liang Hung Mau (2009)  | Quantitative Research  | i.Unable to understand consumers’ needs.  
ii. Do not understand the concept of takaful and the tasks that need to be done |
ii. "Over-selling" - Agents convincing consumers to choose products that the consumer does not need. |
| 6 | Ahmad, & Sungip (2008)                 | Quantitative Research  | i. Intentionally delaying the settlement claims  
ii. Providing incomplete or incorrect information  
iii. Lack professionalism  
iv. Services that are less customer-friendly. |
| 7 | Marcum and Robin (2003)               | Quantitative Research  | i. Engage in other part-time work without the knowledge of the company and advisor until it affects the task as an agent |
| 8 | Cooper & Frank (2002)                 | Quantitative Research  | i. Fail to provide immediate and honest answer to customer requests.  
ii. Conflicts of interest involving work and relationships with finances or with consumers.  
iii. Failed to achieve objective. |
| 9 | Howe, Hoffman & Hardigree (1994)      | Quantitative Research  | i. Providing false information  
ii. Assisting users to breach claims against the company |
Analysis Of Studies On Takaful Agents Behaviour Against Consumers

Based on previous studies, at least 13 of the agents' behaviour have been identified. These behavior have been reduced to become 13 major agents' behaviour. After analyse past studies, the researcher found that the behaviour of providing false information regarding takaful products and services is the most dominant agents' behaviour among others. This is evident by the number of frequencies of the behaviour being the highest with five times the frequency. Hence, it is arguable that almost half of previous researchers find that agents who do not provide true and clear information are the behaviour of unethical agents in carrying out their duties as a liaison between takaful companies and consumers.

Other than that, the behaviour of agents that did not know and understand the consumers’ needs and agents' behaviour on other unethical issues shares the same frequency which is four times the frequency. The researcher have reduced some of the behaviour to other ethical issues. Other ethical issues are behaviour that affects the reputation of the company, services rendered being non customer-friendly, helping consumers to mislead the company, defaming other agents to the consumers, and inefficiency in handling consumers’ problems. These behaviour have negatively impact the takaful company. This matter has been stated by Hamid, Ab Rahman, & Nor (2012). They state that the problems in the behaviour of agents can lead to low productivity, reducing motivation to good agents in giving full commitment to their duties and possibly resulting in those agents leaving the takaful industry.

Followed by the behaviour of takaful agents that are unable to give a clear explanation, conflict of interest, and unprofessionalism shared the same frequency as much as three times the frequency. The behaviour of an agent who are unable to give a clear explanation is an agent that is lacking skill in communicating with the consumers. The lack of communication skills will cause the agent to have low confidence in providing information regarding takaful products and services to consumers. Therefore, the agents will fail to convince consumers about the quality of takaful products and services. Conflicts of interest between agents are also among the behaviour stated in previous studies. This conflict of interest exists when there is competition between the agents in a company. This may due to the agents competing to gain more consumers for themselves.
Subsequently, the number of frequencies that is shared twice is the behaviour of agents who do not understand the concept of takaful and the behaviour of hiding information about the takaful products and services. Chia Yi Cheng, Chia Hung hsieh and Yu Song Yang (2014) and Mei Fang Chen and Liang Hung Mau (2009) agree that one of the behaviour of takaful agents is the lack of understanding on the concept of takaful. Chia Yi Cheng, Chia Hung hsieh and Yu Song Yang (2014) also support the findings of Eastman, J.K., Eastman, K.L., and Drennan, R.B (1997) which states that agents have the behaviour to hide information regarding their products and services. Finally the number of frequencies only shown once is represented by agent behaviour that does know how the way of communication, behaviour of agent who persuades the consumer to choose a non-essential product, the behaviour of deliberately delaying the settlement claims, and the behaviour of failing to give a straight and honest answer to the consumers’ request. Based on the analysis of previous studies, the researcher have summarized the behaviour of the agent in a table like in Table 2 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Researchers*</th>
<th>Do not know how to communicate</th>
<th>Unable to give a clear explanation</th>
<th>Providing false information</th>
<th>Do not know and understand the consumer’s needs</th>
<th>Persuading consumer to choose a non-essential products</th>
<th>Do not understand the concept</th>
<th>Conflict of interest</th>
<th>Lack of professionalism</th>
<th>Delaying settlement claims</th>
<th>Hiding information</th>
<th>Failing to give a straight and honest answer</th>
<th>Other ethical problems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Summary of the Behaviour of Agents**
**Conclusion**

The behaviour of takaful agents that are identified in previous studies have shown that this is not a small problem as it can negatively affect the participation of takaful consumers. This is because the negative behaviour of takaful agents will affect some of the confidence and perceptions of consumers towards takaful. Agents play an important role as a liaison between consumers and the company. Therefore, it is very important for takaful agents to possess high credibility in order to establish good attitude and behaviour for the future of the takaful industry.
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