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Abstract
The theory of resource-based view (RBV) explain the firm competitive advantage through the uniqueness, rare and imitable resources that the firm created which lead to firm growth. Apart from that, the RBV only explain the firm competitive advantage in the static environment and this has become a limitation especially when the firm is dealing in the fast and changing market environment. On the other hand, theory of dynamic capability explain the firm competitive advantage from the perspective of dynamic and fast-moving environment and has received much attention from the international business scholar since 1990s. Dealing in international business market has involve different environmental background, and in order for the firm to cope with this differences, the firm need to develop specific capabilities and continuous learning. It is important for the firm to remain relevant and competitive in the market especially when doing the business outside the home country.
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Introduction
The review of literature on export performance begin in year 1978 by Bilkey and continue by Zou and Stan (1998) followed by Sousa, Martinez-Lopez and Coelho in year 2008. The last review of export performance which is the current and latest review done by Chen, He and Sousa (2016) from 2006 until 2014 stated and increasing number of papers from 52 to 124 papers. Shown that exporting has received a bigger attention from scholars and a large body of literature is focus on it (Hasaballah, et al., 2019) especially in the area of export performance (e.g: Pinho, 2016). Chabowski et al., 2018 conducted a study on the exporting literature from 1958 until 2016. Based on the current review by Chen et al., (2016), the most dominant theory is Resource-based View (RBV) with 50 studies, contingency theory with 13 studies, institutional-based view (IBV) with 12 studies, and organizational learning theory (OLT) with 11 studies. Although RBV is the most popular theory in previous research, it has its limitations especially in explaining the dynamic development process (Khan and Lew, 2018). Global business is facing dynamic and unpredictable environment, hence in order for the firm to sustain and be competitive and relevant in the market, the firm need to be proactive towards the changing environment (Efrat, et.al 2018).
Due to the changes and rapid development of international business environment, it is relevant and strongly advisable to adapt to the changing environment by looking at a dynamic perspective. Having said that, the theory of dynamic capabilities has come to rescue by explaining the firm competitive advantage in the dynamic environment.

**Dynamic Capabilities Theory**

Dynamic capabilities theory proposed by Teece and Pisano (1994) is the extension from resource-based view (RBV) of the firm (Barney, 1986, 1991). Based on the RBV, firm in the similar industry perform differently because they have different kind of resources and capabilities (Barney, 1986, 1991; Peretaf, 1993) whereby RBV is considered as static in nature and insufficient to explain the competitive advantage of the firm in changing market environment (Priem & Butler, 2001). Additionally, the resource based view of the firm is looking at the unique, rare and imitable resources that the firm has that created competitive advantage and firm growth (Barney, 1986) however, the process of maintaining competitive advantage is limitless and it is the dynamic process (Hung, Yang, Lien, McLean, & Kuo, 2010), hence scholars has proposed that in order for the firm to remain competitive in the market, the firm need to develop specific capabilities and continuous learning (Argyris & Schon, 1978; Hammer, 2001; Jashapara, 1993; Senge, 1990; Zott, 2003) which is from dynamic capabilities point of view especially in the new or changing market environment (Wilden, Gudergan, Nielsen, & Lings, 2013). The lack of dynamic capabilities will prohibit the firm to maintain their competitive advantage especially in the changing environment (Gnizy, Baker, & Grinstein, 2014). The application of dynamic capabilities in the past literature has shown an increasing interest by the scholars since the foundation of international ambidexterity literature (Hsu, Lien, & Chen, 2013; Luo, 2002; Luo & Rui, 2009; Prange & Verdier, 2011) which explain ambidexterity as the firm capability to respond to the environmental complexity and international experience in the field of international business (Hsu et al., 2013).

The definition of dynamic capabilities as define by Teece, Pisano, and Shuen (1997) is the ability of the firm to combine, develop and reconfigure external and internal expertise in order to respond to speedily changing environment. Previous research has provide significant definition on dynamic capabilities. Eisenhardt and Martin (2000) define dynamic capabilities as the process of use resources to create new resources that can create market change. Market is change when the market is evolve, emerge, split or even die. Apart from that, dynamic capabilities is the results of the alteration of resources point of view especially in the new or changing market environment (Wilden, Gudergan, Nielsen, & Lings, 2013). The lack of dynamic capabilities will prohibit the firm to maintain their competitive advantage especially in the changing environment (Gnizy, Baker, & Grinstein, 2014). The application of dynamic capabilities in the past literature has shown an increasing interest by the scholars since the foundation of international ambidexterity literature (Hsu, Lien, & Chen, 2013; Luo, 2002; Luo & Rui, 2009; Prange & Verdier, 2011) which explain ambidexterity as the firm capability to respond to the environmental complexity and international experience in the field of international business (Hsu et al., 2013).

The relationship between dynamic capabilities and organizational performance has indicate a positive relationship based on empirical studies (Hung et al., 2010). For example, Danneels (2002) conducted a study on five high-tech firms and found that product innovation capabilities increase the firm competencies and performance. Apart from that, Zott (2003) identified how the different
dynamic capabilities of the firm can influence the performance and the study further identified that even in small different of dynamic capabilities between the firm, it can create significantly divergence in firm performance. From international business perspective, Luo (2000) discovered that the exploitation of dynamic capabilities can increase the firm expansion in international market and simultaneously increase firm performance.

Early proposition of dynamic capabilities stating that it has a direct relationship with firm’s performance (Teece et al., 1997). Additionally, Zollo and Winter (2002) define the direct relationship between firm performance and dynamic capabilities and emphasized that if the firm has no dynamic capability in the changing environment, the superiority and the survival of the firm will remain temporary. This has been supported by Teece (2007) whereby the development of dynamic capabilities is to identify the sources of firm’s competitive advantage at the enterprise-level and it is determine by the firm success or failure.

On the other hand, other researchers debated on the direct link between dynamic capabilities and firm performance. For instance, Eisenhardt and Martin (2000) argue that dynamic capabilities alone does not guarantee the firm’s competitive advantage, but the arrangement and the positioning of the firm’s resources created by dynamic capabilities is more skillful than competition. This also supported by Zott (2003) mentioned that modification and the alteration of the firm's resources through dynamic capabilities influence the firm performance and not the dynamic capabilities stand alone. Additionally, Eisenhardt and Martin (2000) proposed that the firm that has dynamic capabilities will have an advantage towards their competitor who does not have that capabilities while Zott claim that the firm that has distinguished dynamic capabilities may develop different kind of resources and as a results produced differentiated performance levels.

Apart from that, it is important to know that dynamic capabilities is not the same as specific capabilities such as supply chain, R&D and marketing (Gnizy et al., 2014), in fact this dynamic capabilities is served as a medium to explore resources and capabilities that required to remain competitive especially in the changing market environment (Wilden et al., 2013). Dynamic capabilities also has been associated with tacit organizational elements such as routines, processes, managerial, cognition and knowledge (Easterby-Smith, Lyles, & Peteraf, 2009) and also adaptive, absorptive and innovative capability (Grant, 1996a; Pisano, 1994; Wang & Ahmed, 2007).

Dynamic capabilities also has been a focus area especially in the field of strategic management (Barreto, 2010) and the firm success is not only depend on the resources and capabilities that the firm has, but most importantly on how the firm adjust themselves with the market they operated (Rua, Franca, & Fernandez Ortiz, 2018). It is important to note that dynamic capabilities is not separated from resource-based view, however it is another important element in explaining competitive advantage especially in the changing environment (Ambrosini & Bowman, 2009; Barreto, 2010; Eisenhardt & Martin, 2000; Wang & Ahmed, 2007). Additionally, in the turbulence and fast growing market, the firm resources must be dynamic and the managers need to know how to adjust the strategy with the environment in order to create new skills that can meet the dynamic of the market (Monteiro, Soares, & Rua, 2017).

The trend of research especially in the field of export has begun since year 1958 and the assessment of export research done by Chabowski et al. (2018) indicated four general trends. The first trend of export research from year 1958 until 1992 is focus on pre-export activity and export
behavior. The second trend started from year 1993 until 2002 focus on the knowledge development and internationalization. The third trend on the export research is focus on the export management, strategy and performance from year 2003 until 2012 and the fourth trend which is the latest and current trend on export research is focus on dynamic capability as the area of concern in export research from year 2013 until 2016.

This study is supported by Chabowski study on the assessment on export research in current trend which is dynamic capability. In international business studies, the theory of dynamic capability has been previously used to determine the international experience and ownership strategy relationship as dynamic capability (Tang & Gudergan, 2018). Apart from that, Xu, Guo, Zhang, and Dang (2018) identified another component of dynamic capabilities which is dynamic marketing capabilities from the perspective of inter-organizational relationship and entrepreneurial orientation factors and the study explore the dynamic marketing capabilities in domestic versus overseas market. The implementation of dynamic capabilities from the international business perspective also can be used to develop a model of export capabilities such as adaptability, innovativeness, unpredictability and task-flexibility in order to achieve competitive advantage and export performance (Efrat, Hughes, Nemkova, Souchon, & Sy-Changco, 2018).

The exploration of dynamic capabilities also has been used not only for the study of export, but also another mode of entry which is franchisee (El Akremi, Perrigot, & Piot-Lepetit, 2015) and international new ventures (Oxtorp, 2014) as well as born-global firm in determining dynamic capabilities emerged as a result of a mix of experiential and deliberate learning process in changing domestic and international business environments (Kumar & Yakhlef, 2014). Knowledge (Dong, Yu, & Zhang, 2016; Maes & Sels, 2013; Nonaka, Hirose, & Takeda, 2016) and entrepreneurial capabilities (Andersson & Evers, 2015; Bouncken, Lehmann, & Fellnhofer, 2016; Fitz-Koch & Nordqvist, 2017; Lanza & Passarelli, 2014; Swoboda & Olejnik, 2016) also has been extensively studied from the perspective of dynamic capability from international business context which indicate that the theory of dynamic capabilities has received extensive attention from the scholars especially in the field of international business.

Conclusion
The discussion on the theory of dynamic capability has enlighten the history and the development of the theory of dynamic capabilities in the changing environment. The theory of dynamic capability is not to replace the existing theory of resource-based view (RBV), this theory is however extending the current usage of RBV in explaining the firm competitive advantage in dynamic environment.

Dealing with international business is much more challenging than doing business in domestic market. The different characteristic from customer behavior to institutional behavior will directly affect the business performance. The application of the theory of dynamic capability has been used extensively to discuss on the competitive advantage in the dynamic environment especially in international business.

When the firm decided to expand the business outside the home country, the firm need to be adaptable to the changes and willing to make a change for the sustainability of the firm. Hence,
this is where the theory of dynamic capabilities come into the picture in explaining the dynamic environment in international business.
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