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Abstract
This research aims to examine the factors affecting the performance of nurses working in the public healthcare sector hospitals. The nurses working in the health sector come across stress and workload in their respective organizations. The respondents of the study consisted of 417 nurses working in the public sector hospitals of Pakistan. A survey was carried out, for which a questionnaire was administered to the respondents. Analysis of the quantitative data of the study involved both the statistical package of social science (SPSS) version 25 and Smart-PLS version 3.0.
The empirical results show that psychological capital and goal setting are significantly related to job performance. Results of the current research will help policy makers and managers raising the performance of nurses working in the Pakistan public healthcare sector.
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Introduction
Nursing profession in Pakistan is not known as a respectable profession and is usually viewed profession for people belongs to lower cast or poor people (Shahzad & Malik, 2014). Nurses who are working in the health sector of Pakistan have less authority, power, and respect (Lee & Saeed, 2001). Additionally, healthcare sectors in Pakistan encounter several problems in the quality of nursing education, career advancement in the profession, the negative public image of nurses, lack of retention, vertical and horizontal bullying, less monetary incentives, and poor working conditions (Khowaja, 2009; Oulton & Hickey, 2009). Nurses also face mild or moderate depression and anxiety while working in hospitals in Pakistan (Khan, Iqbal, & Waseem, 2012). In the healthcare sector workplace bullying, depression, anxiety, and less monetary incentives affect nurses mentally are important factors that lower job performance and decrease psychological wellbeing at the
workplace. In the private health sector of Pakistan, there is limited protection for the rights of nurses because they are mostly used for business purposes. Specifically, private sectors regard health care as a profit-making endeavor, and nurses’ jobs are subject to fluctuating economic situations. Therefore, retaining nurses is a significant issue in private sectors.

Additionally, nurses working in the territory hospital in Islamabad, where 86% were not satisfied, and 26% were highly dissatisfied; consequently, they become demoralized (Bahalkani et al., 2011). These factors may lead to low job performance and decreased psychological well-being. Resources and competency of nurses are important factors but not adequate to guarantee the desired level of performance. Moreover, nursing is considered as one of the most challenging and self-sacrificing professions in the global health sector; though, it has been observed that health sector in low-income countries lacks high-quality patient care (Basu, Andrews, Kishore, Panjabi, & Stuckler, 2012). The behavior of the employees regarding their duties and lack of high-quality patient care has been causing a decline in the progress and reputation of the hospitals (Khan et al., 2012).

**Hypotheses Development**

In past studies, the association between psychological capital and job performance established (Polatci & Akdogan, 2014; Rabenu, Yaniv, & Elizur, 2016). Notably, there are differences in the associations between psychological capital and performance when evaluated using various sources such as self-appraisal, assessment by superiors, and objective measurement. An individual with a higher psychological capital tries to succeed. As a result, he performs better (Avey, Reichard, Luthans, & Mhatre, 2011). Similarly, individuals with high psychological capital have self-discipline and create numerous solutions for issues (hope), have internal references and positive desires for results (optimism), and react reliably and positively to troubles and problems (resilience). Accordingly, psychological capital can elevate the success and accomplishment of goals, which may prompt better performance.

**H1: Psychological capital will have a positive relationship with performance.**

Numerous theories suggest that resources are vital and can positively influence employee outcomes. For instance, the conservation of resources theory contends that people endeavor to gain, hold, develop, and ensure the essential resources they have to survive and perform better (Hobfoll, 1989). When individuals perceive the long-term stability of their resources, they feel less psychological and physical illness. As a result, they manage to set their goals and experience positive psychological well-being (Hobfoll, 2001).

Some studies have described the association between psychological capital and goal setting. Halbesleben and Bowler (2007), proposed a definition of resources that is consistent with other motivation theories. Accordingly, resources refer to anything that enables an individual to achieve his goals. While such a definition is broad, it provides insights into the fundamental properties of resources as conceived by the conservation of resources theory. Additionally, goals are typical components in numerous theories (Locke & Latham, 1990), such as evolutionary theories (Kaplan & Gangestad, 2005), self-determination theory (Gagné & Deci, 2005), expectancy theory (Vroom, 1964), control theory (Klein, 1989), goal setting theory (Locke & Latham, 1990), and others (Bargh &
Ferguson, 2000). Therefore, goals are important concepts which provide a link between the conservation of resource theory and other motivation theories. However, this definition of goal remains unclear because of its focus on understanding individual goals; nonetheless, it helps in better comprehending the idea of the value that elicited considerable concern in past conceptualizations of resources.

Furthermore, it disassociates the resources from related outcomes. In fact, the emphasis of the goal-based definition of resources is on the belief that resources could contribute to goal attainment, and not that resources result in the satisfaction of goals. It is indeed serious since it is suitable in circumstances where people protect their resources that may be deemed by outsiders as an obstacle to goal accomplishment instead of contributing to it, such as participating in extra-role behaviors at the cost of in-role behaviors (Halbesleben & Bowler, 2007).

H2: Psychological capital will have a positive relationship with goal setting.

The goal-setting theory in management science plays an integral role (Ambrose & Kulik, 1999), and it is presently extensively utilized in organizational practice (Locke & Latham, 2002). In the last 40 years, more than 400 studies on goal setting conducted which discussed the role performance goals (Locke & Latham, 2006). Above studies have repetitively discussed that setting specific and challenging goals elicits better task performance than low psychological and physical goals.

However, past research has recommended that high-performance goals can sometimes result in undesirable outcomes. Even if a small group of individuals experiences elevated self-efficacy and fulfillment after achieving a goal, a significantly larger group may not accomplish the goal and therefore face negative outcomes such as stress, lowered self-esteem, and demotivation (King & Burton, 2003; Soman & Cheema, 2004). The goals set based on positive personal interests and values are associated with more success evident in past studies (Sheldon & Elliot, 1999; Sheldon & Houser-Marko, 2001), particularly those aimed at evading failures (Elliot, Sheldon, & Church, 1997). Furthermore, a person with higher cognitive ability usually understands an endeavor faster; thereby focusing on the execution of task strategies to enhance performance (Latham, Seijts, & Slocum, 2016).

H3: Goal setting will have a positive relationship with performance.
Methodology

Data Collection Procedure
Primary data were collected from nurses working in public sector hospitals on a five-point Likert scale through a self-administered questionnaire. In the field survey, approximately 730 questionnaires were distributed, and after continuous follow-up activities, 460 responses received. As a result, finally, 423 valid responses were entered in SPSS for further data analyses after excluding missing responses.

Measures
Psychological Capital
Caza, Bagozzi, Woolley, Levy, and Barker Caza (2010) developed the shorter version of the psychological capital used in the current study. This shorter form of psychological capital was developed from a 24-item questionnaire of (Luthans, Youssef, & Avolio, 2007). The sample item of psychological capital is “I can think of many ways to reach my current work goals”; “I always look on the bright side of things regarding my job” and “I usually take stressful things at work in stride.” The reliability of the scale was 0.96.

Job Performance
Job Performance is consist of seven items scale and adopted from (Williams & Anderson, 1991). The sample of items for the job performance is, “I adequately complete assigned duties,” “I perform tasks that are expected of me.” and “I neglect aspects of the job I am obligated to perform (R).” Respondents will be asked to choose from the five 5-point Likert scales where 1 = strongly disagree, and 5 = strongly agree. Reliability of job performance scales was 0.91.
Goal Setting
In the current study, goal setting is a mediating variable. The scale in the study was developed by (Nies, Hepworth, Wallston, & Kershaw, 2001) consists of six items. A sample of items for the goal setting is, “Setting goals is an important activity.” Respondents will be asked to point out their agreement for the given each item by using a 5-point Likert scale where 1 = strongly disagree, and 5 = strongly agree. The goal setting instrument exhibited satisfactory internal consistency α = 0.70.

Analysis
The collected data has been coded into SPSS 25 for data analyses and correlations before PLS-SEM analyses. Further Smart- PLS 3.0 was used to test the hypotheses, which is a complete structural equation modeling (Polatci & Akdogan) tool. Structural equation modeling is suitable to examine the association between multiple exogenous and endogenous variables simultaneously (Hair, Black, Babin, Anderson, & Tatham, 2006). Additionally, structural equation modeling suitably helps to test hypotheses as well as helps to test the theories (Hair et al., 2006).

Reliability and Validity Test
Table-1: Reliability Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Cronbach's Alpha</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>Average Variance Extracted (AVE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal Setting</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Performance</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological Capital</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Square</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R Square</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scale reliability was checked using Cronbach's Alpha shown in the table above. Generally, the range of Cronbach’s alpha is between 0 to 1. According to Hair, Black, Anderson, and Tatham (1998), range of reliability is greater or equal to 0.80 for a good scale, for acceptable scale is 0.70, and 0.60 for a scale for exploratory purpose. In this study, the results of Cronbach's Alpha of constructs indicate that goal setting (0.91), job performance (0.94) and psychological capital (0.92). Therefore, these indicators satisfied the required criteria. Whereas, composite reliability of the constructs is goal setting (0.93), job performance (0.95) and psychological capital (0.93). Composite reliability should be between 0 to 1 with 1 being perfect estimated composite reliability. Composite reliability should be equal or more than 0.60 in an adequate model for the exploratory purpose (Chin, 1998); for the confirmatory purpose should be equal or greater than 0.70 (Henseler, Ringle, & Sarstedt, 2015) and for confirmatory research greater than 0.80 is considered good (Daskalakis & Mantas, 2008).

Correlation Matrix and Discriminant Validity
According to Mackinnon, 2008, square root of average variance extracted must be greater than the correlation of the constructs in the structural model in order to establish discriminant validity.
Table-2: Correlation Matrix and Discriminant Validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Goal Setting</th>
<th>Job Performance</th>
<th>Psychological Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal Setting</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Performance</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological Capital</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Structural Equation Model Fit

Figure 2: Structural Equation Model (PLS Algorithm)
In figure 2, the structural model for the current research is depicted respectively, where $R^2$ depicts the value for the dependent variable (job performance) and predicted latent variable. The $R^2$ value of the endogenous variable (job performance) in the current model is 51.8. The variance explained by psychological capital and goal setting in job performance is 51.8%.

Table-3: Hypotheses Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypotheses</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Std Beta</th>
<th>t-Value</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>PsyCap $\rightarrow$ JP</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>22.89</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>PsyCap $\rightarrow$ GS</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>21.55</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>GS $\rightarrow$ JP</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PsyCap: Psychological Capital, JP: Job Performance, GS: Goal Setting*

*Note. * *$p<0.01$ * $p<0.05$*

The t-value of the hypothesized path of psychological capital (PsyCap) and job performance (JP) is 22.89, which is above the t-value criteria of 1.96 and p-value is 0.000. Upper and lower bound is 0.58 and 0.70, respectively. Thus, there is a significant positive relationship between psychological capital.
and job performance. Result of this hypotheses is consistent with previous studies (Alessandri, Consiglio, Luthans, & Borgogni, 2018; Luthans, Avolio, Avey, & Norman, 2007; Sun, Zhao, Yang, & Fan, 2012). The results of hypotheses H2, where psychological capital (PsyCap) and goal setting (GS) with t-value 21.55 and p-value 0.000 are positively related. In the H3, the t-value of the hypothesized path of goal setting (GS) and job performance (JP) is 5.53, and the p-value is 0.000. Therefore, goal setting has a significant positive impact on job performance (Audia & Tams, 2017; Diefenbacher, Fliss, Tatzel, Wenk, & Keller, 2019; Gibb & Billinger, 2018).

**Conclusion**

The primary objective of the current study was to examine the effect of goal setting between psychological capital and job performance among nurses working in the public health sector of Pakistan empirically. The findings show that psychological capital and goal setting have a significant positive relationship with job performance. Results of this study contribute to the existing literature by providing empirical evidence on the performance of nurses working in the healthcare sector of Pakistan. Nurses are working in the healthcare sector of Pakistan facing challenges of workload in the healthcare environment, which affect the performance of nurses and similarly overall performance of the healthcare sector. In order to enhance the individual performance of nurses, psychological capital, and goal setting are important factors to enhance the performance of nurses working in the healthcare sector of Pakistan. Therefore, employees working in the healthcare sector Pakistan needs to be motivated by their respective organization to perform well in their workplaces. Most frequently used theories of psychology, and organizational behavior is incorporated, such as conservation of resource theory and broaden and build a theory to propose the current research framework. Results of the current research contributed to human resource management; it also provides a guideline for the managers in the healthcare sector of Pakistan.
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