



⊗ www.hrmars.com ISSN: 2222-6990

Students' Emotional Engagement in Lecturers' Personal Facebook Account

Padma Pillai, Jusang Bolong, Mohd. Nizam Osman and Norliana Hashim

To Link this Article: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v11-i15/10639

DOI:10.6007/IJARBSS/v11-i15/10639

Received: 08 May 2021, **Revised:** 12 June 2021, **Accepted:** 01 July 2021

Published Online: 27 July 2021

In-Text Citation: (Pillai et al., 2021)

To Cite this Article: Pillai, P., Bolong, J., Osman, M. N., & Hashim, N. (2021). Students' Emotional Engagement in Lecturers' Personal Facebook Account. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 11(15), 123–133.

Copyright: © 2021 The Author(s)

Published by Human Resource Management Academic Research Society (www.hrmars.com)

This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this license may be seen at: http://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0/legalcode

Special Issue: Empowering Youth and Community Wellbeing for Sustainable Development, 2021, Pg. 123 - 133

http://hrmars.com/index.php/pages/detail/IJARBSS

JOURNAL HOMEPAGE

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://hrmars.com/index.php/pages/detail/publication-ethics





⊗ www.hrmars.com

ISSN: 2222-6990

Students' Emotional Engagement in Lecturers' Personal Facebook Account

Padma Pillai¹, Jusang Bolong^{2,3}, Mohd. Nizam Osman³ and Norliana Hashim³

¹School of Art, Sunway University, Malaysia, ²Institute for Social Science Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, ³Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication, Universiti Putra Malaysia Email: jusang@upm.edu.my

Abstract

Facebook serves as an excellent platform for student-lecturer to connect. The rise in the use of Facebook by academics is expected, given the positive features of Facebook. Past studies have indicated the benefit of using Facebook in teaching and learning. One salient issue concerning Facebook usage in teaching and learning is students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook accounts. While there have been substantial studies examining how lecturers use Facebook in teaching and learning, the question of the impact of lecturers' personal Facebook account on students' emotional engagement has not been examined. This research examined the level of students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook use for teaching and learning. The study defined the pre-test and post-test difference before testing the hypotheses difference relating to these factors. The quasi-experimental research design was used for this research, and a total of 60 students connect with their lecturers via lecturers' personal Facebook account. Participants fill out the self-administered questionnaire on week one (pre-test) and week fourteen (post-test) of the semester. The questionnaire contained questions on the perceived breadth of students' emotional engagement. The results revealed that students' emotional engagement is significantly different in pre and post-test of lecturer personal Facebook account. This study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on the use of Facebook in teaching and learning by clarifying the association of students' emotional engagement on Facebook.

Keywords: Emotional Engagement, Personal Facebook Account, Facebook, Quasi-Experimental.

Introduction

Social media dominates emerging pedagogical methods and systems. Information and communication systems have creatively incorporated social media into the everyday use of technology. Social media includes various web-based tools and services to facilitate community development through user interaction and information sharing (Arnold and Paulus, 2010; Junco et al. 2011). According to Arnold and Paulus (2010) personal interactions and connections with other users are obtained from social media, including forums, Wikipedia, media (audio, image, video, text), resource sharing, and networking (including Facebook, Instagram) virtual worlds. With this

Vol. 11, No. 15, Empowering Youth and Community Wellbeing for Sustainable Development, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2020 HRMARS

advancement, current studies have shown that using social media as an educational tool could increase student engagement (Collins and Halverson, 2018; Saha and Karpinski, 2018; Johnson et al. 2016). Despite the above situation, most lecturers and students are familiar with using digital media, specifically Facebook for personal (informal communication) and impersonal (formal or team communication) use (Cunha and Kontopodis, 2016).

Nevertheless, the familiarity of lecturers with Facebook's use of personal and impersonal communities opens up the possibility of emotional interaction and communication skills with their students. Although several studies demonstrate Facebook's educational potential through lecturer-student engagement, several research gaps need to be addressed regarding students' emotional interaction with their lecturer in using Facebook (Manca and Ranieri, 2017).

Impact of Lecturers' Personal Facebook account access on Students' Emotional Engagement

Engagement is divided into cognitive, behavioural, and emotional. For this research, the researcher focused on emotional engagement. According to commonly used concepts by Fredricks et al (2004), student involvement consists of three distinct but interlinked elements, namely physical, emotional and cognitive engagement of students in their commitment and participation in education (see also Wang et al., 2011). Behavioural engagement refers to students' constructive behaviour and acts in the course of teaching and learning (e.g., attending classes, focusing and completing schoolwork) and to their participation in college and school activities in particular (e.g.) (Fredricks et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2011). Cognitive participation includes the independent and strategic approach to students' education, such as exploring complex ideas and mastering challenging skills (Appleton et al. 2008; Archambault et al. 2009). Additionally, the third aspect, called emotional engagement, focuses on students' feelings of joy, curiosity, anxiety, and sense of belonging to other students, lecturers, and schools. This aspect also involves support for students viewed by others in some concepts (Appleton et al. 2006; Finn, 1989; Skinner and Belmont, 1993).

Emotional engagement is divided into pleasure, arousal, and dominance (PAD) to describe physical environments (Mehrabian and Russell, 1974). Pleasure deals with whether the individual perceives the environment as enjoyable or not, in this case, the lecturers' personal Facebook account. Arousal reflects the extent to which the environment stimulates the individual. The post and chat that happens on the Facebook platform. Dominance captures whether the individual feels in control or not in the environment when communication occurs between students and lecturers (Mehrabian and Russell, 1974).

On the contrary, emotional engagement can be identified by indicators such as academic recognition (Finn, 1989) and signs of affective responses (e.g., interest) in classrooms (Fredricks et al. 2004; Skinner et al. 2008). The role of emotional engagement in affecting academic results (e.g., Handelsman et al. 2005; Skinner et al., 2008; Skinner et al., 1990) has been demonstrated the past studies. As a measure of an individual's academic ability, the achievement is typically measured by examination grade and standardised achievement test (McLean, 2001). In contrast, learning is the way a person learns (McLean, 2001).

One of Furrer and Skinner (2003) research was the role of engagement in shaping people's achievement rather than learning. The emphasis was mainly on behavioural and cognitive components that showed that they play a crucial role in influencing academic achievement (Fredricks et al., 2004; Ladd and Dinella, 2009). For instance, Ladd et al (1999) found higher levels of cooperative and independent participation (i.e., behavioural engagement) to be predictive of higher achievement

Vol. 11, No. 15, Empowering Youth and Community Wellbeing for Sustainable Development, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2020 HRMARS

among kindergarten children. Besides, several cognitive participation indicators have been found to forecast academic achievement (e.g., self-regulation, commitment, and effort) (Miller et al. 1996). Nonetheless, as a predictor of academic achievement, emotional engagement has provided mixed evidence with primary support for its role in testing this component as part of a general or combination assessment (i.e., behavioural or cognitive involvement) of the building (Fredricks et al. 2004). For example, in African-American youth (ages 10-16), a combined degree of emotional and behavioural involvement was found to predict school performance (Connel et al., 1994). Nevertheless, given that the different effects of these components have not been studied, there is no proof of the role of emotional involvement in school performance. This component was also supported by research on quality emotions (Pekrun et al., 2002). Such emotional reactions displayed in the classroom include excitement, optimism, and frustration related to achievement results (Frenzel et al., 2007; Pekrun et al., 2002). Pekrun et al (2011) have been supportive of this with positive emotions such as happiness, which positively impact the average grade point of graduate students in psychology. Based on past studies, as stated above, the result's associational significance failed to support the role of emotional commitment as a predictor of academic achievement.

In contrast to the above, other studies have found some evidence suggesting the importance of emotional engagement as a predictor of learning rather than academic performance. For example, Handelsman et al (2005) created a student engagement instrument comprising psychological, knowledge, understanding, and involvement/interaction. While all four subtypes have been associated with academic achievement (for example, assignment grade), only skills (similar to cognitive engagement) are essential predictors (Handelsman et al., 2005). Also, emotional engagement predicted the intrinsic consequences of learning (e.g., the value of learning in its own right) (Handelsman et al., 2005). Similarly, Ainley and Ainley (2011) found that students who like science (i.e., achievement emotions) are interested in learning more about science topics positively. This reflects an insufficient understanding of the role of emotional engagement in predicting educational results, such as success and achievement. Therefore, the following hypothesis is developed.

H1: There is a significant difference between the pre-test and post-test scores of students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account.

H1a: There is a significant difference between the pre-test and post-test scores of pleasure dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook accounts.

H1b: There is a significant difference between the pre-test and post-test scores of arousal dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook accounts.

H1c: There is a significant difference between the pre-test and post-test scores of dominance dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook accounts.

Quasi-Experimental Design

This study uses a case-study approach with a quasi-experimental design to resolve the research questions. The study focuses on a case study with a university in Malaysia. In addition, this study emphasised students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account. The researchers' interests in educational change and teaching experience brought the notion of conducting this research. A quasi-experimental design was used as a means of generating the main data of the study. Case studies promote understanding of real-life situations directly linked to daily experiences and form the basis for implementing new teaching methods in the classroom.

Vol. 11, No. 15, Empowering Youth and Community Wellbeing for Sustainable Development, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2020 HRMARS

Students were assigned to lecturers' personal Facebook account group at one of the private universities. As mentioned earlier, the students' groups were chosen to assess their emotional engagement via lecturers' personal Facebook account. The task was split into two phases (pre-testing and post-testing) to achieve the study's aims.

Pre-Test

Quasi-experiment (pre-test) was conducted in Week 1 of the semester by distributing questionnaires (Set A) to all 60 selected students. All sixty students are in the lecturers' personal Facebook account. Just before the survey was distributed, the students were briefed about taking part in the experiment, the conditions under which the analysis was carried out, and what to do with the information provided. Students were allowed to consider all lecturers that they are connected via personal Facebook account even though only one class was used for this experiment. The pre-test was conducted for a personal Facebook account to assess students' emotional engagement in the lecturer's personal Facebook account.

Post-Test

Upon completing 14 weeks of Lecturers' personal Facebook account intervention, the post-test quasi-experiment was tested. The post-test measured the differences in the interaction between students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account access. Ultimately, the pre-test and post-test results explain the scores on Facebook, for lecturers' personal account. Data were then analysed using SPSS software discussed in the following section. In addition, as described above, there are various methods for analysing data for quantitative approaches. The next section details the process and instrument selected for this analysis.

Measurement of Students' Emotional Engagement

Groccia (2018) describes students' emotional engagement as the level of attention, enthusiasm, interests, excitement, and passion that students display when they learn or are taught, to the degree of motivation and success in learning. Throughout recent decades, on the other hand, the engagement of students is growing in popularity, mostly because of an increased understanding of the role of certain cognitive, psychological, behavioural, physical, and social factors in education and social development (Garrosa et al. 2017). Therefore, in this study, students' emotional engagement involves interests, boredom, happiness, anxiety, and other affective states within the dimension of pleasure, arousal, and dominance.

Students' emotional engagement was measured with 16 items on a seven-point Likert scale, ranging from (1) being the least to (7) being the most. The respondents' (students) answers are based on how they are emotionally engaged with their lecturers in the lecturers' Facebook account. Items are structured under three dimensions which are pleasure, arousal, and dominance. The 16 items are divided into six items for pleasure and arousal each and four items for dominance. Some examples of the items on the scale include: "contented–melancholic" (pleasure), "satisfied–unsatisfied" (pleasure), "important-awed" (arousal), "excited-calm" (arousal), "in control-cared for" (dominance) and "influential-influenced" (dominance). Answers on 16 items from respondents were then added and then divided by the total number of items to calculate the mean score. A higher mean score indicates students' emotional engagement is more and favourable within the dimensions given.

Vol. 11, No. 15, Empowering Youth and Community Wellbeing for Sustainable Development, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2020 HRMARS

Findings

Table 1: Students' Emotional Engagement in Lecturers' Personal Facebook Account – Paired Sample T-Test

Item	Pre-Test	Post-Test	t-value	Sig	
Pleasure	24.46	27.48	-2.278	0.026	
Arousal	21.96	24.00	-1.715	0.092	
Dominance	14.60	16.43	-2.280	0.026	
Overall	61.02	67.91	-2.296	0.025	

Table 2: Students' Emotional Engagement in Lecturers' Personal Facebook account

Item	Lecturers' Personal Facebook Account					
	Pre-Test		Post-Test			
	Mean	Standard deviation	Mean	Standard deviation		
Pleasure						
relaxed/bored	4.23	1.598	4.38	1.342		
pleased/annoyed	4.17	1.607	4.73	1.260		
happy/unhappy	4.12	1.391	4.87	.947		
satisfied/unsatisfied	4.08	1.639	4.53	1.112		
contented/melancholic	3.93	1.448	4.55	1.141		
hopeful/despairing	3.93	1.401	4.42	1.094		
Arousal						
wide-awake/sleepy	3.95	1.534	4.33	1.349		
frenzied/sluggish	3.93	1.425	4.40	1.108		
important/awed	3.87	1.490	4.73	1.148		
jittery/dull	3.63	1.390	3.77	1.155		
excited/calm	3.48	1.490	3.67	1.469		
aroused/not aroused	3.10	1.492	3.10	1.602		
Dominance						
influential/influenced	4.17	1.531	4.63	1.164		
autonomous/guided	3.65	1.459	3.85	1.351		
dominant/submissive	3.43	1.320	3.98	1.347		
in control/cared for	3.35	1.527	3.97	1.518		

Table 2 illustrated the analysis of students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account in three dimensions, namely pleasure, arousal, and dominance for both Pre-Test and Post-Test analysis. Overall, there is a significant difference between the Pre-Test and Post-Test scores (t = -2.296, $\rho < 0.05$) between students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account. Therefore, H1 is accepted. Nevertheless, further analysis was done based on the three dimensions, and the following sub hypotheses were constructed and tested.

Vol. 11, No. 15, Empowering Youth and Community Wellbeing for Sustainable Development, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2020 HRMARS

Pleasure Dimension of Student Emotional Engagement in Lecturers' Personal Facebook Account Access

H1a: There is a significant difference between the pre-test and post-test scores of the pleasure dimension of students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account.

Based on Table 2, all pleasure (Pre-Test) items showed students having high positive pleasure on their lecturers' personal Facebook account. This finding suggests that the student's pleasure continuum high on 'satisfied,' 'pleased,' 'hopeful.' 'relaxed,' 'happy' and 'contented' when communicating with their lecturers via personal Facebook account. In Post-Test outcomes, prominently, the pattern for all items suggested in the student's pleasure dimension in lecturers' personal Facebook account provided higher mean scores compared to the Pre-Test results. Furthermore, the SD dispersion for all items in pleasure was found to be in the range of 1.094 to 1.342 except for 'happy,' indicating a relatively lower distribution from the mean values in pleasure continuum on students' pleasure in lecturers' personal Facebook account (Post-Test). Perceived to the lower range of 'happy' in Post-Test, the data shows that the students have conflicting emotions in answering the particular questions between the Pre-Test and Post-Test.

The Paired Sample T-Test Statistics results show in Table 1 above indicate that the score for pleasure dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account Pre-Test is 24.46 and Post-Test is 27.48 with (t = -2.278, $\rho < 0.05$). This result confirmed that students' pleasure dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account (Post-Test) is significantly different when compared to students' pleasure dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account (Pre-Test). The students' pleasure dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account (Post-Test) gives higher values on self-rated questions, indicating that students are generally happy communicating with their lecturers via lecturers' personal Facebook page. Overall, there is a significant difference between the Pre-Test and Post-Test scores of students' pleasure dimensions in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account. Therefore, H1a is accepted.

Arousal Dimension of Student Emotional Engagement in Lecturers' Personal Facebook Account Access

H1b: There is a significant difference between the pre-test and post-test scores of arousal dimension of students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account.

Given student's arousal, the Pre-Test result in Table 2, showed the students having high positive arousal on their lecturers' personal Facebook account as the mean score between 3.10 to 3.95. This finding suggests that the student's arousal continuum high on 'important,' 'frenzied,' 'wide-awake,' 'jittery,' 'excited' and 'aroused' when communicating with their lecturers via personal Facebook account. In the Post-Test outcomes, the pattern for all items suggested in the student's arousal on lecturers' personal Facebook account provided higher mean scores compared to the Pre-Test results. Furthermore, the SD dispersion for all items of arousal found, indicating a somewhat lower dispersion from the mean values expect for aroused/non aroused item in arousal continuum in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account (Post-Test).

Additionally, the Paired Sample T-Test Statistics results show in Table 1 above indicate that the score for arousal dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account Pre-Test is 21.96 Post-Test is 24.00 with (t = -1.715, $\rho > 0.05$). This result confirmed that students' arousal dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account (Post-

Vol. 11, No. 15, Empowering Youth and Community Wellbeing for Sustainable Development, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2020 HRMARS

Test) is not significantly different from students' arousal dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook accounts (Pre-Test). The students' arousal dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account (Post-Test) gives lower values on self-rated questions. This indicates that students are generally not aroused communicating with their lecturers via lecturers' personal Facebook page. Overall, there is no significant difference between the Pre-Test and Post-Test scores of students' arousal dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account. Therefore, H1b is rejected.

Dominance Dimension of Student Emotional Engagement in Lecturers' Personal Facebook Account Access.

H1c: There is a significant difference between the pre-test and post-test scores of dominance dimension of students' emotional engagement in personal Facebook account.

Given student's dominance, the Pre-Test result in Table 2 showed the students having high positive dominance on their lecturers' personal Facebook account. This finding suggests that the student's dominance continuum high on 'influential,' 'dominant,' 'in control,' and 'autonomous' when communicating with their lecturers via personal Facebook account. In the Post-Test outcomes, the pattern for all items suggested in the student's dominance on lecturers' personal Facebook account provided higher intensity compared to the Pre-Test results. In dominance, the item 'influential' seemed to be highly agreed in students' emotional engagement, judging by the mean value of 4.63. Further, the Paired Sample T-Test Statistics results show in Table 1 above indicate that the score for dominance dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account Pre-Test is 14.60 Post-Test is 16.43 with (t = -2.280, ρ < 0.05). This result confirmed that students' dominance dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account (Post-Test) is significantly different when compared to students' dominance dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account (Pre-Test). The students' dominance dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account (Post-Test) gives higher values on self-rated questions. This indicates that students are generally dominant in lecturers' personal Facebook pages by posting questions and seeking guidance from their lecturers. Overall, there is a significant difference between the Pre-Test and Post-Test scores of students' dominance dimension in students' emotional engagement in lecturers' personal Facebook account. Therefore, H1c is accepted.

Despite this, the result confirmed that overall, the students' emotional engagement (a combination of students' pleasure, arousal, and dominance) in lecturers' personal Facebook account (Post-Test) is significant, and H1 is accepted, even though H1b (arousal dimension) was rejected.

This study compared students' emotional engagement before and after accessing lecturers' personal Facebook account. Students have been interacting for 14 weeks with their respective lecturers via lecturers' personal Facebook account. By the end of week 14, students had expressed emotions such as Pleasure, Arousal, and Dominance after the interaction period. The study found significant changes in students' emotional engagement after weeks 14. Thus it confirms lecturers' personal Facebook account access is an effective medium for improving students' positive emotions, creating harmonious learning situations.

Discussion and Conclusion

Overall, it found that lecturers' personal Facebook account helped the development of emotional engagement. The results further indicated students were satisfied, pleased, hopeful, relaxed, happy,

Vol. 11, No. 15, Empowering Youth and Community Wellbeing for Sustainable Development, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2020 HRMARS

contented, important, frenzied, wide-awake, jittery, excited, influential, dominant, autonomous, and in control and when communicating with their lecturers via personal Facebook account. Therefore, although lecturers' personal Facebook is always used for unofficial purposes, its use is essential to generate stable emotions in learning. Stable emotions will encourage learning in the classroom or outside the classroom to become more effective because there is no significant gap between student relationships and lecturers. The use of lecturers' personal Facebook account as a learning tool cannot be ignored in today's technological world because it is part of educational technology pedagogy.

This research showed the pedagogical potential of using lecturers' Facebook account disclosure to manage larger courses and enhance lecturers and students' commitment beyond the physical classroom in virtual spaces (Low and Warawudhi, 2016). As the use of Facebook is always widespread, it is effortless for the lecturer to create an online community and ask the students to join and become members because they all use Facebook. The results of this research show that the lecturers' personal Facebook account can be a class leadership scheme, which enables the university to build an exclusive virtual space, design and use this as an extension to a physical classroom. Furthermore, the lecturers' Facebook account functions as a convergence point where lecturers and students are connected with the force of the Internet at any moment.

The results from this study further confirm Shih's (2013) earlier study by using Facebook as a blended learning scheme, such as the Flex Model for Horn and Staker (2014). It combines face-to-face or inclass instruction with off-line or non-class interactions, increasing students' overall interests and motivations and assisting students in pursuing their classroom operations. At the same time, Madge et al. (2009) argued that Facebook is only personal and sometimes casual for teaching. Lecturers can capitalise on Facebook's social strength and use its features to provide a learning aspect that co-exists its social function. Selwyn (2009) says that learners use Facebook as an intruding social field, for educational reasons "suitable," or "valid," but cannot discredit their educational potential, as the respondents of the study claim that it is helpful and useful to teach. Other issues continue to be raised concerning Facebook account: infrastructure accessibility and readiness of the lecturers to innovate their pedagogy, students' participatory capacity, curriculum flexibility, and learning context.

References

- Ainley, M., & Ainley, J. (2011). Student engagement with science in early adolescence: The contribution of enjoyment to students' continuing interest in learning about science. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 36(1), 4-12.
- Appleton, J. J., Christenson, S. L., & Furlong, M. J. (2008). Student engagement with school: Critical conceptual and methodological issues of the construct. *Psychology in the Schools*, *45*(5), 369-386.
- Appleton, J. J., Christenson, S. L., Kim, D., & Reschly, A. L. (2006). Measuring cognitive and psychological engagement: Validation of the Student Engagement Instrument. *Journal of school psychology*, 44(5), 427-445.
- Archambault, I., Janosz, M., Fallu, J. S., & Pagani, L. S. (2009). Student engagement and its relationship with early high school dropout. *Journal of adolescence*, *32*(3), 651-670.
- Arnold, N., & Paulus, T. (2010). Using a social networking site for experiential learning:

 Appropriating, lurking, modeling, and community building. *The Internet and higher education*, 13(4), 188-196.
- Collins, A., & Halverson, R. (2018). *Rethinking education in the age of technology: The digital revolution and schooling in America*. Teachers College Press.

- Connell, J. P., Spencer, M. B., & Aber, J. L. (1994). Educational risk and resilience in African-American youth: Context, self, action, and outcomes in school. *Child development*, *65*(2), 493-506.
- Cunha, J. F. R. D., Van Oers, B., & Kontopodis, M. (2016). Collaborating on Facebook: Teachersexchanging experiences trough social networking sites. [Submitted manuscript]
- Finn, J. D. (1989). Withdrawing from school. Review of educational research, 59(2), 117-142.
- Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School Engagement: Potential of the Concept, State of the Evidence. Review of Educational Research, 74(1), 59–109. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543074001059
- Frenzel, A. C., Thrash, T. M., Pekrun, R., & Goetz, T. (2007). Achievement emotions in Germany and China: A cross-cultural validation of the Academic Emotions Questionnaire—Mathematics. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, *38*(3), 302-309.
- Furrer, C., & Skinner, E. (2003). Sense of relatedness as a factor in children's academic engagement and performance. *Journal of educational psychology*, *95*(1), 148.
- Garrosa, E., Blanco-Donoso, L. M., Carmona-Cobo, I., & Moreno-Jiménez, B. (2017). How do curiosity, meaning in life, and search for meaning predict college students' daily emotional exhaustion and engagement?. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 18(1), 17-40.
- Groccia, J. E. (2018). What is student engagement?. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 2018(154), 11-20.
- Handelsman, M. M., Briggs, W. L., Sullivan, N., & Towler, A. (2005). A measure of college student course engagement. *The Journal of Educational Research*, *98*(3), 184-192.
- Horn, M. B., & Staker, H. (2014). Blended learning is about more than technology. *Education Week*, *34*(14), 22-28.
- Johnson, L., Becker, S. A., Cummins, M., Estrada, V., Freeman, A., & Hall, C. (2016). *NMC horizon report: 2016 higher education edition* (pp. 1-50). The New Media Consortium
- Junco, R., Heiberger, G., & Loken, E. (2011). The effect of Twitter on college student engagement and grades. *Journal of computer assisted learning*, 27(2), 119-132.
- Ladd, G. W., & Dinella, L. M. (2009). Continuity and change in early school engagement: Predictive of children's achievement trajectories from first to eighth grade?. *Journal of educational psychology*, 101(1), 190.
- Low, P., & Warawudhi, R. (2016). Undergraduates' attitudes toward the use of Facebook in fundamental English course. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 6(12), 934.
- Madge, C., Meek, J., Wellens, J., & Hooley, T. (2009). Facebook, social integration and informal learning at university: It is more for socialising and talking to friends about work than for actually doing work'. *Learning, media and technology*, 34(2), 141-155.
- Stefania, M., & Ranieri, M. (2017). Exploring Digital Scholarship. A Study on Use of Social Media for Scholarly Communication among Italian Academics. 10.4018/978-1-5225-0830-4.ch007.
- McLean, M. (2001). Can we relate conceptions of learning to student academic achievement? *Teaching in higher education*, *6*(3), 399-413.
- Mehrabian, A., & Russell, J. A. (1974). An approach to environmental psychology. the MIT Press.
- Miller, S. M., Shoda, Y., & Hurley, K. (1996). Applying cognitive-social theory to health-protective behavior: breast self-examination in cancer screening. *Psychological bulletin*, *119*(1), 70.
- Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., Frenzel, A. C., Barchfeld, P., & Perry, R. P. (2011). Measuring emotions in students' learning and performance: The Achievement Emotions Questionnaire (AEQ). Contemporary educational psychology, 36(1), 36-48.

Vol. 11, No. 15, Empowering Youth and Community Wellbeing for Sustainable Development, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2020 HRMARS

- Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., Titz, W., & Perry, R. P. (2002). Academic emotions in students' self-regulated learning and achievement: A program of qualitative and quantitative research. *Educational psychologist*, *37*(2), 91-105.
- Saha, N., & Karpinski, A. C. (2018). The Influence of Social Media on International Students' Global Life Satisfaction and Academic Performance. In Student Engagement and Participation: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1255-1275). IGI Global.
- Selwyn, N. (2009). Faceworking: exploring students' education-related use of Facebook. *Learning, media and technology*, *34*(2), 157-174.
- Shih, S. S. (2013). The effects of autonomy support versus psychological control and work engagement versus academic burnout on adolescents' use of avoidance strategies. *School Psychology International*, *34*(3), 330-347.
- Skinner, E. A., & Belmont, M. J. (1993). Motivation in the classroom: Reciprocal effects of teacher behavior and student engagement across the school year. *Journal of educational psychology*, 85(4), 571.
- Skinner, E. A., Wellborn, J. G., & Connell, J. P. (1990). What it takes to do well in school and whether I've got it: A process model of perceived control and children's engagement and achievement in school. *Journal of educational psychology*, 82(1), 22.
- Skinner, E., Furrer, C., Marchand, G., & Kindermann, T. (2008). Engagement and disaffection in the classroom: Part of a larger motivational dynamic?. *Journal of educational psychology*, 100(4), 765.
- Wang M. T., Willett, J. B., & Eccles, J. S. (2011). The assessment of school engagement: Examining dimensionality and measurement invariance across gender and race/ethnicity. *Journal of School Psychology*, 49,465–480.