## The impact of PBL on Undergraduate Physics Students' Understanding of Thermodynamics

Majed Saleem Aziz

University of Baghdad E-mail: mr.saeedy@yahoo.com

## Ahmad Nurulazam Md Zain

National Higher Education Research Institute & School of Educational Studies, Universiti Sains Malaysia E-mail: anmz@usm.my

## Mohd Ali Bin Samsudin

School of Educational Studies, Universiti Sains Malaysia Email: alisamsudin@usm.my

## Salmiza Binti Saleh

School of Educational Studies, Universiti Sains Malaysia E-mail: salmiza@usm.my

To Link this Article: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJAREMS/v3-i4/1088 DOI:10.6007/IJAREMS/v3-i4/1088

Published Online: 03 July, 2014

#### Abstract

Lecture method is still need to a part of PBL in order to explain the difficult and abstract concepts in all fields of physics particularly thermodynamics. Introducing and promoting PBL in the lecture method is wise step for innovation in teaching and learning. The objective of this study is to compare the effects of using three methods: problem-based learning (PBL), PBL with lecture method, and conventional teaching on undergraduate physics students' understanding of thermodynamics. The actual sample size comprises of 122 students, who were selected randomly from the Physics Department, College of Education in Iraq in the academic year 2011-2012. In this study, the pre- and post-test were done and the instruments were administered to the students for data collection. The data was analyzed and the statistical results rejected null hypothesis of this study. The study revealed that using PBL or PBL with lecture method promotes understanding of thermodynamics superior than using conventional teaching method, also the PBL with lecture method enhances understanding of thermodynamics better than using PBL alone, among the physics undergraduates in Iraq.

**Keywords:** Problem-Based Learning, Pbl With Lecture Method, Conventional Teaching, Understanding Of Thermodynamics.

#### Introduction

Science and its applications are part of daily life to make our life better and therefore the development of an individual's understanding of science and its applications is one of the objectives of science instruction (Adiguzel, 2006). In the modern era, most countries have shown increasing interest in teaching and learning science and they expend efforts to develop science education (Kavsut, 2010; Ozmen, 2004). Science education is needful in every phase of life and is strongly related to the active notion of teaching science (Aydogan, Gunes, & Gulcicek, 2003; Kavsut, 2010).

Moreover, the teaching of science and understanding of its concepts has become important now more than ever (Montero & Gonzalez, 2009; Sahin, 2010). Conceptual understanding of science involves the use of new strategies by teachers for better learning and teaching of science to help students understand science concepts (Bouwma-Gearhart, Stewart, & Brown, 2009; Cakir Olgun, 2008; Miller, Streveler, Yang, & Santiago Roman, 2009; Rascoe, 2010).

Literature on physics education has shown that students have abundant difficulties in understanding physics concepts in all topics of physics (e.g., Gonen & Kocakaya, 2010; Maloney, O'kuma, & Hieggelke, 2001; Martin-Blas, Seidel, & Serrano-Fernández, 2010), and particularly in the concepts of thermodynamics (e.g., Miller, Streveler, Yang, & Santiago Roman, 2009; Nottis, Prince, & Vigeant, 2010; Rascoe, 2010). Understanding the distinctions among heat, energy, and temperature in physics can be difficult for students at all levels of education, including those in science education. Difficulties of understanding the physics concepts on heat transfer continue even after students successfully complete relevant coursework (Nottis, Prince, & Vigeant, 2010).

To realize the problem deeply, the students should have authentic previous information (Norman & Schmidt, 1992). Activation of previous information lets learners to compose a fundamental structure where new knowledge is added. If learning is an effective procedure and constructs on prior information, this can likely lead to successful storage of recent knowledge. Prior knowledge needs to be activated to know recent knowledge, as well as to build on new knowledge, which is useful in the future professional life of the student (Xiuping, 2002).

Researchers have described the relative effectiveness of different pedagogical approaches in helping students understand physics concepts, such as heat, energy, and temperature. They encourage removing the difficulties of understanding physics concepts among students through their identification and through development of strategies which supply learners with exact and conceptual knowledge needed for solving problems in physics. One of the most effective approaches in addressing these difficulties is to understand the physics concepts through problem-based learning (PBL), which is a scientifically accurate model (Bouwma-Gearhart, Stewart, & Brown, 2009; Cakir Olgun, 2008; Miller, Streveler, Yang, & Santiago Roman, 2009). PBL is a student-centred teaching approach that enables students to become active participants in solving problems, answering questions (Ates & Eryilmaz, 2011). According to Hmelo-Silver (2004), PBL as a teaching method, is based on students-centered learning, where students learn through simplified problem solving and where problems should be complex, ill-structured, and real.

#### **Problem Statement**

To reach the top step of science teaching requires the realization and understanding of the science concepts (Kavsut, 2010). Several educational studies focus on the difficulties and troubles confronted by science students inhibiting the understanding of science concepts (e.g., Baser, 2006; Bouwma-Gearhart, Stewart, & Brown, 2009; Cahyadi & Butler, 2004; Cakir Olgun, 2008; Polanco, Calderón, & Delgado, 2004; Posner, Strike, Hewson, & Gertzog, 1982; Rascoe, 2010; Savinainen, Scott, & Viiri, 2004; Schmidt, Marohn, & Harrison, 2007; Thijs & Dekkers, 1998; Usta & Ayas, 2010).

The difficulties negatively affects the students' next stage of learning (Canpolat, Pinarbasi, Bayrakceken, & Geban, 2004; Cepni, Tas, & Kose, 2006; Martin-Blas, Seidel, & Serrano-Fernández, 2010; Usta & Ayas, 2010). More importantly, many of these difficulties in understanding physics concepts are widespread and have a detrimental effect on problem solving (Brown, 1992; Champagne, Gunstone, & Klopfer, 1982). Many of these constructs of science concepts lead students to formulate incorrect schema about the nature of concepts in science, particularly in physics (Slykhius, 2005). Past studies on physics show that students have several obstacles in understanding most of its topics (e.g., Gonen & Kocakaya, 2010; Maloney, O'kuma, & Hieggelke, 2001; Martin-Blas, Seidel, & Serrano-Fernández, 2010).

Numerous studies focused on the impediments on all physics topics, particularly thermodynamics concepts (e.g., Nottis, Prince, & Vigeant, 2010; Rascoe, 2010; Miller, Streveler, Yang, & Santiago Roman, 2009). Rozier and Viennot (1991) point out that "thermodynamics is a subject that involves multivariable problems and obvious difficulties" (p. 3). Problems of the understanding thermodynamics can continue even after students successfully complete their coursework (Nottis, Prince, & Vigeant, 2010; Self, Miller, Kean, Moore, Ogletree, & Schreiber, 2008). Science students in introductory level often have difficulty distinguishing between thermal physics concepts, such as heat and temperature (Carlton, 2000). Gonen and Kocakaya (2010) report that students may be enabled to address difficulties of concepts and understand science concepts, particularly thermodynamics, by developing approaches and strategies that centre on certain concepts. Actually, one of the most successful approaches is problem-based learning (PBL) (Prince, 2004; Sahin, 2009; van Berkel & Schmidt, 2005).

To address and overcome the aforementioned problems and challenges on difficulties of understanding the abstract physics concepts, particularly in thermodynamics, the researcher proposes this study of using PBL to enable students to understand thermodynamics. It is more efficient than traditional science teaching method, under the traditional teacher-centred learning assumes that a teacher guides the students and offers them new information. The focus of teaching is on the transmission of knowledge from the expert teacher to the novice learner (Cheong, 2008).

The role of students, in the conventional manner, is passive rather than an active, thus hindering learning and understanding of science concepts. Under the conventional manner, students listen and watch, and most teaching time is spent with the instructor lecturing. To enable understanding of the content, students are required to individually work on tasks, and collaboration is encouraged, in the traditional method, a teacher is required to have effective writing and speaking skills (Azu & Osinubi, 2011; Cheong, 2008). Therefore, there is a need to adopt PBL for solving the problem of the traditional science teaching method.

To enhance a deeper understanding of the content, the interaction between the problem and use of knowledge must be done. PBL environment establishes the relationship

between the knowledge and its use (Ball & Pelco, 2006). The problems used are real-life situations that they may face in the future and are educationally sound. Problems have "ill-structured feature help students learn a set of important concepts" (Gallagher, 1997, p. 338). Instructors in PBL are more creative with their teaching while old methods, which are based on boring lectures and memorization of material, are challenged with this delivery method (Ates & Eryilmaz, 2011; Sulaiman, 2011).

According to McParland, Noble and Livingston (2004), the PBL curriculum is significantly more successful than the previous, traditional course. Tang, Yu, Jiang, Zhang, Wang and Huang (2008) pointed out that PBL is accepted by most students and teachers as a teaching method, and is believed to improve understanding ability. In PBL, student-centred learning method shifts the concentration of effectiveness from the instructor to the students to reduce teacher-centred learning (Ates & Eryilmaz, 2011; Ball & Pelco, 2006; Cheong, 2008; Subramaniam, Scally, & Gibson, 2004).

It is worth mentioning, using the PBL approach alone and adopting it only as a teaching method, is considered risky because it entails complete shift from a teacher-centred learning in conventional manner to another student-centred learning in the PBL. PBL, as an instruction process, centers on the precept of using problem, which should be complex and ill-structured, that will lead to drastic change in learning approach. Under the PBL method, students are encouraged to be active rather than passive and cooperate rather than compete (Cheong, 2008). Incorporating PBL into traditional method could be a useful tool to reinforce material covered in traditional lecture, and can be a positive influence on the learning process (Liceaga, Ballard & Skura, 2011). Saalu, Abraham and Aina (2010) point out that "there should be an intelligent combination of using both the traditional and PBL approaches for teaching anatomy which may provide the most effective training for undergraduate medical student" (p. 197).

In the current study, the problems for PBL were developed in material of thermodynamics in the field of physics, to investigate the understanding of thermodynamics in order to minimize the difficulties and obstacles among physics undergraduates.

#### **Objective of the Study**

The purpose of this study is to compare the effects of using three methods which are PBL, the PBL with lecture method, and the conventional teaching, on understanding of thermodynamics among physics undergraduates.

#### **Research Question**

Are there significant differences on the linear combination of posttest mean scores of understanding of thermodynamics among physics undergraduates who followed PBL, the PBL with lecture method, and the conventional teaching after the effect of mean scores of pretest is controlled?

#### **Research Hypothesis**

There are no significant differences on the linear combination of posttest mean scores of understanding of thermodynamics among physics undergraduates who followed PBL, the PBL with lecture method, and the conventional teaching after the effect of pretest mean scores is controlled.

#### Methodology

#### **Research Design**

This study followed a quasi experimental research method, nonequivalent control group design to measure the effect of problem-based learning (PBL) as the teaching method alone or with the lecture method (PBL with lecture method) on the understanding of thermodynamics among physics undergraduates, compared with the conventional teaching method.

The sample consisted of three groups of the physics undergraduates. The first experimental group used PBL treatment, and the second experimental group used the PBL with lecture method treatment, while the third group was a control group and it used conventional teaching. The number of items in thermodynamics understanding test consists of 16 items. The instruments were administered to whole groups before and after the treatments.

#### **Population and Sample**

The population for this study comprised of physics undergraduates male and female (176) students enrolled in the Physics Department, College of Education in Baghdad Iraq, for academic year 2011-2012. The actual sample size is 122 physics undergraduates who study in the Physics Department, College of Education in Baghdad Iraq, in the second session of academic year 2011-2012. They were randomly selected from the college.

#### Distribution of Groups

Three groups followed teaching methods which are the PBL method, the PBL with lecture method, and the conventional teaching method. The frequency of each group is 42 subjects for the PBL, 39 subjects for the PBL with lecture method, and 41 subjects for the conventional teaching.

#### Instrument of the Study

The instrument of this study is thermodynamics understanding test consisted of 16 multiple-his choice items. Before the treatment, a pretest was administered to the physics undergraduates to measure student's prior knowledge on thermodynamics understanding. After the treatment, a posttest was administered to the physics undergraduates to measure their new knowledge on thermodynamics understanding. The difference between pretest and posttest results on the thermodynamics understanding determined the effectiveness of three teaching methods, which are PBL, the PBL with lecture method, and conventional teaching on students' understanding of thermodynamics. The items of thermodynamics understanding test were adapted based on the introductory thermodynamics understanding test of Yeo and Zadink (2001).

#### Findings

The univariate test of statistical significance has been applied to examine the hypothesis which stated that:

There are no significant differences on the linear combination of mean scores of posttest of understanding of thermodynamics among physics undergraduates who followed PBL, the PBL with lecture method, and the conventional teaching after the effect of mean scores of pretest is controlled. The results revealed univariate test of statistical significance on the differences observed in the scores of posttest across the various groups, as shown in Table 1.

#### Table 1

# Univariate Analysis of Subjects' Posttest Scores of Understanding of Thermodynamics in Various Groups

Source	Dependen t Variable	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	т	Sig.
Corrected Model	Posttest of understandi	768.07ª	5	153.6 1	54.86	.0 0
Intercept	ng Posttest of understandi ng	48.85	1	48.85	17.45	.0 0
Pretest of understanding of thermodynamics	Posttest of understandi ng	470.19	1	470.1 9	167.91	.0 0
Group	Posttest of understandi ng	188.77	2	94.39	33.71	.0 0
Error	Posttest of understandi ng	324.82	116	2.80		
Total	Posttest of understandi ng	14106.0	122			
Corrected Total	Posttest of understandi ng	1092.89	121			

a. *R* Squared = .70 (Adjusted *R* Squared = .69)

The scores of posttest on the understanding of thermodynamics across the various groups with F(2, 116) = 33.71, Mean Square = 94.39 and P = .00. Therefore, these differences in the scores of posttest on the understanding of thermodynamics among three groups were significant. So, the statistical results rejected the null hypothesis.

Thus, there were significant differences on the linear combination of posttest mean scores of the understanding of thermodynamics among physics undergraduates who followed PBL, the PBL with lecture method, and the conventional teaching method.

Overall, the results of comparison among three groups of the PBL method, the PBL with lecture method, and the conventional teaching method indicated that there were statistical significant differences.

Table 2 explains a summary of post hoc pairwise multiple comparisons across the various groups of the PBL method, the PBL with lecture method, and the conventional teaching method.

Table 2

*Summary of Post Hoc Pairwise Multiple Comparisons Observed Means Scores of Posttest of Understanding of Thermodynamics* 

de le	σ	dn	n enc	÷.	à <u>.</u>
Posttest of	(1) PBL	PBL with	-	.58	.0
understandin g of thermodyna		lecture	2.19*		0
mics					
		conventio	$1.61^{*}$	.57	.0
		nal			1
	(2) PBL with	PBL	$2.19^{*}$	.58	.0
	lecture				0
		conventio	3.	.58	.0
		nal	80*		0
	(3) conventional	PBL	-	.57	.0
			$1.61^*$		1
		PBL with	-3.	.58	.0
*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lecture	8*		0

\*. The mean difference is significant at the .02 level.

Statistical results showed there were significant differences, with P < .02 on posttest mean scores of the understanding of thermodynamics between the PBL method of first group and the conventional teaching method of third group, with Mean Difference = 1.61\*, in favor of the PBL method which was better than conventional teaching method. Likewise, there were statistically significant differences, with P < .02 on mean scores of posttest of the understanding of thermodynamics between the PBL with lecture method and the conventional teaching method, with Mean Difference = 3.80\*, in favor of the PBL with lecture method.

In addition, there were statistically significant differences, with P < .02 on mean scores of posttest of the understanding of thermodynamics between the PBL method and the PBL with lecture method, with Mean Difference = 2.19\*, in favor of the PBL with lecture method which was better than the PBL method. Thereby, the PBL with lecture method was better than the other methods. Overall, the PBL without/ with lecture method was better than the conventional teaching method. So, using the PBL with lecture method enhances the understanding of thermodynamics among physics undergraduates better than the PBL method.

#### Discussion

Overall, the experimental treatment of PBL with lecture method was able to enhance understanding of thermodynamics better than other treatments like PBL method among physics undergraduates. Posttest means scores of students on the understanding of thermodynamics who followed PBL with lecture method showed superior understanding of thermodynamics than their peers who followed PBL method alone or the conventional teaching method. In other words, students who followed PBL with lecture method demonstrated the greatest ability among the three groups to correctly answer multiplechoice posttest items on the understanding of thermodynamics.

The statistical results rejected the hypothesis posed in this study, which stated that "There are no significant differences on the linear combination of posttest mean scores of understanding of thermodynamics among physics undergraduates who followed PBL, the PBL with lecture method, and the conventional teaching after the effect of mean scores of pretest is controlled".

This finding revealed that using PBL with lecture method enhances deeper understanding of thermodynamics than other treatments like PBL method alone. This means that students who followed the PBL with lecture method better understood of thermodynamics compared with students who followed PBL only. Therefore, using the PBL with lecture method promotes deeper understanding of thermodynamics compared with using the conventional teaching method.

This result of current study concurs with the findings of numerous studies which assured the efficiency of the PBL with lecture method on the understanding of thermodynamics, for example Cheong (2008) pointed out that using the PBL approach alone and adopting it only as a teaching method is considered risky because of the complete shift from the teacher-centered learning in a conventional manner to the student-centered learning in PBL. PBL is a teaching method based on the principle of using problems, which are complex and ill-structured, leading to drastic changes in the learning approach (Cheong, 2008).

This finding also replicated the results obtained by Liceaga, Ballard and Skura (2011) who had earlier demonstrated the superiority of the PBL with lecture method over the PBL in bringing a positive influence on the learning process. Moreover, incorporating PBL into traditional method can be a useful tool to reinforce material covered in traditional lecture, and that will leave a positive impact on the learning process (Liceaga, Ballard & Skura, 2011).

Combination of both PBL and traditional approaches provid the most effective method for teaching and learning that leads to help students to understand thermodynamics better than their peers who are exposed to PBL alone without lecture method. In the context of medical students, according to Saalu, Abraham, and Aina (2010), "there should be an intelligent combination of using both the conventional and PBL approaches for teaching anatomy which may provide the most effective training for undergraduate medical student" (p. 197).

This study showed PBL with lecture method was superior over other methods in understanding of thermodynamics. There are several reasons for this result; for instance, students who came from traditional environment were not ready to study under PBL method because they need to have some skills such as group work skills and self-directed learning skills.

### INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES

Vol. 3, No. 4, 2014, E-ISSN: 2226-3624 © 2014

#### Conclusion

The findings of present study revealed the effectiveness of using the PBL with lecture method for enhancing the understanding of thermodynamics, better than other methods. In other words, using the PBL with lecture method enhances understanding of thermodynamics better than using the PBL alone and superior than using the conventional teaching method, among the physics undergraduates.

#### References

- Adiguzel, R. (2006). *Mitoz ve mayoz bolunmesi konusundaki kavram yanılgılarının tespiti ve bu konuda fen bilgisi ogretmenlerinin çozum onerileri (Mugla lli Ornegi).* Unpublished Master Thesis. Mugla University, Mugla.
- Ates, O., & Eryilmaz, A. (2011). Effectiveness of hands-on and minds-on activities on students' achievement and attitudes towards physics. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*, 12(1), 1-22.
- Aydogan, S., Gunes, B., & Gulcicek, Ç. (2003). Misconceptions about heat and temperature. *G. U. Journal of Gazi Faculty of Education, 23*(2), 111-124.
- Azu, O. O., & Osinubi, A. A. (2011). A survey of problem-based learning and traditional methods of teaching anatomy to 200 level pharmacy students of the University of Lagos, Nigeria. African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, 5(2), 219-224.
- Ball, C., & Pelco, L. (2006). Teaching Research Methods to Undergraduate Psychology Students Using an Active Cooperative Learning Approach. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 17(2), 147-154.
- Barrows, H. (1986). A taxonomy of problem based learning methods. *Medical Education*, 20(6), 481-486.
- Barrows, H. (2002). Is it Truly Possible to have such a thing as dPBL? *Distance Education, 23*(1), 119-122.
- Baser, M. (2006). Effect of Conceptual Change Oriented Instruction on Students' Understanding of Heat and Temperature Concepts. *Journal of Maltese Education Research*, *4*(1), 64-79.
- Beers, G. W. (2005). The effect of teaching method on objective test scores: problem-based learning versus lecture. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 44(7), 305-309.
- Bouwma-Gearhart, J., Stewart, J., & Brown, K. (2009). Student Misapplication of a Gas-like Model to Explain Particle Movement in Heated Solids: Implications for curriculum and instruction towards students' creation and revision of accurate explanatory models. *International Journal of Science Education*, *31*(9), 1157-1174.
- Brown, D. E. (1992). Using Example and Analogies to Remediate Misconception in Physics: Factors Influencing Conceptual Change. *Jornal of Research in Science Teaching, 29*(1), 17-34.
- Cahyadi, M. V., & Butler, P.H. (2004). Undergraduate students' understanding of falling bodies in idealized and real-world situations. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41(6), 569-583.
- Cakir Olgun, O.S. (2008). Examining the fifth graders' understanding of heat and temperature concepts via concept mapping. *H. U. Journal of Education*, *34*, 54-62.
- Canpolat, N., Pinarbasi, T., Bayrakceken, S., & Geban, O. (2004). Kimyadaki Bazi Yaygin Yanlis Kavramalar. *Journal of G.U. Gazi Egitim Fakultesi Dergisi*, *24*(1), 135-146.

- Carlton, K. (2000). Teaching about heat and temperature. *Journal of Phys. Educ*, 35(2), 101-105.
- Cepni, S. Tas, E., & Kose, S. (2006). The effects of computer-assisted material on students\_cognitive levels, misconceptions and attitudes towards science. *Journal of Computers* and *Education*. Vol. 46, pp.192-205.
- Champagne, A. B., Gunstone, R. F., & Klopfer, L. E. (1982). A perspective on the differences between expert and novice performance in solving physics problems. *Paper presented at a meeting of the Australian Science Education Research Association, Sydney, Australia.*
- Cheong, F. (2008). Using a Problem-Based Learning Approach to Teach an Intelligent Systems Course. *Journal of Information Technology Education*. Vol. 7, pp. 47-60.
- Gallagher, S. (1997). Problem-Based Learning: Where did it comes from, what does it do and where is it going? *Journal for Education of the Gifted*, *20*(4), 332-362.
- Gonen, S., & Kocakaya, S. (2010). A Physics Lesson Designed According To 7E Model With The Help Of Instructional Technology (Lesson Plan). *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education-TOJDE*, ISSN 1302-6488, Article 6, *11*(1), 98-113.
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: what and how do students learn? *Educ Psychol Rev, 16*(3), 235-266.
- Hung, W., Jonassen, D. H., & Liu, R. (2008). Problem-based learning. In J. M. Spector, J. G. van Merriënboer, M. D., Merrill, & M. Driscoll (Eds.), *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (3rd Ed., pp. 485-506). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Kavsut, G. (2010). Investigation of science and technology textbook in terms of the factors that may lead to misconceptions. *Journal of Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(2), 2088-2091.
- Liceaga, A., Ballard, T., & Skura, B. (2011). Incorporating a Modified Problem-Based Learning Exercise in a Traditional Lecture and Lab-Based Dairy Products Course. *Journal of Food Science Education*, 10(2), 19-22.
- Maloney, D. P., O'kuma T. L., & Hieggelke, C. J. (2001). Surveying students' conceptual knowledge of electricity and magnetism. *American Journal of Physics*, *69*(7), 12-23.
- Martin-Blas, T., Seidel, L., & Serrano-Fernández, A. (2010). Enhancing Force Concept Inventory diagnostics to identify dominant misconceptions in first-year engineering physics. *European Journal of Engineering Education, 35*(6), 597-606.
- McParland, M., Noble, L. M., & Livingston, G. (2004). The effectiveness of problem-based learning compared to traditional teaching in undergraduate psychiatry. *Blackwell Publishing Ltd Medical Education*, *38*(8), 859-867.
- Miller, R. L., Streveler, R. A., Yang, D., & Santiago Roman, A.Y. (2009). *Identifying and Repairing Students Misconceptions in Thermal and Transport Science*. Paper Presented at the AIChE Annual Meeting, pp.1-8.
- Montero, E., & Gonzalez, M. J. (2009). Student Engagement in a Structured Problem-Based Approach to Learning: A First-Year Electronic Engineering Study Module on Heat Transfer. *IEEE Transactions on Education*, 52(2), 214-221.
- Norman, G.R., & Schmidt, H.G. (1992). The psychological basis of problem-based learning: A review of the evidence. *Academic Medicine*, *67*(9), 557-565.
- Nottis, K. E. K., Prince, M. J., & Vigeant, M. A. (2010). Building an understanding of heat transfer concepts in undergraduate chemical engineering courses. *US-China Education Review*, ISSN 1548-6613, USA, 7(2), 1-10.
- O'Neill P., Willis S., & Jones A. (2002). A model of how students link problem-based learning with clinical experience through "elaboration". *Acad Med*, *77*(6), 552-561.

- Ozmen, H. (2004). Fen ogretiminde ogrenme teorileri ve teknoloji destekli yapılandırmacı (constructivist) ogrenme, *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, *3*(1), 100-111. Retrieved from http://www.tojet.net/articles/3114.doc in 10.11.2009.
- Polanco, R., Calderón, P., & Delgado, F. (2004). Effects of a problem-based learning program on engineering students' academic achievements in a Mexican university. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, *41*(2), 145-155.
- Posner, G. J., Strike, K. A., Hewson, P. W., & Gertzog, W. A. (1982). Accommodation of a scientific conception: Toward a theory of conceptual change. *Science Education*, *66*(2), 211-227.
- Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? A review of the research. *Journal of Engineering Education*, *93*(3), 223-231.
- Rascoe, B. (2010). What Is Heat? Inquiry Regarding the Science of Heat. *Science Activities: Classroom Projects and Curriculum Ideas*, 47(4), 109-114.
- Rozier, S., & Viennot, L. (1991). Students' reasoning in thermodynamic. *International Journal* of Science Education, 13(2), 159-170.
- Saalu, L., Abraham, A., & Aina, W. (2010).Quantitative evaluation of third year medical students' perception and satisfaction from problem based learning in anatomy: A pilot study of the introduction of problem based learning into the traditional didactic medical curriculum in Nigeria. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 5(4), 193-200.
- Sahin, M. (2009). Exploring University Students' Expectations and Beliefs about Physics and Physics Learning in a Problem-Based Learning Context. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education, 5*(4), 321-333.
- Sahin, M. (2010). The impact of problem-based learning on engineering students' beliefs about physics and conceptual understanding of energy and momentum. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, First published (iFirst), *35*(5), 519-537.
- Savinainen, A., Scott, P., & Viiri, J. (2004). Using a bridging representation and social interactions to foster conceptual change: Designing and evaluating an instructional sequence for Newton's third law. *Journal of Science Education*, *89*(2), 175-195.
- Schmidt, H. J., Marohn, A., & Harrison, A. G. (2007). Factors that prevent learning in electrochemistry. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 44(2), 258-283.
- Self, B. P., Miller, R. L., Kean, A., Moore, T. J., Ogletree, T., & Schreiber, F. (2008, October). Important Student Misconceptions in Mechanics and Thermal Science: Identification Using Model-Eliciting Activities. 38th ASEE/IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference, Saratoga Springs New York. Retrieved from http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/login.jsp
- Slykhius, D. A. (2005). High school physics students' conceptions of position, velocity, and acceleration during a computer-based unit on kinematics. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 4(2), 23-30.
- Subramaniam, R.M., Scally, P., & Gibson, R. (2004). Problem-based learning and medical student radiology teaching. *Australasian Radiology*, *48*(3), 335-338.
- Suh, S. (2005). *The Effect of Using Guided Questions and Collaborative Groups for Complex Problem Solving on Performance and Atitude in a Web-Enhanced Learning Environment*. Unpublished PhD thesis in education. Florida State University.
- Sulaiman, F. (2011). Students' Perceptions on the Suitability of Implementing an Online Problem-Based Learning in a Physics Course. *Malaysian Journal of Educational Technology*, 11(1), 5-13.

- Tang, Q., Yu, Y., Jiang, Q., Zhang, L., Wang, Q., & Huang, M. (2008). The Feasibility of Applying PBL Teaching Method to Surgery Teaching of Chinese Medicine. *International education studies*, *1*(4), 110-113.
- Thijs, G. D., & Dekkers, P. J. (1998). Making productive use of students' initial conceptions in developing the concept of force. *Science Education*, *82*(1), 31-51.
- Usta, N. D., & Ayas, A. (2010). Common misconceptions in nuclear chemistry unit. *Journal of Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *2*(2), 1432-1436.
- van Berkel, H., & Schmidt, H. (2005). On the additional value of lectures in a problem-based curriculum. *Education for Health*, *18*(1), 45-61.
- Xiuping, Z. (2002). The Combination of Traditional Teaching Method and Problem Based Learning. *The China Papers*, 1(1), 30-36.
- Yeo, S., & Zadink, M. (2001). Introductory thermal concept evaluation: assessing students' understanding. *The Physics Teacher*, *39*(8), 496-502.