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Abstract
Studies on zakat compliance have shown mixed and inconclusive evidence regarding the determinants of income zakat compliance. It is crucial to obtain valid and reliable items in conducting research to achieve valid and reliable results. Therefore, this study performs a pilot test to test the internal consistency and reliability of the questionnaire items using Cronbach's alpha. This study employs the quantitative approach; primary data is collected using questionnaires to check the internal consistency and reliability of questionnaire items. This study provides empirical evidence regarding the internal consistency and reliability of questionnaire items in the study of compliance behavior of income zakat. This study is hoped to guide future studies regarding the reliable questionnaire items that could be used to study the compliance behavior of income zakat.
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Introduction
Studies on zakat compliance have shown mixed and inconclusive evidence regarding the determinants of income zakat compliance. It is crucial to obtain valid and reliable items in conducting research to achieve valid and reliable results. Therefore, this study performs a pilot test to test internal consistency and reliability using Cronbach's alpha.

Literature Review
Attitude and Compliance Behavior of Zakat
There is voluminous literature that shows that attitude is a significant variable related to a particular behavior. Starting with Ajzen (1991), the study found some empirical evidence supporting the relationship between attitude towards behavior and intention to perform the behavior.

Sareye and Haji-Othman (2017) revealed that attitude and subjective norm have a positive and significant influence on intention towards paying zakat on business among single business owners at Kuala Ketil, Kedah. Also, attitude and perception towards law enforcement significantly influence the intention to comply with zakat on income (Farah et al., 2017).

**Subjective Norms and Compliance Behavior**

Ajzen (1991) defines subjective norm as “the perceived social pressure to perform or not to perform the behavior.” The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) and Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) have examined subjective norms as independent and moderating variables. Khaled et al (2014) revealed that subjective norms significantly influenced Yemen’s banking services.

Subjective norm has also been tested in the setting of intention to purchase products and services. Al-Swidi et al (2014) revealed that subjective norms significantly moderated the relationship between attitudes and buying intention and perceived behavior control and purchase intention. Furthermore, subjective norms significantly influenced attitude toward purchase intention. Budiman & Wijaya (2014) backed this finding when the study established a positive relationship between subjective norms and purchase intention.

Moreover, Heuer & Liñán (2013) further supported this finding when the study provided evidence that subjective norms played a significant role in entrepreneurial intention. In a survey on social networking sites (SNS), Choi & Chung (2013) demonstrated that subjective norm and perceived social capital were significant determinants of perceived usefulness and perceived ease of SNS use. On the contrary, Eckhardt (2009) discovered a significant negative correlation between the significant impact of subjective norms on intention to adopt information technology (IT). Renfroe, O’Sullivan, & McGee (1988) refuted this finding when the study revealed that subjective norm had a significant positive effect on the behavioral intention of staff nurses to be responsible for documentation which is an integral part of patient care.

Previous studies have received mixed empirical evidence supporting subjective norm as a significant determinant of tax intention to compliance behavior. Among the studies which studied subjective norm as a predictor of tax compliance behavior includes (Hite, 1988; Trivedi, Shehata & Lynn, 2003; Bobek & Hatfield, 2003; Bobek, Roberts & Sweeney, 2007; Davis, Hecht & Perkins, 2003; Faa, 2008; Palil & Mustapha, 2011).

Bobek & Hatfield (2003) discovered that subjective norm was a significant variable influencing tax compliance intention. Bobek et al (2007) examined the influence of social norms on tax compliance intention in Australia, Singapore, and the United States. The study revealed that social norm was a significant determinant of tax compliance intention, supporting this finding. Faa (2008), who observed the determinants of tax compliance behavior using descriptive analysis and multivariate analysis, reinforced this idea. The study verified that peer influence had significant relationships with tax compliance. Palil and Mustapha (2011) further maintained this finding when it revealed that subjective norms influenced tax compliance.
Likewise, Natrah (2011) provided additional empirical evidence indicating that subjective norm was a significant determinant of tax compliance behavior by Malaysian and New Zealand taxpayers.

Chung & Trivedi (2003) revealed that friendly persuasion significantly influenced tax compliance, further reinforcing these findings. In the same way, Zainol & Faridahwati (2013) provided additional supporting empirical evidence when they probed the influence of attitude and subjective norms on the intention of taxpayers to comply with Goods and Service Tax (GST) in Malaysia. The study discovered that subjective norms significantly influenced the taxpayers' intention to comply with GST.

However, Trivedi, Shehata & Lynn (2003) exposed mixed evidence of peers' significance on tax compliance. They tested the impact of personal and situational factors on taxpayer compliance using an experimental analysis. The study established that the presence of non-compliance peers negatively influenced compliance of tax behavior. However, the presence of compliant peers did not affect the compliance behavior of taxpayers. Trivedi, Shehata, & Lynn (2003) found that compliant peers' presence did not influence taxpayers' compliance behavior. Hite (1988) revealed that peer reporting of tax had no direct influence on tax compliance behavior.

In the environment of zakat compliance, Zainol et al (2009) inspected whether subjective norms and attitudes towards zakat were directly related to intention to comply with zakat on employment income in Malaysia and examined whether attitudes towards zakat mediate the relationship between subjective norms and intention. The study exposed that subjective norms and attitudes had a positive and significant influence on behavioral intention. Zulkifli & Sanep (2010) found that subjective norms significantly influenced zakat compliance behavior and supported this evidence. Nonetheless, Bidin, Othman and Azman (2013) disputed this finding when they demonstrated that subjective norms had no significant influence on intention to comply with zakat on saving.

Zainol (2008) verified that a multidimensional subjective norm consisting of spouse, parents, and zakat amil was a significant factor in zakat compliance behavioral intention. Saad (2010a), who reinforced the influence of spouse, peers, parents, and zakat amil, supported the finding on intention and compliance behavior of income zakat. The studies so far have shown mixed results regarding the influence of subjective norms on intention and compliance behavior. Although Ajzen (1991) postulates that subjective norms influence intention to perform a particular behavior, the evidence is still inconclusive, triggering further reexamination.

Perceived Behavioral Controls and Compliance Behavior
Ajzen and Madden (1986) expanded the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) by adding perceived behavioral control into the model and verified that perceived behavioral control significantly correlated with intention and compliance behavior. Perceived behavioral control denotes people’s perceptions of their ability to perform a particular behavior. Certain beliefs sway it about specific influences that encourage people to achieve a specific behavior or discourage people from committing the behavior (Ajzen, 1991b). Perceived behavioral control is “the extent to which people consider the performance of behavior to be under their voluntary control” (Trafimow et al., 2002). Perceived behavioral control encompasses separable
components that reflect beliefs about self-efficacy and controllability (Ajzen, 2002). It consists of two parts: self-efficacy and controllability (Ajzen, 2002).

Perceived behavioral control is an explanatory variable in several theories, such as the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB). It has been studied in various fields such as career planning, medicine, marketing, tax, and zakat. Hsu (2012) revealed that perceived behavioral control positively influenced intention and behavior to plan careers among college students. Similarly, France et al (2013) evidenced that perceived behavioral control had a significant relationship with the intent to donate blood.

A voluminous literature has studied tax and zakat’s compliance behavior that has used perceived behavioral control as one of the constructs explaining the intention to perform the behavior. Bidin et al (2013) attested that perceived behavioral control significantly influenced intention to comply with zakat on saving.

Moral Obligation and Compliance Behavior of Income Zakat
Braithwaite et al (2006); Torgler (2004); Alm and Torgler (2006); Alm and Torgler (2011) and Halla, 2010) used moral obligation as an independent variable. On the other hand, Bobek and Hatfield (2003) used moral obligation as a moderating variable using TPB as the framework to study tax compliance behavior. The study revealed that when the moral obligation acts as a moderating variable in the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), it is a significant moderating variable to tax noncompliance. Haji-Othman (2016) revealed that moral obligation has a significant relationship and positive influences on intention. Haji-Othman et al (2019) also showed that moral obligation is a significant determinant of intent to comply with income zakat payment.

Religiosity and Compliance Behavior of Zakat
There have been limited studies that have examined the influence of religiosity on zakat compliance. Yusuff, et al (2018) proposed that religiosity, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control influence compliance behavior.

Idris et al (2012) examined whether the Islamic religiosity measurement plays a significant role in the compliance behavior of zakat. The study used questionnaires as instruments with a sample size of 227. The study concluded that the measurement of the component of religiosity has four dimensions. This study found that highly religious business owners are also more likely to comply with zakat law and that religiosity plays a significant role in zakat compliance behavior.

Haji-Othman, Yusuff, et al (2017) provided evidence that Islamic religiosity significantly influences compliance behavior to pay employment income zakat. Alwi, et al. (2017) revealed that three variables explain the compliance behavior of income zakat: religiosity, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control. Islamic religiosity, however, did not show any significant influence on zakat compliance (Farah et al., 2017). However, Zainol (2008) found that religiosity was an important factor that relates positively to zakat compliance behavioral intention.
Research Method
This study employs the quantitative approach; primary data is collected using questionnaires and analyzed to check the questionnaire's internal consistency and reliability.

Theoretical Framework of the Study
The theoretical framework is adapted and adopted from Ajzen (1991) and based on deductive reasoning. There are four independent variables: attitude, religiosity, self-efficacy, and moral obligation, while compliance is the dependent variable.

![Figure 1. Theoretical Framework of the Study](image)

This study adopts the theoretical framework from Ajzen (1991) and bases on deductive reasoning. This study uses deductive reasoning to expand the theoretical framework and add new variables to the theoretical framework suggested by (Karjaluoto, Matilla & Pento, 2002). In this study, endogenous variables are the intention to pay income zakat and the compliance behavior of income zakat. According to Ajzen (1991b); Armitage and Conner (2001); Conner and Armitage (1998); Ajzen (2006), intention influences compliance behavior, and intention, on the other hand, is influenced by attitude, subjective norm and perceived behavioral control. For this study, moral obligation is the fourth independent variable. In addition, religiosity is the moderating variable.

Based on the theoretical framework, attitude is the first construct that determines the intention to pay zakat. This study selects attitude following (Hite, 1988; Niemirowski et al., 2003; Ghosh and Crain, 1995; Kasipillai and Hijattulah, 2006; Marti, Wanjohi & Magutu, 2010; Bidin et al., 2009; Bidin et al., 2009; Loo, Mckerchar & Hansford, 2010; Randlane, 2012; Trivedi, Shehata and Mestelman, 2005).

The construct of attitude needs to be decomposed into several dimensions to understand the attitude construct. This study constructs five dimensions of attitude: agreeing, supporting, rejecting, conditional and skeptical dimensions following Ram Al Jaffri (2010). This study hypothesizes that attitude towards income zakat compliance behavior has a direct positive relationship with intention to pay income zakat.
The second construct of TPB is subjective norms. Based on previous studies such as Hite (1988), Trivedi et al (2003), Bobek and Hatfield (2003), Bobek, Roberts, and Sweeney (2007); Davis, Hecht & Perkins (2003); Faa (2008); Rizal (2010), this study hypothesizes that subjective norms have a positive influence on intention. Five referent groups are expected to influence the intention to pay zakat: spouses, parents, peers, religious teachers, and zakat amil.

The third construct is perceived behavioral control, which consists of two categories: internal and external control. Knowledge and self-efficacy are dimensions of internal perceived behavioral control. At the same time, perception towards promotional exposure, perception towards law and enforcement, perception towards service quality, perception towards the facility, perception towards the fairness of distribution, and tax rebate are dimensions of external perceived behavioral control.

Unlike Ajzen (1991) TPB framework, which consists of the three constructs: attitude, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control, the theoretical framework of this study consist of five constructs. Besides the three constructs, the framework of this study added fourth and fifth constructs: moral obligation and religiosity.

Moral obligation is incorporated in this study because it reflects intrinsic motivation to perform a particular behavior. Therefore, it is expected to influence the intention to comply with specific behavior. Braithwaite et al (2006); Torgler (2004a); Alm and Torgler (2006); Alm and Torgler (2011); Halla (2012) supported this relationship.

Furthermore, this study chooses Islamic religiosity as a moderating variable in the theoretical framework of the study. This study hypothesizes that Islamic religiosity, which the Muslim Religiosity-Personality Measurement Inventory measures (MRPI), moderates the relationship between attitude, subjective norm, perceived behavioral control and moral obligation, and intention to pay income zakat. For the study, MRPI is adopted from (Krauss et al., 2005).

This study considers the inclusion of religiosity because of the role it plays in influencing behavior. So far, voluminous studies have examined the impact of religiosity in many areas, including tax and zakat compliance. Such studies include Idris (2002); Torgler (2003); Bidin (2008); Idris, Bidin & Saad (2012b), Abu Bakar and Abdul Rashid (2010); Palil, Rusyidi, and Ahmad (2013), Saad (2010b), Mohd Rahim et al. (2011), Saad (2010a), Saad, Bidin, Md. Idris, and Md. Hussain (2010), Md Idris et al. (2012b), and Mohdali and Pope (2014). However, this study differs from the previous studies in that it employs religiosity measurement from an Islamic perspective. The use of Islamic religiosity is important since zakat payment is a religious obligation. Religiosity is crucial because it guides Muslims in discharging their duties, including compliance behavior to religious obligations such as salat, zakat, and hajj.

Data Collection
This study will employ a survey method using questionnaires to collect data. This method is considered suitable if units of analysis (respondents) are scattered around.

Findings
Table 1 reveals the findings of the study based on 100 randomly selected public educators in Kedah.
Table 1: Reliability of the Questionnaire Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No. of Items</th>
<th>Items Deleted</th>
<th>Cronbach's Alpha Values</th>
<th>Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective Norms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peers</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious Teachers</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zakat Amil</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Behavioral Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotional Exposure</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Efficacy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception Towards Law</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception Towards Law Enforcement</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Quality</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception Towards Equity</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Rebate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moral Obligation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islamic Religiosity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqidah</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibadah</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akhlaq Islamiyah</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Behavior</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows that Cronbach's alpha values range from 0.608 to 0.949, which indicates that internal consistency is acceptable for all constructs except knowledge and perception towards law. For knowledge and perception towards law, one item is deleted to have Cronbach's alpha value higher than 0.60. Before deleting the item, Cronbach's alpha value for knowledge was 0.453. However, after deleting item 3 of the knowledge variable, the value of Cronbach's alpha changes to 0.608.

One item is also deleted from variable perception towards the law because Cronbach's alpha value before deletion was 0.481. However, the value of Cronbach's Alpha became 0.678 after deleting item 4 of the variable. In short, this study deletes one item from the knowledge and perception towards law constructs. However, this study retains all items of other constructs.

Conclusion

Studies on zakat compliance have shown mixed and inconclusive evidence regarding the determinants of income zakat compliance. It is crucial to obtain valid and reliable items in conducting research to achieve valid and reliable results. Therefore, this study conducts a pilot study to test the internal consistency and reliability of the questionnaire items using Cronbach's alpha. This study employs the quantitative approach; primary data is collected using questionnaires to check the internal consistency and reliability of questionnaire items.
This study provides empirical evidence regarding the internal consistency and reliability of questionnaire items in the study of compliance behavior of income zakat. This study is hoped to guide future studies regarding the reliable questionnaire items that could be used to study the compliance behavior of income zakat.
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