

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN BUSINESS & SOCIAL SCIENCES



⊗ www.hrmars.comISSN: 2222-6990

Determinants of Firms' Performance: Evidence from Non-Financial Firms in Malaysia

Norhisam Bulot, Abdul Hafiz Yusof, Nur Amirah Abdul Rahman, Nor Arni Nazira Othman & Noor Hafizha Muhamad Yusuf

To Link this Article: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v11-i11/11339

DOI:10.6007/IJARBSS/v11-i11/11339

Received: 17 September 2021, Revised: 21 October 2021, Accepted: 30 October 2021

Published Online: 23 November 2021

In-Text Citation: (Bulot et al., 2021)

To Cite this Article: Bulot, N., Yusof, A. H., Rahman, N. A. A., Othman, N. A. N., & Yusuf, N. H. M. (2021). Determinants of Firms' Performance: Evidence from Non-Financial Firms in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 11(11), 1534–1541.

Copyright: © 2021 The Author(s)

Published by Human Resource Management Academic Research Society (www.hrmars.com)

This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non0-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this license may be seen at: http://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0/legalcode

Vol. 11, No. 11, 2021, Pg. 1534 – 1541

http://hrmars.com/index.php/pages/detail/IJARBSS

JOURNAL HOMEPAGE

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://hrmars.com/index.php/pages/detail/publication-ethics



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN BUSINESS & SOCIAL SCIENCES



www.hrmars.com

Determinants of Firms' Performance: Evidence from Non-Financial Firms in Malaysia

Norhisam Bulot, Abdul Hafiz Yusof, Nur Amirah Abdul Rahman, Nor Arni Nazira Othman & Noor Hafizha Muhamad Yusuf

Faculty of Business and Management Universiti Teknologi MARA Perlis Branch Arau Campus, 02600 Malaysia

Abstract

The main objective of this study is to provide further evidence on the determinants of firm's profitability in Malaysia. A better understanding of this topic is important not only for the purpose of enriching empirical studies in this field but also for the purpose of sectoral and cross-country comparison. The use data from non-financial shariah compliant firms are the unique contribution of this paper. The data for the final sample consists of 169 firms and analyzed using the panel data analysis techniques to identify the key determinants of firm's profitability. The study finds that the profitability of these firms significantly affected by the size of the firms, efficiency, and the level of sales. In addition, firms' efficiency suggested to be the most important variable affecting firm's performance. Although this paper provides empirical evidence, several areas need to be refined with future empirical research. First, this paper uses only limited number of variables, the inclusion of other firm specific variables might lead to a new set of findings and conclusion. Second, this paper has not taken into consideration the effect of using different data analysis technique. Future studies might want to explore the used of other techniques in analyzing the data.

Keywords: Profitability, VSELECT, Shariah-compliant, Non-financial, Firm's Performance

Introduction

The main objective of this paper is to investigate factors affecting firm performance. Even though, literature provides us with different views on how firm performance should be measured, the main objective of evaluating firms' performance remain the same, that is to investigate the financial stability and health of the firm. In this study, financial performance of a firm is measured by looking at its profitability. Investigating the determinants of profitability is important for the management of the companies to improve the profitability, financial stability, and financial health of the company. The literature surveys conducted shows that this research has been conducted of different sectors such as Banking (Athari and Bahreini, 2021; Derbali, 2021; Hossain and Ahamed, 2021; Khan et al., 2021; Kumar and Bird, 2020), oil and gas (Chucks and Felix 2021; Bui and Nguyen, 2021), and Automobile industry (Sharma and Verma, 2021). This research is novel and original given the fact that not many research has been conducted on non-financial shariah compliant firms. This research argues that due to its unique characteristics (non-financial and shariah-compliant) the findings of

Vol. 11, No. 11, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021 HRMARS

other studies cannot be generalized to this study. This article is organized into several subsections. First, we presented related works on the determinants of profitability. Then, we discussed this paper's data and methodology. Next, the analysis and results are presented along with the discussions. Finally, conclusions and suggestions for future research are provided.

Methods

Target Population

The target population of the research was all shariah compliant firms listed under trading and services sector. The final sample firms consist of 48 companies that met the criteria of non-missing data and sufficient firm-year observation over the minimum period of 5 years. The financial data were obtained from the online databases such as Eikon and DataStream.

Model and Data Measurement

The main objective of this study is to investigate the determinants of profitability for shariah compliant companies listed under the trading and services sector. This paper specifies and estimates the following model for the companies.

$$PROF_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 LEV_{it} + \beta_2 EFF_{it} + \beta_3 SIZE_{it} + \beta_4 LIQ_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

PROF is the profitability of the companies, measured by the return on equity (ROE). LEV is measured by the asset to equity and debt to equity ratio, EFF is the efficiency of the company measured by the fixed asset to total asset ratio, SIZE is the company's size calculated using the log of total sales, and LIQ is the liquidity of the company measured by the current ratio and quick ratio. Multiple regression and correlation methods have been used in empirical analyses.

Result and Discussion

Using the Return on Equity as the proxy for firm's profitability, this paper investigates the determinants of profitability for all shariah compliant companies listed under the consumer products sector. The summary statistics of the variables over the sample period is presented in Table 2. The average size of the profitability for the period of study is 12% and it ranges from a minimum value of 0.1% to a maximum value of 241%.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

Variables	N	Mean	SD	Min	Max
ROE	278	0.1228	0.1848	0.001	2.42
QR	286	1.4715	1.4482	0.1	14.26
CR	288	2.4570	2.3767	0.24	23.14
ATE	287	1.9915	1.1865	1.04	14.65
DTE	287	0.4142	0.5339	0	4.71
FATO	278	7.3459	16.4949	0.23	107.34
Log sales	261	-3.3114	1.4400	-6.908	0.40

This paper begins the analysis by determining the most optimal combination of variables to be included in the final sample. In this research, following the suggestion by Yang (2005), the four-predictor model is chosen. The chosen variables are, firm size, Efficiency, Leverage and

Vol. 11, No. 11, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021 HRMARS

Asset to total equity ratio. The remaining three variables (liquidity ratios - current ratio & quick ratio and debt to equity) were excluded from the subsequent analysis. The chosen predictor indicates the importance of those variables in determining the level of profitability for this sample of firms. As expected, the combination of variables in this sample is somewhat different from the literature. This difference may be attributed to the use of different data sample and proxy for both dependent and independent variables.

Table 2: Variable Selection

Models	R2ADJ	С	AIC	AICC	BIC
1	0.1413228	45.52258	-379.7774	-379.6836	-372.656
2	0.1862597	30.63558	-392.7625	-392.6057	-382.0805
3	0.262208	5.065703	-417.2508	-417.0146	-403.0081
4	0.2699167	3.386105	-418.9993	-418.6673	-401.1959
5	0.2701781	4.302068	-418.114	-417.6696	-396.7499
6	0.2680161	6.053223	-416.3706	-415.7969	-391.4458
7	0.2652666	8	-414.4255	-413.7055	-385.94

The next step is to choose the most appropriate panel data estimator. The three available alternatives are pooled ordinary least squares (POLS), fixed effects (FE), and random effects (RE) models. As presented in Table 3, the results of the F-test (p-value < 0.05), BP-LM test (p-value < 0.05) and Hausman test (p-value < 0.05) suggest that fixed effects is the most appropriate model estimator.

Table 3: Panel Specification Tests

p-values of the tests				
F-test	BP-LM	Hausman	Appropriate Technique	
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Fixed Effects	

Various diagnostic tests were then performed to check for the presence of severe multicollinearity, heteroskedasticity and serial correlation problems. As presented in Table 4, the diagnostic test results indicated the presence of heteroskedasticity (p-value < 0.05). To rectify the problems, following the suggestion by Hoechle (2007), remedial procedure has been carried out by using fixed effects (within) regression with robust option.

Table 4: Diagnostic Tests for Static Models

p-valu	p-values of the tests				
VIF	Н	SC	Strategy		
1.01	0.0000	0.5694	Fixed effects (within) regression with robust option		

Notes: (1) VIF – Variance Inflation Factors, (2) H – heteroskedasticity, & (3) SC – serial correlation

Considering together the diagnostic tests that have been conducted and the remedial procedure undertaken, this paper may say that there is enough evidence to conclude that the examined statistical tests satisfy the key assumptions of linear regression. As shown in Table 5, the regression result suggests that the model fits the data well at the 1% level. The Adjusted R2 is 48.26%. The results of the regression also suggest that firm's size, leverage, and efficiency have a statistically significant relationship with the level of profitability. From this

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN BUSINESS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

Vol. 11, No. 11, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021 HRMARS

result, it is apparent that any decrease in the firms leverage and efficiency, and a n increase in firm's size will increase the level of companies' profitability. In addition to that, company's level of efficiency seems to have the most significant influence on the level of company's profitability, which is explained by the highest t-statistic of 4.34.

Table 6: Table of findings

Determinants of Profitability	
Leverage	-0.0520***
	(-4.34)
Efficiency	-0.0017*
	(-1.72)
Firm size	0.0144**
	(2.59)
Constant	0.2106***
	(7.50)
Number of observations	260
Adjusted R Square	0.4826
F	11.8246
p	0.0000

Notes: (1) t statistics in parentheses & (2) * p < 0.1, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Firm size: the main independent variables of the study is firm size. Different authors have used different proxy for firm size. Researchers such as Friend and Lang (1988); Gönenç and Arslan (2003); Deesomsak (2004), Saliha and Abdessatar (2011) have used "Total Assets" as firm size indicator. Bilkey and Tesar (1977); Holzmuller and Kasper (1991); Bonaccorsi (1992) and Archarungroj and Hoshino (1998), measured firm size using number of employees. This paper, following previous researchers such as Rajan and Zingales (1995); Wiwattanakantang (1999); Shubita and Alsawalhah (2012) used total sales as the proxy for firm size. In this paper, firm size found to have a significant positive impact on the level of profitability. This may be explained by the fact that big firms are more effective than small firms since they make use of the scale economy. The study's results are in the same direction with (Hall and Weiss, 1967; Saliha and Abdessatar, 2011; Akbaş and Karaduman, 2012),

Leverage: It was hypothesized that there should be a significant negative relationship exist between financial leverage and firm profitability. The result of this paper show that there is a significant negative relationship exists between financial leverage and the profitability of the company. Highly leverage firms have lower profitability and lower leverage firms have higher profitability. The results of this study are consistent with the results of previous studies conducted by (Titman and Wessels, 1988; Wald, 1999; Sheel, 1994; Eunju and Soocheong, 2005).

Efficiency: In this paper, we found a significant negative relationship between efficiency of the firms and their profitability. Our result does not provide the support for the existence of a positive strong relationship between efficiency and profitability. The companies that have the capability of producing their products with the best practices are not always capable of generating the maximum profits.

Vol. 11, No. 11, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021 HRMARS

Conclusion

This paper has examined the determinants of profitability for shariah compliant companies lister under the trading and services sector. the result suggests that that the three explanatory variables (firm's size, leverage, and efficiency) are statistically significant. Although this paper provides empirical evidence, several areas need to be refined with future research. First, this paper did not provide and sectoral analysis on the determinants of profitability. Future research should explore whether industry or sectoral classification would have any effect on the size of profitability and its relationship with the selected determinants. Second, this paper utilizes Stata command vselect in determining the most optimal model. Future researchers might want to use different technique and method in determining the optimal model.

Corresponding Author

Nor Arni Nazira Othman

Postgraduate Student Universiti Teknologi MARA, Perlis Branch Arau Campus, 02600 Arau, Perlis Malaysia

Email: Naziraarni334@gmail.com

References

- Akbas, H. E., & Karaduman, H. A. (2012). The effect of firm size on profitability: An empirical investigation on Turkish manufacturing companies. European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences, 55(12), 21-27.
- Archarungroj, P., & Hoshino, Y. (1998). The Impact of Firm Size on Export Performance and Attitudes An Empirical Study on Thailand Exporters. Japanese Journal of Administrative Science, 12(2), 79-88.
- Bilkey, W. J., & Tesar, G. (1977). The export behavior of smaller-sized Wisconsin manufacturing firms. Journal of international business studies, 8(1), 93-98.
- Bonaccorsi, A. (1992). On the relationship between firm size and export intensity. Journal of international business studies, 23(4), 605-635.
- Deesomsak, R., Paudyal, K., & Pescetto, G. (2004). The determinants of capital structure: evidence from the Asia Pacific region. Journal of multinational financial management, 14(4-5), 387-405.
- Friend, I., & Lang, L. H. (1988). An empirical test of the impact of managerial self-interest on corporate capital structure. the Journal of Finance, 43(2), 271-281.
- Gönenç, H., & Arslan, Ö. (2003). Uluslararası ve Yurtiçi Türk Reel Sektör Firmalarının Sermaye Yapısı. İMKB Dergisi, 7(25-26), 41-63.
- Hall, M., & Weiss, L. (1967). Firm size and profitability. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 319-331.
- Hoechle, D. (2007). Robust standard errors for panel regressions with cross-sectional dependence. Stata Journal, 7(3), 281.
- Holzmüller, H. H., & Kasper, H. (1991). On a theory of export performance: Personal and organizational determinants of export trade activities observed in small and medium-sized firms. MIR: Management International Review, 45-70.
- Rajan, R. G., & Zingales, L. (1995). What do we know about capital structure? Some evidence from international data. The journal of Finance, 50(5), 1421-1460.
- Saliha, T., & Abdessatar, A. (2011). The determinants of financial performance: an empirical test using the simultaneous equations method. Economics and Finance Review, 10(1), 1-19.

- Shubita, M. F., & Alsawalhah, J. M. (2012). The relationship between capital structure and profitability. International Journal of Business and Social Science, 3(16).
- Wiwattanakantang, Y. (1999). An empirical study on the determinants of the capital structure of Thai firms. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal, 7(3-4), 371-403.
- Ahn, S. C., & Schmidt, P. (1995). Efficient estimation of models for dynamic panel data. *Journal of econometrics*, 68(1), 5-27.
- Andrade, G., & Kaplan, S. N. (1998). How costly is financial (not economic) distress? Evidence from highly leveraged transactions that became distressed. *The Journal of Finance*, *53*(5), 1443-1493.
- Anwar, S., & Sun, S. (2012). Trade liberalisation, market competition and wage inequality in China's manufacturing sector. *Economic Modelling*, 29(4), 1268-1277.
- Arellano, M., & Bond, S. (1991). Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations. *The review of economic studies*, 58(2), 277-297.
- Arellano, M., & Bover, O. (1995). Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error-components models. *Journal of econometrics*, 68(1), 29-51.
- Brañas-Garza, P, Bucheli, M., and Garcia-Muñoz, T., (2011). Dynamic panel data: A useful technique in experiments. Universidad de Granada, Working papers, 10-22.
- Butler, A. W., Keefe, M. O. C., & Kieschnick, R. (2014). Robust determinants of IPO underpricing and their implications for IPO research. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, *27*, 367-383.
- Elali, W., & Trainor, T. (2009). *Advanced corporate finance: A practical approach*. Pearson Addison Wesley.
- Gertner, R., & Scharfstein, D. (1991). A theory of workouts and the effects of reorganization law. *The Journal of Finance*, 46(4), 1189-1222.
- Hoechle, D. (2007). Robust standard errors for panel regressions with cross-sectional dependence. *Stata Journal*, 7(3), 281.
- Jensen, M. C. (1991). Corporate Control and The Politics Of Finance. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 4(2), 13-34.
- Korteweg, A. G. (2007). *The costs of financial distress across industries* (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Chicago).
- Lang, L., Poulsen, A., & Stulz, R. (1995). Asset sales, firm performance, and the agency costs of managerial discretion. *Journal of financial economics*, *37*(1), 3-37.
- Lindsey, C., & Sheather, S. (2010). Variable selection in linear regression. *Stata Journal*, 10(4), 650.
- Makumi, A. N. (2013). Faculty of Health Sciences School of Public Health (Doctoral dissertation, School of Public Health, University of Witwatersrand Johannesburg).
- Mehrara, M., & Mohammadian, M. (2015). The Determinants of Gini Coefficient in Iran Based on Bayesian Model Averaging. *Hyperion Economic Journal*, 3(1), 20-28.
- Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1958). The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment. *The American economic review*, 261-297.
- Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1963). Corporate income taxes and the cost of capital: a correction. *The American economic review*, *53*(3), 433-443.
- Opler, T. C., & Titman, S. (1992). *The indirect costs of financial distress*. mimeo, Cox school of Business, Southern Methodist University.
- Opler, T., & Titman, S. (1993). The determinants of leveraged buyout activity: Free cash flow vs. financial distress costs. *The Journal of Finance*, 48(5), 1985-1999.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN BUSINESS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

Vol. 11, No. 11, 2021, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2021 HRMARS

- Park, H. M. (2011). Practical guides to panel data modelling: a step-by-step analysis using stata. Public Management and Policy Analysis Program, Graduate School of International Relations, International University of Japan.
- Pindado, J., & Rodrigues, L. (2005). Determinants of financial distress costs. *Financial Markets and Portfolio Management*, 19(4), 343-359.
- Pindado, J., Rodrigues, L., & de la Torre, C. (2008). Estimating financial distress likelihood. *Journal of Business Research*, *61*(9), 995-1003.
- Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1992). Liquidation values and debt capacity: A market equilibrium approach. *The Journal of Finance*, *47*(4), 1343-1366.
- Tshitangano, F. (2010). Cost of financial distress model for JSE listed companies: a case of South Africa (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria).
- Wijantini. (2007). A test of the relationship between Political connection and indirect costs of Financial Distress in Indonesia. *Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 3(2), 61-81.
- Zhang, P., & Gan, S. (2010). Financial distress costs and ownership structure in listed companies. In *Management and Service Science (MASS), 2010 International Conference on* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.