



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN ACCOUNTING, FINANCE AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES



Evaluation of the Credit Risk with Statistical Analysis

Asrin Karimi

To Link this Article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARAFMS/v4-i3/1184> DOI:10.6007/IJARAFMS /v4-i3/1184

Received: 14 July 2014, Revised: 15 August 2014, Accepted: 30 August 2014

Published Online: 12 September 2014

In-Text Citation: (Karimi, 2014)

To Cite this Article: Karimi, A. (2014). Evaluation of the Credit Risk with Statistical Analysis. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting Finance and Management Sciences*, 4(3), 281–288.

Copyright: © 2014 The Author(s)

Published by Human Resource Management Academic Research Society (www.hrmars.com)

This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this license may be seen at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>

Vol. 4, No. 3, 2014, Pg. 281 - 288

<http://hrmars.com/index.php/pages/detail/IJARAFMS>

JOURNAL HOMEPAGE

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at
<http://hrmars.com/index.php/pages/detail/publication-ethics>



Evaluation of the Credit Risk with Statistical Analysis

Asrin Karimi

Faculty of Economics and Management, University Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor
Darul Ehsan, Malaysia
Email: asrin.karimi@gmail.com

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to identify important variables that influence on credit risk. Statistical analysis was used. In order to achieve the purpose of this research, a frame of references has been constructed based on a wide literature review. The calculations have been done by using SPSS 18 software. Number of samples was 90 and 5 dependent variables. The achieved results indicate the relation between credit risk and independent variables were considered. The major contribution of this paper is specifying the most important determinants for rating of customers in Iran's banking sector.

Keywords: Credit Risk, Statistical Analysis, Loan, Good Customers, Bad Customers

Introduction

Today's credit risk is concerned by various stakeholders such as institutions, customers and regulators. Also, credit risk is interest topic among finance communities, researchers and banking. Collecting deposit is one of the major responsibilities of commercial banks. One of the tasks of banks is establish communication between lenders and borrowers by collect deposits and allocate them to investors. Financial system efficiency and level of financial improvement play an important role in economic development of countries.

Banks face problems such as the probability of non-repayment of received loans at the due date or non-repayment that are called "credit risk" (Nazari & Alidadi, 2013). Previous studies have illustrated that credit risk is widely studied topic in bank lending decisions and profitability (Angelini et al., 2008). Generally, lenders do not have adequate data about the project to be finances; hence borrowers usually have proper information about those projects (Matoussi & Abdelmoula, 2009). A good legal customer with power of lending loans bring high profit for commercial banks, on the other hand bad legal customers who don't repay loans in due date, it will likely go bankrupt (Nazari & Alidadi, 2013). There are various ways to predict credit risk such as probability and deterministic Simulation, Legit Analysis, Prohibit Analysis, Arbitrage Pricing Theory, Option Pricing Theory and linear probability model (Saunders and Allen, 2002). Factors affecting credit risk are divided into two categories: internal factors and external factors. External factors are those factors which bank management teams could take the matter under their control. These are called internal

factors. External factors cannot be controlled by bank management teams and are considered for bank. Political changes, government, war and etc. are included in this category.

The main purpose of this paper is to identify and rank the internal factors that have effects on credit risk in one of the commercial banks in Iran.

Literature Review

Credit rating is one of technical factor in credit risk evaluation (Khashman, 2010). Credit rating has two types of applicants which include good credit and bad credit (Ghodselahi & Amirmadhi, 2011). Multilayer feed forward networks are a class of universal approximation (Hornik et al., 1989). Beatty and Liao (2011) found that banks recording timelier loan loss provisions illustrate higher loan growth in recessions. One of the characteristics of networks is highly-sophisticated pattern recognition and classification of factors according to their importance (Hall et al., 2009).

Pacelli and Azzollini (2011) illustrated that artificial neural network in combination with linear methods have further supported. Salehi and Mansoury (2011) find out the efficiency of neural network and logistic regression in forecasting customer credit risk. They state that both models have same efficiency. Credit rating is also investigated with other methods of artificial intelligence. Ghodselahi and Amirmadhi (2011) used a hybrid method for credit rating. They use Support Vector Machine, Neural Networks and Decision Tree as base classifiers. They found that accuracy of this hybrid model is more than other credit rating methods. Important factors of credit rating are also investigated with three methods including Logistic Regression, Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms (Gouvea & Gonçalves, 2007). According of this research's result, logistic regression and neural networks are good and similar. Although neural network is slightly better and genetic algorithms take third place. The important roles of artificial neural network in financial application are pattern recognition, classification and time series forecasting (Eletter & Yaseen, 2010). Al-Tamimi and Al-Mazrooei (2007) was focus on risk of UAE national and foreign banks. They found that the three most important types of risks encountered by UAE commercial banks are foreign exchange risk, followed by credit risk, then operating risk. Also they considered that here is no significant different on risk identification between UAE national and foreign banks, hence, the UAE banks clearly identified the potential risks linked to each of their goals and objectives. Moreover, risk identification is positively significant to influence credit risk.

Methodology of Research

For this research, the quantitative data was used. Based on probability techniques, this research has chosen to use random sampling method over the period 2010-2013, based on documents and records of applicants for an Iranian commercial bank. Sample estimation has been done by a pretest sample size of 90 cases and according to the sample size formula, which are derived from legal customers' profiles. In this study dependent variables are divided in two groups. Good and bad legal customers; the aim of this study is to estimate the important independent variables. In this regard, good customer is a company which repays its loan plus the profit at the due date and in contrast, bad customer is a company which don't repay at the due date. To differentiate between good and bad customers in our statistical analysis calculations, 0 is illustrating good customers and 1 is illustrating bad customers. Five hypotheses are defined as follows:

H1: There is a significant and positive relationship between interest rate and credit risk.

H2: There is a significant and positive relationship between due date of repayment and credit risk.

H3: There is a significant and positive relationship between history of legal customer relationship with the bank of repayment and credit risk.

H4: There is a significant and positive relationship between delay Time of maturity and credit risk.

H5: There is a significant and positive relationship between customer in industrial sectors and credit risk.

Data Analysis and Results

Data analysis is used and can assist an investigator to summarize the conclusion of the research. The data were analyzed by utilizing ‘Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS Window) version 18.0. Pearson correlation, T-student test and Chi-square analysis was utilized to examining the relationship between credit risk and independent variables. According to pervious literatures and interview with bank experts, five variables are introduced as independent variables. Independent variables include: Interest rate which it expressed as percentage and it determine amount of bank’s profit, history of customer relationship with the bank, period of re-payment of loan, delay in repayment of loan and the company which is in industrial sector or not.

Hypothesis 1 is about relationship between interest rate and credit risk. To assess their relationships T-student test is used. According to the result of T-student test, there is a significant relationship between interest rate and credit risk. It means when interest rate is increasing therefore credit risk will increase. Also, the mean value of repayment for legal customer at commerce bank is estimated around 17.51.

Table 1
Contingency table for H1

| | | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|---------------|---------------|----|--------|----------------|-----------------|
| Interest rate | Good customer | 47 | 17.511 | 2.9571 | 0.4313 |
| | Bad customer | 43 | 15.337 | 2.9655 | 0.4522 |

The result of Levene's test shows the variances are equal. According to t- test for equality of means, the means are not equal together.

Table 2
The result of Levene's test for H1

| | Levene's Test | t-test for Equality of Means | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|-----------------|-----------------------|---|--------|
| | | F | Sig. | T | Df | Sig. | Mean Difference | Std. Error Difference | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | |
| | | | | | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| Interest rate | Equal variances assumed | 0.14 | 0.70 | 3.47 | 88 | 0.001 | 2.1734 | 0.6249 | 0.9316 | 3.4152 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | 3.47 | 87.2 | 0.001 | 2.1734 | 0.6250 | 0.9313 | 3.4155 |

Hypothesis 2 is about the relationship between due date of repayment and credit risk. We used Chi-Square test to assess their relationships. The results indicate that Pearson's chi-

square test statistic is 26.18. Also, the significant of test is 0.000. It can be concluded that by more than 99% of certainty the variables have significant relationship.

Table 3
Contingency table for H2

| | Kind of customer | | Total |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------|
| | Bad customer | Good customer | |
| Due date of repayment 1 (year) | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| 2 | 14 | 3 | 17 |
| 3 | 18 | 11 | 29 |
| 4 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 5 | 3 | 21 | 24 |
| Total | 47 | 43 | 90 |

Table 4
Chi-square test for H2

| | Value | Df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----|-----------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 26.181 ^a | 4 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 28.695 | 4 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 24.039 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 90 | | |

Hypothesis 3 is about the relationship between history of legal customer relationship with the bank of repayment and credit risk. We used T-student test to assess their relationships. The average years of cooperation of 47 good customers with bank are 8.56. According to result of table 4 the deference between the means of history of relationship for bad customers and good customers is significant.

Table 5
Contingency table for H3

| | | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error |
|-------------------------|---------------|----|------|----------------|------------|
| History of relationship | Bad customer | 47 | 5.98 | 2.633 | .384 |
| | Good customer | 43 | 8.56 | 4.328 | .660 |

Table 4. T-test for H3

| | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances | | t-test for Equality of Means | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------------------------------|-------|------|-----------------|-----------------------|---|--------|
| | F | Sig. | T | df | Sig. | Mean Difference | Std. Error Difference | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | |
| | | | | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| History of legalassumed customer relationship with the bank | 17.703 | .000 | -3.44 | 88 | .001 | -2.579 | .748 | -4.066 | -1.093 |
| Equal variances not assumed | | | -3.37 | 68.13 | .001 | -2.579 | .764 | -4.103 | -1.056 |

In the forth hypothesis, it is used t-student test to estimated the relationship between Delay Time of maturity and credit risk. The mean value for good customers customer which have delay in repayment their loans is 1.860 and for bad customer is 3.436. According to result of table 6 the deference between the means of delay time for bad customers and good customers is significant. In other words, when the time of repayment is increase; afterwards credit risk will be increase.

Table 5
Contingency table for H4

| | | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|------------|---------------|----|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| Delay time | Bad customer | 47 | 3.436 | 1.5058 | 0.2197 |
| | Good customer | 43 | 1.860 | 0.8189 | 0.1249 |

Table 6
T-test for H4

| | | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances | | t-test for Equality of Means | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------|---|------|------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---|--------|
| | | F | Sig. | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean Difference | Std. Error Difference | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | |
| | | | | | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| Delay time | Equal variances assumed | 18.93 | .000 | 6.08 | 88 | .000 | 1.5757 | .258 | 1.0612 | 2.0902 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | 6.23 | 72.2 | .000 | 1.5757 | .252 | 1.0721 | 2.0794 |

We use chi-square test to evaluation of the Borrower's industry type and credit risk. The P-value of Chi-square test is 0.012. The result is less than 0.05 therefore there is significant relationship between credit risk and companies in industrial part. Companies in the industrial sector have more settled accounts than other companies.

Table 7
Contingency table for H5

| | Bad customer | Good customer | total |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|-------|
| Non industrial | 24 | 33 | 57 |
| industrial | 23 | 10 | 33 |
| Total | 47 | 43 | 90 |

Table 8

Chi-Square test for H5

| | Value | Df | Asymp. Sig. | Exact Sig. | Exact Sig. |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|----|-------------|------------|------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 6.377 ^a | 1 | 0.012 | | |
| Continuity Correction ^b | 5.319 | 1 | 0.021 | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 6.512 | 1 | 0.011 | | |
| Fisher's Exact Test | | | | 0.016 | 0.010 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 6.306 | 1 | 0.012 | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 90 | | | | |

Conclusion

This study set out to determine the importance and necessity of customers' credit risk measurement and explained the impact of different. Results of this study indicate that delay of repayment and interest rate and type of legal customer have most important effect in identifying classification criteria of good legal customers and bad legal customers and also due date of repayment and history of customer relationship with bank have least important effect. It means that bank managers and policy makers should focus on number of times that customers have delay to repayment loans and type of customer legal and interest rate of loan. These models help banks to decline credit risk and decline probability of bankruptcy.

References

- Al-Tamimi, H., and Al-Mazrooei, M. (2007), "Banks' risk management: a comparison study of UAE national and foreign banks", *The Journal of Risk Finance*, Vol. 8 No. 4, pp. 394-409.
- Angelini, E., di Tollo, G., & Roli, A. (2008). A neural network approach for credit risk evaluation. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 48(4), 733-755. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2007.04.001>.
- Beatty, A., and Liao, S. (2011). Do Delays in Expected Loss Recognition Affect Banks' Willingness to Lend? *Journal of Accounting & Economics* 52 (1): 1-20. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacceco.2011.02.002
- Eletter, S. F., & Yaseen, S. G. (2010). Applying Neural Networks for Loan Decisions in the Jordanian Commercial Banking System. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*, 10(1), 209-214.
- Hornik, K., Stinchcombe, M., & White, H. (1989). Multilayer feed forward networks are universal approximators. *Neural Networks*, 2(5), 359-366. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0893-6080\(89\)90020-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0893-6080(89)90020-8)
- Ghodselahe, A., & Amirmadhi, A. (2011). Application of Artificial Intelligence Techniques for Credit Risk Evaluation. *International Journal of Modeling and Optimization*, 1(3), 243-249. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7763/IJMO.2011.V1.43>
- Gouvea, M. A., & Goncalves, E. B. (2007). Credit Risk Analysis Applying Logistic Regression, Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms Models. *Paper presented at the Production and Operations Management Society (POMS)*, Dallas, Texas, U.S.A.
- Hall, M. J. B., Muljawan, D., Suprayogi, & Moorena, L. (2009). Using the artificial neural network to assess bank credit risk: a case study of Indonesia. *Applied Financial Economics*, 19(22), 1825-1846. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09603100903018760>

- Khashman, A. (2010). Neural networks for credit risk evaluation: Investigation of different neural models and learning schemes. *Expert Syst. Appl.*, 37(9), 6233-6239. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2010.02.101>
- Matoussi, H., & Abdelmoula, A. (2009). Using a Neural Network-Based Methodology for Credit-Risk Evaluation of a Tunisian Bank. *Middle Eastern Finance and Economics*, 4, 117-140.
- Pacelli, V., & Azzollini, M. (2011). An Artificial Neural Network Approach for Credit Risk Management. *Journal of Intelligent Learning Systems and Applications*, 3(2), 103-112. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jilsa.2011.32012>
- Salehi, M., & Mansoury, A. (2011). An evaluation of Iranian banking system credit risk: Neural network and logistic regression approach. *International Journal of the Physical Sciences*, 6(25), 6082-6090. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5897/IJPS10.640>
- Steiner, M. T. A., Neto, P. J. S., Soma, N. Y., Shimizu, T., & Nievola, J. C. (2006). Using Neural Network Rule Extraction for Credit-Risk Evaluation. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*, 6(5A), 6-16.
- Vasconcelos, G. C., Adeodato, P. J. L., & Monteiro, D. S. M. P. (1999). *A Neural Network Based Solution for the Credit Risk Assessment Problem*. Paper presented at the IV Brazilian Conference on Neural Networks, São José dos Campos.