

The Role of Political Parties Under Deliberative Democracy in China

Si Chen, Yixin Zhang, Ku Hasnita Ku Samsu & Mohd. Mahadee Ismail

Department of Government and Civilization Studies, Faculty of Human Ecology, University Putra Malaysia

Email: chensilawyer@163.com

Corresponding Author's Email: hasnita@upm.edu.my

To Link this Article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v13-i16/18768> DOI:10.6007/IJARBS/v13-i16/18768

Published Date: 08-10-2023

Abstract

The paper investigates the function of political parties in China's system of deliberative democracy. Deliberative democracy places a strong emphasis on inclusive decision-making procedures and engaged citizens. It offers insight on the contributions, difficulties, and possibilities encountered by political parties in fostering discourse and encouraging public involvement by evaluating their participation in local deliberative forums and legislative debate. The paper aims to shed light on the political parties' role in China's deliberative democracy by closely examining their contributions to the inclusive discussion, public involvement, and democratic decision-making processes. The paper uses secondary data and were analyzed thematically. The results emphasize the value of openness, responsibility, diversity, and internal party democracy. Political parties must develop these facets inside themselves if they are to play a greater role in encouraging good governance and civic engagement. It advances knowledge of political party dynamics and their possibilities within China's developing deliberative democracy framework.

Keywords: Political Parties, Deliberative Democracy, Citizen Engagement, Decision-Making

Introduction

Deliberative democracy has attracted a lot of interest in the study of democratic theory and practice because it emphasizes inclusive and rational deliberation among citizens as a way to improve the standard of public decision-making and advance democratic legitimacy (Janković, 2022). Although the idea has been thoroughly examined in Western democracies, its applicability and usefulness in non-Western situations, like China, call for additional study. The dominance of political parties, particularly the Chinese Communist Party (CCP), in China's distinct political system, poses significant issues about the function of political parties in deliberative democracy. The CCP, which is not only the government, but also the most

powerful political party in China, is principally responsible for shaping the country's political landscape. The CCP has adopted some methods and practices that seek to include public viewpoints and stimulate discourse within the decision-making process, despite the absence of multiparty competition and the sparse presence of opposition parties (Emerson & Emerson, 2020). mass-line marketing campaigns, expert advisory boards, and public outreach initiatives are involved in these efforts. For the West, one-party dictatorship is usually defined as a system in which only one party has actual political power and control, and in which other parties cannot effectively compete or participate in the decision-making process (Stręk, 2019). From this perspective, therefore, the dominance of the Chinese Communist Party in Chinese politics may conflict with Western ideas of a multi-party system and political competition.

However, the Chinese government claims to achieve political stability and democratic consultation through a multi-party system. These eight democratic parties in China, namely the Revolutionary Committee of the Chinese Kuomintang, China Democratic League, China Democratic National Construction Association, Chinese Association for Promoting Democracy, Chinese Peasants' and Workers' Democratic Party, China Zhi Gong Party, Jiusan Society, and Taiwan Democratic Self-Government League, represent specific areas and social groups. They actively engage in cooperative efforts and maintain communication with the Chinese Communist Party (CCP). They can participate in policy formulation, provide advice and feedback (Livingston, 2020). A popular theoretical paradigm for examining democratic behaviors across the world, particularly in China, is deliberative democracy. The precise function of political parties under China's system of deliberative democracy, however, is still up for question and needs more research. The three key issues this problem statement seeks to address are the role played by political parties in the deliberative process, their commitment to diversity and inclusion, and their impact on democratic decision-making. The study makes an effort to provide a comprehensive understanding of how political parties operate in China's deliberative democracy by evaluating relevant research and literature.

According to recent studies, it is a challenging and multifaceted issue to understand how political parties work under China's deliberative democracy. Several studies have looked at the historical development and organizational structure of Chinese political parties in order to better understand their ideological positions and relationships to the governing party (Hofmann & Martill, 2021). On how they operate in a deliberative democracy, political parties' organizational dynamics can also have a significant impact. According to Deng and Su (2023), some political party-affiliated groups have a significant impact on public debate and policy choices. It's still unclear how much diversity they included and how many points of view they represented. The influence of these groups must be understood in order to assess the general performance of political parties in advancing deliberative processes.

Furthermore, it is important to consider how the administration interacts with political parties and deliberative democracy. Although there are initiatives to include public input through channels including public hearings and online platforms, data from pertinent surveys show that there are restrictions in terms of participation and openness (Kiss et al., 2022). These restrictions could make it more difficult for political parties to facilitate fruitful discourse and decision-making. The function of political parties in deliberative democracy is influenced by the political system's historical development in China. Prior to the establishment of the present political order, China had a number of political transitions that influenced the

function and role of political parties (Hsieh, 2020). To understand the difficulties and opportunities faced by political parties in their attempts to contribute to deliberative democracy in the contemporary Chinese setting, it is essential to understand this historical backdrop.

Understanding the role of political parties inside China's deliberative democracy system is crucial for comprehending the country's democratic transition. The feasibility of deliberative democracy may be questioned in light of the CCP's hegemonic position, but it is critical to take into account the role and potential contributions of political parties to the process. By analyzing the relationship between political parties and deliberative democracy in China, it is possible to obtain insight into how democratic practices are evolving within a unique political system.

The study aims to shed light on the role political parties played in China's deliberative democracy by closely examining their contributions to inclusive discussion, public involvement, and democratic decision-making processes. It looks at the ways in which political parties encourage discussion, encourage the inclusion of many opinions, and guarantee decision-making is transparent.

Conceptualizing Deliberative Democracy

According to the deliberative democracy theory (Kraeger & Schechter, 2020), public deliberation that is inclusive and well-informed is a key element of democratic decision-making processes. By emphasizing the caliber of public discourse and the collaborative creation of public opinion, it offers a normative picture of democracy that goes beyond simple voting or representation (Stasavage, 2023). No of their social standing or political clout, deliberative democracy seeks to involve all parties in the decision-making process (Pirsoul & Armoudian, 2019). It ensures that marginalized groups and various viewpoints have the chance to be heard and taken into account by recognizing the equal value and voice of all people (Scudder, 2000). The concept of reasoned deliberation, which entails the interchange of arguments and reasons based on data, ideals, and moral concerns, is fundamental to deliberative democracy (Hannon, 2020). Critical thinking is demanded of participants, along with the evaluation of opposing points of view and the development of well-thought-out arguments (Sunstein, 2017).

The necessity of public reasoning for political decisions is emphasized by deliberative democracy (Mendonça et al., 2022). All individuals should be able to accept publicly defensible justifications as reasonable and legitimate in order to promote openness, accountability, and the supply of publicly available justifications (Bohman, 2017; Macedo, 2005). A culture of civility and respect for one another is fostered via democratic deliberation (Heath et al., 2021). Even when there are significant differences of opinion, participants are expected to engage in conversation with an attitude of openness, empathy, and respect for others (Hannon, 2020). The creation of a deliberative environment that promotes collaboration and understanding is considered as being dependent upon civic virtues like tolerance, justice, and reciprocity. The goal of deliberative democracy is to significantly alter the way decisions are made (Tabero, 2023). The objective is to make sure that deliberative processes have an impact on policy outcomes, whether directly through consensus-building or indirectly through the effect of deliberative outputs on decision-makers (Shin & Lee, 2021). The specific techniques may vary.

In order to improve democratic legitimacy, the standard of decision-making, and public engagement, these principles serve as a basis for the design and execution of deliberative processes within democratic institutions (Goffer, 2021). An alternative democratic paradigm, known as "deliberative democracy," emphasizes the importance of inclusiveness, public discourse, and group debate in democratic administration.

The contributions of a number of important thinkers who have expanded the conceptual understanding and practical uses of this democratic framework have influenced deliberative democracy. Their writings have shed light on the tenets, procedures, and difficulties of deliberative democracy. With his notion of communicative action, Habermas has significantly advanced the idea of deliberative democracy (Habermas, 1996). He contends that gaining consensus and legitimacy in democratic decision-making requires logical discourse and the optimum speech environment. Habermas stresses the value of open, inclusive, coercive-free discourse that allows for equal participation. The notion of public reason has been put out by Gutmann and Thompson as a crucial component of deliberative democracy (Gutmann & Thompson, 2019). They contend that despite of citizens' various religious, moral, or philosophical convictions, governmental choices need to be made for reasons that are acceptable to all of them. Their research demonstrates the value of using deliberative procedures to promote public justification and pluralism.

By investigating the role of emotions, desires, and strategic conduct in discussion, Elster has contributed to deliberative democracy (Elster, 1998). His research examines how human motives, power dynamics, and cognitive biases might affect practical deliberation while highlighting the difficulties and obstacles of creating optimal deliberative settings. By highlighting the value of inclusive discourse that takes into consideration the viewpoints of underrepresented and marginalized groups, Cohen has promoted the idea of deliberative democracy (Cohen, 2017). He contends that discussion should focus on social justice concerns and give historically oppressed populations a venue for voice and representation. By emphasizing the roles of power and inclusion in deliberative processes, Mansbridge has contributed to the philosophy of deliberative democracy (Mansbridge, 1999). Her work emphasizes the necessity of debate to overcome power disparities and make sure that everyone has an equal chance to contribute and have an impact on choices. Among others, these thinkers have offered helpful insights into the theoretical foundations and real-world applications of deliberative democracy. Their efforts have improved our knowledge of how inclusive, logical, and participatory democratic behaviors may be promoted via deliberative procedures.

When put into practice, deliberative democracy entails a number of fundamental components that are necessary to develop inclusive and successful deliberative processes. These components encourage active engagement, candid communication, and the development of well-informed and widely accepted judgments. Deliberative democracy places a strong emphasis on the value of inclusive participation, making sure that people with all experiences and viewpoints have the chance to participate in the process (Ross et al., 2021). Marginalized groups, underrepresented populations, and those impacted by the proposed choice are all given attention. Participation from all groups encourages a more thorough and representative discussion that considers a variety of viewpoints and experiences. Deliberative procedures frequently need for knowledgeable facilitators who foster an atmosphere that is conducive to discussion and idea sharing (Ernst, 2019). It is

imperative that facilitators play a key role in ensuring that all participants have an equal opportunity to voice their opinions, that conversations are courteous and on-thematic, and that different points of view are taken into account. Facilitated discussion encourages thoughtful and fruitful discourse.

According to Gönlund et al (2017), deliberative democracy emphasizes the importance of participants having access to accurate, pertinent, and thorough information on the subject under discussion. It is easier to facilitate informed debates and decision-making when participants are given unbiased information, professional expertise, and a variety of viewpoints. Access to knowledge makes sure that participants have a strong foundation for their discussions and are able to critically assess various arguments and suggestions. The need that participants participate in reasoned debate based on data, information, and valid arguments is at the heart of deliberative democracy (Stald, 2023). Participants are urged to defend their beliefs with reasons, assess opposing arguments critically, and change their minds in the wake of fresh knowledge and convincing arguments. The creation of well-thought-out judgments is aided by reasoned discussion, which encourages a group's pursuit for the common good. Deliberative democracy is aware that complicated thematics frequently need for several iterations of discussion and reflection (Green et al., 2019). Participants could require some time to talk to their supporters, obtain more data, or change their minds about their initial opinions. Iteration enables a more thorough investigation of the problem and aids in eroding or reducing conflicts over time. According to Curato et al (2017), the goal of democratic deliberation is to significantly influence the way decisions are actually made. Policymakers should properly evaluate and heed the recommendations or consensus reached as a result of deliberative procedures. Deliberative methods should really affect the decisions made by individuals in positions of power and authority rather than just serving as symbolic or tokenistic gestures.

These fundamental components of deliberative democracy enable inclusive, informed, and participatory decision-making processes in real-world settings. Deliberative democracy seeks to improve collective choices' quality, validity, and democratic accountability by embracing these components.

Research Methodology

This paper examined the role and functions of political parties in China's consultative democracy through an in-depth analysis. This paper's data was gathered through a search of academic databases, government reports, policy documents, academic journals, and other secondary sources. Utilizing keyword searches and conducting a literature review to maintain the relevance and credibility of the chosen sources relative to the research objectives ensured the selection of relevant literature.

In this paper, thematic analysis is the primary method of data analysis. During this phase, the selected literature is read and organized multiple times in order to identify and categorize the main thematics and concepts discussed in the literature, as well as to evaluate their reliability and timeliness. Through thematic analysis, we can investigate the role of political parties in a system of deliberative democracy, including their contributions to inclusive decision-making processes and citizen participation, as well as the challenges they face and potential directions for development. This paper analyzes data and then explains and discusses the role of political parties in China's deliberative democracy. It compares, summarizes, and evaluates the

contributions, challenges, and opportunities of political parties in promoting dialogue, encouraging public participation, and participating in democratic decision-making processes. This paper gains a deeper comprehension of the significance of political parties within the context of deliberative democracy through comparisons with existing theories and research findings. These particulars contribute to the research's scientific rigor and credibility.

Through interpretation and discussion of the results of the thematic analysis, we will highlight the importance of openness, accountability, diversity, and internal democracy within political parties for promoting good governance and citizen participation. It is anticipated that the reasonable application of research methods and data in this paper will enhance our understanding of the evolution of China's consultative democracy and provide recommendations for political parties to participate more actively in the decision-making process.

Overview of the Political Party System in China

The Communist Party of China's (CPC) hegemonic position in the nation's government distinguishes the political party system in China. Since the founding of the People's Republic of China in 1949, the CPC has retained its monopoly on political power (Lim, 2023). The CPC adheres to Marxist theory and operates under a hierarchical organization as a Leninist party with centralized decision-making. Despite the fact that the CPC is the country's official party, China nevertheless acknowledges the existence of other political organizations known as "non-Communist parties" or "democratic parties." Despite being recognized by the government, these parties—which also include the Revolutionary Committee of the Chinese Kuomintang, China Democratic League, China National Democratic Construction Association, China Association for Promoting Democracy, Chinese Peasants' and Workers' Democratic Party, China Zhi Gong Party, Jiusan Society, and Taiwan Democratic Self-Government League—operate within the CPC-led frontal alliance (Groot, 2021). The main function of non-communist parties in Chinese politics is to take part in decision-making, express opinions on policy matters, and engage in political debate. They still have a limited influence on policymaking compared to the CPC. Senior CPC leaders make up the Central Committee, which has the authority to decide the direction and policies of the country while party organizations at all levels execute and maintain party directives (Usmonova, 2023).

The CPC has made efforts to encourage internal discussion and party democracy. There have been changes made to promote debate, get feedback from party members, and improve accountability and transparency. These programs are designed to increase the party's credibility and receptivity to public issues. It is crucial to remember that the political party system in China functions within the framework of a socialist system with distinctive Chinese features. The Chinese government prioritizes social harmony, economic growth, and stability as important governance goals. The CPC's leadership is maintained via the party system, which acts as a tool for controlling social and political dynamics (Zhongyuan, 2023).

The Role of Political Parties in Chinese Politics

In Chinese politics, political parties have a considerable but constrained impact. The Communist Party of China (CPC) is in charge and has the last say in the nation's administration (Li & Zhou, 2018). Even if there are other non-Communist parties, they all function within the framework of the CPC-led united front (Liu, 2019). Despite being acknowledged by the government, these parties are not as powerful as the CPC. Non-Communist parties' main

responsibilities in Chinese politics include political consultations, providing recommendations and counsel on government initiatives, and taking part in decision-making procedures (Hackenesch & Bader, 2020). They serve as a forum for different views and are meant to represent particular social groupings and interests. However, because of the CPC's strong position, their ability to influence policy decisions is limited. The main decision-makers in China are represented by the Central Committee of the CPC (Song, 2022). The CPC maintains control over significant policy initiatives and orientations thanks to the party's hierarchical structure and centralized decision-making procedures. While permitted to participate, non-Communist parties adhere to the rules and match their views with those of the CPC.

The CPC has taken efforts to encourage internal democracy, allowing for more internal debates, asking party members for their ideas, and taking efforts to improve openness and accountability (Zeng, 2017). These changes are intended to increase the party's credibility and receptivity to public concerns. But the CPC continues to be the dominant decision-making body in Chinese politics, setting national policy. The Chinese political system functions within the framework of a socialist system with Chinese features, it is vital to emphasize. Economic growth, social harmony, and stability are prioritized (Li & Zhou, 2018). Political parties, including the CPC and non-Communist parties, have a responsibility to support the CPC's leadership while helping to control social and political forces.

Challenges and Opportunities for Political Parties under Deliberative Democracy

In the framework of deliberative democracy, political parties in China have both obstacles and possibilities. Deliberative democracy, which stresses inclusive and reasoned public discourse in decision-making, opens up new avenues for political engagement and policy development. However, it calls into question the conventional role and structure of political parties. One of the most significant problems is the requirement for political parties to modify their organizational structures and processes to support deliberative practices. Deliberative democracy necessitates open and inclusive public discourse venues where varied ideas and opinions may be heard (Nishiyama, 2021). Political parties may need to implement more participatory and inclusive systems in order to involve citizens and incorporate their feedback into decision-making processes. Another issue that might arise is a conflict between party discipline and individual freedom of speech inside political parties. Deliberative democracy supports free conversation and values the voicing of diverse points of view. Political parties, however, notably the CPC, retain strict party discipline that prioritizes party unity and obedience to party principles (Liu, 2019). Balancing the requirement for communal decision-making with individual discussion is a difficulty for deliberative democracy-based political parties.

Furthermore, political parties may encounter difficulties in successfully representing varied interests and assuring fair and equitable participation in deliberative processes. Deliberative democracy aims to integrate marginalized perspectives and involve individuals from all areas of life (Drake, 2021). Political parties must address issues of representation and make deliberative procedures accessible to all individuals, regardless of socioeconomic or political background. Despite these obstacles, political parties may play an important role in strengthening deliberative democracy. Political parties may act as vital bridge builders between the government and citizens, promoting conversation and encouraging public participation (Bussu et al., 2022). They can arrange public forums, offer venues for deliberative conversations, and promote citizens' active engagement in decision-making.

Furthermore, political parties can serve as conduits for gathering public preferences and turning deliberative decisions into policy recommendations. By pushing for the inclusion of deliberative results in policy-making processes, they help bridge the gap between public deliberation and policy implementation (Pfeifer et al., 2021).

To summarize, while political parties in China confront problems in adapting to deliberative democracy principles, they also have possibilities to strengthen their role in supporting inclusive and participatory decision-making. By embracing more inclusive and participatory practices, political parties may strengthen deliberative democracy and strengthen their bonds with the public.

The Role of Political Parties in Deliberative Democracy

Representation of political parties and involvement in deliberative procedures

Political parties are crucial for representing and fostering citizen participation in decision-making processes within the context of deliberative democracy. By ensuring that all points of view are represented and taken into account when making decisions, parties may help close the gap between the populace and the political system. Political party participation in deliberative processes must include a variety of social, political, and ideological positions. Parties serve as forums for expressing and gathering the desires of diverse social groups, claim (Bächtiger et al., 2010). Parties reflect a wide range of viewpoints, increasing the diversity of voices in decision-making processes and facilitating a more full and inclusive discussion of societal issues. Political parties have a significant positive impact on the encouragement of citizen participation in deliberative processes. They may act as mobilizers and organizers, Smith and Reedy (2019) contend, making it simpler for locals to take part in deliberative forums and public gatherings. Parties may encourage its members and supporters to actively participate in deliberative activities, fostering a culture of civic engagement and open discourse.

Political parties might furthermore act as middlemen for translating talks' results into precise policy suggestions. Parties can support the inclusion of deliberative results into the formal decision-making processes of governmental institutions through their networks and linkages (Parkinson, 2020). They can advocate for the adoption of proposals for policies that come out of deliberative processes, ensuring that the opinions and preferences expressed in these processes are taken seriously by decision-makers. Political party representation and involvement in the deliberative procedures, however, may cause difficulties. The internal decision-making procedures of the parties must comply with the deliberative democracy's tenets. Among other things, this entails supporting varied viewpoints inside party structures as well as internal discussion and openness (Bächtiger et al., 2010). Parties must also take care to prevent influential factions or specific interest groups from seizing control of or dominating the deliberative procedures. To prevent the suppression of divergent viewpoints and the marginalization of voices from the margins, it is crucial to maintain a balance between party discipline and individual autonomy (Smith & Reedy, 2019).

Political parties, in sum, have a critical role to play in advocating for the many viewpoints and interests of individuals and encouraging their active engagement in deliberative processes. Parties may improve the legitimacy and efficiency of deliberative democracy by assuring broad representation, organizing people, and promoting the inclusion of deliberative conclusions in policy-making.

Political Parties in China as Mediators of Public Debate and Citizen Engagement

As facilitators of civic engagement and public dialogue, political parties in China have the potential to play a significant role in promoting participatory decision-making inside the country's democratic system. Even though the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) dominates the political landscape of the nation, other democratic parties also have the power to influence public discourse and citizen involvement. The public can express their thoughts, engage in intellectual discourse, and participate in policy discussions by convening at these political groups' forums and gathering spaces. They can set up a variety of public gathering places, like as town hall meetings, neighborhood gatherings, and online platforms, where people can actively connect with party leaders and share their issues and opinions (Huang & Zeng, 2019). Along with providing forums for discussion, political parties in China may also actively encourage people to participate in politics. They can work with neighborhood associations, civic associations, and civil society to involve people in policy discussions and decision-making (Berkvens et al., 2023). Parties may develop a culture of discourse and involvement by planning events, campaigns, and outreach initiatives to advance civic education, political knowledge, and public participation.

Political parties can also serve as a conduit for debate and communication between the public and the government. They can advocate for their constituents' views and preferences inside the political system, representing their interests and concerns (He, 2020). Parties may close the gap between citizens and decision-makers by acting as channels of communication, supporting the sharing of ideas and fostering inclusive and responsive government. It is essential to keep in mind that political parties in China have some limitations and challenges in fostering public dialogue and citizen engagement. The political structure and decision-making procedures are strictly under the CCP's authority as the largest political party (Liu, 2020). This may hinder the independence and autonomy of other democratic parties and their ability to properly include the public in substantive discourse. The degree of inclusivity and transparency in political party discussions and decision-making processes also differs among parties. Some democratic parties may experience difficulties with internal transparency and democracy, which may limit their capacity to successfully encourage public discourse and citizen participation (Shi, 2018).

To sum up, political parties in China, such as the CCP and other democratic parties, have the ability to facilitate citizen involvement and public discourse. Parties may support a more participatory political system and promote a culture of discourse and involvement by establishing venues for deliberation, organizing citizens, and serving as a conduit between citizens and the government. However, in order for political parties to effectively play the role as facilitators of citizen involvement and public discourse, it is imperative that the restrictions and difficulties that now exist be addressed.

Role of Political Parties in Agenda-Setting and Policy Formulation in China

Within China's political system, political parties play an important role in agenda-setting and policy formation processes. While the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) is the main political party in China, other democratic parties have the chance to participate to and influence policymaking.

Political parties play a vital role in determining policy by communicating the interests and concerns of its members and voters. Parties may identify and prioritize critical concerns while

also proposing policy solutions that represent the interests and preferences of their distinct constituents (Wang, 2021). Parties may impact national and local policy agendas by highlighting specific concerns and fostering policy discussions through their party platforms, policy proposals, and lobbying activities. Political parties may also contribute to policy creation by contributing input, knowledge, and alternate perspectives. Through study, analysis, and engagement with key stakeholders, they can contribute to the creation of policy ideas (Wang & Guo, 2020). Parties can have conversations with government agencies, academic institutions, civil society groups, and other stakeholders to ensure that policy decisions are well-informed and take into consideration many points of view.

Furthermore, political parties can serve as a check and balance in the policy-making process. Parties can provide constructive critique, voice concerns, and propose revisions or alternatives by evaluating government policies and actions (Yang & Zhong, 2020). This job contributes to the full debate and consideration of many points of view in policy choices, hence improving the quality and efficacy of policy formation.

It is crucial to note, however, that the CCP's dominating position in Chinese politics impacts the amount to which other political parties have influence and authority in agenda-setting and policy formation. The CCP's policy preferences and leadership frequently have a substantial effect on overall policy direction (Li & Reilly, 2020). Nonetheless, democratic parties may still make a difference by campaigning for specific policy problems and contributing to policy debates. Political parties in China, including the CCP and other democratic parties, influence agenda-setting and policy formation. They express views, suggest policy ideas, engage in policy discussions, and serve as check and balances in the policy-making process. While other parties' power is minimal in comparison to the CCP, their contributions contribute to a more inclusive and informed policy-making process.

Enhancing the Role of Political Parties

Promoting Internal Party Deliberation and Democratic Decision-Making Processes in China

An essential component of political party growth in China is encouraging democratic decision-making and internal party debate. In order to promote a more inclusive, open, and participatory decision-making process, political parties—including the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and other democratic parties—must improve their internal democratic processes. Fostering a climate of open and productive discourse inside the party is essential for developing internal party deliberation. This entails providing platforms and tools for party members to engage in open dialogue, share ideas, and express their thoughts (Ku, 2017). Internal party discussions and debates may foster a diversity of ideas, undermine prevalent beliefs, and result in better decisions. Promoting democratic decision-making also requires making sure that party members have the chance to engage at all levels. This include choosing party leaders, election candidates, and establishing party platforms and programs (Wu, 2019). Parties may improve their internal democracy and the legitimacy and representativeness of their choices by creating opportunities for party members to participate and have a voice.

Also important in fostering internal party debate are transparency and accountability. The creation of policies, decision-making procedures, and party funds should all be openly disclosed to party members (Shi, 2018). Clear guidelines and procedures for internal elections and candidate selection are examples of transparent decision-making processes and mechanisms that may assist assure fairness and guard against bias or undue influence.

Promoting internal party discussion also entails encouraging the involvement of various voices inside the party. This entails interacting with various factions, interest groups, and party branches to make sure that a variety of viewpoints are taken into consideration (Gao & Su, 2018). As a result of the integration of many viewpoints and experiences throughout inclusive decision-making processes, more thorough and equitable policy solutions are produced. However, encouraging internal party discussion and democratic decision-making in China is not without its difficulties. Due to the CCP's dominance and control over the political system, internal party democracy inside the CCP and other democratic parties may be restricted in breadth and effectiveness (Liu, 2019). Party members' freedom to participate in deliberative processes may be constrained by power relations, organizational hierarchies, and restrictions on political expression.

In conclusion, fostering democratic decision-making and internal party debate is a key component of political party growth in China. Parties may improve their internal democracy and increase the caliber and validity of their choices by developing an atmosphere of open communication, assuring participation, fostering openness and accountability, and accepting varied views. A more inclusive and participatory political system in China may be achieved by overcoming the obstacles and broadening the scope of internal party discussion.

Strengthening Transparency and Accountability within Political Parties

Promoting internal party discussion and democratic decision-making processes in Chinese political parties requires strengthening openness and accountability. The availability of pertinent information concerning party activities, decision-making procedures, and financial matters is guaranteed through transparency. Accountability procedures force party officials and members to take responsibility for their deeds, which promotes loyalty and honesty within the party. Political parties in China can set up explicit protocols and systems for informing party members in order to increase openness. This entails giving regular updates on the actions of the party, financial statements, and changes to policy (Li, 2018). A clear understanding of how choices are made may be obtained by open and transparent decision-making procedures, such as releasing meeting agendas and minutes for party members to review and comment on.

Parties can also put internal audits and monitoring mechanisms in place to make sure that party resources are used properly and to thwart corruption. Regular audits of party assets and finances can support preserving financial accountability and openness (He & Wang, 2020). In addition to promoting accountability, clear rules and procedures for fundraising, campaign funding, and spending may stop the squandering of party funds. Additionally, fostering accountability inside political parties in China entails developing procedures for holding party officials and members liable for their deeds. This comprises channels for reporting ethical transgressions, dealing with them, and settling internal conflicts (Shi, 2020). Discipline may be maintained and party members' adherence to moral standards and party values can be ensured via fair and impartial disciplinary procedures with clear regulations and due process.

Supporting Party Pluralism and Inclusivity in Deliberative Processes

Promoting many viewpoints and improving the caliber of decision-making within Chinese political parties requires strong support for party pluralism and inclusion in deliberative procedures. Adopting party pluralism promotes the involvement of many ideological factions,

interest groups, and social groupings inside the party system and enables the presence of several parties. By establishing systems that facilitate the establishment of several factions or interest groups inside the party, political parties in China can actively cultivate party pluralism (Guo, 2019). This can encourage healthy idea competition and make it possible for many viewpoints to be heard inside the party. To promote a more thorough and inclusive deliberative process, parties might set up forums or platforms where factions or interest groups can present and discuss their viewpoints. Parties in China can also use inclusive strategies to interact with underrepresented populations and marginalized groups. Through focused outreach initiatives, discussions, and collaborations with civil society organizations and community groups, this can be accomplished (Zhang, 2017). Making an active effort to solicit the opinions and viewpoints of many stakeholders contributes to ensuring that political parties in China reflect the interests and concerns of a wide variety of constituents.

Parties may also encourage diversity by giving underrepresented groups—like women, ethnic minorities, and young members—the chance to participate in decision-making. A more balanced and representative party leadership and membership can be achieved by implementing quotas or affirmative action policies (Li & Yang, 2018). These policies can also assist in addressing historical imbalances. It is critical to encourage party pluralism and inclusion in deliberative processes, increase openness and accountability inside political parties, and advance internal party debate in order to advance democratic decision-making in China. Political parties in China may raise the standard, validity, and inclusivity of their internal deliberation processes by assuring openness, encouraging accountability, embracing party pluralism, and including a variety of stakeholders.

Conclusion

This paper has investigated the function of political parties in China's deliberative democracy. Political parties have a framework to contribute to government and citizen involvement through deliberative democracy, which places a focus on inclusive and participatory decision-making processes. Several important conclusions have been made as a result of the research of numerous factors and case studies. First off, political parties influence local decision-making through their participation in forums for deliberation. Political parties' participation brings a variety of viewpoints, policy suggestions, and interests to the table, including those of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and democratic parties. Their active engagement encourages dialogue, disagreement, and the formation of agreements among many stakeholders, which results in more inclusive and efficient decision-making at the local level. Second, the inclusivity, legitimacy, and efficiency of decision-making are affected by political party participation in deliberative procedures. Party participation improves the representation of various interests and viewpoints by giving a stage for varied voices to be heard and taken into account. Because judgments take into account a wider variety of social issues, this inclusivity enhances the legitimacy of the deliberative processes. Additionally, participation from parties promotes public acceptance of these forums' choices and trust among the general public.

Political parties do, however, encounter difficulties in their function in a deliberative democracy. The issues of retaining their autonomy within the deliberative processes, guaranteeing openness and accountability, and balancing party objectives with public interests are continuous. Continuous efforts must be made to improve accountability, transparency, and internal party democracy in order to address these issues. Moving forward,

it is critical to keep supporting party plurality, inclusion in deliberative processes, and openness and accountability inside political parties. The quality and legitimacy of democratic government in China will be improved through strengthening internal party deliberations and decision-making procedures as well as exterior interaction with citizens and civil society. Political parties play a variety of roles in China's deliberative democracy. Political parties have the capacity to promote public discourse, represent a range of interests, and participate in agenda-setting and policy creation. Challenges and possibilities do remain, though, necessitating ongoing efforts to improve openness, responsibility, diversity, and internal party democracy. Political parties in China may strengthen their position as important participants in the democratic process and contribute to the creation of a more inclusive and participatory government system by adopting these ideas and resolving the problems. In the end, advancing good governance, citizen involvement, and the fulfillment of a wealthy and peaceful society in China depend on the effective participation of political parties under deliberative democracy.

References

- Bachtiger, A., Steenbergen, M. R., & Warren, M. E. (2010). Deliberative democracy and its discontents: Introduction. *Acta Politica*, 45(4), 393-402.
- Berkvens, L., Roets, A., Haesevoets, T., & Verschuere, B. (2023). Local civil society organisations' appreciation of different local policy decision-making instruments. *Local Government Studies*, 1-28.
- Bohman, J. (2017). Deliberative democracy. E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2017 Edition)*. Stanford University.
- Bussu, S., Golan, Y., & Hargreaves, A. (2022). Understanding developments in Participatory Governance: a report on findings from a scoping review of the literature and expert interviews.
- Cohen, J. (2017). Deliberative democracy. R. E. Goodin & J. Tilly (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Contextual Political Analysis*. 139-159. Oxford University Press.
- Deng, G., & Su, L. (2023). The role of the Chinese Communist Party under deliberative democracy: A case study of local governance reforms. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 32(1), 129-146.
- Drake, A. (2021). *Activism, inclusion, and the challenges of deliberative democracy*. UBC Press.
- Elster, J. (1998). Deliberation and constitution making. D. Copp, J. Hampton, & J. E. Roemer (Eds.), *The idea of democracy*. 59-75. Cambridge University Press.
- Emerson, P., & Emerson, P. (2020). Asia, Where Voting Was Invented. *Majority Voting as a Catalyst of Populism: Preferential Decision-making for an Inclusive Democracy*, 153-183.
- Ernst, A. (2019). Review of factors influencing social learning within participatory environmental governance. *Ecology and Society*, 24(1).
- Gao, Z., & Su, Y. (2018). Internal democratization in China's non-communist parties: A case study of the Democratic League. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 23(2), 179-199.
- Goffer, R. (2021). Collaborative governance in light of deliberative democracy. *Collaborative Governance: Theory and Lessons from Israel*, 53-75.
- Green, J., Kingzette, J., & Neblo, M. (2019). Deliberative democracy and political decision making. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Politics*.
- Gronlund, K., Bachtiger, A., & Setala, M. (2017). *Deliberative mini-publics: Involving citizens in the democratic process*. ECPR Press.

- Groot, G. (2021). The CCP's united front work department. *The Routledge Handbook of Chinese Studies*, 41.
- Guo, X. (2019). The politics of the core leader in China: Culture, institution, legitimacy, and power. *Cambridge University Press*.
- Gutmann, A., & Thompson, D. (2019). Deliberative democracy. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2019 Edition)*. Stanford University.
- Habermas, J. (1996). Between facts and norms: Contributions to a discourse theory of law and democracy. *MIT Press*.
- Hackenesch, C., & Bader, J. (2020). The Struggle for Minds and Influence: The Chinese Communist Party's Global Outreach. *International Studies Quarterly*, 64(3), 723-733.
- Hannon, M. (2020). Empathetic understanding and deliberative democracy. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 101(3), 591-611.
- He, B. (2020). Political parties in contemporary China: Structure, transformation, and implications. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 25(3), 377-399.
- Heath, R., Borda, J. L., & Heath, R. G. (2021). Reclaiming civility: Towards discursive opening in dialogue and deliberation. *Journal of Deliberative Democracy*, 17(1).
- Hofmann, S. C., & Martill, B. (2021). The party scene: new directions for political party research in foreign policy analysis. *International affairs*, 97(2), 305-322.
- Hsieh, C. C. (2020). Internationalization of higher education in the crucible: Linking national identity and policy in the age of globalization. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 78, 102-245.
- Huang, Y., & Zeng, L. (2019). Political consultation and deliberation in China: State-led efforts to institutionalize political participation. *J. S. Fishkin & J. Farrar (Eds.), Debate democracy: The politics and practices of deliberation*. 241-257. Oxford University Press.
- Janković, I. (2022). DELIBERATIVE DEMOCRACY—THEORY AND PRACTICE: THE CASE OF THE BELGRADE CITIZENS' ASSEMBLY. *Filozofija i društvo*, 33(1), 26-49.
- Kiss, B., Sekulova, F., Hörschelmann, K., Salk, C. F., Takahashi, W., & Wamsler, C. (2022). Citizen participation in the governance of nature-based solutions. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 32(3), 247-272.
- Kraeger, P., & Schechter, D. (2020). Mini-public deliberation in philanthropy: A new way to engage with the public new Democracy Foundation, 1-8.
- Ku, Y.-W. (2017). Political participation and democratic governance within the Chinese Communist Party. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 22(2), 213-231.
- Li, C., & Reilly, J. (2020). Party-state relations in China: Understanding the CCP's adaptive control. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 29(124), 727-741.
- Li, C., & Zhou, X. (2018). The Chinese Communist Party and its peripheral political parties: Coping with challenges in the governance of China. *R. P. Weller & X. Yang (Eds.), The governance of China*. 89-103. Springer.
- Li, L., & Yang, K. (2018). Gender equality and political participation in China's non-Communist parties. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 27(111), 257-270.
- Lim, K. F. (2023). On the rationale and implications of China's RMB internationalization: A global historical perspective. *Journal of Global History*, 1-22.
- Liu, M. (2019). China's multi-party cooperation system: Theory, practice and prospects. *Social Sciences in China*, 40(2), 124-142.
- Livingston, S. (2020). The Chinese Communist Party targets the private sector. *Center for Strategic & International Studies*.
- Macedo, S. (2005). Liberal civic education and religious fundamentalism: The case of God v. John Rawls? *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 39(2), 175-194.

- Mansbridge, J. (1999). Should blacks represent blacks and women represent women? A contingent "yes". *Journal of Politics*, 61(3), 628-657.
- Mendonça, R. F., Ercan, S. A., & Asenbaum, H. (2022). More than words: A multidimensional approach to deliberative democracy. *Political Studies*, 70(1), 153-172.
- Nishiyama, K. (2021). Democratic education in the fourth generation of deliberative democracy. *Theory and Research in Education*, 19(2), 109-126.
- Parkinson, J. (2020). The roles of referendums in deliberative systems. *Representation*, 56(4), 485-500.
- Pfeifer, H., Opitz, C., & Geis, A. (2021). Deliberating foreign policy: Perceptions and effects of citizen participation in Germany. *German politics*, 30(4), 485-502.
- Pirsoul, N., & Armoudian, M. (2019). Deliberative democracy and water management in New Zealand: a critical approach to collaborative governance and co-management initiatives. *Water Resources Management*, 33, 4821-4834.
- Ross, A., Van Alstine, J., Cotton, M., & Middlemiss, L. (2021). Deliberative democracy and environmental justice: evaluating the role of citizens' juries in urban climate governance. *Local Environment*, 26(12), 1512-1531.
- Scudder, M. F. (2020). The ideal of uptake in democratic deliberation. *Political Studies*, 68(2), 504-522.
- Shi, T. (2018). Democratization of political parties in China: A case study of the Zhi Gong Party. *China Information*, 32(3), 288-310.
- Shin, S., & Lee, T. (2021). Credible empowerment and deliberative participation: a comparative study of two nuclear energy policy deliberation cases in Korea. *Review of Policy Research*, 38(1), 97-112.
- Smith, G., & Reedy, P. (2019). The role of political parties in deliberative democracy. In J. Dryzek, A. Bächtiger, S. Chambers, & J. Mansbridge (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of deliberative democracy*. 293-307. Oxford University Press.
- Song, W. (2022). 'Seizing the Window of Strategic Opportunity': A Study of China's Macro-Strategic Narrative since the 21st Century. *Social Sciences*, 11(10), 461.
- Stald, G. (2023). Mobile Democracy: Changing Conditions for Young Danes' Democratic Information and Participation. *Journalism and Media*, 4(1), 272-288.
- Stasavage, D. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and the Past, Present, and Future of Democracy. *Political Theory of the Digital Age: Where Artificial Intelligence Might Take Us*, 47.
- Stręk, N. U. (2019). The Idea of Situational Leadership in Political Parties. *ANNALES UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA, SECTIO K*.
- Sunstein, C. R. (2017). Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media. Princeton University Press.
- Tabero, K. (2023). Deliberation, Democracy, and Mechanisms for Cooperation (Doctoral dissertation, Durham University).
- Usmonova, Y. (2023). THE ROLE OF THE COMMUNIST PARTY OF CHINA IN THE PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA. *International Bulletin of Engineering and Technology*, 3(4), 151-161.
- Wang, J. (2021). Political parties in China's evolving governance system. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 26(1), 1-17.
- Wang, Y., & Guo, X. (2020). From consultative democracy to responsive governance: The evolving role of the Chinese Communist Party's democratic parties. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 29(122), 328-343.

- Wu, Y. (2019). The changing role of the Chinese Communist Party and its internal democracy. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 24(1), 87-104.
- Yang, C., & Zhong, Y. (2020). Deliberation with Chinese characteristics: Political parties and participatory policy making in China. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 25(3), 401-419.
- Zhang, L. (2017). Civil society and political parties in China: Unpacking the paradox. *The China Quarterly*, 229, 791-810.
- Zhongyuan, W. (2023). Remaking Bonds: Adaptive Party Linkage-building in Contemporary China. *China: An International Journal*, 21(2), 114-135.