

Accountability as The Professional Judgment Key Principle of School Inspectorate for School Inspection

Zalifah Rosli, Mohd Effendi @ Ewan Mohd Matore

Faculty of Education, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), Malaysia

Email: zaros78master@gmail.com, effendi@ukm.edu.my

Corresponding Author Email: effendi@ukm.edu.my

To Link this Article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v13-i1/20118>

DOI:10.6007/IJARPED/v13-i1/20118

Published Online: 06 January 2024

Abstract

Accountability in professional judgment within schools is important for maintaining integrity, building trust, and guaranteeing that decisions align with the best interests of students and the broader educational community. It pays to a culture of continuous improvement and professionalism in the field of education. This paper provides an overview of the topic accountability as the key principle of professional judgment of school inspectorate in the context of school inspection. In the realm of education, school inspection plays a pivotal role in ensuring the quality and effectiveness of educational institutions. This also explores the central theme of accountability as it pertains to the professional judgment exercised by school inspectorates during the process of school inspection. This concept paper uses systematic review as a method since it contributes to the accountability as the key principle of professional judgment at school. The major finding for this paper is to portray the significance of accountability within the school inspection context, highlighting its role in maintaining transparency, fairness, and credibility in the evaluation of educational institutions. It delves into the various dimensions of accountability, including accountability to stakeholders, legislative bodies, and the broader educational community. Additionally, the abstract addresses the challenges and ethical considerations associated with accountability in school inspection. The discussion in this paper emphasizes that accountability is not merely a bureaucratic requirement but a fundamental principle that underpins the integrity of school inspection processes. By scrutinizing the concept of accountability in the context of school inspection, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of the dynamics involved in assessing and enhancing the quality of education. Ultimately, it advocates for a balanced and robust approach to accountability, which fosters improvement and equity in education systems. In further research, the use of this key principles could be a means of promoting transparency in decision-making processes. This transparency is important for stakeholders to understand how decisions are reached, creating an open and communicative environment within the school community.

Keywords: Accountability, Professional Judgment, School Inspectorate, School Inspection.

Introduction

Accountability is a key principle in the context of school inspection and plays a crucial role in shaping the exercise of professional judgment by school inspectors. School inspection serves as a mechanism for holding schools accountable for meeting educational standards and regulations. Professional judgment involves assessing schools' compliance with legislative requirements and providing feedback on the quality of teaching and learning (Quintelier 2017). Accountability serves as a quality assurance mechanism. It ensures that school inspectors are accountable for upholding high standards in education. Inspectors must demonstrate their competence and adherence to professional norms and ethical standards in their judgments. Accountability enhances stakeholder confidence in the education system. When the school inspectorate is accountable for its actions and judgments, parents, students, teachers, and the broader community can have greater trust in the fairness and validity of the inspection process.

Evaluating Competence Accountability in School Inspectorate

Competent inspectors who adhere to professional norms and ethical standards ensure that their assessments are valid and reliable. They employ evidence-based practices and follow established protocols, resulting in accurate evaluations of school performance. This validity and reliability provide schools with a clear understanding of their strengths and areas for improvement, enabling them to make targeted changes to enhance school progress (Ehren, and Shackleton, 2015).

Inspectors who uphold ethical standards prioritize the well-being and best interests of students and the education system. Ethical inspectors place students at the center of their work. They recognize that the primary goal of the education system is to provide a quality education that benefits students. This student-centered focus ensures that inspectors consider how their judgments and recommendations impact the well-being and educational outcomes of students. Ethical inspectors prioritize the safety and well-being of students within schools. They assess whether schools provide a secure and nurturing environment where students can learn and thrive without fear of harm or discrimination. This focus on safety contributes to a positive learning atmosphere. Ethical considerations extend to inclusivity and diversity. Inspectors ensure that schools create an inclusive environment where all students, regardless of their backgrounds, abilities, or identities, have equal access to educational opportunities. This commitment to inclusivity fosters a sense of belonging among students. They carefully weigh ethical implications in their judgments and decisions, ensuring that schools provide a safe, inclusive, and supportive learning environment. This ethical consideration contributes to the overall quality and effectiveness of the education system (Quintelier et al., 2020).

Inspectors who demonstrate competence and adhere to professional norms and ethical standards build trust and credibility with schools and stakeholders. When schools have confidence in the expertise and integrity of inspectors, they are more likely to accept and act upon inspection findings and recommendations. Competent inspectors possess the necessary knowledge, skills, and expertise to conduct thorough and accurate evaluations of schools. Their competence instills confidence in their ability to assess schools effectively. Adherence to professional norms and ethical standards is fundamental for inspectors. It demonstrates their commitment to upholding the integrity and fairness of the inspection process, assuring schools and stakeholders that inspections are conducted with impartiality and ethical

considerations. Competent and ethically sound inspectors build trust over time. Schools and stakeholders recognize their dedication to fair and thorough evaluations, leading to trust in the inspection process. Credibility is essential for inspectors. It means that their judgments and recommendations are seen as reliable and valid by schools and stakeholders. Credible inspectors are more likely to influence positive change in schools because their findings carry weight. This trust and credibility foster a collaborative relationship between inspectors and schools, facilitating effective improvement efforts (Ehren, and Shackleton, 2015).

Competent inspectors provide specific and contextually adapted feedback to schools. This feedback, based on professional norms and ethical standards, guides schools in identifying areas for improvement and implementing evidence-based interventions. Competent inspectors do not offer vague or generalized feedback to schools. Instead, they provide detailed and specific feedback that addresses the strengths and weaknesses observed during the inspection. This specificity allows schools to precisely understand which aspects of their practices need improvement. Feedback from inspectors is tailored to the unique context of each school. Inspectors consider the school's demographics, student population, resources, and existing practices when offering recommendations. This contextual adaptation ensures that the feedback is relevant and actionable for the specific school. The feedback provided by inspectors aligns with professional norms in education. It is grounded in research-based best practices, educational standards, and established principles of effective teaching and learning. This ensures that the guidance is consistent with recognized standards of quality. Inspectors adhere to ethical standards when providing feedback. They maintain objectivity and avoid personal biases. Ethical feedback promotes fairness and impartiality in the evaluation process, reinforcing the integrity of the inspection.

The primary purpose of the feedback is to guide schools in their improvement efforts. It identifies areas where schools excel and areas that require attention. This constructive feedback serves as a roadmap for schools to enhance their practices. Competent inspectors base their feedback on evidence. They recommend interventions and strategies that have been proven effective through research and best practices. This evidence-based approach ensures that schools are implementing interventions with a strong likelihood of success. Inspectors offer valuable insights into how schools can improve instructional strategies, curriculum development, and student support services (Ehren, and Shackleton, 2015). Their recommendations are actionable and designed to lead to measurable improvements in these critical areas. In addition to feedback, inspectors can provide schools with resources, materials, and support. They may connect schools with professional development opportunities, educational organizations, or other experts in the field. This support accelerates schools' ability to make meaningful progress.

The Imperative of Transparency and Fairness in School Inspection Accountability

Accountability necessitates that the school inspection process maintains transparency and fairness. Inspectors must conduct their assessments and evaluations openly, ensuring that schools comprehend the criteria and standards by which they are being assessed. Transparency fosters trust in the inspection process and the judgments rendered. The presence of transparency and fairness in school inspection can significantly influence school progress and enhancement.

Inspectors who uphold professional norms and ethical standards ensure accountability and transparency throughout the inspection process. They provide well-founded justifications for their judgments and decisions, grounded in evidence and established criteria. This commitment to accountability and transparency cultivates fairness and consistency in school inspection, nurturing a culture of continuous improvement and advancement (Ehren, and Shackleton, 2015). When school inspections are conducted transparently and fairly, they enhance the trust and credibility of the inspection process. Schools are more inclined to accept and value the feedback and recommendations offered by inspectors, perceiving the process as impartial and unbiased (Kemethofer et al., 2017). This trust and credibility foster a positive relationship between the school and the inspection authority, creating an environment conducive to school improvement.

Transparency in school inspection involves clearly articulating the expectations and standards against which schools will be assessed. When schools possess a clear understanding of the criteria and benchmarks used in the inspection process, they can align their efforts and strategies accordingly (Penninckx et al., 2014). This clarity enables schools to concentrate on areas requiring improvement and facilitates targeted interventions. Fair and transparent school inspections provide a comprehensive assessment of a school's strengths and weaknesses. This feedback empowers school leaders and teachers to pinpoint areas for improvement and build upon their strengths. By comprehending their performance in various domains, schools can formulate precise improvement plans and allocate resources effectively. Transparent and fair school inspections hold schools accountable for their performance. Schools are incentivized to enhance their performance when they recognize that their progress will be evaluated and assessed (Peguero & Bondy, 2015). The awareness that their efforts will be acknowledged and recognized can inspire schools to strive for continuous improvement and excellence.

Transparency in school inspection allows schools to glean insights from best practices and successful approaches implemented by other schools. When inspection reports are made public or shared among schools, it promotes knowledge sharing and collaboration (Jones et al., 2017). Schools can draw inspiration from successful practices and adapt them to their own context, leading to improvements in school management. Fair and transparent school inspections contribute to the cultivation of a culture of continuous improvement within schools. When schools receive constructive feedback and support from inspectors, it nurtures a mindset of self-reflection and a commitment to ongoing improvement (Ehren & Shackleton, 2015). Schools become more proactive in identifying areas for growth and implementing evidence-based strategies to enhance their management practices.

Enhancing School Inspection Accountability through Objective and Unbiased Inspection

Accountability demands that inspections be conducted objectively and without bias. Inspectors are responsible for delivering an accurate and impartial assessment of a school's performance. This entails basing their judgments on evidence and professional expertise rather than personal opinions or prejudices. Objective inspections contribute significantly to quality assurance in schools. By ensuring that inspections are conducted without bias, schools can have confidence in the validity and reliability of the assessment process. This confidence promotes a culture of continuous improvement and accountability (Penninckx et al., 2014).

Objective and unbiased inspections provide schools with a precise evaluation of their strengths and weaknesses. This assessment assists schools in identifying areas for

improvement and devising targeted strategies to enhance teaching, learning, and overall school management (Kemethofer et al., 2017). Objective inspections are conducted without favoritism or prejudice, guaranteeing that the evaluation process adheres to established standards and regulations. This precision offers schools an unfiltered view of their current performance and compliance with educational benchmarks. It prevents result distortion and enables schools to grasp their true strengths and weaknesses. Through an impartial assessment, schools can pinpoint specific areas of strength and areas requiring attention and improvement.

Objective inspections contribute to systemic improvements in education. By delivering accurate and unbiased assessments, inspections can pinpoint trends and patterns across schools, facilitating the identification of systemic issues and the development of targeted policies and interventions (Dedering, 2015). Schools can use this information to implement focused interventions and allocate resources effectively to address identified weaknesses (Penninckx et al., 2016). This prioritization assists school administrators and educators in directing their resources, time, and efforts toward the most critical aspects of school management and instruction.

An accurate assessment empowers school leaders to make well-informed decisions. With a clear understanding of their strengths and weaknesses, schools can create data-driven strategies to address deficiencies and build upon their strengths. This encompasses decisions related to curriculum development, teacher training, resource allocation, and educational programs. Feedback provided to school staff, including teachers and administrators, can inform professional development plans and support the growth and improvement of educators. It helps identify areas where additional training or support may be needed (Qubaisi et al., 2016).

Objective inspections enhance stakeholder confidence in the education system. When inspections are conducted without bias, they demonstrate a commitment to fairness and transparency. This confidence can lead to increased support from parents, students, and the wider community, positively impacting school progress (Ehren & Shackleton, 2015). The heightened support and engagement of stakeholders can have a direct and positive influence on schools' progress. When parents have confidence in the quality of education their children receive, they are more likely to engage in their children's education and support school improvement efforts. This can lead to higher student achievement, improved school facilities, and overall school growth.

Objective inspections hold schools accountable for their performance. This accountability can drive improvements in governance structures and decision-making processes. It encourages schools to be proactive in addressing weaknesses and implementing effective management practices (Ehren & Shackleton, 2016). Objective inspections are designed to evaluate schools against predefined standards and benchmarks, encompassing various aspects of education, including curriculum quality, teacher competence, student performance, safety, and more. By measuring a school's performance against these standards, inspections provide an objective and standardized yardstick for accountability. Objective inspections identify areas where schools may fall short of established standards, from academic performance gaps to infrastructural issues or concerns regarding safety and well-being.

Recognizing these shortcomings is a crucial step in holding schools accountable for addressing them. When objective inspections uncover deficiencies, they act as a catalyst for

continuous improvement. Schools are accountable for developing action plans to rectify identified issues and enhance their overall performance. This ongoing commitment to improvement is a cornerstone of accountability and leads to improved educational outcomes. Conducting inspections objectively and without bias is crucial for their effectiveness in driving school progress. By providing accurate assessments, targeted interventions, and promoting quality assurance, these inspections contribute to professional development, stakeholder confidence, systemic improvement, and accountability in school management.

Enhancing School Inspectorate Accountability with SKPM Role in Ensuring Quality Education

Accountability in school inspection ensures that schools adhere to established standards and regulations. Inspectors assess schools based on predetermined criteria and benchmarks, providing an objective evaluation of their performance (Lindgren, 2014). This accountability helps maintain consistency and fairness in the inspection process. The SKPM documentation, established by the Ministry of Education, holds the potential to significantly impact school progress when consistently applied during school inspections. SKPM documentation serves as a tool for assessing and enhancing the quality of education in Malaysia. It exerts a substantial influence on school progress by offering a structured framework for evaluation, promoting data-driven decision-making, enhancing transparency, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement. Ultimately, the documentation process plays a pivotal role in raising educational standards and ensuring that schools are accountable for delivering high-quality education to students.

SKPM provides clear expectations for schools in various aspects of education, including curriculum, teaching methods, assessment practices, and student support services. SKPM outlines precise expectations for curriculum development and implementation in schools, specifying content coverage, learning progression, and achievement standards at different grade levels. These guidelines ensure that schools align their curricula with national educational goals and standards. SKPM also provides guidance on effective teaching methods and pedagogical approaches, encouraging schools to adopt research-based and best practices in teaching and learning. By delineating expectations for teaching methods, SKPM ensures that classrooms become engaging, student-centered, and focused on improving learning outcomes. Additionally, SKPM sets expectations for assessment practices, encompassing both formative and summative assessments. It defines assessment criteria and recommended assessment types to accurately measure student progress. Clear assessment guidelines help schools design equitable and reliable evaluation processes that precisely gauge student achievement and growth.

Furthermore, SKPM emphasizes the importance of providing comprehensive support services to meet diverse student needs. This includes services for students with special educational requirements, counseling, career guidance, and extracurricular activities. By establishing expectations for student support services, SKPM ensures that schools create an inclusive and supportive learning environment. Additionally, SKPM often includes provisions for the ongoing professional development of teachers and school staff. It outlines expectations for continuous training and upskilling to ensure that educators are well-prepared to deliver high-quality education. This focus on professional development contributes to the continuous improvement of teaching staff.

While not explicitly mentioned in the statement, SKPM often includes expectations for the quality of school infrastructure and facilities, including factors such as classrooms,

libraries, laboratories, and technology resources. Ensuring adequate and well-maintained facilities is essential for creating an effective learning environment. SKPM also emphasizes compliance with legal and ethical standards in education. Schools are expected to adhere to regulations related to student rights, safety, and ethical conduct. This ensures that schools operate with integrity and prioritize the well-being of students. When schools understand and adhere to these expectations, it promotes consistency and coherence in their educational practices, leading to improved school progress (Ronto et al., 2020).

SKPM establishes clear benchmarks and standards that schools must meet in various aspects of education, including curriculum, teaching methods, assessment, and student support services. These benchmarks serve as reference points for what constitutes a high-quality education. Schools are assessed against these standards, ensuring that they strive for excellence in their practices. Quality assurance requires ongoing assessment and evaluation. SKPM mandates periodic assessments of schools to determine their compliance with the established standards. These assessments are typically conducted by external bodies or education authorities to maintain objectivity. Regular assessments help identify areas where schools excel and areas where improvement is needed.

The assessments conducted under SKPM generate valuable data and insights into school performance. This data is invaluable for schools and education authorities as it provides a factual basis for decision-making. Schools can use the assessment results to identify strengths and weaknesses, leading to data-driven improvement strategies. SKPM promotes accountability in education. Schools are held accountable for meeting the standards outlined in the framework. This accountability ensures that schools are responsible for delivering high-quality education to their students and the community. It also provides a mechanism for addressing underperformance.

Quality assurance requires transparency in processes and outcomes. SKPM documentation and assessment results are often made available to the public, including parents, students, and the community. This transparency fosters trust and confidence in the education system. Stakeholders can access information about school quality, which empowers them to make informed decisions and hold schools accountable. In addition to accountability, SKPM can also lead to the allocation of resources and support where they are most needed. Schools that struggle to meet the standards may receive additional assistance, training, or resources to help them improve. This targeted support ensures that struggling schools have the opportunity to enhance their quality of education.

Quality assurance is not a one-time event; it is an ongoing process. SKPM encourages a culture of continuous improvement within schools. Schools use assessment results and feedback to refine their practices, update their curriculum, and enhance teaching and learning methods. SKPM also enables comparisons between schools, regions, and even countries. This benchmarking allows education authorities to identify trends and best practices, leading to improvements at the systemic level.

By setting benchmarks and criteria for school performance, SKPM provides a framework for evaluating and monitoring the quality of education provided by schools. This accountability aspect ensures that schools strive for continuous improvement and maintain high standards (Ehren & Shackleton, 2015). Standards and regulations often emphasize student outcomes and achievement. By setting clear expectations for student performance, they encourage schools to prioritize student learning and progress. This focus on outcomes can drive schools

to implement effective teaching strategies, provide targeted support to students, and continuously monitor and assess student progress (Jahn et al., 2017). It also helps in an alignment with best practices where with standards and regulations are often developed based on research, evidence, and best practices in education. When schools align their practices with these standards, they are more likely to adopt effective instructional strategies, implement evidence-based interventions, and create a positive learning environment. This alignment with best practices contributes to improved school progress (Easthall & Barnett, 2017).

In addition to setting clear expectations for student outcomes, standards and regulations often emphasize compliance with ethical and legal standards in education. This ensures that schools operate with integrity and prioritize the well-being and rights of students. When schools adhere to these standards, it promotes consistency and coherence in their educational practices, ultimately contributing to improved school progress (Ronto et al., 2020). Consistent use of standards and regulations across schools creates a systemic impact on education. It allows for the identification of trends, patterns, and areas of improvement at a broader level. This systemic perspective enables policymakers and education authorities to develop targeted interventions, allocate resources effectively, and drive overall improvement in the education system (Ehren & Shackleton, 2015).

Inspector Accountability for Ongoing Professional Development

Inspectors bear the responsibility of being accountable for their continuous professional development. To ensure that their assessments are informed and relevant, inspectors must stay current with best practices, research, and developments in education. The ongoing professional development of inspectors, which includes staying current with best practices, research, and developments in education, has a significant impact on school inspections for the purpose of school improvement.

By remaining up to date with best practices and research, inspectors can make informed and pertinent judgments regarding the quality of teaching, learning, and leadership in schools. This guarantees that the inspection process is grounded in the latest knowledge and evidence within the field of education (Tian, 2021). The educational landscape confronts evolving challenges such as technological advancements, shifting demographics, and pedagogical trends. Inspectors who keep current can assess how schools are adapting to these challenges and whether they are preparing students for the demands of the modern world. Inspectors' awareness of best practices can also support the professional growth of educators. When inspectors offer feedback based on the latest research and effective teaching methods, it can guide teachers' development and contribute to improved classroom practices. Staying informed ensures that inspectors are aligned with the broader educational goals and objectives set by education authorities.

They can evaluate whether schools are progressing toward these goals, thus helping to maintain consistency and accountability in the education system. Inspectors who are well-informed can engage more effectively with school communities, including teachers, students, parents, and administrators. They can communicate the rationale behind their judgments and offer guidance on how schools can enhance their educational practices. Inspectors who are knowledgeable about current developments in education can provide more meaningful feedback and recommendations to schools. They can identify areas for improvement based

on the latest research and suggest evidence-based strategies and interventions to enhance teaching and learning (Ehren & Shackleton, 2015).

Ongoing professional development helps inspectors maintain their professionalism and credibility. It demonstrates their commitment to staying current with the evolving educational landscape, ensuring that their judgments are respected and valued by schools and stakeholders (Tian, 2021). Professionalism is a fundamental attribute for inspectors. Ongoing professional development involves acquiring new knowledge, skills, and expertise related to educational inspection. By engaging in such development, inspectors continually enhance their professional competence, reinforcing their credibility in the field. The educational landscape is dynamic, marked by changing policies, practices, and technologies. Inspectors need to adapt to these changes to effectively assess schools.

Ongoing professional development equips inspectors with the tools to understand and navigate these shifts, demonstrating their ability to stay current. Inspectors who actively pursue professional development signal their commitment to excellence. It shows that they are dedicated to their roles and aspire to deliver high-quality evaluations that benefit schools and students. This commitment reinforces their professionalism, and the trust others have in their judgments. Through ongoing development, inspectors acquire and refine their skills in assessment, communication, data analysis, and more. These skills are essential for conducting thorough and meaningful inspections. Enhanced skills contribute to their professionalism and effectiveness. When inspectors invest in their professional growth, schools and stakeholders are more likely to respect and trust their judgments. The credibility of inspections relies on the expertise and integrity of inspectors. Ongoing development ensures that inspectors are well-prepared to fulfill their responsibilities. Education is a dynamic field, and new challenges and developments constantly emerge. Inspectors who engage in continuous professional development can adapt their inspection practices to address these changing contexts effectively. They can respond to emerging issues, such as the integration of technology in education or the implementation of inclusive practices, and provide relevant guidance to schools (Ehren et al., 2017).

By staying current with research, inspectors can promote evidence-based practices in schools. They can identify innovative approaches and interventions that have been proven to be effective and encourage schools to implement them. Access to Cutting-Edge Knowledge: Staying current with research allows inspectors to access the latest findings and insights in education. They can stay informed about emerging trends, best practices, and innovative approaches that have the potential to improve teaching and learning. Research provides empirical evidence of what works in education. Inspectors who are up to date with research can base their assessments and recommendations on solid evidence rather than anecdotal or outdated information. This evidence-based approach enhances the credibility and effectiveness of their judgments. Inspectors can identify and highlight best practices that have been proven effective in improving student outcomes. They can share examples of successful interventions and instructional methods that other schools can adopt, leading to positive changes in teaching and learning. Research often uncovers innovative solutions to educational challenges. Inspectors can play a pivotal role in introducing these innovative approaches to schools. By doing so, they encourage schools to embrace new and potentially more effective strategies for addressing issues such as student achievement gaps, behavior management, or inclusive education. This helps schools align their practices with the latest research and improve student outcomes (Brown et al., 2019).

Ongoing professional development ensures that inspectors have the necessary knowledge and skills to conduct rigorous and reliable inspections. They can apply standardized assessment criteria, use appropriate evaluation methods, and make valid and fair judgments about school performance. Ongoing professional development provides inspectors with updated knowledge and expertise in the field of education and school inspection. This includes understanding educational policies, curriculum developments, teaching methodologies, and emerging issues in education. It ensures that inspectors are well-informed and knowledgeable about the context in which they are conducting inspections. Professional development programs often include training on standardized assessment criteria and frameworks. These criteria serve as a common yardstick for evaluating schools, ensuring consistency and fairness in the inspection process. Inspectors who receive training in these criteria are better equipped to assess schools objectively. Inspectors need to be proficient in using appropriate evaluation methods and tools. Professional development equips them with the skills to select and apply evaluation methods that are valid, reliable, and aligned with the goals of the inspection. This ensures that the data collected during inspections is accurate and meaningful.

Rigor in the inspection process is essential for maintaining the integrity of evaluations. Ongoing professional development reinforces the importance of thoroughness and attention to detail in inspections. Inspectors learn how to conduct comprehensive assessments that leave no critical aspects of school performance unexamined. Inspectors need the ability to analyze data collected during inspections effectively. This includes interpreting assessment results, identifying trends, and drawing meaningful conclusions. Making valid and fair judgments is a hallmark of high-quality inspections. Professional development emphasizes the importance of impartiality, ensuring that inspectors do not introduce bias into their assessments. They learn to make judgments based on objective evidence rather than personal opinions. Ongoing professional development supports a culture of continuous improvement among inspectors. It encourages them to reflect on their practices, receive feedback, and refine their skills over time. This commitment to improvement ensures that inspectors become more effective and reliable in their roles. The education landscape is constantly evolving. Professional development helps inspectors adapt to changes in education policies, practices, and technology. It equips them with the flexibility to adjust their inspection methodologies and criteria to align with emerging trends and challenges. This contributes to the overall quality assurance of the inspection process (Ehren & Visscher, 2006).

Professional development opportunities provide inspectors with opportunities to collaborate and network with colleagues from different schools and regions. This allows them to share best practices, exchange ideas, and learn from each other's experiences. Professional development programs often bring together inspectors from diverse backgrounds and regions. This creates a collaborative learning environment where inspectors can engage in discussions, share insights, and work together to address common challenges in the field of education inspection. When inspectors from different schools and regions collaborate, it allows for the cross-pollination of ideas. They can bring fresh perspectives and innovative approaches to the table, leading to a richer and more dynamic exchange of ideas. Professional development sessions provide a platform for inspectors to share best practices that have proven effective in their respective contexts.

These best practices can encompass various aspects of inspection, from assessment methods to communication strategies with schools. Inspectors often encounter complex issues and dilemmas during their work. Collaborative environments allow them to collectively

brainstorm solutions and strategies for addressing these challenges. This collaborative problem-solving can lead to more effective inspection processes. Inspectors may have unique experiences and insights based on the schools and regions they work in. Sharing these experiences allows others to learn from both successes and failures. It can lead to a more comprehensive understanding of effective inspection practices. Collaborative networks can serve as a professional support system for inspectors. They can turn to their colleagues for advice, guidance, and mentorship. This support system enhances inspectors' confidence and competence in their roles. A culture of collaboration and shared learning promotes continuous improvement. Inspectors are encouraged to reflect on their practices, incorporate feedback, and adapt to evolving educational contexts.

This commitment to improvement benefits not only individual inspectors but also the entire inspection process. Collaborative learning helps establish consistency in inspection practices across different regions. When inspectors collaborate and align their approaches, it ensures that schools receive consistent and equitable evaluations, contributing to quality assurance in the education system. Professional development events often include networking opportunities with experts, policymakers, and leaders in the education field. Inspectors can build valuable professional relationships that extend beyond the training sessions, providing ongoing support and resources. Collaborative learning and networking can enhance the effectiveness of school inspection by fostering a culture of continuous improvement and shared learning (O'Hara et al., 2021).

Inspectors' ongoing professional development, including staying current with best practices, research, and developments in education, plays a crucial role in school inspections for school improvement. It ensures that inspectors make informed and relevant judgments, provide meaningful feedback and recommendations, maintain professionalism, adapt to changing contexts, promote evidence-based practices, ensure quality assurance, and foster collaboration and networking among inspectors. These aspects contribute to the effectiveness and impact of school inspections in driving school improvement and enhancing educational outcomes.

Conclusion

In summary, accountability in the context of education must be closely aligned with overarching educational objectives. The judgments and recommendations issued by school inspectors should actively contribute to the enhancement of educational outcomes and the welfare of students. Accountability carries both a legal and ethical obligation for school inspectors, encompassing their responsibility to uphold the law, respect human rights, and ensure non-discrimination in their assessments. As a foundational principle in the realm of school inspection, accountability serves as the linchpin for a fair, impartial, and quality-focused evaluation process. School inspectors bear the weight of accountability for their actions, decisions, and the repercussions of their assessments on schools and the education system as a whole. The role of accountability in the professional judgment of school inspectors within the domain of school inspection cannot be overstated. It functions as the cornerstone for maintaining adherence to standards, fostering transparency, propelling improvement initiatives, bolstering public trust, informing decision-making processes, and nurturing professional development. By upholding the values of accountability, school inspections not only contribute substantially to the overall quality and efficacy of the education system but

also enable inspectors to provide substantive and effective evaluations of schools, actively support improvement endeavors, and assure the continued delivery of high-quality education.

Acknowledgements

Special thanks and appreciation of gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Ts. Dr. Mohd Effendi Ewan Mohd Matore and Research Centre of Education Leadership and Policy, Faculty of Education, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) for this wonderful project and guiding me from the beginning to the end. To my husband, parents, family and friends, you should know that your support and encouragement was worth more than I can express on this paper. It is a humbling experience to acknowledge those people who have, mostly out of kindness, helped along the journey of my Masters.

Reference

- Brown, M., McNamara, G., O'Hara, J., & Shevlin, P. (2019). Polycentric Inspection: A Catalyst for Sparking Positive Interactions in Educational Networks. *Evaluation*, 26(1), 76-97. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356389019895031>
- Brown, M., McNamara, G., O'Hara, J., O'Brien, S., Faddar, J., & Young, C. (2018). Integrated Co-Professional Evaluation? Converging Approaches to School Evaluation Across Frontiers. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 43(12), 76-90. <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2018v43n12.6>
- Dederling, K. (2015). The Same Procedure as Every Time? School Inspections and School Development in Germany. *Improving Schools*, 18(2), 171-184. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1365480215585910>
- Easthall, C. and Barnett, N. (2017). Using Theory to Explore the Determinants Of Medication Adherence, Moving Away From A One-Size-Fits-All Approach. *Pharmacy*, 5(4), 50. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmacy5030050>
- Ehren, M. and Shackleton, N. (2015). Mechanisms of Change in Dutch Inspected Schools: Comparing Schools in Different Inspection Treatments. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 64(2), 185-213. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071005.2015.1019413>
- Ehren, M. and Shackleton, N. (2016). Risk-Based School Inspections: Impact of Targeted Inspection Approaches on Dutch Secondary Schools. *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability*, 28(4), 299-321. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-016-9242-0>
- Ehren, M. and Visscher, A. J. (2006). Towards A Theory on The Impact of School Inspections. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 54(1), 51-72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8527.2006.00333.x>
- Ehren, M., Janssens, F., Brown, M., McNamara, G., O'Hara, J., & Shevlin, P. (2017). Evaluation and Decentralised Governance: Examples of Inspections in Polycentric Education Systems. *Journal of Educational Change*, 18(3), 365-383. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-017-9297-9>
- Jahn, J. L., Cohen, J. F., Gorski-Findling, M. T., Hoffman, J. A., Rosenfeld, L., Chaffee, R. K., ... & Rimm, E. B. (2017). Product Reformulation and Nutritional Improvements After New Competitive Food Standards in Schools. *Public Health Nutrition*, 21(5), 1011-1018. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1368980017003445>
- Jones, K. L., Tymms, P., Kemethofer, D., O'Hara, J., McNamara, G., Huber, S. G., ... & Greger, D. (2017). The Unintended Consequences of School Inspection: The Prevalence of

- Inspection Side-Effects in Austria, The Czech Republic, England, Ireland, The Netherlands, Sweden, And Switzerland. *Oxford Review of Education*, 43(6), 805-822. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03054985.2017.1352499>
- Kemethofer, D., Gustafsson, J., & Altrichter, H. (2017). Comparing Effects of School Inspections in Sweden And Austria. *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability*, 29(4), 319-337. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-017-9265-1>
- Lindgren, J. (2014). The Front and Back Stages of Swedish School Inspection: Opening the Black Box of Judgment. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 59(1), 58-76. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2013.838803>
- O'Hara, J., Shevlin, P., Brown, M., & McNamara, G. (2021). Operating Educational Networks in Northern Ireland: The Egi Shaped Professional Learning Network. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 98, 01003. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20219801003>
- Peguero, A. A., and Bondy, J. M. (2015). Schools, Justice, And Immigrant Students: Assimilation, Race, Ethnicity, Gender, And Perceptions of Fairness and Order. *Teachers College Record: The Voice of Scholarship in Education*, 117(7), 1-42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016146811511700706>
- Penninckx, M., Vanhoof, J., Maeyer, S. D., & Petegem, P. V. (2014). Exploring and Explaining the Effects of Being Inspected. *Educational Studies*, 40(4), 456-472. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03055698.2014.930343>
- Penninckx, M., Vanhoof, J., Maeyer, S. D., & Petegem, P. V. (2016). Effects and Side Effects Of Flemish School Inspection. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 44(5), 728-744. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143215570305>
- Qubaisi, A. A., Badri, M., Mohaidat, J., Dhaheri, H. A., Guang, Y., Rashedi, A. A., ... & Greer, K. (2016). An Analytic Hierarchy Process for School Quality and Inspection. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 30(3), 437-459. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijem-09-2014-0123>
- Quintelier, A. (2017). Methods and Modalities of Effective School Inspections. *Studia Paedagogica*, 22(2), 153-157. <https://doi.org/10.5817/sp2017-2-9>
- Quintelier, A., Mæyer, S. D., & Vanhoof, J. (2020). Determinants of Teachers' Feedback Acceptance During A School Inspection Visit. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 31(4), 529-547. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09243453.2020.1750432>
- Ronto, R., Rathi, N., Worsley, A., Sanders, T., Lonsdale, C., & Wolfenden, L. (2020). Enablers and Barriers to Implementation of And Compliance with School-Based Healthy Food and Beverage Policies: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Synthesis. *Public Health Nutrition*, 23(15), 2840-2855. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1368980019004865>
- Tian, M. (2021). Power Bases and Power Tensions: A Critical Analysis of Education Inspection Policies and Practices in China. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 20(1), 6-20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15700763.2020.1833940>