

Technological Innovation as entrepreneurial Determinant affecting Savings Mobilization among Micro and Small Enterprises in Kenya

Fred Gichana Atandi

Senior Lecturer, Jomo Kenyatta University Of Agriculture And Technology, Nairobi, Kenya.

E-mail: fredatandi06@yahoo.com

Professor Henry M. Bwisa

Department Of Entrepreneurship and Technology Leadership and Management in the School Of Entrepreneurship and Procurement

E-mail: bwihem@yahoo.com

Doctor Maurice Sakwa

Senior Lecturer, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Nairobi, Kenya.

Department Of Entrepreneurship and Technology Leadership And Management In The School Of Entrepreneurship And Procurement.

E-mail: sakwa98@yahoo.com

DOI: 10.6007/IJARBSS/v6-i3/2059 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v6-i3/2059>

Abstract

The research study aimed at finding the effect of technological innovation on savings mobilization among MSEs in Trans Nzoia county Kenya. Studies from developed world have indicated that the use of technological innovations in savings mobilization improves performance of MSEs leading to better savings mobilized, also, MSEs with superior technological resource will have a competitive advantage leading to entrepreneurial growth of their MSEs and also enhances the efficiency of an enterprise and builds the confidence of entrepreneurs in discharging their responsibilities. The research used mixed research design to conduct the study among 339 MSEs who are registered with KNCCI Trans Nzoia county. Stratified sampling was used to categorize MSEs into three strata's namely service manufacturing and commerce or trade. Pilot study tested the instruments reliability and validity which met the threshold 0.70. Correlation among the technological innovation factors was found to be significant. The major findings of the study indicated technological innovation influences introduction of variety of new product and services offering to customers, drastically reduces the cost of savings mobilization and makes financial products and services appealing/attractive. The study concluded that financial institutions to consistently innovate new technologies of delivering their products and services The study also concludes that gender, level of education and number of dependants positively affects technological innovations which influences savings mobilization among MSEs. The study recommends that prior to the financial institutions

introduction of technological innovations, they should create functional infrastructures to operate effectively.

Key words: Technological innovation, savings mobilization, Micro and small enterprises, Entrepreneurial Determinant.

Introduction

Technological innovation in providing financial services are essential in achieving competitive advantage, it allows for lower costs and opens up a set of new opportunities that allow businesses to perform better in differentiated ways (Beltratti and Stulz,2011). In recent years, financial institutions have undergone significant changes, many of them directly related to the progress driven by ICT, in Portugal, banking sector has heavily invested in technological innovation, its having advanced European payment systems and the users of electronic payments systems has increased significantly, technological innovation has provided customers with a higher level of trust and efficiency (Pinto and Ferreira, 2010). Studies by; (Pinto and Ferreira, 2010; Beltratti and Stulz, 2011) observed that technological innovation improves on performance of MSEs and those MSEs with superior technological resource will have a competitive advantage in business performance compared with their competitors. It also enhances the efficiency of an enterprise and builds the confidence of entrepreneurs in discharging their responsibilities

Noelia *et al.* (2014) conducted a study on the factors that matter for financial inclusion in Peru, the study indicated that high cost of delivering services to rural, remote and poor areas geographical distance was perceived as a barrier by 23.7% of individuals, with women giving this reason more frequently than men, 28% and 18.7% respectively. Kibet *et al.* (2009) found that higher transport costs to saving institutions had a negative impact on the saving habits of teachers in rural areas. Quarshie J. (2011) conducted a study in Ghana on improving efficiency of savings mobilization, the study observed that the nearness of financial institutions not only encourages saving and deposits, but reduces the cost and risks associated with cash movement and distance to banks predicts saving behavior of rural consumers and the close distance will have positive impact in reduction of transportation cost which encourages saving. Studies conducted by (Ashraf *et al.*2009; Cohen,,2010;Quarshie, 2011;Flory,2011; Brune *et al*,2013;Noelia *et al*, 2014) found that the distance to the bank encourages or discourages on savings with FFI's especially on savers who are operating in rural areas. However with the provision of alternative formal savings mobilization channels, it will be expected that MSEs will not have a problem saving with FFI's even though not all MSEs have signed for modern savings channels. The underlying fact is that the MSEs can be better off if it is cheaper to open and operate a bank account. The use of technological innovations like m-banking, agency Banking, online banking among others will remove or reduce the distance to the bank and encourage more MSE's to save with financial institutions.

Jeff *et al.* (2009) defined entrepreneurship as a way of thinking, reasoning, and acting that is opportunity obsessed, holistic in approach, and leadership balanced for the purpose of value creation. Entrepreneurship drives innovation and technical change, and therefore generates

economic growth (Schumpeter, 1934). Entrepreneurial action is the process through which supply and demand are equilibrated (Kirzner, 1997). Entrepreneurship is an important process by which new knowledge is converted into products and services (Shane & Venkataraman, 2000). Entrepreneurship is doing things that are not generally done in the ordinary course business routine, that is, not doing different things but doing things differently (Bwisa, 2011). The various definitions on entrepreneurship as given by different experts captures common characteristics of what entrepreneurship entails (Schumpeter, 1934; Kirzner, 1997; Jeff *et al.* 2009; Shane & Venkataraman, 2000; Bwisa, 2011). It's imperative that from the foregoing studies, entrepreneurial determinants of savings mobilization are innovative and growth oriented factors which can influence savings mobilization among the MSE's to save their income with financial institutions or are favorable and enabling terms and conditions, products and services which are to be provided by the financial institutions to influence the MSEs mobilize their savings with them for entrepreneurial growth of their MSEs.

Statement of The Problem.

Technological innovation in providing financial services are essential in achieving competitive advantage, it allows for lower costs and opens up a set of new opportunities that allow businesses to perform better in differentiated ways (Beltratti and Stulz, 2011). In recent years, financial institutions have undergone significant changes, many of them directly related to the progress driven by ICT, in Portugal, banking sector has heavily invested in technological innovation, its having advanced European payment systems and the users of electronic payments systems has increased significantly, technological innovation has provided customers with a higher level of trust and efficiency (Pinto and Ferreira, 2010).

Studies by; (Pinto and Ferreira, 2010; Beltratti and Stulz, 2011) observed that technological innovation improves on performance of MSEs and those MSEs with superior technological resource will have a competitive advantage in business performance compared with their competitors. It also enhances the efficiency of an enterprise and builds the confidence of entrepreneurs in discharging their responsibilities. Like credit, saving helps MSEs to turn a sequence of small sums into useful lump sums and in real situations MSEs prefer to save rather than borrow because it is low cost and gives them more control over their lives. It has generally been agreed that technological innovations will affect savings mobilization among the MSEs for them to reap the benefits of accumulated savings to MSEs growth. Ngugi *etal.* (2010) observed that savings serve as invaluable reserves in improving the MSEs well being, insuring against times of shocks, improve on investments to exploit opportunities for faster entrepreneurial growth and help them cope in times of crisis that can easily drive the MSEs into destitutions among other reasons motivating them to save with FFI's.

Studies conducted by; (FinAccess, 2009; Ngugi *etal.* 2010; Ayyagari & Maksimovic, 2011; Muthia *etal.*, 2011; KIPPRA, 2012; Dupas and Robinson, 2013) indicate that despite the benefits arising from saving mobilization as documented in various literatures and empirical studies reviewed from developed countries, technological innovations as an entrepreneurial determinant influencing savings mobilization among MSEs in developing countries and in Trans Nzoia county

as an alternative of availing adequate and low cost financial resources to support the MSE's entrepreneurial growth has not been conducted.

General objective of the study

The general objective of this research study was to find out the effect of technological innovation on savings mobilization among micro and small enterprises in Trans Nzoia county ,Kenya.

Literature Review

Schumpeterian Innovation Theory

Joseph Schumpeter developed important ideas on innovation as a source of economic change and technological innovation as a source of business cycles (Schumpeter, 1942,1947). To Schumpeter, innovation consists of any one of the following five phenomena; introduction of a new good or service. Introduction of a new method of production, opening of a new market, conquest of a new source of supply of raw materials or half-manufactured goods and implementation of a new form of organization. Joseph Schumpeter in (1949) advocated a very dynamic theory on entrepreneurship. Schumpeter postulated that entrepreneurship is the catalyst at the center of economic development and underscored innovation as central to entrepreneurial activity; he also recognized that development is a process of disturbance and change instigated by the entrepreneurs (Beta, Jones and Latham, 2010). The Schumpeterian entrepreneur is an innovator who brings about change through the introduction of new technological processes or products, introduces a new production process, introduces a new product into the market, finds a new source of raw material or opens a new market (Deakins & Freel,2009b). Schumpeter (1939) defined technological innovation as a new combination of means of production as a change in the factors of production (inputs) to produce products (outputs) .Schumpeter put the entrepreneur and, later, the large firm at the center of the innovation process. Schumpeter (1934) argued that innovation is an endogenous process that makes it possible for economic agents to obtain a surplus over costs, or entrepreneurial profit. In his theory, enterprises compete with one another to gain market share and improve their ability to increase profit through the use of new methods of production. The result was that competition for capital across industries created a tendency toward equilibrium, whereas competition for capital within an industry created a tendency toward disequilibrium. Schumpeter (1939) argued that new combinations of technical alternatives should be large enough to disrupt the existing set of technical alternatives and diffusion becomes more important in his business cycles where he emphasized the temporal nature of entrepreneurial profit and the importance of competition in spreading technology over the course of the cycle. Schumpeter was more interested in innovation clusters and swarms of innovative activity and less interested on the issue of whether enterprises below the technology frontier can also search for and learn to combine available resources in similar ways. (Schumpeter,1934,1939,1942,1947,1949;Deakins&Freel,2009b;Beta,Jones & Latham,2010)

have shown that entrepreneurship is not a static activity but rather a continuous process of introducing new products and services as new opportunities present themselves. The entrepreneurs who operate the MSEs are will be more motivated when the financial institutions they mobilize their savings are equally entrepreneurial through introduction of innovative ways which provide favorable business environment to propel MSEs to do even better in the operations of their MSEs. This theory focuses more on innovative activities of entrepreneurs rather than on the motivation behind innovation.

Motivational Theory

Studies by McClelland (1961) emphasized that any society that has a higher level of motivation will have a higher number of active entrepreneurs. According to McClelland's theory, individuals with a high need to achieve are those who like to solve their own problems, set targets and meet those targets. The theory states that individuals who have a strong need to achieve become entrepreneurs and succeed better than others. Accordingly an entrepreneur, the following characteristics such as original and innovative, takes individual responsibility, plans on long term basis, aware of the results of his acts and are moderate risk avoider. Zhao *et al.* (2010) observed that motivation can be defined by the total factors, internal and external, that stimulate the desire and energy in people to be continually interested and committed to a job, role or subject, or to make an effort to attain a goal and therefore entrepreneurial motivation represents the sum of factors that influence a person to engage into entrepreneurial activities. Grigore (2012) emphasized that motivation energizes, leads and supports the action. A person that is driven by a high need of achievement has the following qualities, an orientation towards the future, reliance on their own ability an optimistic rather than a pessimistic outlook, a strong task orientation, restlessness, driven and energetic, responsible and persistent in pursuit of aims, willingness to work long and hard when necessary to complete tasks (Caird, 2013). Kirkwood and Walton (2010) argued that motivation literature emphasizes individuals' initiative and persistence in behaviors through beliefs that such behaviors will result in a certain desired outcome. Social conditions such as the potential profit, favorable environmental factors, and cognitive conditions such as knowledge and/or experience and skills contribute to the calculated decision to be motivated to engage in entrepreneurial actions. Motivation paves the way for entrepreneurs to acquire certain knowledge, skills, and abilities that are essential for successful outcomes such as their potential for discovering, evaluating, and exploiting profitable opportunities to create market, social, or monetary value (Tipu&Arain, 2011; Ucbasaran, Westhead, Wright, & Flores, 2010). Several studies (Yeboah, Kumi and Awuah,2013;Ooi and Ahmad,2012;Fatoki, 2010) identified the obstacles to entrepreneurial intention into exogenous factors (high interest rate, high labour cost, strict government regulations, tight labour market, high taxes, lack of government support and strong competition) and endogenous factors (stress, fear of failure, lack of business skill, lack of planning and long-sighted and excessive risk, high operating expenses, lack of working capital/ investment, fund and lack of good suppliers. Fatoki, (2010) found that the obstacles to entrepreneurial intention amongst graduate students in South Africa were inadequate capital,

inadequate support from the government, economy, and crime. Furthermore, Fatoki and Chindoga (2011) added that exogenous factors such as the fear of failure, lack of business skills and lack of willingness to take risk were obstacles to youth entrepreneurship in South Africa. Studies by (McClelland,1961;Singh &Drnovsek,2009;Edelman &Yli-Renko,2010; Fatoki,2010; Fatoki and Chindoga,2011;Tipu&Arain, 2011;Eijdenberg and Masurel,2013) observed that motivational theory captures the factors behind entrepreneurial behaviors. These factors are both internal within an entrepreneur and those that are external without an entrepreneur, studying these factors which attract entrepreneurs to get involved into entrepreneurial activities is an important undertaking since perhaps those are the same entrepreneurial factors which will influence savings mobilization among the MSEs in financial institutions.

Research Methodology

Research design

A research design is the logic that links the data to be collected and the conclusions to be drawn on the initial questions of the study (Yin, 2009). This study used a mixed method research design which comprised both qualitative and quantitative approaches. Namusonge (2010) observed that this research design is suited for gathering descriptive information where the researcher wants to know about people or attitudes concerning one or more variables through direct query.

Population of study.

A population is considered to be any group of people, events, or items that are of interest to the researchers that they wish to investigate (Kothari, 2008). The researcher identified 2216 MSEs registered with the KNCCI Trans nzoia county .

Sampling Frame

Saunders *et al.* (2012) argued that sampling frame has the properties that the researcher can identify every single element and include any in the samples. It included the owner's managers and the CEOs of MSEs operating in Trans Nzoia County.

Sample and sampling Technique

Moazzam(2014) indicated that a sample is some part of a larger body specially selected to represent the whole while sampling is then taking any portion of a population or universe as representative of that population or universe. Stratified sampling was used where the target population was categorized into distinct groups service, manufacturing and commerce and trade. Random sampling was used to ensure that each element in each stratum had an equal chance of being in the study sample. The sample size of this study was found to be 339 respondents distributed as follows services 52, manufacturing 52 and commerce and trade 235.

Pilot Test

Nunes *et al.* (2010) pilot studies are instrumental in the framing of questions, collection of background information, refinement of a research approach or tailoring efficient research instruments. Simon M.K. (2011) a pilot study sample size between 10-20% of the actual study is representative. The pilot study was done on 147 Equity bank clients, some of the target population for pilot test were registered members of KNCCI Kitale. The number of MSEs who participated in the pilot study were 31, from each sector the following MSEs were piloted, manufacturing 6 commerce and trade 14 while from service sector 11MSEs were piloted.

Data Processing and Analysis

Hair *et al.* (2010) data analysis is a process which involves drawing conclusions and explaining findings in words about a study. The descriptive statistics for the variables in the study were computed to calculate frequency and percentages for those variables which were qualitative in nature. A quantitative technique was used to collect numerical data either on independent and dependent variables influencing savings mobilization among MSEs. In analyzing quantitative data, the researcher specify the amount of error permissible by indicating the level of significance [α - (α)] and the degrees of freedom (df) as is appropriate. A commonly used value of alpha is .05 (or 95%.) The qualitative approach allowed the respondents to 'tell their story' thus giving the researcher an opportunity to probe and seek clarifications (Yin, 2009).

Table 1.1 Results for Technological Innovation Reliability

Factor Analysis	Component	
	Loadings	Remarks
I frequently use technological innovations for savings mobilization at my financial institution	.891	Retained
Savings technological innovations from my financial institutions is faster, reliable and convenient compared that of competitors	.882	Retained
My financial institutions savings mobilizations costs	.871	Retained
Technological innovations of my financial institution has made you a royal customer to the institution	.868	Retained
Using technological innovations to mobilize my saving has led to entrepreneurial growth of my enterprise	.841	Retained
Technological innovations from my financial institutions has made their products and services become more appealing and superior to that of competitors	.840	Retained
My savings mobilizations has improved since I embraced the use of technological innovations to save	.821	Retained
Saving mobilization using technological innovations from my financial institution has influenced my introduction of new product offerings to my customers	.997	Retained

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

a. 2 components extracted.

Results for Technological Innovation Reliability Results

All values on technological innovation were retained as they were above the recommended 0.5 loading level using factor analysis . Predictive validity was used to examine the extent to which technological innovation was a good predictor of the dependent variable (level of Savings Mobilized). If correlation was >.80 or <-.80 for variables inversely related, the relationship strength was considered strong enough to measure validity of variables

Table 1.2 Results for technological innovation Validity

Correlations		Technological Innovations	Level Of Savings Mobilized
Technological	Pearson	1	.930**
Innovations	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	312	312
Level Of Savings Mobilized	Pearson	.930**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	312	312

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Predictive Validity Value = 0.930 (Result = Valid)

Table 1.3 Correlation in technological innovation

Crosstab count		Bio data		Total		
		What is you gender				
			Male	Female		
Saving mobilization using technological innovations from my financial institution has influenced my introduction of new product offerings to my customers	Strongly disagree	59	29	88		
	Disagree	55	8	63		
	undecided	31	15	46		
	Agree	63	36	99		
	Strongly agree	14	2	16		
Total		222	90	312		
Chi-Square Tests						
		Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)		
Pearson Chi-Square		13.853 ^a	4	0.008		
Likelihood Ratio		15.435	4	0.004		
Linear-by-Linear Association		0.239	1	0.625		
N of Valid Cases		312				

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is

Crosstab

Count

			What's your level of education?					
			Informal	Primary	Secondary	Diploma	Degree	Total
Saving mobilization using technological innovations from my financial institution has influenced my introduction of new product offerings to	Strongly disagree	22	48	14	0	4	88	
	Disagree	32	27	2	0	2	63	
	undecided	11	14	15	0	6	46	
	Agree	33	32	8	14	12	99	
	Strongly agree	6	4	6	0	0	16	
Total		104	125	45	14	24	312	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	Df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	
Pearson Chi-Square	80.355 ^a	16	0.000	
Likelihood Ratio	81.459	16	0.000	
Linear-by-Linear	6.627	1	0.010	
N of Valid Cases	312			

a. 9 cells (36.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .72.

Crosstab

Count

		What is the number of dependants in your family?			Total
		1-3	4-6	7-9	
Saving mobilization using technological innovations from my financial institution has influenced my introduction of new product offerings to my customers	Strongly	34	40	14	88
	Disagree	44	15	4	63
	undecided	16	28	2	46
	Agree	43	46	10	99
	Strongly	10	0	6	16
Total		147	129	36	312

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp.Sig.(2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	41.863 ^a	8	0.000
Likelihood Ratio	45.303	8	0.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.001	1	0.971
N of Valid Cases	312		

a. 1 cells (6.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.85.

Crosstab

Count

		What is you gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Savings technological innovations from my financial institutions is faster, reliable and convenient compared that of competitors	Disagree	1	0	1
	undecided	25	3	28
	Agree	118	65	183
	Strongly	78	22	100
Total		222	90	312

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	Df	Asymp.
Pearson Chi-Square	11.144 ^a	3	0.011
Likelihood Ratio	12.31	3	0.006
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.059	1	0.808
N of Valid Cases	312		

a. 2 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

Crosstab

Count

		What is the number of Total dependants in your family?			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	
Using technological innovations to mobilize my saving has led to entrepreneurial growth of my enterprise	Disagree	0	1	0	1
	undecided	15	10	8	33
	Agree	96	67	19	182
	Strongly	36	51	9	96
Total		147	129	36	312

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	Df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.842 ^a	6	0.022
Likelihood Ratio	14.065	6	0.029
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.052	1	0.82
N of Valid Cases	312		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count

Crosstab

Count

		What is the number of Total dependants in your family?			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	
Technological innovations from my financial institutions has made their products and services become more appealing and superior to that of competitors	undecided	19	8	9	36
	Agree	90	69	17	176
	Strongly agree	38	52	10	100
Total		147	129	36	312

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.135 ^a	4	0.004
Likelihood Ratio	14.293	4	0.006
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.401	1	0.526

N of Valid Cases 312

a. 1 cells (11.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected

The findings above revealed that there was a significant relationship between gender and saving mobilization using technological innovations from their financial institutions which influenced their introduction of new product offerings to their customers (p=0.008), this implies that when innovations are examined with a gender lens, a powerful, untapped strategy emerges to transform women’s lives. We identify core levers essential for innovation to catalyze meaningful change for women in financial institutions. Successful technological innovations break boundaries and engage in broad-based partnerships. As innovations evolved,

motivations for more productive and efficient outcomes coming led motivations to realize women’s intrinsic human rights. The findings above revealed that there was a significant relationship between level of education of entrepreneurs saving mobilization using technological innovations from their financial institution which influenced their introduction of new product offerings to customers (p=0.000). This implies that level of education of the customer influence the use of technology in saving mobilization. Those with higher level of education get the use of technology easy and have ability to start and operate the technology with the help of instruction available from the providers of the product. The findings above revealed that there was a significant relationship between family dependants and saving mobilization using technological innovations from their financial institution which influenced their introduction of new product offerings to customers (p=0.000). This implies that when family members have knowledge about the use of technology innovations from their financial institutions, they can mobilize other family members to save using the new technology.

Table 1.4 Results for Level of Education and Savings mobilization

Chi-Square Tests			
	Value	Df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	133.531 ^a	72	0
Likelihood Ratio	116.973	72	0.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.294	1	0.07
N of Valid Cases	312		

a. 75 cells (78.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .09.

The study findings indicated there was a significant relationship between level of education Versus savings mobilization (p=0.041). The study findings have shown that majority of those with informal level of education have mobilized more savings than other level of education perhaps because they were responsible in making financial savings decisions within family and business and therefore those with informal education influenced savings mobilization.

Table 1.5 Relationship between technological innovation and saving mobilization

Chi-Square Tests			
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1431.897 ^a	399	0
Likelihood Ratio	778.1	399	0
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.411	1	0.065
<hr/>			
N of Valid Cases	312		

The results indicated that there is significant relationship between saving mobilization and technological innovations ($p=0.000$). This could be interpreted to mean that technological innovation is providing financial services essential competitive advantage, it allows for lower costs which increase the savings and opens up a set of new opportunities that allow businesses to perform better in differentiated ways. Technological innovation improves on performance of MSEs and those MSEs with superior technological resource will have a competitive advantage in business performance compared with their competitors.

Discussion Of Findings

The findings of the study revealed that majority of the respondents were of the opinion that savings technological innovations from their financial institution were faster, reliable and convenient compared that of competitors. This implies that majority of the entrepreneurs could have adopted only those technologies innovations that they understood their operations. Here faster and reliable encourages saving as compared from the competitors. Lack of technologies innovations, higher transport costs to saving institutions had a negative impact on the saving habits of customers in rural areas. Nearness of financial institutions to customers not only encourages saving and deposits, but reduces the cost and risks associated with cash movement and distance to banks predicts saving behavior of rural consumers and the close distance will have positive impact in reduction of transportation cost which encourages saving. The use of technological innovations like m-banking, agency Banking, online banking among others will remove or reduce the distance to the bank and encourage more MSE's to save with financial institutions.

The study's findings agree with the study conducted by Noelia et al. (2014) which revealed that factors that matter for financial inclusion in Peru, the study indicated that high cost of delivering services to rural, remote and poor areas geographical distance was perceived as a barrier by 23.7% of individuals, with women giving this reason more frequently than men, 28% and 18.7% respectively. Kibet et al. (2009) found that higher transport costs to saving institutions had a negative impact on the saving habits of teachers in rural areas. These findings agree with findings of Quarshie (2011) who conducted a study in Ghana on improving efficiency

of savings mobilization, the study observed that the nearness of financial institutions not only encourages saving and deposits, but reduces the cost and risks associated with cash movement and distance to banks predicts saving behavior of rural consumers and the close distance will have positive impact in reduction of transportation cost which encourages saving. These findings agree with studies conducted by (Ashraf et al.2009;Monique Cohen,2010;Quarshie, J.2011; Flory,2011; Brune et al,2013;Noelia et al.2014) found that the distance to the bank encourages or discourages on savings with FFI's especially on savers who are operating in rural areas. However with the provision of alternative formal savings mobilization channels, it will be expected that MSEs will not have a problem saving with FFI's even though not all MSEs have signed for modern savings channels. The underlying fact is that the MSEs can be better off if it is cheaper to open and operate a bank account. The use of technological innovations like m-banking, agency Banking, online banking among others will remove or reduce the distance to the bank and encourage more MSE's to save with financial institutions.

Conclusions

Technological innovation does affect savings mobilization among the MSE's. It influences introduction of variety of new product and services offering to their customers which are effective, efficient and reliable satisfying their needs. When financial institutions innovate savings technologies, the cost of savings mobilization reduces drastically and frequency of savings is likely to increase. Technological innovation from financial innovations makes their financial products and services appealing/attractive and creates royal clients thereby affecting their savings mobilization. The study therefore concludes that financial institutions to consistently innovate new technologies of delivering their products and services to their respective clients since technological innovations saves on time, convenience and improves on efficiency of an enterprise. The study also concludes that gender, level of education and number of dependants positively affects entrepreneurial determinants influencing savings mobilization among micro and small enterprises in Trans Nzoia county.

Recommendations

This study recommends that prior to the financial institutions introduction of technological innovations which will be handled by their wide range of clientele with diverse knowledge, skills and capabilities, they should create functional infrastructures to operate effectively and avoid any disappointment which arises when technology fails to deliver as promised and most MSE's are found to be too cautious in trying new technologies in accessing their treasures/finances and instead prefer to travel to financial institutions premises and transact from there. The study further recommends that financial institutions to design products and services which takes into consideration gender, level of education and the number of dependants in the entrepreneurs household which influences the decision to mobilize savings with financial institutions

Acknowledgement

We could like to appreciate also that Mrs Roselyn Gichana for her proofreading and her words of encouragement during the process of writing this paper, my children's Ian, Milfen, Ben and Bryson who closely monitored my progress.

Corresponding Author

Roselyne Nyambane

Research Consultant

Kenya

E-mail: roselynenyambane@yahoo.com

References

- Agango, C.O. (2014). *Influence of Interactive Teaching Methods on Achievement in Mathematics Among Preschool Children, in Nyang'oma Zone, Muhoroni District, Kenya*. Unpublished Master's Thesis.
- Ahlstrom, D.; Bruton, G.D. & Li, H.L. (2010). Institutional Theory and Entrepreneurship: Where are We Now and Where Do We Need to Move in the Future? *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 5, 421-440
- Alexandra. (2014). *Applying Behavioral Economics to Improve Micro savings Outcomes*. Kimberly Davies, Grameen Foundation
- Ashraf, N. (2009). Spousal Control and Intra Household Decision Making: An experimental study in the Philippines. *American Economic Review*, 99.
- Ashley-Cotleur, C; King, S. & Solomon. (2009). *Parental and Gender Influences on Entrepreneurial Intentions, Motivations and Attitudes*.
- Banerjee, A.E.; Duflo, R.; Glennerster & C. Kinnan. (2010). The Miracle of Microfinance? Evidence from a Randomized Evaluation. *MIT Bureau for Research and Economic Analysis of Development Working Paper 278*.
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustainable competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17, 99-120.
- Bitrate, A. & Stutz, R. (2011). The credit crisis around the globe: Why did some banks perform better? *Journal of Financial Economics*.
- Brigman, Hank. (2013b). *Touch point Power! Get and Keep more Customers, Touch point by Touch point*. Atlantic Beach, FL, US: William Henry Publishing.
- Brune, Lasse; Xavier Gine; Jessica Goldberg & Dean Yang (2011). *Commitments to Save*.
- Bwisa, H.M. (2011). *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. A Kenyan Perspective. Jomo Kenyatta Foundation.
- Caird, S. Sally. Caird@open.ac.uk. (2014). *Permission to use GETv2*.
- Carpenter, F.S.; Cole, J.; Shapiro & B. Zia. (2011). Unpacking the Causal Chain of Financial Literacy. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 5798*.

- Gregersen & Trischler. (2014). *Exploring Innovation in Developing Countries*. The Case of the Kenyan Renewable Energy Sector. Unpublished Master's Thesis.
- Chowa, G.A., Masa, R.D., & Sherraden, M. (2012). Wealth effects of an asset-building Intervention among rural households in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of the Society for Social Work and Research*, 3.
- Claudia Fernandes Niza. (2014). *The Impact of Patient Financial Incentives to Promote Blood Donation and Compliance with Health Care*. Unpublished doctorate thesis.
- Cohen, Monique, & Candace Nelson. (2011). *Financial Literacy: A Step for Clients Towards Financial Inclusion in Kenya*.
- Consultative Group to Assist the Poor. (2010). *Financial access 2010 report*. Washington DC: CGAP.
- Creswell, J.W. (2009). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Method Approaches*. (3rd eds), Sage Publications, London.
- Creswell, J.W. & Plano Clark, V.L. (2011). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research* (2nd eds), SAGE, Los Angeles.
- Cole, S., T. Sampson, & B. Zia. (2011). Prices or Knowledge? What Drives Demand for Financial Services in Emerging Markets. *Journal of Finance*, 66.
- Collins Daryl; Jonathan Morduch; Stuart Rutherford & Orlanda Ruthven. (2009). *Portfolios of the Poor. How the World's Poor live on \$2 a Day*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Curley, J.; Ssewamala, F. & Sherraden, M. (2009). Institutions and savings in low-income Households. *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare*, 36.
- Deakins, D. & Freel, M. (2009b). The Entrepreneur Concepts and Evidence. *Entrepreneurship and Small Firms* (5th eds), London: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Demirguc-Kunt, Asl. & Leora Klapper. (2012). Measuring Financial Inclusion: The Global Findex. *Policy Research Working Paper 6025*, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Desai, V. (2009). *The Dynamics of Entrepreneurial Development and Management* Mumbai: Himalaya publishing House.
- Dibeehi Qaalfa; Shaw Colin & Walden Steven. (2010). *Customer Experience: Future Trends and Insights*. Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Dupas Pascaline & Jonathan Robinson. (2011). Savings Constraints and Microenterprise Development: *Evidence from a Field Experiment in Kenya*. NBER Working Paper 14693.
- Dupas, P. & Robinson, J., (2013a). Savings Constraints and Microenterprise Development Evidence from a Field Experiment in Kenya. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 5 (1).
- Dupas, P. Robinson, J. (2013b). Why don't the Poor Save More? Evidence from Health Savings Experiments. *The American Economic Review*, 103 (4).
- Economic Commission for Africa. (2012b). *Finance and Investment: Mobilizing Resources for Financing African Union/New Partnership for Development Projects*. Policy Research Paper No. 3.

- Edelman, L., & Yli-Renko, H. (2010). The impact of environment and entrepreneurial Perceptions on venture-creation efforts: Bridging the discovery and creation views of entrepreneurship.
- Egwu, P.N. & Nwibo, S.U. (2014). Determinants of saving capacity of rural women Farmers in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Agricultural Research*.
- Eijdenberg, E.L., & Masurel, E. (2013). Entrepreneurial Motivation in a Least Developed Country: Push Factors and Pull Factors among MSEs in Uganda. *Journal of Enterprising Culture*, 21, 19-43...
- European Commission, (2013). *Final Report of Enterprise and Industry Directorate-General, Expert Group: Accounting System for small enterprises—Recommendations and good Practices*. November 2008.
- Fatoki, O.O. & Chindoga, L. (2011). An investigation into the obstacles to youth Entrepreneurship in South Africa, *International Business Research*, 4, 161-169
- Federal Reserve Board of Governors (2010). *Survey of Consumer Finances, Consumer Federation of America and the Financial Services*. Roundtable press release February 18.
- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics* (4th eds). London: Sage.
- Field, E., S. Jayachandran, & R. Pande, (2010). Do traditional institutions constrain female entrepreneurship? A field experiment on business training in India. *American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings*, 100.
- FinAccess. (2009). National Survey 2009: *Dynamics of Kenya's Changing Financial Landscape. Financial sector deepening*, Nairobi.
- FSD Kenya. (2009). *FinAccess National Survey 2009: Dynamics of a changing landscape. Technical report*, Nairobi, Kenya.
- FSD Kenya. (2013). *Fin Access National Survey 2013: Profiling developments in financial access and usage, technical report*. Nairobi, Kenya.
- Flory. (2011). Micro savings and informal insurance in villages: How financial deepening affects safety nets of the poor. A natural field experiment. *Becker Friedman Institute for Research in Economics Working Paper Series, University of Chicago*.
- Frese, M. (2009). *Toward a Psychology of Entrepreneurship: An Action Theory Perspective*. Now Publishers Inc.
- Ghauri, P.N. (2010). *Research Methods in Business Studies*, (4th eds) London: FT-Pearson,
- Gitari, J.N. (2012). *The relationship between financial literacy and retirement planning in Nairobi, Kenya*. <http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke:8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/9182>
- Gneezy, U. Meier, S. & Rey-Biel, P. (2011). When and why incentives (don't) work to modify behavior. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*.
- Grigore, A.M. (2012). The Psychology of Entrepreneurship, Romanian. *Journal of Marketing*, 2, 25.
- Hair, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., & Anderson, R.E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis: A Global Perspective*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.

- Hand in Hand EA, (2013). Final results progress report .*Enterprise development for rural families in Kenya*.
- HuseyinAlptekin,(2014).*Explaining Ethnopolitical Mobilization: Ethnic Incorporation and Mobilization Patterns in Bulgaria, Cyprus, Turkey ,and Beyond*.Unpublished Dissertation.
- Jones,R,& Latham, J. (2010). "Entrepreneurship and the Innovative self: a Schumpeterian Reflection. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior And Research*.
- Josh Martin, (2013).*Savings as a Cornerstone of Laying the Foundation for Financial Inclusion*. The SEEP Network, Kent. Arlington.
- Kahangi,W. N.&Muturi,W.(2013).Determinants of low income household savings in Kenya: A survey of Mathare slum. *International Journal of Current Research* 5, 2686-2690.
- Karlan,Dean,and Jonathan Zinman.(2010b).*Expanding Microenterprise Credit Access: Using Randomized Supply Decisions to Estimate the Impacts in Manila*. Unpublished Master's Thesis.
- Kamenica,E.(2012).Behavioral economics and the psychology of incentives. *Annual Review of Economics*, 4,427-452.
- Katou,A.A.(2008).Measuring the impact of HRM on organizational performance.*Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 2,119-142.
- Kibet,L.,Mutai,B.,Ouma,D.,Ouma,S.,and Owuor,G.(2009).Determinants of household saving:Case study of smallholder farmers, entrepreneurs and teachers in rural areas of Kenya. *Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics*, 1(7)
- Kimani,C.W.(2013).Supply Chain Management Challenges in Kenya Petroleum Industry: Case of National Oil Corporation of Kenya. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Entrepreneurship*,1(3).
- Kirkwood,J.andWalton,S.,(2010.)What motivates eco-preneurs to start businesses? , *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior and Research*, 16(3).
- Kirzner,I.(1997).Entrepreneurial discovery and the competitive market process: An Austrian approach.*The Journal of Economic Literature*, 35, 60–85.
- Klapper,L.andPanos,G.A.(2011).Financial literacy and retirement planning: The Russian case. *Journal of Pension Economics and Finance*, 10.
- KIPPRA.(2012).*Kenya Economic Report 2012: Imperatives for Reducing the Cost of Living*. Nairobi:Kenya Institute for Public Policy Research and Analysis.
- Langevang,T;Namatovu,R.and Dawa,S.(2012).Beyond necessity and opportunity Entrepreneurship: motivations and aspirations of young entrepreneurs in Uganda. *International Development Planning Review*, 34, 242-252.
- Macharia,E.W.(2013).The effects of global financial crisis on the financial performance of commercial banks offering mortgage finance in Kenya. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Entrepreneurship*.1, 688-701
- Mbuthia.(2011).*Households' Saving Decisions In Kenya*. A thesis submitted in the school of economics of Kenyatta university. Unpublished Doctoral thesis.

- McClelland, D. C. (1961). *The achieving society*. Princeton:VanNostrand
- McConnell, M. (2012). *Between intention and action: An experiment on individual savings*, Harvard School of Public Health Working Paper, available at:
<http://www.margaretmcconnell.com/papers/> Intention Savings.
- Lusardi, A. and Mitchell, O.S. (2011). Financial literacy and retirement planning in the United States. *Journal of Pension Economics and Finance*.
- Microsoft Encarta (2009). *Definition of Savings*. 1993-2008; Microsoft Corporation.
- Moazzam Ali, (2014). Sampling and sample size estimation. *World Health Organization Geneva, Switzerland. Presented at GFMER*.
- Munnell Alicia H; Anthony Webb, and Francesca Golub-Sass. (2012). *The National Retirement Risk Index*: Chestnut Hill, MA: Center for Retirement Research at Boston College.
- Mwangi, I.W. and Kihui, E.N. (2012). Impact of Financial Literacy on Access Financial Services in Kenya. *International Journal of Business and Social Science Vol.3 No.19*
- Njuguna, A. (2010). *Strategies to improve pension fund efficiency in Kenya*. Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University in Port Elizabeth, S.A.
- Namusonge. (2010). *Business statistics concepts and applications*. Beau Bassin, Mauritius, VDM Publishing House Ltd.
- Nicolai J. Foss. (2011). Entrepreneurship in the Context of the Resource-based View of the Firm. *SMG Working Paper No. 8/2011*.
- Ngugi, R., Amanja, D. & Maana, I. (2012). *Capital Market, Financial Deepening and Economic Growth in Kenya*.
- Noelia Clamara; Ximena Peñay & David Tuesta. (2014). Factors that Matter for Financial Inclusion: Evidence from Peru. *Working Paper 14/09*
- Nunes, B.M., Martins, T., Zhou, J., Alajamy, L.M. & Al-Mamari, S. (2010). Contextual sensitivity in grounded theory: The role of pilot studies. *The Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods, 8(2)*.
- Nteere, K. (2012). *Entrepreneurship, A Global Perspective* (1st eds). Richmonds Press Ltd Nairobi
- Obed G. & Fredrick M. (2013). *The impact of community savings and investment promotion program on household income and credit market participation in Kasungu District, central Malawi*. Unpublished master's thesis.
- Ochanda, M.M. (2014). Effect of financial deepening on growth of small and medium-sized enterprises in Kenya: A case of Nairobi County. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Entrepreneurship, 1, (11)*.
- Office of the comptroller of the currency community affairs. (2014). *Bank savings incentives programs*. USA
- Onchang'wa G.A and Memba F.S. (2013). A model to enhance Savings and Cooperatives Societies members' investment culture in Kenya. *Proceedings of the 1st JKUAT-SHRD Research Conference*.
- Ooi, Y.K., & Ahmad, S. (2012). A Study among University Students in Business Start-Ups in Malaysia: Motivations and Obstacles to Become Entrepreneurs. *International Journal of Business and Social Science, 3, 181-192*.

- Peng, M. W; Sun, S. L; Pinkham, B. and Chen, H. (2009). The Institution-Based View as a Third Leg for a Strategy Tripod..*Academy of Management Perspectives*.63-81.
- Pinto,S. and Ferreira, F, (2010). Technological dissemination in the Portuguese payments system: An empirical analysis to the region of Santarem, *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals*,1,55-75.
- Portney L.G;WatkinsM.P.(2009).*Foundations of Clinical Research: Applications to practice*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice/Prentice Hall.
- Purina S.(2013).Do Simple Savings Accounts Help the Poor to Save? *Evidence from a Field Experiment in Nepal*. Mimeo Case,Western Reserve University.
- Quarshie Joseph.(2011).*Improving efficiency of savings mobilization in Ghana*.Unpublished master's thesis.
- Republic of Kenya.(2009).*Central Bank of Kenya Monthly Economic Review*.Nairobi: Government Printers.
- Republic of Kenya.(2009). *Economic Survey*. Nairobi Kenya: Government Printers
- Republic of Kenya.(2009).*National Micro and Small Enterprise Baseline survey Results*. Nairobi: Government Printers.
- Republic of Kenya.(2010) .*Economic Survey*. Nairobi Kenya: Government Printers
- Republic of Kenya.(2011).*Bank Annual Supervision Reports and Developments in the Banking Sector*.Nairobi .Government printers.
- Republic of Kenya, (2012) *Economic Survey*. Nairobi Kenya: Government Printers
- Republic Of Kenya (2014).*Kenya National Bureau and Statistics*.Nairobi. Government printers.
- Robinson.(2012).Limited insurance within the household: Evidence from a field experiment in Kenya. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*.
- Rooij,M.Lusard,A.andAlesie,R.(2011).*Financial Literacy and stock market participation*. A paper presented at the ECB-CFS conference on Household Finances and Consumption, Frankfurt, Germany.
- Saleemi,N.A.(2009).*Entrepreneurship simplified*.Nairobi:Saleemi Publications. Ltd.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., &Thornhill,A.(2012).*Research methods for business students* (6th eds).Essex: Pearson Education Limited.
- Schaner,S.(2013b).The persistent power of behavioral change: *Long-run Impacts of temporary savings subsidies for the poor*. Dartmouth College Working Paper.
- Schumpeter,J.(1934).*The Theory of Economic Development: An Inquiry into Profits, Capital, Credit, Interest, and the Business Cycle*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Schumpeter.(1928).The Instability of Capitalism. *The Economic Journal*, 9,361-386.
- Schumpeter.(1939).*Business Cycles: A Theoretical, Historical and Statistical Analysis of the Capitalist Process*, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Schumpeter.(1942).*Capitalism,Socialism and Democracy*,New York: Harper,
- Schumpeter.(1947).The creative response in economic history. *Journal of Economic History*, 9,149-159

- Seshan,G.andYang,D.(2013).Transnational household finance:A *field experiment on the cross-border impacts of financial education for migrant workers*.University of Michigan Working Paper.
- Shane,S.&Venkataraman,S.(2000).The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research. *Academy of Management Review*,25,217–226.
- Sherraden,M.S.&McBride,A.M.(2010).*Striving to save:Creating policies for financial security of low-income families*. Ann Arbor, MI:University of Michigan Press.
- Simon,M.K.(2011).Dissertation and scholarly research.*Seattle,W.A:Dissertation success,LLC*.
<http://dissertation recipes.159>.
- Subhashree Nayak.(2013).*Determinants and Pattern of Saving Behavior in Rural Households of Western Odish*. Unpublished Master’s thesis.
- The MasterCard Foundation.(2011).*Taking Stock:Financial Education Initiatives for the Poor*. A Report.Toronto.
- The World Bank. (2014).*Global Financial Development Report*.
- Thomas,G.& Philip.N.(2014).Financial literacy and retirement planning in the informal sector in Kenya Nairobi. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 2 No.1
- Tipu,S.A.A.& Arain,F.M.(2011).Managing success factors in entrepreneurial ventures: A behavioral approach..*International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior and Research*,17,534-560.
- Trans Nzoia, (2013). *Trans Nzoia county edition*.
- Ucbasaran,D.,Westhead,P.,Wright,M andFlores,M. (2010).The nature of entrepreneurial experience,business failure and comparative optimism. *Journal of Business Venturing*,25,541-555.
- Umar Serakan. (2010).*Research methods for business, (4theds.)*.Wiley India (P) Ltd,New Delhi,.277
- Weaver,B. and Maxwell,H.(2014).Exploratory factor analysis and reliability analysis With missing data: A simple method for SPSS users.*The quantitative methods for psychology*,10 (2).
- Welter,F.&Smallbone,D.(2011).Institutional perspectives on entrepreneurial behavior in challenging environments. *Journal of Small Business Management*.49 (1),107-125.
- World Bank.(2009).*World development indicators and World development finance*.
- World Bank. (2010).*Kenya unlocking growth potential: Strategies, policies and actions*
- Women’s World Banking.(2013).*Savings: A gateway to financial inclusion*.
- Xu,L.& Zia B.(2012).Financial literacy around the world: An overview of the evidence with practical suggestions for the way forward. *World Bank Policy Research Working PaperNo.6107*.
- Yeboah, A.S., Kumi, E., & Awuah,B.J.(2013).An Assessment of entrepreneurship Intention among Sunyani Polytechnic marketing student. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 3,37-49.
- Yin,R. (2009). *A case study research: Design and methods*. London: Sage Publications
- Zhao,H.,Seibert,S.E.,&Lumpkin,G.T.(2010).The relationship of personality to Entrepreneurial

intentions and performance: A meta-analytic review *Journal of Management*,
36,381-404.