

Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) Professional Development: An Evaluation of Teachers' Learning on Project-based Learning (PBL) Approach in Teaching

Ahmad Syarizal Mohd Yusoff^{1,2}, Mohd Sattar bin Rasul²,
Norasmah Othman²

¹Ministry of Education Malaysia, Putrajaya, Malaysia, ²National University of Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia

To Link this Article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v14-i6/20684>

DOI:10.6007/IJARBSS/v14-i6/20684

Published Date: 10 June 2024

Abstract

This study aims to identify the level of teachers' learning (made up of sub components of knowledge, skill and attitude) acquired from professional development on project-based learning (PBL) approach. The study is undertaken in selected MoE schools that implement STEM PD and from then, use PBL method in the classroom. A total of 221 teachers participated in the study and data is analysed using SPSS Ver. 18.0. It was found in this study that two components of teachers' learning; knowledge and attitude acquired from PD attended were at a high level but another component; skill was found only moderate with each of their sub domains having variations from moderate to high level. Recommendations were made on bettering the implementation of PD focusing on the sub domains of teachers' knowledge, skill and attitude hopefully resulting in desired PBL implementation.

Keyword: Professional Development, Knowledge, Skill, Attitude

Introduction

Students' outcomes hinges upon teachers who constantly update their knowledge of the subjects they teach along with pedagogical skills in order to prepare quality teaching and learning. Therefore, implementation strategy towards students' outcomes, outlined in the Malaysia Education Blueprint, prioritizes teaching and learning quality (MoE, 2013). Such a strategy can be achieved through PD as it is viewed as an avenue in providing teachers with new knowledge and skill in response to vast changes taking place in education requiring teachers to change (Randel et al., 2016).

Taylor et al (2011) stated that previous studies had confirmed that not only does PD assist teachers in increasing teachers' knowledge and skill but also creating shift in the minds of teachers who could be resistant towards change in the education landscape. Researchers concluded that teachers who are exposed to PD tend to effectively implement their lesson (de Jager, Reezigt &

Creemers, 2002; Kealey et al., 2000). Even Guskey, a renowned scholar in PD evaluation emphasizes PD in education contending that PD is among important components to elevate education quality. Realizing the magnitude of impact that PD can have in spearheading education quality, Ministry of Education Malaysia has taken appropriate measures such as implementing PD as an avenue to raise teachers' quality in enacting better and more meaningful teaching. Such an effort is a manifestation of MoE's commitment in upholding teachers' profession since PD aims towards teachers' quality through improvement in their knowledge, skills and positive attitude (Azmi, 2016).

Studies have shown that PD focusing on improving teachers' knowledge and skills, which is continuously implemented and is related to what teachers do, are critical in improving teachers' lesson and ultimately, students' outcomes (Althaus, 2015). Therefore, PD ideally should emphasize knowledge and skill acquisition among teachers. Knowles (2017) argued that PD not only improve teachers' knowledge and efficacy in the teaching the subject content but also have significant impact towards students' confidence in learning and subsequently academic achievement.

Nevertheless, in the context of integrated STEM in the teaching of Science and Mathematics as a new endeavour to improve students' understanding of concepts, teachers knowledge and skill need to be enhanced for them to implement teaching (Ceylan & Ozdilek, 2015; Radloff & Guzey, 2016; Abdul Hadi, 2015; Rahayu et al, 2018). Thus, in order to realise teachers' role in this new context, PD has been identified as the platform to boost teachers' knowledge and skills as well as nurture positive attitude towards change in their existing teaching practice (MoE, 2013; Wojnowski & Pea, 2013; Lambert et al, 2018; Gardner et al, 2019).

Abd Khalil (2017) argued that PD should function as a mechanism to close the gap between the lack of teachers ability with the latest needs to implement integrated STEM. Similarly, in the context of STEM teachers capability, PD should factor teachers' needs in order to implement integrated STEM in class. Moore et al (2014) opined that quality integrated STEM requires updated knowledge and specific pedagogy on related STEM disciplines.

The implementation of efficient integrated STEM requires teachers to have knowledge about their STEM subjects (Eckman et al., 2016). Besides that, teachers need to have knowledge in teaching STEM concepts to students (Thibaut et al., 2018). Becker and Park (2011) argued that STEM, taught in an integrated manner will result in effective learning in students. In the context of STEM Education, Kermani and Aldemir (2015) stated that students are able to achieve a higher level of understanding when their learning is supported by quality teachers. Thus, such teachers can be produced through intervention programme since integrated STEM is relatively new. Based on this scenario, Chai (2018) emphasized that there is a need for an intervention for STEM teachers which provides justification to embrace new changes.

Moore et al (2014) added that quality STEM teaching necessitates an updated knowledge and specific pedagogical skill on integrated STEM be made available to teachers. Hence, PD for STEM teachers, focusing on assisting them to improve students' Mathematics and Science learning is a valuable avenue in creating a significant change in students interest towards STEM. PD, therefore is seen as not only assisting teachers in designing integrated STEM lesson but also providing engaging learning experience for students (Avery, 2013).

As PD significance is proven as the main foundation for any kind of change in education (Fore et al., 2015; Desimone, 2009; Guskey, 2002; Avery, 2013) stated that PD needs to improve teachers' understanding on the required knowledge and skill for teaching to provide

students with effective STEM lesson. Especially for STEM education, PD exposes teachers to STEM concepts along with ways in implementing pertinent teaching approach in their classroom (Donna, 2012). Further, activities in PD helps prepare teachers to teach STEM content using a new approach (Brophy et al (2008), a departure from their existing teaching practice.

Besides, the significance of PD has been proven in many a study, among others one undertaken by Dare, Ellis dan Roehrig (2018) on teachers' implementation which discovers that teachers require PD in the implementation of interdisciplinary STEM teaching. Dan and Gary's (2018) interviewed teacher who had no previous teaching experience and foundation on integrated STEM and established the need for PD for them. This need exists based on their lack of knowledge on how to apply integrated STEM in teaching.

Professional development for STEM teachers in Malaysia is planned to fulfill the aspirational needs outlined in the Education Blueprint. In line with this, MoE Malaysia plans to implement professional development to further develop teachers' knowledge and skills in order to improve students' learning (MoE, 2013). STEM PD prepares training for teachers to ensure that they can deliver the curriculum effectively. And is implemented in three cascading levels, the first level from ministry to national trainers, followed by national trainers to the district trainers and finally from district trainers teachers, the implementors of STEM PBL in schools, selected to execute the approach in class. This STEM PD exposes teachers to global emphasis towards STEM and current state of STEM efforts in Malaysia especially STEM Initiative and PBL as the selected teaching approach for Integrated STEM.

Then, if PD is viewed as the solution to issues and challenges in integrated STEM implementation, there exists a need to systematically evaluate the programme, a desired exercise to investigate the training and its after-effect, specifically looking at teachers' learning (knowledge, skill and attitude) which will influence teachers' behaviour in the implementation of STEM PBL in their lesson (Kirkpatrick, 2000). Fore et al (2015) highlights that past evaluation on PD has paid less attention to teachers' learning process, referring to what happens during PD. As in the context of this study, what is material is that whether knowledge, skill and positive attitude among teachers take place as a result of attending the PD.

Programme evaluation needs to be undertaken given that this aspect is capable of providing information to MoE, if ignored decision made on the future of programme is not made based on empirical findings (Kirkpatrick, 1994: Patton, 1990: Stufflebeam & Shinkfield, 2007). Therefore programme such as STEM PD calls for properly planned evaluation to determine the extent it impacts the organisation.

This study therefore aims to evaluate STEM PD on teachers' learning acquired from PD with specific focus on their knowledge, skill and attitude. Specifically, the objectives are as follow:

- i. Identifying teachers' knowledge on STEM PBL
- ii. Identifying teachers' skills in implementing STEM PBL
- iii. Identifying teachers' attitude towards STEM PBL

The study is undertaken in selected schools to implement STEM PD and from then, use the PBL method in the classroom. Stratified random sampling is employed in with STEM subjects taught by the teachers namely Mathematics, Science as well Design and Technology as the stratum. A total of 221 teachers participated in the study.

Methodology

This research employs the survey design with sample drawn from the population of teachers who attended STEM PD. A total of 221 teachers took part in the study and data is descriptively analysed using SPSS.

Findings and Discussion

Based on Research Objective 1, teachers' knowledge records a high mean score (mean=3.91, s.d.=0.56). Details of the three sub constructs of knowledge are knowledge about STEM Initiatives by MoE (mean=3.91, s.d.=0.54), knowledge about STEM PBL needs (mean=3.94, s.d.=0.64), and knowledge about PBL steps (mean=3.87, s.d.=0.63), all of which record high mean score indicating teachers' knowledge gained from PD is high.

Table 1

Mean score and standard deviation for teachers' knowledge level after STEM PD

Aspect	Mean Score	Std. Deviation	Interp of level
Know-ledge of..	3.91	0.56	High
MoE's Strengthening of the STEM Education Initiative	3.91	0.54	High
STEM PBL needs	3.94	0.64	High
STEM PBL steps	3.87	0.63	High

The first aspect of knowledge is on STEM Initiative by MoE Malaysia as guiding document on the implementation of STEM programmes including PD. The document, highlights STEM to be implemented in the education system. STEM Initiative drives the implementation of teaching and learning of STEM which also provides rationale on the integrated manner approach using PBL as the selected method. Based on the findings in this study, teachers report that they gain knowledge on STEM that can be integrated in formal, informal and non-formal settings, knowledge on elements of S,T, E and M that can be integrated in STEM subject taught and knowledge on the suitability of the PBL method in the STEM subject taught. Such findings corroborate finding by Han (2015) that discovered PD as an avenue to maximise improvement in teachers' capability in implementing change in education.

Table 2

Frequency and percentages of teachers' knowledge about MoE's Strengthening of the STEM Education Initiative

		Knowledge about MoE's Strengthening of the STEM Education Initiative aspect				
		Very low	Low	Moderate	High	Very High
1	Definition of STEM Education based on leaflet from MoE	0	6 (2.7)	48 (21.7)	142 (64.3)	25 (11.3)
2	Concept of STEM Education based on leaflet from MoE	0	5 (2.3)	47 (21.3)	138 (62.4)	31 (14.0)
3	Goals of STEM Education based on leaflet from MoE	0	6 (2.7)	43 (19.5)	147 (62.0)	35 (15.8)
4	Activities under MoE's Strengthening of the STEM Education Initiative based on leaflet from MoE	0	2 (0.9)	54 (24.4)	133 (60.2)	32 (14.5)
5	STEM Education can be integrated in formal, non-formal and informal education	2 (0.9)	2 (0.9)	45 (20.4)	130 (58.8)	42 (19.0)
6	The importance of other elements of S, T, E and M be integrated in the teaching of STEM subject that I teach		3 (1.4)	47 (21.3)	136 (61.5)	35 (15.8)
7	The suitability of the PBL approach		5 (2.3)	42 (19.0)	137 (62.0)	37 (16.7)

in the STEM subject
taught

Nevertheless, there are areas to be improved in future STEM PD with regards to teachers' knowledge on STEM Initiative. These include emphasizing knowledge of the STEM Education definition, knowledge of STEM Education goals, knowledge of STEM Education concept as well as knowledge of activities planned under STEM Initiative. These aspects are crucial to be exposed to teachers as early as they step into PD to prepare them to accept the rationale that STEM Education is given significant focus by MoE and the rationale why they are, in turn required to attend PD. This argument supports findings by Joyce and Showers (2002) that teachers need to explore and understand the rationale as to why change needs to be embraced and subsequently implemented as well as why they need to think and teach differently in order to implement change to their existing practice. Finding from this study also resonates with Han (2015) who discovered that PD is effective for teachers, at least to help them believe about change in education system. Through PD, teachers are able to identify any educational change requiring different capabilities compared to what they need in their existing practice. Han (2015) argues that PD is an effective platform in exposing teachers to important concepts related to STEM PBL and that the teachers agreed that changes in education such as the use of STEM PBL requires a set of pedagogical capabilities to be achieved through PD (Capraro & Slough, 2013; Newell, 2003; Ozel, 2013).

The second aspect is knowledge about STEM PBL needs which is shown to be at a high level. Teachers report that they have the knowledge about the need to implement STEM PBL and knowledge about the need to adapt to the new teaching method. They also report that they have the knowledge on the need to integrate at least two elements of S, T, E and M and relate those elements to real life situation. However, as documented in this study, future PD may want to strengthen knowledge among teachers on adapting STEM PBL to assessment component, on using STEM PBL for students' exploration activities and on the exploration activities to be carried out via group work. These findings are parallel to what Han (2015) argued that most teachers agree that STEM PBL is an activity that is crucial and effective in stimulating student interest and enhancing their understanding of STEM concepts.

Table 3

Frequency and percentages of teachers' knowledge about STEM PBL needs

Know-ledge about STEM PBL needs		Frequency/Percentage				
		Ve-ry low	Low.	Mod	High	Very High
1	The need to imple-ment PBL in my tea-ching now	0	3 (1.4)	43 (19.5)	126 (57.0)	49 (22.2)
2	The need to connect my tea-ching using PBL	0	4 (1.8)	49 (22.2)	116 (52.5)	52 (23.5)

	with Learning Std. (curriculum)					
3	The need to connect my teaching using PBL with Performance Std. (assessment)	2 (0.9)	6 (2.7)	51 (23.1)	113 (51.1)	49 (22.2)
4	The need to combine at least two elements of S, T, E or M in my teaching through PBL	0	4 (1.8)	51 (23.1)	113 (51.1)	53 (24.0)
5	The need to connect the combined STEM element with real life context in my teaching through PBL	0	6 (2.7)	48 (21.7)	112 (50.7)	55 (24.9)
6	The need to teach STEM topic through exploration activities by students through PBL	0	5 (2.3)	52 (23.5)	129 (58.4)	35 (15.8)
7	The need for the exploration activities by students be carried out in group-work through PBL	0	4 (1.8)	52 (23.5)	120 (54.3)	45 (20.4)

Next, the third aspect of knowledge in this study is on steps to implement PBL. Findings in this study show that this aspect is found to be at a high level. Teachers report that they acquire knowledge on the need to guide students in exploring problems relating to real world

situation and knowledge on the need to help students complete a project based on their participation in PBL. Aside from these, teachers also report that they know the need to guide students in stating problems that they wish to explore and strategising solutions accordingly. Nevertheless, there are aspects to be improved in future PD. Such aspects include equipping teachers' knowledge on how to guide students to further research the problem they wish to explore, knowledge on how to guide students acquire knowledge and subsequently deeper understanding of STEM concepts from participating in PBL activities as well as knowledge on how to guide students to reflect on both their exploration and groupwork activities. Considering that these aspects constitute steps in implementing PBL (Capraro et al, 2013), teachers ideally should possess knowledge about these steps in order to implement PBL.

Table 4

Frequency and percentages of teachers' knowledge about PBL steps

	Know- ledge about PBL steps	Frequency/Percentage				
		Low	Moderate	High	Very High	Very low
1	The need to guide students through PBL to explore problems in real life context	0	2 (0.9)	51 (23.1)	127 (57.5)	41 (18.6)
2	The need to guide students through PBL to clearly state the problem they wish to explore	2 (0.9)		47 (21.3)	135 (61.1)	37 (16.7)
3	The need to guide students through PBL to further investigate the problem they wish to explore	2 (0.9)	4 (1.8)	60 (27.1)	115 (52.0)	40 (18.1)
4	The need to guide students through PBL to strategise solutions to the problem investigated	2 (0.9)	1 (0.5)	51 (23.1)	126 (57.0)	41 (18.6)
5	The need to guide students through PBL to	2 (0.9)	1 (0.5)	61 (27.6)	126 (57.0)	31 (14.0)

	build know-ledge about a STEM topic that I teach by invol-ving in PBL					
6	The need to guide students through PBL to build deep undertan-ding about a STEM topic that I teach by invol-ving in PBL	2 (0.9)	4 (1.8)	57 (25.8)	121 (54.8)	37 (16.7)
7	The need to guide students to imple- ment a project as the final activity in PBL	0	7 (3.2)	48 (21.7)	116 (52.5)	50 (22.6)
8	The need to guide students to reflect on their explo-ration activities in PBL	4 (1.8)	1 (0.5)	59 (26.7)	117 (52.9)	40 (18.1)
9	The need to guide students to reflect on their group-work activities in PBL	0	7 (3.2)	55 (24.9)	117 (52.9)	42 (19)

Findings above are parralel to argument that teachers' understanding of STEM PBL influences students' understanding of STEM contents and skills that they are expected to learn (Capraro et al., 2013). As put forth by Darling-Hammond (2000); Darling Hammond and Young (2002); Goldhaber (2002); Rice (2003); Wayne and Youngs (2003), students learn more from qualified teachers with considerable amount of knowledge and skills.

When compared to the first construct of learning which is teachers' knowledge about STEM PBL, found to be at a high level in this study, the same corresponding aspect under teachers' skill in implementing STEM PBL records a moderate mean score (mean=3.63, s.d.=0.67). Details of the two sub constructs of skill are skill about STEM PBL needs (mean=3.63, s.d.=0.70), and skill about steps in implementing PBL (mean=3.63, s.d.=0.67), both of which record moderate mean score indicating teachers' skill gained from PD is at a moderate level.

Table 5

Mean score and standard deviation for teachers’ skill level after STEM PD

Aspect	Mean Score	Std. Dev.	Interpr. of level
Skill	3.63	0.67	Moderate
Skill about STEM PBL needs	3.63	0.70	Moderate
Skill about PBL steps	3.63	0.67	Moderate

The first aspect of teachers’ skill in this study is skill about STEM PBL needs which is found to be at a moderate level. In this aspect, teachers are found to have skill at a moderate level with regards to teaching STEM using PBL approach through groupwork, connecting STEM elements to real life situation and combining at least two elements in teaching using PBL approach. However, as documented in this study, future PD may want to strengthen skill among teachers on how to use PBL for students’ exploration activities. Such findings are in line with that of Han (2015) who discovered in his study that STEM PBL is a relatively new approach and teachers do face challenges in implementing despite being exposed to skills needed to implement such an approach, justifying teachers’ skill discovered in the present study to be at a moderate level.

Table 6

Frequency and percentages of teachers’ skill about STEM PBL needs

No.	Skill about STEM PBL needs aspect	Frequency/percentage				
		Low	Mode Rate	High	Very High	Very low
1	Skilled to implement PBL in my teaching now	5 (2.3)	10 (4.5)	82 (37.1)	101 (45.7)	23 (10.4)
2	Skilled to connect my teaching through PBL with Learning Standards (Curriculum)	4 (1.8)	10 (4.5)	82 (37.1)	103 (46.6)	22 (10.0)
3	Skilled to connect my	4 (1.8)	7 (3.2)	81 (36.7)	103 (46.6)	2 (11.8)

	tea- ching through PBL with Perfor- mance Stan- dards (Assessment)						
4	Skilled to com-bine at least two components of S, T, E or M in my tea- ching th- rough PBL	2 (0.9)	10 (4.5)	71 (32.1)	113 (51.1)	25 (11.3)	
5	Skilled to con-nect the com-bined STEM ele- ment with real life con-text in my tea-ching th-rough PBL	2 (0.9)	11 (5.0)	69 (31.2)	113 (51.1)	26 (11.8)	
6	Skilled to teach STEM topics using exploration Acti-vities by stu-dents through PBL	4 (1.8)	14 (6.3)	77 (34.8)	105 (47.5)	21 (9.5)	
7	Skilled to teach STEM topics using group-work Activi-ties by stu-dents through PBL		12 (5.4)	64 (29.0)	119 (53.8)	26 (11.8)	

Nevertheless, for the benefit of future PD, some critical aspects on PBL need to be given focus and be equipped among teachers, among others, skills on connecting lesson using PBL with Learning Standards (Curriculum) and Performance Standard (Assessment). Besides that, focus on future PD should also aim to equip teachers with the skill to use PBL for

students' exploration activities. Thus, teachers would acquire skills on STEM PBL needs to implement PBL in their lessons. These needs are parallel to argument put forth by Jones & Carter (2007) that apart from teachers' knowledge and motivation, their skill are also called for to implement a new teaching approach. The importance of the needs to equip teachers with this skill on PBL needs, evident in this study, is parallel to Rowan and Ball (2005) that skills in implementing certain approach have an impact on their teaching and eventually students' outcomes.

The second aspect of teachers' skill in this study is skill about implementing steps in PBL which is also found to be at a moderate level. Teachers reported that their skill is at a moderate level with regards to guiding students to implement project as the output of participating in PBL, as well as guiding students to reflect on groupwork activities. However, future PD needs to address aspects such as equipping teachers with skill to guide students to strategise solutions to problems they wish to research and skill to guide students to further research the problems they wish to explore. These aspects are steps in implementing PBL and it is desired that teachers be equipped with skills in these aspects (Capraro, 2013).

Table 7

Frequency and percentages of teachers' skill about PBL steps

	Skill about PBL steps aspect	Frequency/percentage				
		Low	Moderate	High	Very High	Very low
1	Skilled to guide students through PBL to explore problems in real life context	2 (0.9)	10 (4.5)	80 (36.2)	112 (50.7)	17 (7.7)
2	Skilled to guide students through PBL to clearly state the problem they wish to explore	2 (0.9)	6 (2.7)	91 (41.2)	104 (47.1)	18 (8.1)
3	Skilled to guide students through PBL to further investigate the problem they wish to explore	2 (0.9)	9 (4.1)	88 (39.8)	103 (46.6)	19 (8.6)

4	Skilled to guide students through PBL to strategize solutions to the problem investigated	4 (1.8)	6 (2.7)	91 (41.2)	103 (46.6)	17 (7.7)
5	Skilled to guide students through PBL to build knowledge about a STEM topic that I teach by involving in PBL	2 (0.9)	9 (4.1)	77 (34.8)	106 (48.0)	27 (12.2)
6	Skilled to guide students through PBL to build deep understanding about a STEM topic that I teach by involving in PBL	4 (1.8)	9 (4.1)	76 (34.4)	111 (50.2)	21 (9.5)
7	Skilled to guide students to implement a project as the final activity in PBL	4 (1.8)	7 (3.2)	70 (31.7)	111 (50.2)	29 (13.1)
8	Skilled to guide students to reflect on their exploration activities in PBL	5 (2.3)	5 (2.3)	81 (36.7)	109 (49.3)	21 (9.5)
9	Skilled to guide students to reflect on their groupwork activities in PBL	2 (0.9)	7 (3.2)	74 (33.5)	111 (50.2)	27 (12.2)

In addition, aspects such as guiding students to acquire knowledge and further, deep understanding of STEM concepts from participation in PBL need to be strengthened in teachers in future PD. Besides, emphasis on teachers guiding students to reflect on their exploration activities in PBL needs to also be addressed in future PD. Especially with teachers' skill in guiding students acquiring deep understanding of STEM concepts as documented in this study, this aspect supports those discussed by Han (2015); Barron et al (1998) as well as Capraro, Capraro and Morgan (2013) that PBL is implemented in education to encourage deep understanding among students.

Next, the third construct of learning, namely attitude records a high mean score (mean=3.68, s.d.=0.59). Details of the three sub constructs of attitude are motivation (mean=3.73, s.d.=0.63), self concept (mean=3.65, s.d.=0.55) and attitude toward job satisfaction (mean=3.64, s.d.=0.69), all recording high mean score indicating the level of teachers' attitude gained from PD is high. Such findings support those of Al Salami, Makela and Miranda (2017) as well as those of Berlin and White (2009) whose findings indicate that teachers' attitude are positive towards integrated STEM.

Table 8

Mean score and standard deviation for teachers' attitude level after STEM PD

Aspect	Mean score	Std. Dev.	Interpr. of level
Attitude	3.68	0.59	High
Motivation	3.73	0.63	High
Self concept	3.65	0.55	High
Attitude towards job satisfaction	3.64	0.69	High

In this study, among other aspects discovered under teachers' attitude as a general construct are that teachers feel that it is their responsibility to implement PBL in their teaching and PBL is realistic to be implemented with their students. Nevertheless, under self concept, a sub construct of teacher's attitude a few aspects need addressing. These include improving teachers' perception that there is no problem in implementing PBL in a big class, found in the current study to be low. This finding supports that of Che Seman et al (2017) who documented that teachers faced problems in implementing a new change in their teaching because of the big class size. Such self concept calls for a change via serious addressing in future PD so that teachers can overcome perceived challenges such as class size. Positive self concept successfully inculcated during PD is also indicative of a successful PD.

Table 9

Frequency and percentages of teachers' attitude regarding self concept

Attitude regarding self concept aspect		Frequency/percentage				
		Strongly disagree	Dis-agree	Less agree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	To me, PBL is realistic to be implemented with my students	0	9 (4.1)	53 (24.0)	130 (58.8)	29 (13.1)
2	It is my responsibility to implement PBL in my teaching	0	10 (4.5)	40 (18.1)	140 (63.3)	31 (14.0)
3	It is a problem for me to teach students through PBL while at the same time need to teach them to prepare for examination	36 (16.3)	101 (45.7)	71 (32.1)	8 (3.6)	5 (2.3)
4	It is not a problem for me to teach students through PBL despite the big number of students in class	13 (5.9)	31 (14.0)	84 (38.0)	81 (36.7)	12 (5.4)
5	To me, PBL does not burden students in their learning	5 (2.3)	8 (3.6)	71 (32.1)	101 (45.7)	36 (16.3)

Apart from the aspect discussed above, another aspect under teacher self concept also requires attention in future PD which is about teacher's perception on the need to implement PBL while at the same time teach students to the test as well as perception on PBL as burdening students. Such findings support those documented in Che Seman (2017) as well as Hand and Treagust (1994) about the exam-oriented education system in which teachers' duty in teacher-based learning is to deliver knowledge and finish the syllabus in preparing students for examination. Besides that, findings from the current study is also parallel to that of Herro

and Quigley who documented that the inability to have control of the syllabus stands in the way for the teacher to implement STEM approach in class. In addition to that, findings from the current study about STEM PBL burdening students also support those of Al Salami et al (2017); Asghar et al (2012); Bagiati and Evangelou (2015); Goodpaster et al (2012); Van Haneghan et al (2015) on teachers underestimating students' capabilities. Among the highlights in these studies are that teachers do not have the confidence in students having enough competency to solve problems in STEM PBL classroom that has eventually caused them to be unmotivated in learning. Consequently, teachers need to have a change in their self concept and this should be the focus in future PD in order for them to implement PBL without any hiccups.

Further, motivation, another subconstruct under teachers' attitude is also found to be at a high level. Teachers reported that their participation in PD has driven them to further search for new knowledge to implement PBL for a more effective teaching. Aside from that, teachers also reported that they are prepared to guide students towards meaningful learning via PBL. Nevertheless, for the betterment of future PD, efforts need to be in place to intensify motivation among teachers, especially on their excitement, desire, and determination in implementing PBL as well as the passion to apply knowledge about STEM PBL learnt in PD into their classroom. Such findings are parallel to that of Jones and Carter (2007) who discovered that motivation, apart from knowledge and skills, is required by teachers in implementing STEM PBL.

Table 10

Frequency and percentages of teachers' attitude regarding motivation

Attitude regarding motivation		Frequency/percentage				
		Strongly disagree	Dis-agree	Less agree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	Ready to implement STEM in my teaching	1 (0.5)	6 (2.7)	58 (26.2)	127 (57.5)	29 (13.1)
2	Ready to guide students towards meaningful learning through PBL in my teaching		7 (3.2)	49 (22.2)	128 (57.9)	37 (16.7)
3	Want to continue searching for new knowledge in order to implement STEM more effectively in my teaching		7 (3.2)	41 (18.6)	135 (61.1)	38 (17.2)
4	Excited to teach using PBL	1 (0.5)	11 (5.0)	78 (35.3)	120 (54.3)	11 (5.0)

5	Passionate to apply the knowledge learnt on PBL in my teaching	1 (0.5)	9 (4.1)	78 (35.3)	110 (49.8)	23 (10.4)
6	I have high desire to implement my STEM teaching using PBL	3 (1.4)	14 (6.3)	66 (29.9)	111 (50.2)	27 (12.2)
7	I have high determination to implement my STEM tea-ching using PBL	4 (1.8)	8 (3.6)	77 (34.8)	111 (50.2)	21 (9.5)

Considering that motivation is seen as an important element in enabling teachers to apply the knowledge acquired from any PD into practice in classroom, visible in the current study, supports those documented by (Copriady, 2015; Nafukho et al., 2017; Ku Ahmad & Hassan, 2016; Thoonen et al., 2011; Weissbein et al., 2011). In those studies, motivation has been found to be contributive in influencing behavioral change in the classroom

Next, attitude towards job satisfaction, another construct under teachers’ attitude also discovered to be high in the current study. Teachers reported that they are happy to assist students to better understand STEM topics through PBL and are happy to reflect upon their teaching using PBL. Few aspects under this sub-construct call for emphasis in future PD such as correcting the perception of teachers who feel burdened in implementing PBL. Similarly, are the perception of teachers about PBL method compared to teaching students for examination as well as perception about their experience attending PD in order to effectively teach students using PBL. Findings from the current study regarding these aspects are parralel to Barak and Shakman (2008) as well as Rajendran (2001) discovering that teachers prefer to teach using the teacher-centred learning.

Table 11

Frequency and percentages of teachers’ attitude regarding their attitude towards job satisfaction

N	Teachers attitude regarding their attitude towards job satis-faction	Frequency /percentage				
		Strongly disagree	Dis-agree	Less agree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	Happy to assist students to better		6 (2.7)	49 (22.2)	129 (58.4)	37 (16.7)

	undertand a STEM topic through PBL in my teaching						
2	I am not burdened to imple-ment PBL in my teaching	10 (4.5)	19 (8.6)	81 (36.7)	97 (43.9)	14 (6.7)	
3	Happy to refelect on my teaching through PBL	4 (1.8)	14 (6.3)	61 (27.6)	119 (53.8)	23 (10.4)	
4	I would rather teach through PBL than teach my students to prepare them for exami- nation	4 (1.8)	11 (4.5)	70 (31.7)	112 (50.7)		
5	I feel happy attending STEM PD in order to teach STEM effec- tively through PBL	5 (2.3)	8 (3.6)	78 (35.3)	106 (48.0)	24 (10.9)	

Conclusion and Recommendation

Based on findings from thist study, it can be concluded that PD on STEM PBL has been successful in creating knowledge, skill and positive attitude towards change in the hope of effecting change in teachers' existing practice. This is based on the range of moderate to high level of teachers' knowledge, skill and attitude on STEM PBL. However, aspects highlighted in discussion in the previous section can be taken into consideration to improve future PD especially in ensuring that teachers' learning during PD be maximised and later translated into the desired practice in the classroom as the ideal outcome desired in any PD.

Reference

- Al Salami, M. K., Makela, C. J., & de Miranda, M. A. (2017). Assessing changes in teachers' attitudes toward STEM teaching. *International Journal of Technology Design and Education*, 27, 63–88.
- Asghar, A., Ellington, R., Rice, E., Johnson, F., & Prime, G.M. (2012). Supporting STEM education in secondary science education contexts. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 6 (2), 85-125
- Avery, Z. K., & Reeve, E. M. (2013). Developing effective STEM professional development programs. *Journal of Technology Education*, 25 (1), 55-69
- Bagiati, A., & Evangelou, D. (2015). Engineering curriculum in the preschool classroom: the teacher's experience. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 23(1), 112–128.
- Barak, M., & Shakman, L. (2008). Reform based science teaching: Teachers' instructional practices and conceptions. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 4, 11-20.
- Bramley, P. (1996). *Evaluation of Training Effectiveness: Benchmarking Your Training Activity against Best Practice (2nd ed.)*. London, England: McGraw-Hill
- Che Seman, S., Wan Yusoff, W. M., Embong, R. (2017). Teachers' challenges in teaching and learning for higher order thinking skills in primary schools. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 7(7), 534-545
- Chukwu, G. M. (2016). Trainer attributes as drivers of training effectiveness. *Industrial and Commercial Training* 48(7), 367-373
- Capraro, R. M., Capraro, M. M., & Morgan, J. (2013). *STEM Project-based learning: An integrated science technology engineering and mathematics (STEM) approach* Rotterdam, Netherlands: Sense
- Copriady, J. (2015). Self- motivation as a mediator for teachers' readiness in applying ICT in teaching and learning. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 176, 699 – 708
- Corlu, S. S., Capraro, R. M., & Capraro, M. M. (2014). Introducing STEM education: Implications for educating our teachers for the age of innovation. *Education and Science*, 39 (171)
- Dan, Z. S., & Gary, W. K. W. (2018). Teachers' perceptions of professional development in integrated STEM education in primary schools. *IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference. Vol. 2018-April*, 472–477
- Dare, E. A., Ellis, J. A., & Roehrig, G. H. (2018). Understanding science teachers' implementations of integrated STEM curricular units through a phenomenological multiple case study. *International Journal of STEM*, 5(4), 1-19
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2000). How teacher education matters. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 51(3), 166-173
- Darling-Hammond, L., & Youngs, P. (2002). Defining "highly qualified teachers": What does "scientifically-based research" actually tell us? *American Educational Research Journal* 31(9), 13-25
- de Jager, B., Reezigt, G. J., & Creemers, B. (2002). The effects of teacher training on new instructional behavior in reading comprehension. *Teaching and Teacher Education*. 18 (7), 831-842
- Eckman, E. W., Williams, M. A., and Silver-Thorn, M. B. (2016). An integrated model for STEM teacher reparation: The value of a teaching cooperative educational experience. *Journal of STEM Teacher Education*, 51(1), 71-82

- Fore, G. A., Feldhaus, C. R., Sorge, B. H., Agarwal, M., & Varahramyan, K. (2015). Learning at the nano-level: Accounting for complexity in the internalization of secondary STEM teacher professional development. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 51*, 101-112
- Gardner, K., Glssmeyer, D., & Worthy, R. (2019). Impacts of STEM Professional Development on Teachers' Knowledge, Self-Efficacy, and Practice, *Front. Educ. 4*, (26), 1-10
- Ghosh, P., Joshi, J., Satyawadi, R., Mukherjee, U., & Ranjan, R. (2011). Evaluating effectiveness of a training programme with trainee reaction. *Industrial and Commercial Training 43*(4), 247-255.
- Goldhaber, D. (2002). The mystery of good teaching. *Education Next, 2*(1), 50-55
- Guskey, T. R. (2002). Professional development and teacher change. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice, 8*(3), 381-391
- Han, S., Yalvac, B., Capraro, M. M., & Capraro, R.M. (2015). In-service Teachers' Implementation and Understanding of STEM Project Based Learning. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 11* (1), 63-76
- Hand, B., & Treagust, D. F. (1994). Teachers; thoughts about changing to constructivist teaching/learning approaches within junior secondary science classrooms. *Journal of Education for Teaching, 20*(1), 97-112
- Harris, T. B., Chung, W., Frye, C. L., & Chiaburu, D. S. (2014). Satisfaction guaranteed? Enhanced impact of trainer competence for autonomous trainees. *Industrial and Commercial Training, 46*(5), 270-277
- Jodlbauer, S., Selenko, E., Batinic, B. & Stiglbauer, B. (2012). The relationship between job dissatisfaction and training transfer. *International Journal of Training and Development, 16*(1), 39-53
- Joyce, B., and Showers, B. (2002). *Student achievement through staff development (3rd ed.)*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development
- Kermani, H., & Aldemir, J. (2015). Preparing children for success: Integrating science, math, and technology in early childhood classroom. *Early Child Development and Care, 185*(9), 1504–1527.
- Kirkpatrick, D. L. (2000). *Evaluating training program: the four levels- the ASTD Handbook of Training Design and Delivery*. Virginia: American Society for Training and Development, Inc.
- Kirkpatrick, D. L. (1994). *Evaluating Training Program: The Four Levels*. San Francisco:Berrett-Koehler.
- Kirkpatrick, D. L. (1996). Great ideas revisited. *Training and Development Journal 50*(1), 54-59.
- Kirkpatrick, D. L. (1975). *Evaluating training program*. Wisconsin: American Society for Training and Development, Inc.
- Knowles, J. G. (2017). *Impacts of professional development in integrated STEM education on teacher self efficacy, outcome expectancy, and stem career awareness*. Doctoral Dissertations. Purdue Polytechnic
- Lederman, N. G. (2007)). *Handbook of research on science education*. Oxford, England: Taylor & Francis.
- McNamara, C. (1998). Basic Guide to Program Evaluation.
- Ministry of Education Malaysia. (2013). *Education Blueprint 2013-2025 (Preschool to Post-secondary)*. Putrajaya

- Moore, T., Stohlmann, M., Wang, H. H., Tank, K., Glancy, A., & Roehrig, G. (2014). Implementation and integration of engineering in K-12 STEM education. *Engineering in Pre-College Setting: Synthesizing Research, Policy and Practices*, 35-60
- Mohamad, N. (2019). *Penilaian LADAP Kemahiran Berfikir Aras Tinggi (KBAT)*. Doctoral Dissertation, Bangi, Selangor: Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
- Newell, R. (2003). *Passion for learning. How project- based learning meet the needs of 21st century students*. Lanham, MD: The Scarecrow Press
- Patton, M. Q. (1990). *Qualitative evaluation and research methods (2nd ed.)*, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- Pfeffer, J., & Sutton, R. I. (2000). *The knowing-doing gap*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press
- Radloff, J., & Guzey, S. (2016). Investigating Preservice STEM Teacher Conceptions of STEM Education. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 25(5), 759-774
- Randel, B., Apthorp, H., Beesley, A. D., Clark, T. F., & Wang, X. (2016). Impacts of professional development in classroom assessment on teacher and student outcomes, *The Journal of Educational Research*, 109(5), 491-502
- Reeves, M. (1994). *Evaluating of training*. Petaling Jaya: Pelanduk Publication (M) Sdn. Bhd.
- Rice, J. K. (2003). *Teacher quality: Understanding the effectiveness of teacher attributes*. Washington, DC: Economic Policy Institute.
- Smidt, A., Balandin, S., Sigafoos, J. & Reed, V. A. (2009). The Kirkpatrick model: A useful tool for evaluating training outcomes. *Journal of Intellectual & Developmental Disability*, 34(3), 266–274.
- Stufflebeam, D. L., & Shinkfield, A. J. (2007). *Evaluation Theory, Models, And Applications*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Tannenbaum, S. I., Mathieu, J. E., Salas, E., & Cannon-Bowers, J. A. (1991). Meeting trainees' expectations: The influence of training fulfilment on the development of commitment, selfefficacy, and motivation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 76(6), 759-769
- Taylor, M., Yates, A., & Meyer, L. (2011). Teacher professional leadership in support of teacher professional development. *Teaching and Teacher Education*. 27, 85-94
- Thoonen, E. E. J. Slegers, P. J. C., Oort, F. J., Peetsma, T. T. D., & Geijssel, F. P. (2011). How to improve teaching practices: the role of teacher motivation, organizational factors, and leadership practices. *Educational Administration Quarterly* 47(3), 496 –536
- Wang, H., Moore, T., Roehrig, G. H., & Park, M. S. (2011). STEM Integration: Teacher perceptions and practice. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research* 1 (2), 1-13
- Wayne, A. J., & Youngs, P. (2003). Teacher characteristics and student achievement gains. *Review of Educational Research*, 73(1), 89-122.
- Weissbein, D. A., Huang, J. L., Ford, J. K., & Schmidt, A. M. (2011). Influencing learning states to enhance trainee motivation and improve training transfer. *Journal of Business Psychology*, 26:423–435
- Wojnowski, B., and Pea, C. (2013). *Models and Approaches to STEM Professional Development*. Arlington, VA: NSTA Press.
- Young, M. D., Petersen, G., & Short, P. (2002). The complexity of substantive reform: A call for interdependence among key stakeholders. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 38(2), 137-175