Harmonizing Total Quality Management (TQM) & Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR): A Synergistic Approach for Ethical & Sustainable Business Practices

Khartic Rao Manokaran

Faculty of Business, Raffles University, Malaysia
Coressponding Author Email: kharticraomanokaran@raffles-university.edu.my
Henley Business School, University of Reading Malaysia
Email: k.manokaran@reading.edu.my
University of Newcastle (UON), Australia
Email: khartic.manokaran@newcastle.edu.au

Don Jerome Pryantha Jayamana

Faculty of Business, Raffles University, Malaysia
Email: jeromepryantha@raffles-university.edu.my

Choi Sang Long

Faculty of Business, Raffles University, Malaysia
Email: CHOISangLong@Raffles-University.edu.my

Nadzirah Bte Arifan

Faculty of Business, Raffles University, Malaysia
Email: nadziraharifan@raffles-university.edu.my

Abstract
This conceptual research explores the intricate convergence of Total Quality Management (TQM) and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) to establish a unified approach for cultivating ethical and sustainable business practices. Focusing on research directions and the multifaceted challenges encountered in global, ASEAN, and Malaysian contexts, the study navigates the intricate landscape of business management. In the realm of research direction, the paper ventures into uncharted territories, shedding light on the harmonization of TQM and CSR practices, offering a holistic perspective for organizations on a global scale. The comparative analysis within the ASEAN region uncovers distinct best practices, emphasizing the role of government initiatives and the adaptability of cultures in shaping responsible

business endeavours. Moreover, the examination of Malaysian-specific challenges delves into the dynamic interaction between a diverse society and evolving governmental policies, demanding precise navigation. These findings provide a foundation for comprehending the theoretical and contextual contributions within this evolving landscape. In addressing challenges that surface in global, ASEAN, and Malaysian dimensions, this research serves as a valuable guide for organizations striving to harmonize TQM and CSR. It acknowledges the complexities arising from cultural diversity, regulatory variations, and the intricacies of global supply chains. This study also highlights resource constraints faced by SMEs and sector-specific intricacies within industries like palm oil and manufacturing. As the business landscape continues to evolve, this research lays a crucial foundation for future inquiries. The research directions unveiled here inspire further investigation, encompassing the assessment of the impact of harmonized TQM and CSR, the development of cross-cultural frameworks, strategies for regulatory compliance, exploration of stakeholder perspectives, and an examination of the long-term sustainability of these practices. The study underscores the importance of embracing emerging trends and conducting industry-specific analyses, offering guidance for governments seeking to facilitate responsible business practices. In summation, this conceptual paper charts the course for the harmonization of TQM and CSR, delivering a blueprint for organizations and policymakers to navigate the intricate journey towards ethical and sustainable business practices across the global, ASEAN, and Malaysian landscapes.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility, Total Quality Management, CSR, TQM, Sustainable Business Practices

Introduction

In a rapidly evolving global business landscape, the pursuit of success no longer hinges solely on financial metrics and profit margins. Instead, modern organizations are increasingly recognizing the importance of aligning their operations with ethical and sustainable practices to thrive in the long run. Two key paradigms have emerged as fundamental to achieving this objective: Total Quality Management (TQM) and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). This paper delves into the synergistic relationship between TQM and CSR, shedding light on how these concepts can be combined to foster ethical and sustainable business practices.

Total Quality Management, often abbreviated as TQM, is a comprehensive management philosophy that gained prominence in the latter half of the 20th century. Rooted in principles of continuous improvement and customer satisfaction, TQM emphasizes the need to optimize all aspects of an organization's processes. By doing so, TQM seeks to reduce defects, minimize waste, and enhance operational efficiency (Tyagi et al., 2019; Alzoubi, In'airat, & Ahmed, 2022). Organizations embracing TQM are driven by the pursuit of delivering high-quality products or services consistently, meeting and exceeding customer expectations, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement. While TQM primarily focuses on internal operations and product quality, its implications for CSR are profound. On the other hand, Corporate Social Responsibility, or CSR, is a broader and more outward-looking concept. It encompasses an organization's commitment to ethical, social, and environmental responsibility beyond profit maximization. CSR encompasses various dimensions, including environmental sustainability, ethical business conduct, community engagement, and employee well-being. Companies that embrace CSR take into account the interests of all stakeholders, not just shareholders, and aim to create value not only for their

bottom line but for society and the planet as a whole (Brin & Neme, 2019; Freeman & Velamuri, 2021).

The relationship between TQM and CSR lies in their shared commitment to excellence and ethical behaviour (Martín-Gaitero, & Escrig-Tena, 2018). While TQM primarily focuses on improving operational processes and product or service quality, it inherently contributes to CSR objectives. The enhanced quality and efficiency achieved through TQM reduce waste and resource consumption, which aligns with CSR's emphasis on environmental sustainability (Abbas, 2020). Moreover, the customer-centric approach of TQM ensures that products or services meet or exceed customer expectations, thereby enhancing customer satisfaction, a critical component of CSR (Hussain, Wang & Benqian, 2023). Happy customers are more likely to support companies that demonstrate responsible and ethical behaviour.

In practice, the integration of TQM and CSR results in a virtuous circle. TQM principles drive operational efficiency, which can lead to cost savings, improved product quality, and greater customer satisfaction (Ming, 2023). These, in turn, enhance a company's reputation and attractiveness to customers, investors, and employees, which are all essential components of CSR. Furthermore, CSR initiatives can inspire employees and create a positive corporate culture, which is vital for the successful implementation of TQM. For example, consider a company that focuses on reducing waste in its manufacturing processes through TQM. By doing so, it not only cuts operational costs but also minimizes its environmental footprint, contributing to its core CSR objectives. Similarly, a company that adopts TQM practices to enhance the quality of its products not only satisfies its customers but also fulfils its commitment to delivering safe and reliable products, aligning with CSR principles.

Therefore, this paper aims to contextually explore the intricate relationship between TQM and CSR by examining their theoretical underpinnings and it aims to bridge the gap between these two essential concepts by offering insights into how they can be harmonized and directed at various levels of the global, regional, and country-specific contexts. By understanding how TQM principles can bolster CSR initiatives and vice versa, organizations can develop a holistic approach to ethical and sustainable business practices. In doing so, they can better position themselves to meet the challenges of the modern business environment, create value for all stakeholders, and contribute positively to society and the planet. The journey to harmonizing TQM and CSR is not only a path to excellence but also a roadmap to ethical and sustainable success in the 21st century.

Research Direction on TQM and CSR

TQM and CSR represent two vital dimensions of contemporary business management, each addressing distinct yet interrelated aspects of organizational operations. TQM centres on the quest for quality, efficiency, and continuous improvement, while CSR emphasizes ethical, social, and environmental responsibilities. As businesses worldwide navigate a rapidly evolving global landscape, the confluence of TQM and CSR practices has gained prominence, offering a pathway towards sustainable and responsible business conduct.

This introduction seeks to explore potential research directions concerning the interplay between TQM and CSR, considering varying global, regional, and national contexts. These research directions are aimed at uncovering the synergies, challenges, and opportunities

within this multifaceted domain and guiding organizations towards more ethical, sustainable, and competitive practices. In the global context, research endeavours encompass cross-cultural implications, global supply chain dynamics, and emerging trends, acknowledging the diverse cultural, economic, and regulatory settings in which organizations operate. Investigations into the ASEAN context delve into regional comparisons, examining the role of government policies, and evaluating the impact on economic growth. The Malaysian context, specifically, offers insights into local business practices, government initiatives, and the unique challenges and opportunities facing Malaysian organizations. This research landscape is dynamic and ever-evolving, with ramifications for various industries, institutions, and societal stakeholders. It seeks to address critical questions, such as how TQM and CSR practices can be effectively integrated, the influence of cultural and regional variations, and the role of government and policies in promoting these practices. Ultimately, this exploration aims to contribute to the ongoing evolution of TQM and CSR, fostering sustainable and ethical business practices in a globalized world.

Theoretical Underpinning

Deming's Theory of Total Quality Management (TQM), also known as the Deming System of Profound Knowledge, is a comprehensive management philosophy that focuses on continuous improvement, systemic thinking, and statistical control of processes (Deming, 1986). Deming emphasized that quality improvement requires a holistic approach involving all aspects of an organization. His theory is often encapsulated in the 14 Points for Management and the Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycle. Key elements of this theory include the continuous improvement of processes, the importance of a systemic understanding of variation, and the critical role of management in driving quality improvement (Deming, 1993). Foundational texts such as Deming's "Out of the Crisis" and "The New Economics for Industry, Government, Education" provide detailed explanations of these principles (Deming, 1986; Deming, 1993). Additional literature, including Kilmann's "A Total Quality Management Process" and Walton's "The Deming Management Method," further elaborate on the application of Deming's TQM principles in business contexts (Kilmann, 1989; Walton, 1986). These works collectively highlight the significance of systemic thinking, statistical control, and management commitment in achieving high-quality outcomes.

Stakeholder Theory, developed by R. Edward Freeman, posits that organizations should create value for all stakeholders, not just shareholders. This includes employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and the environment (Freeman, 1984). The theory emphasizes that businesses have ethical obligations to consider the interests and well-being of all stakeholders in their decision-making processes. By engaging with stakeholders and addressing their concerns, organizations can build sustainable and ethical business practices that benefit both the company and society (Freeman et al., 2010). Key elements of this theory include stakeholder identification, active engagement, balancing interests, and ethical management. Foundational literature, such as Freeman's "Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach" and "Stakeholder Theory: The State of the Art," provides comprehensive overviews of these principles (Freeman, 1984; Freeman et al., 2010). Additional important works include Donaldson and Preston's analysis in "The Stakeholder Theory of the Corporation: Concepts, Evidence, and Implications," Phillips' "Stakeholder Theory and Organizational Ethics," and Freeman and Velamuri's "A New Approach to CSR: Company Stakeholder Responsibility" (Donaldson & Preston, 1995; Phillips, 2003; Freeman & Velamuri, 2021). These texts

collectively highlight the importance of ethical stakeholder engagement and the need for businesses to balance diverse interests to achieve sustainable outcomes.

Integrating Deming's Theory of TQM with Stakeholder Theory provides a robust conceptual framework for harmonizing TQM and CSR. This integration aligns continuous improvement with ethical management, systemic thinking with stakeholder engagement, and operational efficiency with sustainability (Deming, 1986; Freeman, 1984). By combining these theories, organizations can adopt a comprehensive approach to quality and responsibility, ensuring that processes are continuously improved while simultaneously addressing the needs and concerns of all stakeholders (Deming, 1993; Freeman et al., 2010). This integrated framework is relevant at various levels, including global, regional (ASEAN), and national (Malaysian) contexts. At the global level, it emphasizes adapting practices to diverse cultural norms and regulatory frameworks, ensuring global supply chain integrity and effective sustainability reporting (Donaldson & Preston, 1995). At the regional level, particularly within ASEAN, it focuses on comparative studies to identify best practices, assess economic impacts, and explore government policies and SME engagement (Phillips, 2003). At the national level, with a focus on Malaysia, it involves detailed case studies of successful companies, evaluations of government initiatives, and explorations of sector-specific challenges and stakeholder perceptions (Freeman & Velamuri, 2021).

By grounding the conceptual framework in Deming's Theory of TQM and Stakeholder Theory, the paper provides a theoretically sound foundation for harmonizing TQM and CSR. This synergistic approach offers valuable insights into integrating quality management with corporate social responsibility, fostering ethical and sustainable business practices (Deming, 1986; Freeman, 1984). The integration of these theories highlights the importance of continuous improvement, systemic thinking, stakeholder engagement, and ethical management. It offers a roadmap for organizations to balance quality and responsibility, ensuring that their operations not only meet high standards of quality but also address the needs and concerns of all stakeholders (Deming, 1993; Freeman et al., 2010). This approach provides a comprehensive strategy for achieving ethical and sustainable business practices, emphasizing the need for adaptability, cultural sensitivity, and a deep understanding of the regulatory environment. By aligning TQM and CSR, organizations can enhance their reputation, build stronger relationships with stakeholders, and contribute positively to society and the environment (Donaldson & Preston, 1995). This conceptual framework thus serves as a valuable guide for researchers and practitioners, offering a structured approach to harmonizing TQM and CSR for ethical and sustainable business success.

Global Context
In the dynamic and interconnected global business landscape, the integration of TQM and CSR practices has become a pivotal endeavour for organizations aspiring to adopt a more holistic approach to sustainability. This journey is marked by several key research directions that offer profound insights into the challenges and opportunities that transcend borders and cultural boundaries in particularly from the global perspective.

One of the primary research directions in the global context pertains to the integration of TQM and CSR practices on a worldwide scale. This avenue of investigation seeks to uncover how organizations across the globe are weaving together the principles of TQM and CSR to

cultivate a comprehensive and unified approach to sustainability (Tasleem, Khan & Nisar, 2019; Singh, 2021 ; Khalil & Muneenam, 2021). It acknowledges the imperative of addressing the diverse cultural and regional variations that shape business practices. By doing so, organizations aim to achieve not only operational excellence but also a responsible and sustainable impact on a global scale. This global integration is an intricate and multifaceted challenge, as it necessitates the alignment of practices with a plethora of cultural norms, expectations, and regional nuances. Flexibility and customization of these principles to fit local circumstances are vital for achieving global success, despite the fact that the influence of diverse cultural norms on the execution of TQM and CSR remains an area with room for further exploration.

A second fundamental research direction explores the influence of cultural diversity on the implementation of TQM and CSR. This dimension delves into the intricate fabric of cross-cultural perspectives, recognizing that what constitutes quality and responsible behaviour can differ significantly from one region to another. The impact of cultural differences is profound, as it shapes not only the perception of quality but also the interpretation of ethical and social responsibility (He & Harris, 2020). This research direction is aimed at unravelling the complexities of how organizations navigate these differences and adapt TQM and CSR principles within diverse global regions. It underscores the need for organizations to demonstrate cultural sensitivity and adeptness in aligning their practices with local values and expectations, thereby fostering harmonious relationships with stakeholders across the globe.

Moving beyond the organizational level, the integration of TQM and CSR practices extends its influence to the expansive domain of global supply chains. Here, it explores how organizations ensure quality and ethical practices across international supplier networks. In an era where supply chains are increasingly global, it becomes essential to maintain the integrity of these principles throughout the supply chain. (Castillo et al., 2018; Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020). This involves rigorous oversight and assurance of quality and ethicality at every juncture. Ensuring that suppliers and partners align with TQM and CSR standards is paramount for organizations seeking to maintain their reputation and the ethical integrity of their products or services (Singh & Misra, 2022).

Sustainability reporting plays a vital role in bridging TQM and CSR practices with the global audience, shaping how an organization's dedication to ethical, social, and environmental responsibility is perceived. This research direction aims to evaluate the quality of sustainability reporting on a global scale and how well it mirrors an organization's TQM and CSR initiatives. It also explores the influence of reporting standards and regulations, which impact the comprehensiveness and accuracy of the information presented. Effective sustainability reporting not only conveys an organization's commitment to its stakeholders but also promotes accountability and ongoing improvement. Interestingly, this area is often underemphasized in academic research, offering potential for further exploration, and uncovering new dimensions in this field.

Lastly, the global perspective extends to the exploration of emerging trends in the realms of TQM and CSR. As the world undergoes rapid transformations particularly in the post Covid-19 era, these trends pave the way for innovative approaches to sustainable and responsible business practices. For instance, the adoption of advanced technologies like

blockchain for enhanced supply chain transparency, the embrace of circular economy practices to minimize waste and maximize resource utilization, and the profound influence of global agreements such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are shaping the future of TQM and CSR (Yeung, 2019; Zimon, Tyan & Sroufe, 2020). Investigating these emerging trends is essential for organizations and researchers seeking to remain at the forefront of responsible and sustainable business conduct on a global scale.

ASEAN Context

In the context of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), a vibrant and diverse regional landscape gives rise to a set of compelling research directions that illuminate the dynamic interplay between TQM and CSR. These directions encapsulate the uniqueness and intricacies of the ASEAN region, offering valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities within this distinctive context.

The comparative examination of TQM and CSR practices among ASEAN member countries represents a crucial avenue for research. This direction aims to undertake comprehensive comparative studies to uncover how these practices are put into action across the diverse nations of the ASEAN region. It involves a deep dive into the differences and similarities that characterize the implementation of TQM and CSR within ASEAN, thereby illuminating regional best practices. By delving into these distinctions, organizations operating in the ASEAN context can find inspiration in the successes of specific regions. This underscores the importance of adapting their strategies to harmonize with the unique dynamics of individual ASEAN countries, an area where there is a notable scarcity of literature contributions, making it a promising avenue for further research.

A second vital research direction investigates the profound link between TQM, CSR, and economic growth within ASEAN countries (Do, Huang & Do, 2021; Azam et al., 2023). As the region continues to experience economic development and transformation, this research avenue assesses how TQM and CSR practices contribute to sustainable development. It scrutinizes the economic impact of these practices, offering insights into how they foster not only growth but also long-term sustainability. By comprehending this linkage, organizations and policymakers can craft strategies that not only drive economic progress but also nurture the region's socio-economic and environmental well-being. Within the ASEAN context, the regulatory framework plays a vital role. It is imperative to investigate how the policies and regulations put forth by governments in each member country either encourage or impede the adoption of TQM and CSR practices. It's worth noting that this particular area of research appears to be underexplored, with the exception of a study on Thailand by Jermsittiparsert, Namdej, and Somjai (2019). This research direction aims to unveil the regulatory landscape across ASEAN nations, recognizing the pivotal impact of government initiatives. By gaining insights into how regulations can either facilitate or obstruct the adoption of TQM and CSR, organizations can effectively navigate the intricate relationship between their business operations and government policies within the region.

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), often the backbone of ASEAN's economic landscape, form the core focus of the fourth research direction (Ion, 2020). This avenue delves into the extent to which SMEs engage in TQM and CSR activities and how these engagements impact their competitiveness and sustainability. SMEs play a crucial role in the region's

economic growth (Khalil & Muneeman, 2021), and their involvement in TQM and CSR practices can significantly influence their resilience and long-term viability. Investigating these dimensions offers essential guidance to SMEs aiming to enhance their competitiveness and contribute to the region's sustainability goals.

Lastly, supply chain resilience, takes centre stage within the context of ASEAN. This research avenue may assess the capacity of ASEAN supply chains to withstand disruptions and adapt to changing circumstances. It focuses on how TQM and CSR strategies contribute to supply chain adaptability and recovery, recognizing their importance in ensuring the region's continued economic stability. While there have been some notable discoveries by Jermsittiparsert, Namdej & Somjai (2019), Hamdan & Alheet (2021), and Mita, Silalahi & Hamastussadiah (2018), there is still room for further exploration in this research area. To enhance supply chain resilience in the ASEAN context, researchers should expand the academic focus to gain a broader perspective. This includes investigating the impact of digitalization, emerging technologies, and international collaborations on supply chain resilience. By enhancing supply chain resilience through TQM and CSR practices, organizations in the ASEAN context can better navigate the challenges posed by an ever-evolving global landscape.

Malaysian Context

In the Malaysian context, the fusion of TQM and CSR practices unveils a captivating tapestry of research directions that reflect the country's distinctive business environment. These directions epitomize the significance of addressing the specific challenges and opportunities that define Malaysia's role in the global economy and its aspirations for responsible and sustainable business practices. One of the foremost research directions centres around the examination of case studies involving Malaysian companies that have not only embraced TQM and CSR practices but have also achieved remarkable success in their implementation. These in-depth case studies may illuminate the strategies (Nazar et al., 2019) these companies have employed and the tangible outcomes they have realized. By delving into these success stories, the research may also provide a promising blueprint for other Malaysian organizations, offering insights into how to effectively implement TQM and CSR and reap the associated benefits. A second pivotal research direction involves the evaluation of government initiatives in Malaysia. This direction seeks to assess the effectiveness of governmental policies and initiatives in promoting TQM and CSR practices within the nation (Abbas, 2020;) . Furthermore, it aims to unveil the influential role of government-driven endeavours in shaping business practices. By comprehending the impact of government initiatives, the research assists organizations in navigating the intricate relationship between regulatory dynamics and TQM and CSR adoption within the Malaysian context.

The other recommended research direction delves into consumer and stakeholder perceptions in Malaysia (Khurshid, Amin & Ismail, 2018; Nazar et al., 2019). This avenue of investigation seeks to unravel how Malaysian consumers and stakeholders perceive and respond to TQM and CSR efforts. Furthermore, it examines how these perceptions influence business performance. Malaysia, like many countries, is witnessing an increasing emphasis on responsible and sustainable business practices. TQM and CSR have become integral aspects of corporate strategies, and the extent to which they are embraced by consumers and stakeholders can shape the competitive landscape and long-term success of businesses. In this research avenue, it's crucial to consider the diverse range of stakeholders, including

government bodies, local communities, non-governmental organizations, and employees, who all play a role in shaping the business environment (Nazar et al., 2019). These stakeholders have varying expectations and levels of engagement with TQM and CSR practices. Understanding how these different groups perceive and react to these efforts is instrumental in creating strategies that align with societal values and expectations. Understanding the intricacies of these perceptions is paramount for Malaysian organizations, as they strive to not only meet the expectations of their stakeholders but also drive their business success through responsible and sustainable practices.

The final research direction scrutinizes sector-specific TQM and CSR practices in Malaysia. This avenue calls for an exploration of how TQM and CSR principles manifest within specific industries, such as the palm oil sector, electronics, or healthcare. Each sector in Malaysia faces distinct challenges and requirements when implementing TQM and CSR initiatives (Khurshid, Amin & Ismail, 2018). For instance, in the palm oil sector, sustainability and responsible practices are critical concerns due to environmental and social impacts. Investigating how TQM and CSR are integrated into palm oil production (Hassis, Othman & Salleh, 2023) can reveal best practices for sustainable land use, responsible sourcing, and community engagement. Furthermore, it can provide insights into the sector's contribution to national and global sustainability goals, including reducing deforestation and greenhouse gas emissions. Within the healthcare sector, TQM principles can be applied to enhance patient care and safety, while CSR initiatives may involve community health programs and responsible pharmaceutical manufacturing (Gopi et al., 2019). Investigating TQM and CSR in healthcare can provide insights into the sector's role in public health and sustainable healthcare delivery. It aims to uncover the implications of these sector-specific practices for the nation's broader sustainability goals. By investigating these sectoral nuances, the research informs organizations and policymakers about the intricacies of TQM and CSR implementation within Malaysia's diverse economic sectors.

Challenges Presented

Linking TQM and CSR in the global, ASEAN, and Malaysian contexts presents various challenges that organizations and researchers must consider. These challenges can be multifaceted, ranging from cultural differences to regulatory hurdles. These challenges reflect the intricate and multifaceted nature of TQM and CSR, which encompass cultural, legal, logistical, and resource-related dimensions. Understanding these challenges is crucial for organizations aiming to harmonize TQM and CSR practices effectively. The challenge of cultural diversity is a central concern when it comes to the global implementation of Total Quality Management (TQM) and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) practices, as noted by researchers like Frolova and Lapina in 2015 and Khurshid, Amin, and Ismail in 2018. Across different regions, diverse cultural norms, values, and perceptions can exert a profound influence on what is deemed as quality and responsible behavior. What constitutes quality, ethical conduct, and social responsibility can exhibit stark variations from one geographical area to another. Successfully addressing this challenge requires an in-depth comprehension of the intricate cultural nuances and the diverse expectations of stakeholders worldwide.

Operating globally means navigating a complex web of international and local regulations. TQM and CSR practices may need to adapt to different legal requirements and reporting standards (Frolova & Lapina, 2015; Faeq, Ali & Akoi, 2022). Organizations must

remain compliant with a multitude of legal frameworks, from labour and environmental laws to corporate governance and reporting standards. This legal complexity requires vigilant oversight and adaptability. For organizations with global supply chains, ensuring that TQM and CSR standards are upheld consistently across different countries can be a formidable task (Sin et al., 2021). Achieving alignment with suppliers and partners in various regions becomes crucial to maintaining the integrity of these principles. Discrepancies in supply chain practices can have significant implications for an organization's reputation and the ethical integrity of its products or services (Mohamed, Abdul Rahim & Ma'aram, 2020). Balancing resources for effective TQM and CSR practices across a global network of operations can be resource-intensive. Allocating budgets, personnel, and other resources appropriately becomes a complex endeavour. Organizations must determine the right allocation of resources while considering competing priorities, often requiring careful planning and resource management.

The ASEAN region, encompassing diverse cultures and languages, presents challenges related to effective communication and coordination of TQM and CSR efforts. Effective engagement with stakeholders requires overcoming linguistic and cultural barriers, emphasizing the need for tailored approaches to suit the unique characteristics of each country. Economic development levels differ significantly among ASEAN countries (Haini, 2020), ranging from emerging markets to highly developed economies. Implementing uniform TQM and CSR practices across these varying economic contexts poses a challenge. Organizations need to consider the economic disparities in their strategies, recognizing that some countries may have more resources and infrastructure to invest in these practices than others.

In the ASEAN region, despite efforts towards regulatory harmonization, there remain notable disparities in regulations. Adapting TQM and CSR practices to align with these varying regulatory frameworks poses a considerable challenge. Organizations must deftly navigate these complexities, often requiring a nuanced approach to compliance. The diverse regulatory environments within the region necessitate careful consideration and adaptability to ensure that TQM and CSR practices are in accordance with the specific legal requirements of each ASEAN member country. Furthermore, government support for TQM and CSR varies across ASEAN nations, as highlighted by Liakh and Spigarelli in 2020. While some governments actively encourage and incentivize these practices, others provide limited assistance. This disparity in governmental support results in variations in the adoption and promotion of TQM and CSR. Consequently, organizations must be strategic in their approach, considering the level of government backing as they shape their strategies and initiatives within the ASEAN context. Balancing compliance with regulations and government expectations is a complex yet essential aspect of successful TQM and CSR implementation in the region.

Malaysia's rich cultural tapestry, encompassing a diverse array of ethnic groups and religions, presents distinctive challenges when it comes to aligning TQM and CSR practices with cultural and religious values (Abumandila at al., 2020). Adapting these practices to resonate with the values of a multicultural and multi-faith society can be a complex and demanding task. Organizations operating in Malaysia must be exceptionally sensitive to the cultural nuances and religious beliefs of the various communities they serve. This requires not only an understanding of these diverse values but also a commitment to tailoring their TQM and CSR strategies to align with them. In addition to cultural and religious considerations, the

Malaysian government plays an active role in promoting TQM and CSR (Abbas, 2020). While this government support is crucial for advancing responsible business practices, the ever-evolving landscape of policies and expectations can present challenges for organizations. Navigating these changes, understanding new regulations, and aligning their practices with evolving governmental expectations can be both complex and resource-intensive. Organizations must remain vigilant in staying informed about government initiatives, ensuring that their strategies and operations remain compliant and responsive to the evolving regulatory environment.

SMEs are a significant and vital component of Malaysia's business landscape. Encouraging these enterprises to embrace TQM and CSR practices can be particularly challenging, especially given their resource constraints. The challenge is further compounded by the post-COVID-19 recovery context, which has stretched resources thin. SMEs often lack the financial and human resources necessary for the comprehensive implementation of TQM and CSR principles (AlQershi et al., 2023; Azam et al., 2023), making it a daunting task for them to adhere to these standards. To address this issue, it becomes imperative for both organizations and government initiatives to concentrate on finding practical and feasible solutions to support SMEs in adopting TQM and CSR practices. This support can come in various forms, such as providing guidance, sharing best practices, and potentially offering financial incentives or resources to help SMEs align with these principles. In addition to the challenges faced by SMEs, certain industries in Malaysia, like palm oil and manufacturing, present their specific TQM and CSR complexities (Azam et al., 2023). While adhering to these principles, companies in these sectors must also manage industry-specific concerns. For instance, sustainability in palm oil production and labour conditions in manufacturing are sector-specific issues that require careful attention. To navigate these sector-specific challenges, organizations must develop tailored approaches and sector-specific strategies. This includes not only meeting the TQM and CSR standards but also addressing the unique concerns of each industry. It's a complex task that requires a deep understanding of the intricacies of each sector and the ability to strike a balance between adherence to standards and addressing industry-specific issues.

In summary, the challenges related to implementing TQM and CSR practices vary in nature and complexity, depending on the global, regional, or national context. Each context brings its unique set of challenges, necessitating adaptability, cultural sensitivity, compliance with local regulations, and a nuanced approach to resource allocation. Successful integration of TQM and CSR practices in these contexts requires organizations to recognize and navigate these challenges effectively while leveraging opportunities for responsible and sustainable business practices.

Conclusion & Further Research
In conclusion, the pursuit of harmonizing TQM and CSR represents a multifaceted journey towards ethical and sustainable business practices. Our exploration has taken us through the diverse landscapes of the global, ASEAN, and Malaysian contexts, shedding light on the implementations and challenges that organizations face in embracing these principles. At the global level, this paper has unveiled the rich tapestry of cultural diversity and the imperative of adapting TQM and CSR to accommodate varying cultural norms, values, and regulations. In this expansive arena, organizations must navigate the intricate web of

international and local laws while striving to meet the evolving expectations of a diverse global audience. In the ASEAN context, this conceptual paper has underscored the importance of comparative studies to reveal regional best practices and the varying degrees of government support for TQM and CSR. These insights illuminate the path forward for organizations, emphasizing the need for cultural sensitivity and adaptability in this diverse and economically heterogeneous region. In the Malaysian landscape, this paper has explored the challenges posed by a multicultural society and the need to align TQM and CSR with cultural and religious values. Moreover, the dynamic government policies and industry-specific challenges require organizations to maintain a delicate balance between responsible practices and sector-specific intricacies. The harmonization of TQM and CSR is not a one-size-fits-all endeavour but a dynamic and context-specific journey. It demands a deep understanding of the intricacies of each setting, a commitment to adaptability, and an unwavering dedication to responsible and sustainable business practices. As organizations continue to embark on this journey, it is clear that success lies in the ability to embrace diversity, navigate complex regulatory environments, and develop tailored approaches that align with the specific dynamics of each region. Ultimately, the synergistic approach of harmonizing TQM and CSR stands as a beacon for ethical and sustainable business practices, reflecting a commitment to responsible corporate citizenship on a global scale.

Future research directions in the harmonization of TQM and CSR offer a promising landscape for expanding our understanding and guiding practical applications. These research avenues can significantly contribute to the enhancement of ethical and sustainable business practices. A crucial area for future exploration is the rigorous assessment of the impact of harmonizing TQM and CSR on organizational performance. This requires both quantitative and qualitative approaches, including in-depth case studies, surveys, and empirical studies. By measuring outcomes such as improved customer satisfaction, increased employee engagement, and enhanced financial performance, researchers can provide tangible evidence of the benefits of this harmonization.

Given the global scope of the study, developing comprehensive cross-cultural frameworks is essential. These frameworks should serve as practical guidelines for organizations seeking to adapt TQM and CSR practices to diverse cultural contexts. By providing a roadmap for a more culturally sensitive integration of these management approaches, future research can enable businesses to navigate the challenges presented by different cultural norms and values. The complex regulatory landscape discussed in the paper calls for in-depth research into aligning TQM and CSR with international and local regulations. Investigating best practices for ensuring compliance and managing legal challenges is critical. Future studies can shed light on effective strategies for navigating the intricate web of legal requirements.s

SMEs face resource limitations that present unique challenges in adopting TQM and CSR practices. Future research should focus on identifying cost-effective methods and government support programs that facilitate SMEs' effective integration of these practices. Understanding how various stakeholders, including customers, employees, and investors, perceive and respond to organizations' efforts to harmonize TQM and CSR is fundamental. Future research can delve into stakeholder perspectives and their significant influence on business performance. This would provide invaluable insights for organizations aiming to

build meaningful relationships with their stakeholders. Investigating the long-term sustainability and resilience of organizations that have successfully integrated TQM and CSR practices is a promising direction. Longitudinal studies can assess the enduring impact of ethical and sustainable business practices, offering a comprehensive view of the benefits and challenges over time. As the business landscape evolves rapidly, research into emerging trends in TQM and CSR is vital. This includes the integration of innovative technologies like AI and blockchain, the adoption of circular economy practices, and alignment with global sustainability agendas such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). These trends are at the forefront of responsible business practices and warrant in-depth exploration.

Building on the paper's emphasis on industry-specific challenges, future research can provide in-depth analyses of particular sectors within Malaysia, ASEAN, and the global context. Such studies can yield sector-specific strategies for industries like palm oil, manufacturing, healthcare, and more, addressing their unique sustainability and ethical concerns. An exploration of the evolving role of government policies and initiatives in promoting TQM and CSR at global, ASEAN, and Malaysian levels is essential. Research can uncover how governments either facilitate or hinder responsible business practices, offering insights into the role of public policy in shaping ethical and sustainable business landscapes. Comparative studies among ASEAN member countries and with other regions can provide valuable insights into best practices, cultural adaptability, and the role of governments in different economic and cultural contexts. These cross-regional comparisons can illuminate the contextual nuances and global variations in harmonizing TQM and CSR practices. These research directions collectively represent a roadmap for advancing the harmonization of TQM and CSR, paving the way for a more ethical and sustainable business landscape across the global, ASEAN, and Malaysian contexts. Researchers, organizations, and policymakers can utilize these avenues to drive meaningful change and progress in the field.

Theoretical & Contextual Contribution

This conceptual paper makes significant contributions to the existing knowledge in business management by offering a novel theoretical integration of CSR and TQM. The paper addresses a key gap in the literature, which often treats CSR and TQM as separate entities, by presenting a cohesive framework that demonstrates how these two essential business dimensions can complement one another. This integration promotes a more holistic and sustainable approach to business operations, adding theoretical depth to our understanding of organizational practices. By providing a structured approach to the convergence of TQM and CSR, the paper enhances existing frameworks that lack comprehensive models for such integration. The paper's significance is further amplified through its exploration of cultural adaptability. It underscores the need for organizations to customize their TQM and CSR strategies to fit diverse cultural contexts, which is often underexplored in existing studies. This focus on cultural adaptability enriches the theoretical landscape by introducing cultural considerations as a critical factor in successful TQM and CSR implementation.

Additionally, the paper provides valuable insights into the relationship between government regulations and the adoption of TQM and CSR practices. By analysing how organizations navigate various legal requirements across different regions, it contributes to a deeper understanding of the regulatory challenges involved. This exploration is particularly

significant as it fills an important gap in the literature concerning how regulatory environments shape and influence the adoption and implementation of TQM and CSR. In sum, this paper advances existing knowledge by presenting a more integrated, culturally sensitive, and regulation-aware understanding of TQM and CSR. It offers both a theoretical and contextual framework that organizations can use to navigate the complexities of implementing these principles in a globalized business environment.

References

Abbas, J. (2020). Impact of total quality management on corporate green performance through the mediating role of corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *242*, 118458.

Abumandila, M. S., Abd Halimb, M. S., Alshuaibic, A. S. I., & Siamd, I. M. I. (2020). Factors affecting the adoption of smart tourism app study among tourism stakeholders in Malaysia. *Journal of Critical Reviews*, *7*(2), 1934-1942.

AlQershi, N. A., Saufi, R. B. A., Muhammad, N. M. N., Bin Yusoff, M. N. H., & Thurasamy, R. (2023). Green creativity, TQM and business sustainability of large manufacturing firms in Malaysia. *The TQM Journal*, *35*(4), 924-945.

Alzoubi, H. M., In'airat, M., & Ahmed, G. (2022). Investigating the impact of total quality management practices and Six Sigma processes to enhance the quality and reduce the cost of quality: the case of Dubai. *International Journal of Business Excellence*, *27*(1), 94-109.

Azam, T., Songjiang, W., Jamil, K., Naseem, S., & Mohsin, M. (2023). Measuring green innovation through total quality management and corporate social responsibility within SMEs: green theory under the lens. *The TQM Journal*, *35*(7), 1935-1959.

Brin, P. V., & Nehme, M. N. (2019). Corporate social responsibility: analysis of theories and models.

Castillo, V. E., Mollenkopf, D. A., Bell, J. E., & Bozdogan, H. (2018). Supply chain integrity: A key to sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Business Logistics*, *39*(1), 38-56.

Do, M. H., Huang, Y. F., & Do, T. N. (2021). The effect of total quality management-enabling factors on corporate social responsibility and business performance: Evidence from Vietnamese coffee firms. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, *28*(4), 1296-1318.

Faeq, D. K., Ali, B. J., & Akoi, S. (2022). The Impact of People Related TQM and CSR on Employees Job Satisfaction. *UKH Journal of Social Sciences*, *6*(1), 1-9.

Freeman, R. E., & Velamuri, S. R. (2021). A New Approach to CSR: Company Stakeholder Responsibility 1. In *The Routledge Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility* (pp. 203-213). Routledge.

Frolova, I., & Lapina, I. (2015). Integration of CSR principles in quality management. *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, *7*(2/3), 260-273.

Gopi, D., Pandi, A. P., Sethupathi, P. R., Paranitharan, K. P., & Jeyathilagar, D. (2019). An integrated conceptual model for achieving global quality service in healthcare establishments. *International Journal of Services and Operations Management*, *33*(1), 49-68.

Haini, H. (2020). Examining the relationship between finance, institutions and economic growth: evidence from the ASEAN economies. *Economic Change and Restructuring*, *53*(4), 519-542.

Hamdan, Y., & Alheet, A. F. (2021). Toward sustainability: The role of TQM and corporate green performance in the manufacturing sector. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship*, *25*(3), 1-15.

Hassis, S. M., Othman, M. A., & Saleh, Y. (2023). The impact of total quality management on corporate sustainability in the manufacturing sector: corporate social responsibility as a mediator. *The TQM Journal*.

He, H., & Harris, L. (2020). The impact of Covid-19 pandemic on corporate social responsibility and marketing philosophy. *Journal of business research*, *116*, 176-182.

Hussain, T., Wang, D., & Benqian, L. (2023). Examining the role of responsible management, CSR, and TQM in enhancing renewable energy projects: An empirical analysis. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*.

Ion, A. E. (2020). Ethics and sustainability in small and medium-sized enterprises public policies. *LUMEN Proceedings*, *11*, 74-85.

Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2020). Viability of intertwined supply networks: extending the supply chain resilience angles towards survivability. A position paper motivated by COVID-19 outbreak. *International journal of production research*, *58*(10), 2904-2915.

Jermsittiparsert, K., Namdej, P., & Somjai, S. (2019). Green supply chain practices and sustainable performance: moderating role of total quality management practices in electronic industry of Thailand. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, *8*(3), 33-46.

Khalil, M. K., & Muneenam, U. (2021). Total quality management practices and corporate green performance: does organizational culture matter?. *Sustainability*, *13*(19), 11021.

Khurshid, M. A., Amin, M., & Ismail, W. K. W. (2018). Total quality and socially responsible management (TQSR-M) an integrated conceptual framework. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, *25*(8), 2566-2588.

Liakh, O., & Spigarelli, F. (2020). Managing corporate sustainability and responsibility efficiently: A review of existing literature on business groups and networks. *Sustainability*, *12*(18), 7722.

Martín-Gaitero, J. P., & Escrig-Tena, A. B. (2018). The relationship between EFQM levels of excellence and CSR development. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, *35*(6), 1158-1176.

Ming, F. (2023). Total Quality Management (TQM) Influence on the Service Quality of Services Companies in China. *Journal of Digitainability, Realism & Mastery (DREAM)*, *2*(01), 28-33.

Mita, A. F., Silalahi, H. F., & Halimastussadiah, A. (2018). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) disclosure and banks' financial performance in Five ASEAN countries. *Journal of Economics, Business & Accountancy Ventura*, *21*(2), 159-167.

Mohamed, Y. H., Abdul Rahim, A. R., & Ma'aram, A. (2020). The effect of halal supply chain management on halal integrity assurance for the food industry in Malaysia. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, *12*(9), 1734-1750.

Nazar, N., Jahan, S., Amoozegar, A., Anjum, T., & Raju, V. (2019). The effects of total quality management practices on corporate social responsibility using supply chain model: A review of Malaysian hotel industry. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, *8*(6), 1217-1227.

Sin, K. Y., Sim, C. L., Lim, Y. J., Lee, D., & Janang, J. S. (2021). The mediating effect of business ethics in the relationship between total quality management and sustainable

performance: perspective from 4-and 5-stars hotels. *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*, *34*(2), 176-204.

Singh, A. (2021). Integrated approach for finding the causal effect of waste management over sustainability in the organization. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, *28*(10), 3040-3073.

Singh, K., & Misra, M. (2022). The evolving path of CSR: toward business and society relationship. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, *38*(2), 304-332.

Tasleem, M., Khan, N., & Nisar, A. (2019). Impact of technology management on corporate sustainability performance: The mediating role of TQM. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, *36*(9), 1574-1599.

Tyagi, M., Sharma, R., Gupta, P., Sinha, V., & Tyagi, N. (2019). TQM: A Philosophy of Business Expansion by Increasing Productivity. *International Journal of Research in Engineering, IT and Social Sciences*.

Yeung, S. M. C. (2019). Keynote Paper: UNSDGs and Future Quality Management-Social Policy for Developing Sustainable Development Mindset.

Zimon, D., Tyan, J., & Sroufe, R. (2020). Drivers of sustainable supply chain management: Practices to alignment with un sustainable development goals. *International Journal for Quality Research*, *14*(1).