

# **Contract Law and Artificial Intelligence: Examine the Implications of AI on Contract Negotiation and Execution, Including the Challenges of Automated Contracting**

Norhafiza Awang

Faculty of Business and Management, No.8, Jalan 51a223, Seksyen 51a 46100 Petaling Jaya,  
Selangor City University Malaysia  
Email: norhafiza.awang@city.edu.my

**To Link this Article:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v14-i11/23197> DOI:10.6007/IJARBSS/v14-i11/23197

**Published Date:** 01 November 2024

## **Abstract**

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) is changing the face of contract law, notably in the areas of contract negotiation and execution. This article explores how AI may affect conventional contracting procedures, emphasizing the opportunities and problems associated with automated contracting. The legal position of contracts created by AI, especially smart contracts, raises important concerns about consumer protection, enforceability, and the subjective nature of some contractual duties as more and more firms use AI technologies. The paper addresses issues with human oversight, the difficulties of integrating AI with current legal systems, and the potential advantages of AI in expediting contract execution and improving efficiency. It also covers the practical challenges of putting AI-driven contract solutions into practice, especially in industries like construction where stakeholder cooperation and regulatory compliance are critical. The results highlight the necessity of an all-encompassing legal framework that takes into account the special features of AI-driven contracts, protecting the interests of both parties and encouraging innovation in contract administration. In the end, this paper promotes a fair-minded strategy that acknowledges the benefits of artificial intelligence in contracting while tackling the inherent difficulties and dangers involved in its application.

**Keywords:** Contract Law, Artificial Intelligence, Negotiation, Execution, Automated Contracting.

## **Introduction**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is changing contract law, especially in the areas of contract negotiation and implementation. The use of AI technologies by businesses is growing, and this has important ramifications for conventional contracting procedures. This article looks at how AI affects contract law, emphasizing the opportunities and problems that automated contracting brings. Important issues about consumer protection, enforceability, and the

subjective nature of some contractual duties are brought up by the legal status of AI-generated contracts, particularly smart contracts (Xudaybergenov, 2023).

Artificial Intelligence possesses the capability to optimize contract implementation and boost productivity, providing noteworthy advantages to commercial enterprises. But it's impossible to ignore worries about the absence of human control and the difficulties in integrating AI with current legal frameworks (Wang, 2023). The implementation of AI-driven contract solutions faces significant operational challenges, especially in industries like construction where stakeholder engagement and regulatory compliance are crucial ("AI and Medical Negligence", 2024). The fact that technology is always changing and having an impact on contract management highlights the necessity for an all-encompassing legal framework that can handle the special requirements of AI-driven contracts. It is crucial to guarantee that each party's rights are upheld as AI develops and to promote creative contract practices (Schiff et al., 2021). Embracing the benefits of AI in contracting while also addressing the inherent difficulties and risks involved with its implementation is the goal of this article, which advocates for a balanced approach. The article's goal is to add to the current discussion on the relationship between contract law and artificial intelligence by examining these aspects and offering views that may guide future legislative initiatives and industry best practices.

### **The Implications of Artificial Intelligence on Contract Law**

A thorough analysis is required due to the vast array of opportunities and problems that the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into contract law brings. Traditional legal frameworks are being put to the test in terms of their suitability and flexibility as AI technologies become more and more common in contract negotiation and execution. The enforceability of contracts created or carried out by AI is a crucial issue raised by the implications of AI on contract law, especially when it comes to smart contracts, which automate and simplify contractual procedures. As seen by the developing nature of AI capabilities, this change improves efficiency but also raises serious issues about accountability, consumer protection, and the arbitrary character of contractual duties (Xudaybergenov, 2023; Emmanuel, 2023).

Furthermore, the legal standing of contracts created by AI is still unclear, requiring a reassessment of current legal theories to take into account the special features of AI technology. The integration of AI into established legal systems is made more difficult by the absence of a defined legal framework, which increases the potential of misuse (Xudaybergenov, 2023; Manap & Abdullah, 2020). Human oversight is crucial to reducing the hazards associated with automated decision-making processes as businesses depend more and more on AI to carry out contracts (Sidorova & Saeed, 2022). This is especially true in sectors like construction, where effective project outcomes depend on stakeholder participation and regulatory compliance (Gravett, 2020).

Moreover, it is impossible to ignore the moral and legal implications of AI in contracting. Numerous studies support the need for comprehensive regulatory frameworks that handle the complex issues raised by AI, highlighting the significance of ethical concerns and international collaboration in the creation of legal norms (Wang, 2023; Haidar, 2023). AI has the potential to completely transform contract administration, but this must be weighed against the requirement to safeguard the interests of all parties. A forward-thinking strategy

that acknowledges AI's advantages while tackling the risks and difficulties that come with implementing it in contract law is therefore required ("Artificial Intelligence's Impact on SMEs: AI in Practice Restructuring Small and Medium-Sized Businesses", 2023; "undefined", 2023).

To sum up, the nexus between AI and contract law offers a crucial field for research and legal change. AI's effects on contract negotiations and execution underscore the pressing need for a strong legal framework that protects the rights and interests of all parties while allowing for the special characteristics of AI technology. This paper promotes a well-rounded approach that acknowledges the benefits of AI in contracting while tackling the difficulties and dangers that come with using it. One of the main effects of AI on contract law is the rise of smart contracts, which are agreements that run on their own and have their conditions encoded directly into the code. Because these contracts use blockchain technology, they can be executed and verified automatically without the need for middlemen. Reshi et al., 2023; Dwivedi et al., 2021). Smart contracts have the potential to revolutionise existing contractual practices by increasing contract execution speed, streamlining procedures, and lowering transaction costs (Sengul, 2020). However, the use of automated systems presents serious questions about the enforceability and legal standing of AI-generated contracts, especially with relation to consumer protection and the arbitrary nature of contractual duties (Zeller, 2023).

### **The Role of AI in Contract Negotiation**

Artificial Intelligence technologies have the ability to completely transform contract negotiation by automating a number of process steps. AI, for example, can evaluate enormous volumes of data to determine the best terms and conditions, forecast the results of negotiations, and recommend tactics based on past data (Celik, 2024). In addition to enhancing efficiency, this skill also minimizes the amount of time and resources that are necessary for the negotiation process. But the use of AI in this situation begs concerns about the transparency of the decision-making procedures and the possibility of bias in the AI algorithms (Nguyen et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the roles played by legal practitioners need to be reevaluated in light of the usage of AI in contract negotiation. In order to collaborate with AI systems efficiently, lawyers may need to modify their skill sets as these systems assume greater responsibility. This change may result in a change in the legal profession where lawyers put less emphasis on routine work and more emphasis on strategic oversight (Calik, 2024). Contract negotiation could undergo a revolution thanks to artificial intelligence (AI) technology, which can automate many steps in the process. AI, for example, can evaluate enormous volumes of data to determine the best terms and conditions, forecast the results of negotiations, and recommend tactics based on past data (Becker et al., 2022). This capacity not only increases productivity but also minimizes the amount of time and money needed for negotiations. Organizations may expedite their negotiation processes and make more informed decisions more quickly by utilizing AI.

However, the implementation of AI in this situation raises serious concerns about the transparency of the decision-making procedures and the possibility of bias in the AI algorithms. Concerns regarding the decision-making processes of AI systems and the data they use are critical as these systems become more and more integrated into contract

discussions. AI systems may reinforce current disparities or produce unjust results in negotiations if they are taught on biased data (Yeti, 2024). Thus, it is crucial to guarantee transparency and accountability in AI decision-making in order to preserve confidence in the bargaining process.

In addition, the incorporation of artificial intelligence into the process of contract negotiation opens up potential for the enhancement of collaboration between human and artificial intelligence. Organizations can create a more productive negotiation atmosphere by combining the strengths of both. While human negotiators may apply emotional intelligence and sophisticated grasp of complicated issues, artificial intelligence is better at handling data analysis and predictive modeling (Kanapathipillai, 2023). Better negotiating outcomes and more satisfying agreements for all parties involved can result from using this cooperative strategy.

Despite the fact that artificial intelligence has the ability to dramatically improve the processes of contract negotiation, it also creates key difficulties that need to be solved. To maximize its potential while reducing risks, AI in negotiations must be used ethically and with transparency, accountability, and openness. Legal practitioners must embrace new technology and modify their abilities as the legal profession develops in reaction to these developments in order to successfully negotiate the intricacies of AI-driven contract negotiations.

### **Challenges of Automated Contracting**

Artificial intelligence (AI) in contract management has several potential advantages, but its effective application will depend on resolving a number of issues. The enforceability of contracts created by AI, especially smart contracts, is a major worry. Smart contracts add complexity in terms of interpretation and enforcement even if they can automate the execution of agreements. For instance, it may not be possible to simply codify into a smart contract those contractual duties that call for legal interpretation or subjective judgment (Becker et al., 2022). As a result of this constraint, important problems are raised regarding the legal standing of such contracts and the degree to which they may be relied upon in a legal setting (Jaiswal, 2023).

Concerns regarding accountability and conflict resolution are also raised by automated contracting's lack of human monitoring. Determining who is liable when a smart contract doesn't work as planned might be difficult. Lack of a defined process for resolving disputes makes things more difficult, especially in sectors like construction where contracts are frequently complex and involve several parties (Kanapathipillai, 2023). Reliance on automated technologies could undermine faith in the contracting process by creating circumstances in which parties have nowhere to turn if a contract doesn't work out as planned.

Furthermore, a reassessment of the duties played by legal experts is required in light of the incorporation of AI into contract management. In order to collaborate with AI systems efficiently, lawyers may need to modify their skill sets as these systems assume greater responsibility. This change may cause the legal profession to change, with lawyers putting more of an emphasis on strategic oversight and less on mundane work (Yeti, 2024). Legal

practitioners will be essential in ensuring that automated contracts are created and carried out in a way that complies with the law, thus it is becoming more and more critical for them to understand the technical aspects of AI and smart contracts.

In summary, even if AI and smart contracts have a lot of potential to improve contract management efficiency, there are implementation-related obstacles that should not be disregarded. To fully realize the potential of automated contracting, challenges pertaining to enforceability, accountability, and the changing roles of legal experts must be addressed. To safeguard the interests of all parties and promote innovation in contract management, a thorough legal framework that takes into account the special features of AI-driven contracts is required (Bath, 2024).

### **Regulatory Considerations**

The development of a comprehensive regulatory framework that takes into account the particular characteristics of contracts powered by artificial intelligence (AI) is crucial for the integration of AI into contract law. A paradigm like this ought to address concerns about consumer protection, enforceability, and the moral implications of AI in contracts (Becker et al., 2022). Regulatory organizations must take into account the effects of AI on established legal concepts as AI technologies are used more frequently in contract negotiation and execution. This is necessary to protect the interests of all parties involved.

The establishment of standards for the application of AI in contract negotiation and execution is one of the main regulatory issues. To ensure that stakeholders are aware of how AI systems make decisions and the data they depend on, these standards should encourage accountability and transparency in automated processes (Jaiswal, 2023). This could entail establishing guidelines for AI algorithms used in contract administration and making sure legal experts have the necessary training to handle the intricacies of AI-driven contracts (Kanapathipillai, 2023). Legal professionals must be prepared to handle the complexities of AI technology and its ramifications for contract law, which makes this kind of training imperative.

In addition, the regulatory framework needs to take into account the possible hazards that AI in contracting may present, such as potential bias and discrimination issues resulting from algorithmic decision-making (Yeti, 2024). Building confidence in automated contracting procedures requires making sure AI systems are developed and used in a way that respects moral principles and safeguards consumer rights. This includes putting in place procedures for accountability in the event that AI systems don't work as planned or provide unfavorable results (Bath, 2024).

To sum up, the effective incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) into contract law necessitates a strong regulatory framework that not only tackles the distinct obstacles presented by AI-driven contracts but also encourages creativity and effectiveness in contract administration. Regulations can assist in ensuring that artificial intelligence technologies are utilized in a responsible and efficient manner during the contracting process (Putra et al., 2023). This can be accomplished by putting an emphasis on transparency, accountability, and ethical considerations.

## **Conclusion**

The emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) has significant implications for contract law, posing both opportunities and challenges in terms of how contracts are drafted and carried out. Through process automation, artificial intelligence can improve productivity and optimize contract management by cutting down on the time and resources needed for negotiations. But this technical development also brings up important issues like responsibility, enforcement, and the need for a thorough regulatory framework.

Legal professionals and regulators must work together to create best practices that take advantage of AI's benefits while addressing the risks that come with implementing it, as businesses continue to use these technologies. Guidelines that encourage accountability and transparency in automated processes, for example, are necessary when integrating AI into contract law so that stakeholders are aware of how AI systems make choices and the data they depend on. In order to protect the interests of all parties concerned, regulatory organizations must also take the consequences of AI on conventional legal principles into account.

Stakeholders may make sure that the legal system changes to reflect the changing nature of contracts in the era of artificial intelligence by encouraging a balanced approach. This entails addressing worries about potential biases in AI algorithms and making sure legal experts have the necessary training to handle the intricacies of contracts driven by AI. In the end, a clear legislative framework will promote confidence in automated contracting procedures while also facilitating the responsible application of AI in contract management.

In a nutshell although artificial intelligence (AI) has considerable advantages for contract drafting and implementation, it is critical to tackle the related issues by means of careful regulation and cooperation between legal experts, technologists, and legislators. All parties' rights and interests will be safeguarded while utilizing contract law's full potential thanks to this proactive approach.

## **Recommendations**

A crucial nexus between technology and law is discussed in this study, especially as it relates to the creation and enforcement of contracts. Businesses and legal professionals alike must comprehend how AI technologies affect contract law as they become more and more incorporated into corporate operations. In order to contribute to the continuing discussion on the need for regulatory frameworks that can keep up with these developments, this study attempts to shed light on the various potential and challenges that AI presents in the field of contract law.

This study's main driving force is the realization that, especially in cases of automated contracting, traditional contract law may not be sufficient to handle the complications brought about by AI. There are serious concerns about enforcement and consumer protection when it comes to the legal standing of AI-generated contracts, especially smart contracts. Wang points out that comprehensive regulatory frameworks that can adjust to the special features of AI technologies—which frequently function across national borders and involve complex ethical considerations—are desperately needed (Wang, 2023). Furthermore, as Xudaybergenov discusses, responsibility and liability concerns that emerge in automated

contracts are made more difficult by the absence of legal recognition for AI as a subject of law (Xudaybergenov, 2023). This study aims to investigate these aspects, highlighting the significance of creating a legal framework that not only safeguards the interests of all parties but also encourages creativity in the management of contracts.

The implementation of AI-driven contract solutions presents significant practical obstacles, especially in industries like construction where regulatory compliance and stakeholder cooperation are critical. Juhász draws attention to how AI and digitization are affecting the law of obligations, arguing that policymakers need to address how contractual ties are changing in the digital era (Juhász, 2020). Kurniawijaya et al., who support the use of AI to streamline contract design, have shown that integrating AI into contract negotiation and execution procedures can improve efficiency and accuracy (Kurniawijaya et al., 2021). But as Lippi et al. point out, the study also recognizes the possible drawbacks of automated decision-making, such as the potential for bias and the deterioration of human oversight (Lippi et al., 2020). Therefore, the study emphasizes the need for a well-rounded strategy that acknowledges AI's advantages while tackling the problems it inevitably brings.

AI in contract law offers revolutionary possibilities as well as formidable obstacles. In order to effectively utilize AI while minimizing associated dangers, regulators and legal experts must work together to create a strong regulatory framework. To ensure that stakeholders are aware of the decision-making procedures and the data that these systems rely on, this framework should place a high priority on accountability and transparency in AI systems used for contract management (Günther & Kasirzadeh, 2021; "The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence in Legal Decision Making: An Empirical Study", 2023).

The possible biases in AI algorithms that may have an impact on contract results and enforcement highlight the necessity for transparency (Brown et al., 2023; Licht & Licht, 2020). It will be easier to foster responsible use of AI in legal situations and increase stakeholder trust if rules requiring unambiguous explanations of AI-driven choices are established (Yu et al., 2023; Bell et al., 2022). Furthermore, in order to protect the rights and interests of all parties, it is imperative that, as AI technologies advance, their impact on established legal concepts be regularly evaluated (Tiwari, 2023; Vasiliev et al., 2020).

AI and its consequences for contract law must be covered in legal professional training programs as they develop. Explainable AI (XAI) and bias mitigation are two key ethical issues related to AI that should be covered in this training (Scherer, 2019; Yu & Yu, 2023). The legal system can adjust to the evolving environment while upholding its core values if legal professionals are given the tools they need to understand AI-driven contracts (Huriye, 2023; Giordano et al., 2021).

By analyzing the advantages and disadvantages of automated contracting, this paper advances knowledge of how AI is changing contract law. It promotes a thorough legal framework that takes into account the special characteristics of AI-driven contracts while making sure that enforceability and consumer protection come first. By tackling these important questions, the study hopes to help legal experts, practitioners, and legislators have a better conversation about how contract law will develop in the era of artificial intelligence.

In future studies, the researcher can include the factors to optimizing the potential of AI in contract law requires the proactive creation of an extensive legislative framework in addition to cooperative efforts by legal professionals, engineers, and regulators. These studies will contribute to guaranteeing accountability and ethical standards that maintained, which will increase trust in automated contracting procedures (Prastyanti, 2023; Sun & Medaglia, 2019).

## References

- Alibašić, A. (2023). "Developing an Ethical Framework for Responsible Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) Applications in Cryptocurrency Trading: A Consequentialism Ethics Analysis," *Fintech*, vol. 2, no. 3, doi:10.3390/fintech2030024
- Emmanuel, A. C., Vincent, M., Unni, S., Antony, B., & Kumar, S. S. (2023). Ai contract: potentiality of ai contract to revolutionize the legal field. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 4(9), 2090-2093. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.4.923.92460>
- Becker, W. J., Belkin, L. Y., Tuskey, S., & Conroy, S. A. (2022). Surviving remotely: how job control and loneliness during a forced shift to remote work impacted employee work behaviors and well-being. *Human Resource Management*, 61(4), 449-464. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.22102>
- Bell, A. S., Nov, O., & Stoyanovich, J. (2022). Think about the stakeholders first! towards an algorithmic transparency playbook for regulatory compliance. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2207.01482>
- Brown, C., Nazeer, R., Gibbs, A., Pagé, P., & Mitchell, A. R. (2023). Breaking bias: the role of artificial intelligence in improving clinical decision-making. *Cureus*. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.36415>
- Calik, O. "Blockchain for Organ Transplantation: A Survey," *Blockchains*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1-15, 2024. doi:10.3390/blockchains2020008
- Celik, B. G., Abraham, Y. S., & Attaran, M. (2024). Unlocking blockchain in construction: a systematic review of applications and barriers. *Buildings*, 14(6), 1600. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14061600>
- Giordano, C., Brennan, M., Mohamed, B., Rashidi, P., Modave, F., & Tighe, P. J. (2021). Accessing artificial intelligence for clinical decision-making. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2021.645232>
- Gravett, W. (2020). Is the dawn of the robot lawyer upon us? the fourth industrial revolution and the future of lawyers. *Potchefstroom Electronic Law Journal*, 23, 1-37. <https://doi.org/10.17159/1727-3781/2020/v23i0a6794>
- Günther, M. and Kasirzadeh, A. (2021). Algorithmic and human decision making: for a double standard of transparency. *AI & SOCIETY*, 37(1), 375-381. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01200-5>
- Haidar, A. (2023). An integrative theoretical framework for responsible artificial intelligence. *International Journal of Digital Strategy, Governance, and Business Transformation*, 13(1), 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijdsGBT.334844>
- Huriye, A. Z. (2023). The ethics of artificial intelligence: examining the ethical considerations surrounding the development and use of ai. *American Journal of Technology*, 2(1), 37-45.



- <https://doi.org/10.58425/ajt.v2i1.142>
- Jaiswal, A. and Prabhakaran, N. (2023). Impact of employee well-being on performance in the context of crisis-induced remote work: role of boundary control and professional isolation. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 46(1), 115-132. <https://doi.org/10.1108/er-08-2022-0384>
- Juhász, Á. (2020). The applicability of artificial intelligence in contractual relationships. *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae Legal Studies*, 9(1), 63-82. <https://doi.org/10.47745/ausleg.2020.9.1.04>
- Kanapathipillai, K., Anuar, A. B., Hamzah, I. M. B., & Zulkipli, M. N. H. B. (2023). Workplace without walls: an investigation into remote working and employee well-being at maybank, malaysia. *European Journal of Management and Marketing Studies*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.46827/ejmms.v8i2.1541>
- Koç, M., and Gürgün, A. (2021). "Administrative Risks Challenging the Adoption of Smart Contracts in Construction Projects," *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 1125-1140, 2021. doi:10.1108/ecam-09-2020-0678
- Kurniawijaya, A., Yudityastri, A., & Zuama, A. P. C. (2021). Pendayagunaan artificial intelligence dalam perancangan kontrak serta dampaknya bagi sektor hukum di indonesia. *Khatulistiwa Law Review*, 2(1), 260-279. <https://doi.org/10.24260/klr.v2i1.108>
- Licht, K. d. F. and Licht, J. d. F. (2020). Artificial intelligence, transparency, and public decision-making. *AI & SOCIETY*, 35(4), 917-926. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-00960-w>
- Lippi, M., Contissa, G., Jabłonowska, A., Lagioia, F., Micklitz, H., Pałka, P., ... & Torroni, P. (2020). The force awakens: artificial intelligence for consumer law. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 67, 169-190. <https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.1.11519>
- Manap, N. A. and Abdullah, A. (2020). Regulating artificial intelligence in malaysia: the two-tier approach. *UUM Journal of Legal Studies*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.32890/uumjls.11.2.2020.8779>
- Mason, J. (2017). "Intelligent Contracts and the Construction Industry," *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2017. doi:10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000233.
- Mensah, G. B. (2024). Ai and medical negligence. *Africa Journal for Regulatory Affairs*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.62839/ajfra.v01i01.46-61>.
- Nguyen, C. T., Hoang, D. T., Nguyen, D. N., & Dutkiewicz, E. (2022). Metachain: a novel blockchain-based framework for metaverse applications. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2201.00759>
- Prastyanti, R. A. and Tengpongsthorn, W. (2023). Existence of artificial intelligence for automation of contract formation based on theory contract law. *Proceeding of International Conference on Science, Health, and Technology*, 373-379. <https://doi.org/10.47701/icohetech.v4i1.3416>
- Prof. Dr. Johanna Bath, P. D. J. x. and Markulin, V. (2024). Exploring the impact of work arrangements on employee well-being in the post-pandemic workplace: the role of perceived flexibility, work-life balance, and managerial support. *International Journal of Business and Applied Social Science*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.33642/ijbass.v10n1p1>
- Putra, A. M. A., Isrok, M., & Hidayah, N. P. (2023). Legal protection of remote working workers in particular time employment agreements. *Audito Comparative Law Journal (ACLJ)*, 4(1), 22-30. <https://doi.org/10.22219/aclj.v4i1.24033>

- Scherer, M. (2019). Artificial intelligence and legal decision-making: the wide open?. *Journal of International Arbitration*, 36(Issue 5), 539-573. <https://doi.org/10.54648/joia2019028>
- Schiff, D., Borenstein, J., Biddle, J. B., & Laas, K. (2021). Ai ethics in the public, private, and ngo sectors: a review of a global document collection. *IEEE Transactions on Technology and Society*, 2(1), 31-42. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tts.2021.3052127>
- Sidorova, A. and Saeed, K. (2022). Incorporating stakeholder enfranchisement, risks, gains, and ai decisions in ai governance framework. *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2022.722>
- Singh, A. (2023). "Artificial Intelligence and Deep Learning: Considerations for Financial Institutions for Compliance with the Regulatory Burden in the United Kingdom," *Journal of Financial Crime*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 1-15, 2023. doi:10.1108/jfc-01-2023-0011
- Sun, T. Q. and Medaglia, R. (2019). Mapping the challenges of artificial intelligence in the public sector: evidence from public healthcare. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(2), 368-383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2018.09.008>
- The ethics of artificial intelligence in legal decision making: an empirical study. *Psychology and Education*, (2023) 55(01). <https://doi.org/10.48047/pne.2018.55.1.38>
- Tiwari, R. (2023). Explainable ai (xai) and its applications in building trust and understanding in ai decision making. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management*, 07(01). <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsem17592>
- Vasiliev, A., Pechatnova, Y., & Mamychiev, A. Y. (2020). Digital ecology: artificial intelligence impact on legal and environmental sphere. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*, 10(5), 150-154. [https://doi.org/10.15421/2020\\_222](https://doi.org/10.15421/2020_222)
- Wang, J., Mao, W., & Wenjie, W. (2023). The ethics of artificial intelligence: sociopolitical and legal dimensions. *Interdisciplinary Studies in Society, Law, and Politics*, 2(2), 27-32. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.isslp.2.2.6>
- Xudaybergenov, A. (2023). Toward legal recognition of artificial intelligence proposals for limited subject of law status. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 1(4). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.55>.
- Yeti, Y. (2024). Optimizing work-life equilibrium: a case study of work-life balance strategies and employee well-being at brain academy (ruangguru). *Management Studies and Business Journal (PRODUCTIVITY)*, 1(2), 198-210. <https://doi.org/10.62207/w0c1aa53>
- Yu, L., and Yu, Z. (2023). Qualitative and quantitative analyses of artificial intelligence ethics in education using vosviewer and citnetexplorer. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1061778>
- Yu, L., Li, Y., & Fan, F. (2023). Employees' appraisals and trust of artificial intelligences' transparency and opacity. *Behavioral Sciences*, 13(4), 344. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13040344>