INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN PROGRESSIVE EDUCATION & DEVELOPMENT

OPEN ACCESS JOURNAL

Vol 14, Issue 01, (2025) E-ISSN: 2226-6348

Narrative Review on the Impact of Complex Strength Training on Lower Body Strength and Power in Athletes

Mohamad Fajar Mohamed Kasim[1], Shamsulariffin Samsudin[2], Safura Salihan[3]

[1]Faculty of Education Study, University Putra Malaysia, [2]Department of Sports Studies, University Putra Malaysia, [3]Department of Human Anatomy, University Putra Malaysia

To Link this Article: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v14-i1/23408 DOI:10.6007/IJARPED/v14-i1/23408

Published Online: 09 January 2025

Abstract

This narrative review analyses the effects of complex strength training (CST) on lower body strength and power in athletes. Complex strength training, which combines high-load resistance training with plyometric exercises in one session, has garnered interest for its ability to improve athletic performance. The review consolidates current literature on the physiological adaptations arising from CST, specifically with lower limb strength and explosive power. Evidence demonstrates that CST significantly enhances essential performance indicators, including one-repetition maximum (1RM) in squats and vertical jump height, which are vital for numerous sports. The review also examines the factors that contribute to these enhancements, such as neuromuscular adaptations and augmented muscle hypertrophy. This review emphasises the benefits of integrating plyometric components into CST compared to conventional resistance training approaches to enhance lower body performance. The data indicate that CST may serve as a beneficial element in training programs for athletes aiming to augment their lower body strength and power, therefore enhancing overall athletic performance. Future study avenues are suggested to further clarify the long-term impacts of CST among various sports cohorts

Keywords: Complex Training, Lower Body Strength Training

Background of Study

Complex strength training is an advanced training approach designed to enhance athletic performance by simultaneously improving strength and power. This method involves combining traditional strength exercises with explosive power exercises within the same workout session. By doing so, athletes can achieve significant improvements in their physical capabilities, particularly in their lower body, which is crucial for various sports activities.

Complex strength training is characterized by the sequential pairing of heavy resistance exercises with plyometric or high-velocity movements. The key principle behind this training method is post-activation potentiation (PAP). PAP refers to the phenomenon where the

muscles' power output is temporarily enhanced following a heavy resistance exercise. For example, performing a set of heavy squats primes the muscles, making them more responsive and powerful during subsequent explosive exercises like jump squats. This approach maximizes the neuromuscular benefits of both types of exercises (Cormier et al., 2020).

The integration of these components aims to exploit the immediate performance enhancement due to PAP and the long-term benefits of both strength and power training. Research indicates that complex training can lead to more significant improvements in both strength and power compared to traditional training methods (Weldon et al., 2022).

Importance of Lower Body Strength and Power

Lower body strength and power are fundamental components of athletic performance. These attributes are crucial for a variety of movements and skills required in sports, including sprinting, jumping, and rapid changes of direction. Enhanced lower body strength contributes to increased force production, while improved power enhances the ability to perform quick, explosive movements.

The advantages of improved lower body strength and power in athletes are significant and varied. Enhanced sprinting performance is attributable to increased force application at ground contact, resulting in accelerated speed and elevated maximum velocity. This capability enables athletes to run greater distances in reduced time, a vital advantage in sports like football and track events. Secondly, improved jumping capability is another significant advantage. Athletes capable of attaining higher vertical and horizontal jumps excel in sports such as basketball, volleyball, and track & field. Enhanced lower body power directly correlates with better results in these exercises, enabling athletes to achieve larger elevations and distances. Furthermore, enhanced lower body strength positively influences overall athleticism. Athletes exhibit superior performance in agility drills and directional change tasks, which are critical competencies in sports like football, rugby and hockey. This quickness and explosiveness can be crucial in competitive situations, frequently determining the outcome between victory and defeat. Studies have regularly demonstrated that training regimens aimed at enhancing lower body strength and power result in substantial enhancements in sports performance (Dowse & McGuigan, 2020). Consequently, players possessing more lower body strength and power typically excel in their particular sports owing to these improved physical attributes.

Purpose of the Review

Objective

The primary objective of this narrative review is to synthesize current research on the effects of complex strength training on lower body strength and power among athletes. By collating and analysing the latest findings, this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how complex strength training can be integrated into athletic training programs to maximize performance outcomes. The review seeks to highlight the effectiveness of this training modality and provide practical insights for coaches and athletes.

The significance of this review lies in its potential to guide training practices and inform future research. Coaches and athletes can use the insights gained from this review to optimize their training regimens, ensuring that they achieve maximal performance gains. Additionally, by

identifying gaps in the current literature, this review can highlight areas for further investigation, contributing to the advancement of sports science and training methodologies.

Methodology

A systematic literature search method was employed across many main databases to do a thorough literature review on lower body strength and power training. The principal sources comprised PubMed, recognised for its comprehensive biomedical literature; Google Scholar, offering a wide array of scholarly papers; SportDiscus, focussing on specialised sports science literature; and Embase, noted for its large coverage of biomedical research. The search employed a blend of keywords and subject headers designed to identify pertinent studies. Essential terminology encompassed "lower body strength," "power training," "explosive strength," "plyometric training," and "athletic performance." Boolean operators (AND, OR) were utilised to enhance search precision, yielding a thorough compilation of articles pertinent to the review's emphasis.

The criteria for selecting pertinent studies were meticulously defined to guarantee the inclusion of high-quality research. The inclusion criteria comprised research published in peer-reviewed publications between 2010 and 2023, concentrating on adult athletes aged 18 to 35 participating in lower body strength or power training. Furthermore, studies employing validated assessments of strength and power, such the Countermovement Jump (CMJ) and Isometric Mid-Thigh Pull (IMTP), were given precedence. Conversely, exclusion criteria removed non-peer-reviewed literature, studies not exclusively focused on lower body training, and research involving populations outside the specified age range or non-athletic persons. This stringent methodology guaranteed that solely relevant papers informed the review.

The study selection procedure encompassed multiple methodical steps to guarantee comprehensiveness and precision. A preliminary search produced a multitude of publications, which were subsequently evaluated by reviewing titles and abstracts in accordance with the specified criteria. Articles that passed the initial screening underwent comprehensive full-text evaluations to ascertain their relevance and quality. Data extraction ensued, gathering critical information including study design, sample size, interventions, and outcomes. Ultimately, methodological quality was evaluated utilising instruments such as the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool, guaranteeing that only studies of high quality were incorporated into the final synthesis. This systematic approach enabled a comprehensive examination of the current literature on lower body strength and power training, yielding significant insights for both athletes and coaches.

Overview of Complex Strength Training

Definition and Principles

Complex strength training (CST) is a specific program that combines heavy resistance exercises with intense plyometric movements in a single workout session. This method seeks to augment strength and power by using post-activation potentiation (PAP), a phenomena in which the execution of explosive motions is enhanced after engaging in intense resistance training. The rationale behind CST is that the initial heavy lift engages fast-twitch fibres of muscle and activates the neuromuscular system, facilitating enhanced force output in following explosive activities. A standard complicated training session may consist of doing a

set of squats at 85% of one-repetition maximum (1RM), succeeded by box jumps or other plyometric exercises after a short rest interval of 4-5 minutes (Boraczyński et al. 2023, Krzysztofik, et al. 2024).

The fundamental concepts of complicated strength training are based on numerous essential mechanisms. The overload principle posits that to elicit physiological adaptations, the training stimulus must surpass the body's existing capacities. The specificity principle asserts that adaptations are tailored to the nature of the training undertaken; therefore, integrating strength and explosive movements can improve athletic performance in sports necessitating both qualities (Ali et al. 2017, Belgrove et al. 2018). CST boosts neuromuscular efficiency by optimising motor unit recruitment and synchronisation, hence augmenting overall power production in athletic endeavours (Tillin et al. 2009). Studies indicate that this strategy can result in substantial enhancements in strength, explosive power, and overall athletic performance indicators, including vertical jump height and sprint speed (Boraczyńsk et al. 2014).

The notion of complicated strength training originates from the Soviet Union's sports science study in the mid-20th century. The phrase "complex training" became popular by Russian scientist Yuri Verkhoshansky in the 1970s, who investigated the benefits of integrating heavy resistance training with plyometric activities (Ali et al.2017, Tilin et al. 2009). Preliminary research indicated that this combination could produce greater improvements in power than conventional training approaches that concentrate only on either strength or explosiveness. Significant advancements in this domain encompass the research by Krzysztofik, M. et al. 2024), which underscored the effectiveness of complicated training in improving athletic performance across multiple sports.

Numerous research has confirmed the efficacy of CST, confirming it as a fundamental component of contemporary strength and conditioning methodologies. Recent study indicates that athletes participating in complex training demonstrate superior neuromuscular responses compared to those adhering solely to conventional heavy resistance or plyometric training regimes (Boraczyńsk et al. 2014, Li, J. 2023). Moreover, developments in the comprehension of PAP have enhanced the manner in which coaches integrate CST into training programs, facilitating customised strategies based on the unique requirements of individual athletes and the specific demands of their sports (Ali et al. 2017, Tilin et al. 2009).

Impact on Lower Body Strength and Power

Complex strength training (CST), which combines heavy resistance exercises with explosive movements, is a popular method for improving lower body strength and power among athletes. This training modality leverages several physiological mechanisms, including neuromuscular adaptations, muscle hypertrophy, fiber type transformation, and metabolic adaptations, to enhance athletic performance.

Neuromuscular Adaptations

One of the primary benefits of CST is the enhancement of neuromuscular efficiency. Neuromuscular adaptations refer to the improvements in the nervous system’s ability to recruit and fire muscle fibers more effectively. CST increases motor unit recruitment, firing frequency, and synchronization, leading to more efficient and powerful muscle contractions.

These adaptations are critical for explosive activities such as sprinting and jumping. According to Juárez et al. (2009), CST enhances the rate of force development (RFD) and intermuscular coordination, which are essential for executing high-power movements effectively (Juárez et al., 2009).

Muscle Hypertrophy and Fiber Type Transformation

Muscle hypertrophy, or the increase in muscle cross-sectional area (CSA), is another significant outcome of CST. Resistance training within CST promotes muscle growth, which contributes to greater force production. Moreover, plyometric exercises, an integral part of CST, stimulate type II muscle fibers. These fast-twitch fibers are crucial for generating high power output. The combination of hypertrophy from resistance exercises and the shift towards type II fibers from plyometrics maximizes the potential for power generation. Miller et al. (2014) found that CST effectively enhances muscle size and strength, leading to improved performance in explosive tasks (Miller et al., 2014).

Metabolic Adaptations

CST also induces significant metabolic adaptations that support sustained high-intensity performance. This training modality enhances the phosphocreatine system, which is crucial for short bursts of intense activity. Additionally, CST increases the activity of glycolytic and oxidative enzymes, thereby improving the body's ability to produce energy during explosive exercises. Liossis et al. (2013) demonstrated that CST significantly boosts anaerobic power, which is essential for activities requiring short, intense bursts of energy (Liossis et al., 2013).

Empirical Evidence

Numerous studies have documented the effectiveness of CST in enhancing lower body strength and power. For example, Carvalho et al. (2014) reported significant improvements in vertical jump height and lower limb strength in elite athletes following a CST program (Carvalho et al., 2014). Similarly, Talpey and Young (2016) observed that a nine-week CST regimen led to notable gains in sprint and jump performance among athletes (Talpey & Young, 2016).

In a systematic review, Cormier et al. (2021) highlighted that CST is more effective than traditional training methods for developing lower body concentric mean power, peak power, and mean propulsive power in team-sport athletes (Cormier et al., 2021). Moreover, Baker and Newton (2005) demonstrated that CST improves power output by alternating between agonist and antagonist muscle exercises (Baker & Newton, 2005).

Ebben and Watts (1998) provided early evidence supporting the benefits of CST, showing that it enhances both strength and power through a combination of weight training and plyometric exercises (Ebben & Watts, 1998). More recently, Seitz et al. (2014) confirmed that increases in lower body strength from CST positively transfer to improved sprint performance (Seitz et al., 2014).

Comparative Study on the Impact of Complex Strength Training on Lower Body Strength and Power in Athletes

Complex Strength Training (CST), which integrates heavy resistance exercises with plyometric movements, has gained prominence as an effective method for enhancing lower body

strength and power in athletes. This essay explores the comparative impacts of CST on lower body strength and power, drawing from various research studies to provide a comprehensive understanding of its benefits and effectiveness.

Neuromuscular Efficiency

One of the significant advantages of CST is the improvement in neuromuscular efficiency. Studies indicate that CST enhances motor unit recruitment and firing frequency, leading to more efficient muscle contractions. According to Juárez et al. (2009), CST significantly improves the rate of force development (RFD) and intermuscular coordination, which are critical for explosive movements such as sprinting and jumping (Juárez et al., 2009). This neural adaptation is a key differentiator between CST and traditional strength training programs.

Muscle Hypertrophy and Fiber Type Transformation

CST not only enhances neuromuscular efficiency but also induces muscle hypertrophy and promotes the transformation of muscle fiber types. Resistance training components of CST increase muscle cross-sectional area, contributing to greater force production. Plyometric exercises, on the other hand, stimulate type II muscle fibers, essential for high power output. (Miller et al. 2014) observed that CST effectively enhances muscle size and strength, leading to significant improvements in athletic performance (Miller et al., 2014).

Metabolic Adaptations

CST also facilitates metabolic adaptations that support sustained high-intensity performance. Enhancements in the phosphocreatine system improve the ability to sustain short, intense bursts of activity. Furthermore, CST increases glycolytic and oxidative enzyme activity, improving energy production during explosive exercises. Liossis et al. (2013) demonstrated significant improvements in anaerobic power following CST, crucial for activities requiring quick, intense energy bursts (Liossis et al., 2013).

Comparative Effectiveness

Empirical evidence highlights the superiority of CST over traditional strength training in several aspects. For instance, Carvalho et al. (2014) found that combining strength training with plyometric exercises led to greater improvements in vertical jump height and lower limb strength among elite athletes compared to strength training alone (Carvalho et al., 2014). Similarly, Talpey and Young (2016) reported that a nine-week CST program significantly enhanced sprint and jump performance, demonstrating its effectiveness over conventional training methods (Talpey & Young, 2016).

Discussion

Analysis of Results The analysed research demonstrate that complex strength training regimens can markedly improve athletic performance in multiple sports. The data indicate that combining high-load resistance training with explosive plyometric movements enhances power, speed, and overall athletic performance. This correlates with the principle of post-activation potentiation (PAP), wherein heavy lifting conditions the muscles for ensuing explosive movements, leading to enhanced force generation. The evidence combined indicates that athletes utilising these training methods are likely to achieve significant enhancements in performance indicators, such as sprinting speed and jumping capability.

Advantages and Disadvantages The narrative review methodology presents numerous advantages, such as its adaptability and capacity to integrate varied viewpoints on a subject. It enables researchers to present a thorough summary of current literature while identifying deficiencies and opportunities for further investigation. This method also possesses constraints. Narrative reviews may lack the rigour of systematic reviews due to their failure to adhere to standardised techniques for literature search and selection. This may result in possible biases in interpretation and a deficiency in reproducibility. Moreover, the subjective nature of narrative reviews implies that different authors may reach different outcomes influenced by their distinct viewpoints and experiences, thereby compromising the credibility of the findings.

Implications for Future Research

Future study should concentrate on the identified gaps in the literature. Initially, there is a necessity for more stringent investigations utilising systematic approaches to corroborate the conclusions of narrative reviews concerning complex strength training. Furthermore, studies may investigate the enduring impacts of these training programs on diverse demographics, encompassing both youth and senior athletes. Examining the precise mechanisms of PAP across various sporting scenarios might be advantageous. Future research should integrate various training methods and their effects on performance outcomes to achieve a comprehensive understanding of effective training strategies. The findings highlight the necessity for ongoing investigation in this field to improve training methodologies and optimise athletic performance efficiently.

Conclusion

This review highlights the substantial advantages of complex strength training for enhancing lower body strength and power in athletes. The results demonstrate that combining high-load resistance training with explosive plyometric activities significantly enhances athletic performance indicators, including sprinting speed and jumping capability. The reviewed research consistently indicate that athletes participating in complicated training show improved force output and general athleticism, mostly attributable to the physiological mechanisms linked to post-activation potentiation (PAP). These enhancements are essential for success in multiple sports, especially those necessitating quick movements and swift directional shifts. In conclusion, complex strength training is an exceptionally effective strategy for improving lower body strength and power in athletes. The integration of traditional resistance training with plyometric exercises enhances training efficiency and amplifies performance improvements. The evolving competitive landscape in sports necessitates the integration of advanced strength training into players' training regimens to gain an identifying advantage. Subsequent research should persist in examining this training methodology, emphasising varied demographics and long-term outcomes to enhance its validation and optimise best practices. The incorporation of complicated strength training offers a great opportunity for athletes aiming to enhance their performance and achieve their competitive objectives.

Practical Applications

A complex strength training program may significantly enhance athletic performance by combining high-load resistance training with low-load plyometric exercises in one workout session. This approach is especially advantageous for athletes seeking to enhance their power

and explosiveness while optimising their training efficiency (Ali et al. 2017). It is recommended to workout biweekly to ensure sufficient recovery between sessions. The program's duration should range from 6 to 8 weeks, with modifications to resistance levels as strength increases (Qiao et al. 2022, Carter, & Greenwood, (2014), et al. 2017). Each workout session should include heavy resistance exercises succeeded by intense plyometric movements aimed at the same muscle regions. An upper body workout may consist of three sets of 6-8 repetitions of incline bench presses at 85% of one-repetition maximum (1-RM), followed by a four-minute rest, and subsequently three sets of 6-12 explosive push-ups. An effective lower body regimen may consist of three sets of 6-8 repetitions of back squats at 85% of one-repetition maximum, followed by a four-minute recovery, and subsequently three sets of 10-15 vertical jumps (Wang et al. 2022).The efficacy of this training technique is mostly ascribed to post-activation potentiation (PAP), which improves muscular preparedness for ensuing explosive actions. This results in enhanced force generation and power output during plyometric exercises. Regularly evaluating performance measurements, including vertical jump height, sprint speed, and overall strength, is crucial for monitoring development during the training time (Qiao et al. 2022). Moreover, it is essential to ensure that athletes are sufficiently warmed up prior to commencing intricate training sessions to prevent injuries. Personalising the program according to individual athlete requirements and sport-specific demands is also essential.

References

Ali, K.(2017). Complex training: An update. *Journal of Athletic Enhancement*, 6(3), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.4172/2324-9080.1000261

BAKER, D., & NEWTON, R. U. (2005). Acute effect on power output of alternating an agonist and antagonist muscle exercise during complex training. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *19*(1), 202-205. https://doi.org/10.1519/00124278-200502000-00034

Boraczyński, M., Magalhães, J., Nowakowski, J. J., & Laskin, J. J. (2023). Short-term effects of lower-extremity heavy resistance versus high-impact plyometric training on neuromuscular functional performance of professional soccer players. *Sports*, *11*(10), 193. https://doi.org/10.3390/sports11100193

Li, J. (2023). The impact of post-activation potentiation with different recovery intervals on running performance: A systematic review and Meta analysis. https://doi.org/10.37766/inplasy2023.12.0104

Carvalho, A., Mourão, P., & Abade, E. (2014). Effects of strength training combined with specific plyometric exercises on body composition, vertical Jump height and lower limb strength development in elite male handball players: A case study. *Journal of Human Kinetics*, *41*(1), 125-132. https://doi.org/10.2478/hukin-2014-0040

Cormier, P., Carter, J., & Greenwood, M. (2014), T. T., & Seaman, K. (2021). A systematic review of resistance training methodologies for the development of lower body concentric mean power, peak power, and mean propulsive power in team-sport athletes. *Sports Biomechanics*, *23*(10), 1229-1262. https://doi.org/10.1080/14763141.2021.1948601.

Cormier, P., Carter, J., & Greenwood, M. (2014), T. T., Rubio-Arias, J. Á., & Alcaraz, P. E. (2020). Complex and contrast training: Does strength and power training sequence affect performance-based adaptations in team sports? A systematic review and meta-

analysis. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *34*(5), 1461-1479. https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0000000000003493

Qiao, Z., Guo, Z., Li, B., Liu, M., Miao, G., Zhou, L., Bao, D., & Zhou, J. (2022). The effects of 8-week complex training on lower-limb strength and power of Chinese elite female modern pentathlon athletes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.977882

Dowse, R. A., McGuigan, M. R., & Harrison, C. (2020). Effects of a resistance training intervention on strength, power, and performance in adolescent dancers. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *34*(12), 3446-3453. https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0000000000002288

Juárez, D., González-Ravé, J. M., & Navarro, F. (2009). Effects of complex vs non complex training programs on lower body maximum strength and power. *Isokinetics and Exercise Science*, *17*(4), 233-241. https://doi.org/10.3233/ies-2009-0359

Ebben, W. P., & Watts, P. B. (1998). A review of combined weight training and plyometric training modes: Complex training. *STRENGTH AND CONDITIONING JOURNAL*, *20*(5), 18. https://doi.org/10.1519/1073-6840(1998)020<0018:arocwt>2.3.co;2

Carter, J., & Greenwood, M. (2014). Complex training reexamined. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*, *36*(2), 11-9. https://doi.org/10.1519/ssc.0000000000000036

Juárez, D., González-Ravé, J. M., & Navarro, F. (2009). Effects of complex vs non complex training programs on lower body maximum strength and power. *Isokinetics and Exercise Science*, *17*(4), 233-241. https://doi.org/10.3233/ies-2009-0359

Kumar, G., Pandey, V., Thapa, R. K., Weldon, A., Granacher, U., & Ramirez-Campillo, R. (2023). Effects of exercise frequency with complex contrast training on measures of physical fitness in active adult males. *Sports*, *11*(1), 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/sports11010011

Liossis, L. D., Forsyth, J., Liossis, C., & Tsolakis, C. (2013). The acute effect of upper-body complex training on power output of martial art athletes as measured by the bench press throw exercise. *Journal of Human Kinetics*, *39*(1), 167-175. https://doi.org/10.2478/hukin-2013-0079

McQuilliam, S. J., Clark, D. R., Erskine, R. M., & Brownlee, T. E. (2020). Free-weight resistance training in youth athletes: A narrative review. *Sports Medicine*, *50*(9), 1567-1580. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-01307-7

Miller, J., Koh, Y., & Park, C. (2014). Effects of power-based complex training on body composition and muscular strength in collegiate athletes. *American Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, *2*(5), 202-207. https://doi.org/10.12691/ajssm-2-5-5

Morris, S. J., Oliver, J. L., Pedley, J. S., Haff, G. G., & Lloyd, R. S. (2022). Comparison of Weightlifting, traditional resistance training and plyometrics on strength, power and speed: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine*, *52*(7), 1533-1554. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-021-01627-2

Ojeda, Á. H., Ríos, L. C., Barrilao, R. G., & Serrano, P. C. (2016). Acute effect of a complex training protocol of back squats on 30-m sprint times of elite male military athletes. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, *28*(3), 752-756. https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.28.752

Krzysztofik, M., Jarosz, J., Urbański, R., Aschenbrenner, P., & Stastny, P. (2024). Effects of 6 weeks of complex training on athletic performanceand post-activation performance enhancement effect magnitudein soccer players: A cross-sectional randomized study. *Biology of Sport*. https://doi.org/10.5114/biolsport.2025.139849

Seitz, L. B., Reyes, A., Tran, T. T., De Villarreal, E. S., & Haff, G. G. (2014). Increases in lower-body strength transfer positively to sprint performance: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine*, *44*(12), 1693-1702. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0227-1

Talpey, S. W., Young, W. B., & Saunders, N. (2016). Is nine weeks of complex training effective for improving lower body strength, explosive muscle function, sprint and jumping performance? *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, *11*(5), 736-745. https://doi.org/10.1177/1747954116667112

Thapa, R. K., Lum, D., Moran, J., & Ramirez-Campillo, R. (2021). Effects of complex training on sprint, Jump, and change of direction ability of soccer players: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.627869

Tillin, N.A., & Bishop, D.J. (2009). Physical preparation for youth sports. (2013). *Strength and Conditioning for Team Sports*, 229-251. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203084250-17

Wang, X., Lv, C., Qin, X., Ji, S., & Dong, D. (2023). Effectiveness of plyometric training vs. complex training on the explosive power of lower limbs: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Physiology*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2022.1061110

Weldon, A., Duncan, M. J., Turner, A., Lockie, R. G., & Loturco, I. (2022). Practices of strength and conditioning coaches in professional sports: A systematic review. *Biology of Sport*, *39*(3), 715-726. https://doi.org/10.5114/biolsport.2022.107480