

The Influence of Social Media Health Misinformation on Vaccination Intentions: A Behavioral Perspective

Nur Syaheera Zaifuddin, Nor Azura Adzharuddin, Mohd Nizam Osman, Julia Wirza Mohd Zawawi

Department of Communication, Faculty of Modern Language and Communication, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

Email: syaheerazaifuddin30@gmail.com, zurh@upm.edu.my, mo_nizam@upm.edu.my, wirza@upm.edu.my

To Link this Article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v15-i1/23905> DOI:10.6007/IJARBSS/v15-i1/23905

Published Date: 19 January 2025

Abstract

Social media platforms have become significant sources of health-related information. However, the proliferation of health misinformation poses a critical challenge to public health, particularly in influencing vaccination intentions. This paper examines the behavioural impact of social media health misinformation on vaccination uptake. It explores the psychological and social factors that contribute to the spread of misinformation and its impact on individuals' trust in vaccines and healthcare systems. The research highlights the complex relationship between misinformation, risk perception, and decision-making regarding vaccination. By analyzing the role of social media platforms in shaping public health attitudes, this study underscores the need for effective interventions to combat misinformation, promote media literacy, and restore confidence in vaccination. This study aims to inform strategies for improving public health communication in an increasingly digital society.

Keywords: Health Misinformation, Vaccination, Social Media, Behavioural Impact

Introduction

Vaccination is a cornerstone of global public health, significantly reducing the prevalence of infectious diseases. However, vaccine hesitancy—a delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccines despite availability—remains a growing concern worldwide. Social media platforms, while valuable for disseminating information, have become a double-edged sword by enabling the rapid spread of health misinformation. This misinformation often includes unverified claims about vaccine safety, efficacy, and necessity, potentially undermining public trust and influencing vaccination intentions.

This paper seeks to investigate the behavioral mechanisms through which social media health misinformation affects vaccination decisions, highlighting the psychological, social, and contextual factors that contribute to vaccine hesitancy.

Literature Review

Social Media And Misinformation

Social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, and TikTok have become primary channels for health information sharing. However, their unregulated nature allows for the rapid dissemination of misinformation, often amplified by algorithms prioritizing engagement over accuracy. Studies indicate that health misinformation, particularly anti-vaccine narratives, garners more attention and engagement than evidence-based content, increasing its visibility and influence.

Social media platforms have revolutionized how individuals' access and share information, including health-related content. However, the unregulated nature of platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram has created fertile ground for the spread of health misinformation (Cinelli et al., 2020). Studies show that misinformation often spreads faster and reaches a broader audience than accurate information, as sensationalized content tends to attract more engagement (Vosoughi et al., 2018).

Misinformation related to vaccines often includes exaggerated claims about side effects, conspiracy theories, and distrust in pharmaceutical companies and government agencies (Broniatowski et al., 2018). These narratives undermine public confidence in vaccines, contributing to the growing global phenomenon of vaccine hesitancy. The World Health Organization (WHO) identified vaccine hesitancy as one of the top ten global health threats in 2019, highlighting its significant public health implications (WHO, 2019).

The proliferation of social media platforms has revolutionized the way information is consumed, shared, and disseminated, leading to a complex and ever-evolving landscape of misinformation. While social media has proven to be a powerful tool for connecting people, sharing knowledge, and facilitating important conversations, it has also become a breeding ground for the spread of false, misleading, and potentially harmful information, often referred to as "misinformation" (Starvaggi et al., 2023). The issue of mental health misinformation on social media is particularly concerning, as it can have serious consequences for individuals struggling with mental health challenges. Misinformation can appear on social media in various forms, such as rumors, urban legends, and factoids, all of which share the common characteristic of being false or inaccurate (Lowry et al., 1951).

Misinformation, in the context of social media, encompasses a wide range of false or inaccurate information, including fake news, rumors, and the misinterpretation or twisting of facts (Komendantova et al., 2023). The ease with which content can be created, shared, and amplified on social media platforms has contributed to the rapid proliferation of misinformation, often at the expense of accurate and information (Adebesin et al., 2023). The impact of social media-driven misinformation can be far-reaching, affecting a range of areas such as public health, political discourse, and social cohesion (Starvaggi et al., 2023). For instance, the spread of misinformation during the COVID-19 pandemic has been shown to erode trust in public health authorities and undermine efforts to control the spread of the

virus (Singh et al., 2024). Similarly, the dissemination of misinformation on social media has been linked to the polarization of political discourse and the undermining of democratic processes (Adebesin et al., 2023).

To address the challenge of misinformation on social media, researchers and policymakers have been exploring various strategies, including improving media literacy, enhancing platform-level content moderation, and developing more effective fact-checking mechanisms (Herasimenka et al., 2024). However, the rapid pace of technological change and the complex nature of social media platforms poses ongoing challenges in effectively combating the spread of misinformation. Addressing the challenge of misinformation on social media requires a multifaceted approach, involving a range of stakeholders, including social media platforms, policymakers, researchers, and the general public (Kozyreva et al., 2023). Social media platforms have a key role to play in developing and implementing effective strategies to detect, limit, and counter the spread of misinformation, such as through the use of fact-checking tools, content moderation, and user education (Silva & Vaz, 2024).

Researchers have also played a crucial role in understanding the nature and impact of misinformation on social media. Empirical studies have highlighted the causal effects of misinformation on people's attitudes and behaviors, underscoring the need for evidence-based interventions to address this challenge (Ishizumi et al., 2024). At the same time, researchers have an important role to play in studying the complex dynamics of misinformation on social media, including the factors that contribute to its spread and the potential interventions that can be effective in addressing it (Chen et al., 2022). Ultimately, addressing the challenge of misinformation on social media will require a collaborative effort, with all stakeholders working together to promote the proliferation of accurate and reliable information while mitigating the harms caused by the spread of false and misleading content (Hoes et al., 2024).

The persistence of misinformation on social media platforms poses significant challenges for both individuals and society. Misinformation can have severe negative consequences, including the erosion of trust in institutions, the promotion of harmful behaviors, and the exacerbation of social division (Starvaggi et al., 2023 & Lewandowsky et al., 2017). To combat this issue, a multifaceted approach is necessary, involving the efforts of social media platforms, policymakers, researchers, and the general public (Komendantova et al., 2023 & Adebesin et al., 2023). By developing effective strategies to detect, limit, and counter the spread of misinformation, while also promoting digital literacy and critical thinking among social media users, we can work towards a more informed and resilient society that is better equipped to navigate the complex and ever-evolving landscape of online information (Osborne & Pimentel, 2023).

One key aspect of addressing misinformation on social media is the need to understand the underlying motivations and incentive structures that drive its spread. Identifying the actors and interests behind the intentional dissemination of false information is crucial for controlling the sources of the problem and disrupting the systems that perpetuate it (Kozyreva et al., 2023). Another important consideration is the need to tailor interventions to the specific cultural and political contexts in which misinformation operates. The effects of misinformation can vary significantly across different societies and political systems, requiring

a nuanced and context-specific approach to addressing the issue. Additionally, the human tendencies towards confirmation bias and the role of emotion in the processing of misinformation must be taken into account when designing effective interventions (Chou et al., 2020 & Kozyreva et al., 2023).

Misinformation Ecosystem On Social Media

The digital landscape has become a double-edged sword in public health communication. While social media provides rapid access to information, it also fosters the rapid spread of misinformation. Misinformation is often propagated by individuals with personal agendas, bots, and even coordinated campaigns, creating a complex ecosystem of falsehoods (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017). Misinformation thrives in this environment due to its ability to exploit cognitive biases, such as confirmation bias, where individuals are more likely to believe information aligning with their existing beliefs (Pennycook & Rand, 2018).

Studies reveal that social media platforms amplify misinformation through algorithms designed to maximize user engagement, prioritizing sensationalized content over factual information (Cinelli et al., 2020). For example, a Facebook study found that vaccine misinformation posts received significantly higher engagement than pro-vaccine posts, demonstrating how platform dynamics contribute to the misinformation crisis (Zarocostas, 2020).

The proliferation of misinformation on social media platforms has become a pressing concern for researchers, policymakers, and the general public. Misinformation, defined as false or inaccurate information that is created and spread with or without the intent to deceive, has the potential to manipulate public opinion, influence voting behaviors, and even endanger public health and safety (Komendantova et al., 2023). The misinformation ecosystem on social media is complex, with various forms of false information, such as rumors, urban legends, and factoids, circulating among users (Lowry et al., 1951). The rapid pace at which misinformation can spread, coupled with the increasing sophistication of technologies like large language models and deepfakes, makes addressing this issue a significant challenge (Johansson et al., 2023).

Researchers have studied the content, actors, and mechanisms behind the spread of misinformation on social media platforms. Notably, prior studies have found that fact-checking content is shared significantly less than the original false articles on social media, underscoring the need for more effective interventions (Geeng et al., 2020). Misinformation on social media can have severe consequences, including promoting harmful emotions, confusion, anxiety, and criminal activities among users. It has also been linked to influencing individuals' voting behaviors and encouraging people to put themselves at risk of physical harm (Traberg et al., 2024).

Addressing the misinformation ecosystem on social media requires a multi-faceted approach, involving the collaboration of researchers, policymakers, technology companies, and the public. Reactive interventions to counter misinformation are crucial, but they should be complemented by proactive, systems-level efforts to manage information ecosystems and address underlying vulnerabilities (Ishizumi et al., 2024).

Civil society organizations, educational systems, media organizations, and other community-based entities all have important roles to play in developing and implementing comprehensive strategies to combat the spread of misinformation on social media (Eslami et al., 2024). The design of social media platforms and the quality of information from public figures have also been suggested as factors that can influence the spread of misinformation (Waldrop, 2023). Ultimately, the mitigation of misinformation on social media is a complex and ongoing challenge that requires a comprehensive, coordinated, and sustained effort from various stakeholders. In addition to reactive interventions, researchers have proposed proactive approaches to address the misinformation ecosystem on social media.

One key aspect is to focus on the supply-side factors that contribute to the spread of misinformation, such as the design of social media platforms and the quality of information from public figures. Examining and addressing these factors can help prevent the proliferation of misinformation at the source (Brashier, 2024). Another important approach is to shift the paradigm within public health to include the management of information ecosystems as a key priority. This would require allocating technical, financial, and human resources to develop and implement interventions at the primordial and primary prevention levels, focusing on underlying vulnerabilities and addressing the root causes of misinformation. Coordinated efforts and collaboration between various stakeholders, including civil society organizations, educational systems, media organizations, and community-based organizations, are essential for the consistent and comprehensive design and implementation of these proactive interventions (Peixoto et al., 2024).

Role of Social Media Platforms

Social media companies play a pivotal role in combating misinformation. Initiatives such as Facebook's fact-checking partnerships and Twitter's misinformation labels aim to reduce exposure to falsehoods (Puri et al., 2020). However, these efforts face challenges, including resistance from users who perceive such measures as censorship. Effective misinformation countermeasures must balance content regulation with respect for freedom of expression. Social media platforms have become ubiquitous in modern society, transforming the way individuals communicate, share information, and engage with the world around them. These platforms have also had a significant impact on various industries, including marketing, with researchers exploring the effectiveness and efficiency of leveraging such applications in this context (Alalwan et al., 2016). The growth of social media has enabled companies to design targeted advertising campaigns that can track consumer responses, facilitate interactive sessions, and deliver personalized messages. This has allowed businesses to more effectively reach and engage with their target audience, particularly the millennial demographic. (Arora et al., 2020). Additionally, social media has impacted the way individuals and organizations consume and share information. Many people now turn to various social media platforms as their primary source of news, leading to a decline in traditional media subscriptions. (Woods et al., 2019). Social media has also transformed the way job seekers market themselves, with undergraduate and graduate students expected to engage in professional networking and personal branding on these platforms (Woods et al., 2019).

The impact of social media on brand perception and customer relationships has also been explored in the literature. Companies are increasingly integrating social media mechanisms to enhance their relationship with consumers and shape their brand/product perception,

moving beyond short-term advertising strategies. However, the literature also highlights the importance of understanding the changing behavior of consumers on social media, as companies seek to create mutual benefits from the use of these platforms (Voramontri & Klieb, 2019). Overall, the current literature highlights the significant role of social media in marketing and consumer behavior, with researchers emphasizing the need for a more systematic review of the existing research in this area (Alalwan et al., 2016). Research has demonstrated that social media plays a crucial role in influencing consumer purchasing behaviors and preferences. According to a study by Global Web Index, social media is the top online source of product discovery, with around 37% of consumers using social media platforms to find new products. Another study by Hootsuite revealed that 63% of consumers now expect brands to provide customer service through social media (Buckweitz & Noam, 2024).

The literature has identified several factors that affect consumer preferences in the online shopping environment, including increased exposure to brands, personalization, engagement, and the impact of influencer marketing (Sibtain et al., 2024). Social media's ability to provide brands with greater exposure is one of the most substantial impacts it has on consumer behavior and preferences (Hafferty et al., 2024). Research has also shown that a brand's use of social media can result in positive outcomes, such as enhanced interpretation and response to brand communications, increased brand attachment, more favorable attitudes toward the brand and its products, greater loyalty and willingness to communicate with the brand, and an increase in customer visit frequency and profitability (Hamilton et al., 2016). The growing influence of social media can be attributed to the need for brands to find new ways to effectively communicate with increasingly difficult-to-reach young consumers who are less likely to consume traditional media and more likely to rely on digital marketing communications (Arora et al., 2020). Additionally, young consumers are increasingly influenced by their friends and peers when forming brand attitudes and making purchase decisions (Hamilton et al., 2016).

Impact of Social Media on Vaccine Hesitancy

Social media misinformation influences vaccination decisions through cognitive, emotional, and social pathways. According to Betsch et al. (2012), exposure to vaccine-critical messages on social media decreases perceived vaccine safety and increases hesitancy. A study by Wilson and Wiysonge (2020) found that individuals frequently exposed to anti-vaccine content were less likely to express intent to vaccinate, demonstrating the strong correlation between misinformation and vaccine hesitancy. The amplification of misinformation is exacerbated by algorithms that prioritize content with higher engagement, often favoring sensational or controversial posts. This creates echo chambers where users are exposed to homogenous anti-vaccine narratives, reinforcing their beliefs and limiting exposure to corrective information (Jamison et al., 2020).

In recent years, the widespread adoption of social media platforms has significantly shaped public discourse on various social and healthcare-related issues, including the contentious topic of vaccine hesitancy. Vaccine hesitancy, defined as the reluctance or refusal to vaccinate despite the availability of vaccines, has emerged as a growing public health concern, with the potential to compromise herd immunity and increase the risk of vaccine-preventable disease outbreaks. (Puri et al., 2020). The proliferation of anti-vaccination misinformation through

social media has exacerbated this issue, leading to increased skepticism and resistance towards vaccination among certain segments of the population (Wilson & Wiysonge, 2020). Social media platforms have facilitated the rapid dissemination of inaccurate and misleading information about vaccine safety and efficacy, often driven by the efforts of vocal anti-vaccination groups. This has contributed to the polarization of the vaccine debate, with more individuals becoming skeptical of vaccination (Singh et al., 2024 & Li et al., 2021).

However, social media also offers a unique opportunity for public health authorities and pro-vaccination advocates to directly engage with target populations, increase the availability and accessibility of accurate vaccine-related information, and advocate for evidence-based policies (Chen et al., 2023). Addressing the complex interplay between social media and vaccine hesitancy requires a multifaceted approach, including the development of targeted behavior change interventions, enhanced digital health literacy campaigns, and the implementation of effective social media monitoring and content moderation strategies (Neff et al., 2021). As the COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the critical importance of widespread vaccine acceptance, the need for a deeper understanding of the impact of social media on vaccine hesitancy has become increasingly urgent (Harris et al., 2024).

To address this pressing issue, researchers have conducted various studies exploring the relationship between social media and vaccine hesitancy. These studies have provided valuable insights into the mechanisms by which social media can contribute to vaccine hesitancy, as well as the potential for using social media as a tool to promote vaccine acceptance and public health (Ruggeri et al., 2024). The current literature review aims to synthesize the existing research on the impact of social media on vaccine hesitancy, highlighting key findings, emerging themes, and potential directions for future research and intervention strategies. One study, "Vaccine hesitancy and behavior change theory-based social media interventions: a systematic review," examined the role of social media in influencing vaccine hesitancy and explored the potential of behavior change theory-based interventions delivered through social media platforms (Li et al., 2021). The authors found that while social media can be a source of misinformation and contribute to vaccine hesitancy, it also offers opportunities for targeted interventions aimed at addressing vaccine-related concerns and promoting vaccine acceptance.

Another study, "Social media and vaccine hesitancy: new updates for the era of COVID-19 and globalized infectious di," further highlighted the significant impact of social media on vaccine hesitancy, particularly in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic (Puri et al., 2020). The authors discussed the public health concerns raised by anti-vaccination messaging on social media and the potential consequences for future vaccine development and adoption. A scoping review titled "Vaccine hesitancy in online spaces: A scoping review of the research literature, 2000-2020" provided a comprehensive overview of the existing research on vaccine hesitancy in online spaces, including the role of social media (Neff et al., 2021). The review identified a need for more interdisciplinary research, as well as the importance of developing evidence-based strategies to address the spread of vaccine-related misinformation on social media.

These studies collectively underscore the complex and multifaceted relationship between social media and vaccine hesitancy. While social media can contribute to the proliferation of misinformation and exacerbate vaccine hesitancy, it also presents opportunities for targeted

interventions and public health advocacy efforts to promote vaccine acceptance and improve health literacy (Li et al., 2021).

Implications for Public Health

Addressing social media misinformation requires a multifaceted approach. Public health campaigns must prioritize the dissemination of clear, evidence-based information using the same platforms. Collaborations with social media companies to regulate and flag misinformation can reduce its spread. Moreover, engaging trusted community figures and influencers can help counter anti-vaccine narratives within specific social networks.

As public health practitioners and researchers have increasingly recognized, health is influenced by a wide range of factors beyond the direct control of the healthcare system, including social, economic, and environmental determinants (Gase et al., 2014).

One important consideration in this context is the potential influence of industry sponsorship on the research agenda. The scoping review conducted by the authors found that industry sponsorship has the potential to affect policymaking by influencing the type of evidence that is available and the kinds of public health solutions that are considered (Fabbri et al., 2018). This is a significant concern, as it suggests that the research agenda may be skewed towards solutions that align with corporate interests rather than those that are most effective for improving public health (Maani et al., 2024).

To address these challenges, the development and implementation of mechanisms to address and manage the influence of industry on public health policy, research, and practice are crucial. (Mialon et al., 2020) This may involve measures such as excluding industry representatives from policy considerations and decision-making processes and ensuring that public health professionals and policymakers are aware of the potential biases that can arise from industry-sponsored research (Pitt et al., 2024).

By engaging policymakers and the public in a conversation about the type and quality of evidence that will be needed to protect public health in the future, public health researchers and professionals can help to define appropriate roles for government, civil society groups, and industry (Cooper et al., 2024).

To address this issue, the authors suggest the development of strategies to counteract corporate influence on the research agenda. (Fabbri et al., 2018) This could involve greater transparency around industry funding sources, as well as efforts to ensure that the research agenda is driven by public health priorities rather than corporate interests (Daube, 2018). Another important consideration is the need for more comprehensive, longitudinal data systems and dynamic simulation models to inform decision-making in the public health sphere (Gase et al., 2014). By developing more robust and comprehensive data systems, policymakers and public health practitioners can make more informed decisions about the most effective interventions and policies to improve population health.

Finally, the authors emphasize the importance of having a comprehensive policy assessment strategy that considers the health impacts, as well as the costs and feasibility, of potential

interventions. This approach can help to ensure that public health decisions are based on a holistic understanding of the potential implications and trade-offs (Turner et al., 2023).

Psychosocial Factors and Vaccine Misinformation

Social media misinformation impacts vaccine hesitancy through several psychosocial mechanisms. Fear appeals, often used in anti-vaccine content, exploit emotional vulnerabilities, making misinformation more memorable and influential (Chou & Budenz, 2020). These messages often highlight rare but dramatic adverse effects of vaccines, fostering an inflated perception of risk.

Moreover, social norms play a critical role. Anti-vaccine communities on social media create echo chambers where dissenting voices are marginalized, and groupthink prevails. This creates a strong sense of belonging for members but discourages objective evaluation of evidence (Germani & Biller-Andorno, 2021). Peer influence within these groups often surpasses traditional sources of health information, such as medical professionals or government agencies.

Vaccine misinformation has become a significant public health concern in recent years, with the proliferation of inaccurate and misleading information about the safety and efficacy of vaccines, particularly on social media platforms. This misinformation can contribute to vaccine hesitancy, which is a complex issue influenced by a variety of psychosocial factors. (Li et al., 2021)

One of the key challenges in addressing vaccine misinformation is the ease with which it can spread online, often undermining the efforts of public health experts and vaccine advocates to provide accurate information (Vanderpool et al., 2020). Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated this problem, as the uncertainty surrounding the disease and the rapid development of vaccines have provided fertile ground for the spread of false and misleading claims. (Lee et al., 2022).

Misinformation about COVID-19 vaccines is not limited to the United States but is a global phenomenon, with false information disseminating worldwide on social media platforms (Su & Agyingi, 2024). Existing research has shed light on the types of individuals who may be more susceptible to vaccine hesitancy and the prevalence of problematic information in certain message streams, but there is a gap in understanding the direct link between these component (Singh et al., 2024).

To address the issue of vaccine misinformation, it is crucial to understand the psychosocial factors that contribute to its spread and the underlying beliefs and attitudes that may drive vaccine hesitancy. This knowledge can inform the development of targeted interventions and public health campaigns that effectively combat misinformation and promote vaccine acceptance (Ruggeri et al., 2024).

Vaccine hesitancy, defined as the delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccines despite their availability, is a multifaceted issue that transcends individual-level factors and is influenced by broader societal and environmental dynamics (Li et al., 2021). Misinformation, which can be defined as false or inaccurate information, can be a significant contributor to vaccine

hesitancy, as it can erode public trust, exacerbate polarization, and lead to erroneous appraisals of the threat posed by vaccine-preventable diseases.

The rapid spread of misinformation on social media platforms is a particular concern, as these platforms can amplify the reach and impact of false or misleading claims. Antivaccination groups have effectively leveraged social media to propagate rhetoric that undermines vaccine confidence and encourage vaccine hesitancy (Vanderpool et al., 2020).

Furthermore, the uncertainty surrounding the COVID-19 pandemic, including the rapid development of vaccines, has provided fertile ground for the spread of misinformation (Lee et al., 2022). This has led to a proliferation of false and distorted information about the safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines, which can further exacerbate vaccine hesitancy and undermine public health efforts to control the pandemic.

To address the issue of vaccine misinformation, it is crucial to understand the psychosocial factors that contribute to its spread and the underlying beliefs and attitudes that may drive vaccine hesitancy. This knowledge can inform the development of targeted interventions and public health campaigns that effectively combat misinformation and promote vaccine acceptance (Tay et al., 2024).

One key psychosocial factor that may contribute to the spread of vaccine misinformation is the role of partisanship and political ideology. Some research has suggested that misinformation about COVID-19 may be associated with partisanship, with certain political groups more susceptible to false or misleading claims. (Singh et al., 2024) Additionally, the polarization of the vaccine debate, exacerbated by the growth of antivaccination groups, can lead to a more divisive and entrenched discourse that undermines public trust and reinforces vaccine hesitancy (Li et al., 2021).

Strategies to Combat Misinformation

Addressing misinformation requires a multi-level approach. Educational campaigns that simplify complex health information have shown promise in reducing the influence of misinformation (Southwell et al., 2020). Additionally, partnerships with social media companies to identify and flag misinformation can limit its spread (Puri et al., 2020). Influencers and community leaders can play a pivotal role in disseminating accurate information, leveraging their trust and reach to counteract misinformation (Basch et al., 2020). In the age of digital information, the rapid spread of misinformation has become a significant challenge, with far-reaching consequences in areas ranging from public health to national security. As information scientists, we must confront this crisis head-on, leveraging our expertise to develop robust interventions that cultivate trust in reliable sources and curb the dissemination of falsehoods (Xie et al., 2020). One crucial step in this endeavor is to enhance the transparency, accountability, and plurality of information sources. This involves implementing policies that promote the integrity of the information ecosystem, such as ensuring the traceability of online content and the accountability of social media platforms in moderating the spread of misinformation (Ishizumi et al., 2024). Simultaneously, fostering societal resilience to disinformation is paramount. This can be achieved through targeted interventions that empower individuals to critically evaluate the information they encounter, recognizing the tactics employed to sow doubt and distract attention (Kozyreva et al., 2022).

Furthermore, upgrading the governance and public institutions responsible for safeguarding the information space is essential. This may include the establishment of specialized units to combat disinformation, as well as providing clear guidance to social media companies on the appropriate measures to address the issue (Radu, 2020). However, it is crucial to strike a delicate balance, as overly restrictive approaches to information access can have unintended consequences, potentially undermining the democratic foundations of our digital interactions (Eslami et al., 2024). Ultimately, the remedy for pervasive online falsehood lies in strengthening trust in science and verified sources, which can be facilitated through accurate reporting and informed public debates (Hoes et al., 2024). By adopting a multifaceted and nuanced approach, we can effectively combat misinformation, promoting a digitally informed society that is resilient to the manipulation of information (Xie et al., 2020). The challenge of misinformation is further compounded by the speed at which it can spread, particularly during global health crises (Ishizumi et al., 2024).

Conclusion

Social media health misinformation significantly influences vaccination intentions by shaping psychological perceptions, social norms, and cognitive decision-making processes. Understanding these behavioral mechanisms is crucial for developing effective interventions to combat vaccine hesitancy. Future research should focus on empirical studies to validate the proposed framework and explore targeted strategies for mitigating misinformation's impact. The proliferation of health misinformation on social media platforms has had a profound impact on vaccination intentions and behaviors. Social media's global reach and the ease with which false or misleading content can be created and shared have exacerbated the spread of anti-vaccine narratives, leading to increased vaccine hesitancy, particularly among vulnerable groups (Kim & Kim, 2021).

The emotional and visceral nature of anti-vaccine propaganda, coupled with the illusory truth effect and availability heuristic, has made it challenging for the public to discern fact from fiction (Grimes & Greenhalgh, 2024). Additionally, the reliance of anti-vaccine groups on conservative and far-right sources, as opposed to established scientific authorities, has contributed to the erosion of public trust in vaccination (Welch et al., 2023). While efforts to mitigate misinformation have had limited success in improving real-world vaccine uptake, the evidence suggests that tailored messaging that addresses the specific concerns and knowledge levels of target populations may be more effective. (Ruggeri et al., 2024) Ultimately, a multifaceted approach involving regulatory oversight, targeted educational campaigns, and the promotion of digital literacy is necessary to combat the pernicious effects of social media-driven health misinformation and safeguard public health (Ishizumi et al., 2024). The COVID-19 pandemic has further underscored the urgent need to address the impact of social media misinformation on vaccination intentions. As the global community continues to grapple with the ongoing public health crisis, it is essential that we redouble our efforts to counter the spread of false and misleading information and restore public confidence in the safety and efficacy of vaccines (Tay et al., 2024).

References

- Adebesin, F., Smuts, H., Mawela, T., Maramba, G., & Hattingh, M. (2023). The role of social media in health misinformation and disinformation during the COVID-19 pandemic: Bibliometric analysis. *JMIR infodemiology*, 3(1), e48620.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211.
- Alalwan, A. A., Rana, N. P., Algharabat, R., & Tarhini, A. (2016). A systematic review of extant literature in social media in the marketing perspective. In *Social Media: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly: 15th IFIP WG 6.11 Conference on e-Business, e-Services, and e-Society, I3E 2016, Swansea, UK, September 13–15, 2016, Proceedings 15* (pp. 79-89). Springer International Publishing.
- Arora, T., Kumar, A., & Agarwal, B. (2020). Impact of social media advertising on millennials buying behaviour. *International Journal of Intelligent Enterprise*, 7(4), 481-500.
- Basch, C. H., Basch, C. E., Hillyer, G. C., & Jaime, C. (2020). The role of YouTube and the entertainment industry in saving lives by educating about COVID-19: A theoretical analysis. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, 6(2), e19145.
- Betsch, C., Renkewitz, F., Betsch, T., & Ulshöfer, C. (2012). The influence of vaccine-critical websites on perceiving vaccination risks. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 15(3), 446-455.
- Brashier, N. M. (2024). Fighting misinformation among the most vulnerable users. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 101813.
- Broniatowski, D. A., Jamison, A. M., Qi, S. H., AlKulaib, L., Chen, T., Benton, A., ... & Dredze, M. (2018). Weaponized health communication: Twitter bots and Russian trolls amplify the vaccine debate. *American Journal of Public Health*, 108(10), 1378-1384.
- Buckweitz, J. A., & Noam, E. M. (2024). Media ownership and concentration in the United States of America, 1984-2022. <https://doi.org/10.22215/gmicp/2024.10.840>
- Chen, C., Wang, H., Shapiro, M., Xiao, Y., Wang, F., & Shu, K. (2022). Combating health misinformation in social media: Characterization, detection, intervention, and open issues. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.05289.
- Chou, W. Y. S., & Budenz, A. (2020). Considering emotion in COVID-19 vaccine communication: Addressing vaccine hesitancy and fostering vaccine confidence. *Health Communication*, 35(14), 1718-1722.
- Cinelli, M., Quattrocioni, W., Galeazzi, A., Valensise, C. M., Brugnoti, E., Schmidt, A. L., ... & Scala, A. (2020). The COVID-19 social media infodemic. *Scientific reports*, 10(1), 1-10.
- Dunn, A. G., Leask, J., Zhou, X., Mandl, K. D., & Coiera, E. (2017). Associations between exposure to and expression of negative opinions about human papillomavirus vaccines on social media: An observational study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 19(6), e255.
- Eslami, M., Gilbert, E., Schoenebeck, S., Baumer, E. P., Chandrasekharan, E., De Mooy, M., ... & Wihbey, J. (2024). The Future of Research on Social Technologies: CCC Workshop Visioning Report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.10897.
- Geeng, C., Yee, S., & Roesner, F. (2020). Fake News on Facebook and Twitter: Investigating How People (Don't) Investigate. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376784>
- Germani, F., & Biller-Andorno, N. (2021). The anti-vaccination infodemic on social media: A behavioral analysis. *PLOS ONE*, 16(3), e0247642.
- Grimes, D. R., & Greenhalgh, T. (2024). Vaccine disinformation from medical professionals—a case for action from regulatory bodies?. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*.

- Hafferty, C., Reed, M. S., Brockett, B. F., Orford, S., Berry, R., Short, C., & Davis, J. (2024). Engagement in the digital age: Understanding “what works” for participatory technologies in environmental decision-making. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 365, 121365.
- Hamilton, M., Kaltcheva, V. D., & Rohm, A. J. (2016). Social media and value creation: The role of interaction satisfaction and interaction immersion. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 36(1), 121-133.
- Herasimenka, A., Wang, X., & Schroeder, R. (2024). Promoting Reliable Knowledge about Climate Change: A Systematic Review of Effective Measures to Resist Manipulation on Social Media. arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.23814.
- Hoes, E., Aitken, B., Zhang, J., Gackowski, T., & Wojcieszak, M. (2024). Prominent misinformation interventions reduce misperceptions but increase scepticism. In E. Hoes, B. Aitken, J. Zhang, T. Gackowski, & M. Wojcieszak, *Nature Human Behaviour* (Vol. 8, Issue 8, p. 1545). *Nature Portfolio*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-024-01884-x>
- Ishizumi, A., Kolis, J., Abad, N., Prybylski, D., Brookmeyer, K. A., Voegeli, C., ... & Chiou, H. (2024). Beyond misinformation: developing a public health prevention framework for managing information ecosystems. *The Lancet Public Health*, 9(6), e397-e406.
- Jamison, A. M., Broniatowski, D. A., & Quinn, S. C. (2020). Malicious actors on Twitter: Implications for public health. *American Journal of Public Health*, 109(4), 556-557.
- Johansson, P., Enock, F., Hale, S., Vidgen, B., Bereskin, C., Margetts, H., & Bright, J. (2022). How can we combat online misinformation? A systematic overview of current interventions and their efficacy. arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.11864.
- Kata, A. (2010). A postmodern Pandora's box: Anti-vaccination misinformation on the Internet. *Vaccine*, 28(7), 1709–1716.
- Kim, S., & Kim, K. (2021). The Information Ecosystem of Online Groups with Anti- and Pro-vaccine Views on Facebook. In S. Kim & K. Kim, arXiv (Cornell University). Cornell University. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2108>.
- Komendantova, N., Erokhin, D., & Albano, T. (2023). Misinformation and Its Impact on Contested Policy Issues: The Example of Migration Discourses. In N. Komendantova, D. Erokhin, & T. Albano, *Societies* (Vol. 13, Issue 7, p. 168). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.3390/soc13070168>
- Kozyreva, A., Smillie, L., & Lewandowsky, S. (2023). Incorporating Psychological Science Into Policy Making. In A. Kozyreva, L. Smillie, & S. Lewandowsky, *European Psychologist* (Vol. 28, Issue 3, p. 206). Hogrefe Verlag. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040/a000493>
- Lee, S. K., Sun, J., Jang, S., & Connelly, S. (2022). Misinformation of COVID-19 vaccines and vaccine hesitancy. In S. K. Lee, J. Sun, S. Jang, & S. Connelly, *Scientific Reports* (Vol. 12, Issue 1). *Nature Portfolio*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-17430-6>
- Lewandowsky, S., Ecker, U. K. H., & Cook, J. (2017). Beyond misinformation: Understanding and coping with the “post-truth” era. In S. Lewandowsky, U. K. H. Ecker, & J. Cook, *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition* (Vol. 6, Issue 4, p. 353). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2017.07.008>
- Li, L., Wood, C. E., & Kostkova, P. (2022). Vaccine hesitancy and behavior change theory-based social media interventions: a systematic review. *Translational behavioral medicine*, 12(2), 243-272.
- Lowry, O., Rosebrough, N., Farr, A., & Randall, R. (1951). PROTEIN MEASUREMENT WITH THE FOLIN PHENOL REAGENT. In Oliver H. Lowry, Nira J. Rosebrough, A. Farr, & Rose J.

- Randall, Journal of Biological Chemistry (Vol. 193, Issue 1, p. 265). Elsevier BV. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9258\(19\)52451-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9258(19)52451-6)
- Loomba, S., Figueiredo, A., Piatek, S. J., de Graaf, K., & Larson, H. J. (2021). Measuring the impact of COVID-19 vaccine misinformation on vaccination intent in the UK and USA. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 5(3), 337–348.
- Osborne, J., & Pimentel, D. R. (2023). Science education in an age of misinformation. In J. Osborne & D. R. Pimentel, *Science Education* (Vol. 107, Issue 3, p. 553). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21790>
- Pennycook, G., & Rand, D. G. (2018). The Implied Truth Effect: Attaching warnings to a subset of fake news stories increases perceived accuracy of stories without warnings. *Management Science*, 66(11), 4944–4957.
- Peixoto, R., Voolstra, C. R., Stein, L. Y., Hugenholtz, P., Falcao Salles, J., Amin, S. A., ... & Gilbert, J. A. (2024). Microbial solutions must be deployed against climate catastrophe. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 100(11), fae144.
- Puri, N., Coomes, E. A., Haghbayan, H., & Gunaratne, K. (2020). Social media and vaccine hesitancy: New updates for the era of COVID-19 and globalized infectious diseases. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, 16(11), 2586-2593.
- Radu, R. (2020). Fighting the 'Infodemic': Legal Responses to COVID-19 Disinformation. In R. Radu, *Social Media + Society* (Vol. 6, Issue 3). SAGE Publishing.
- Roozenbeek, J., Schneider, C. R., Dryhurst, S., Kerr, J., Freeman, A. L., Recchia, G., ... & van der Linden, S. (2020). Susceptibility to misinformation about COVID-19 around the world. *Royal Society Open Science*, 7(10), 201199.
- Rosenstock, I. M. (1974). Historical origins of the health belief model. *Health Education Monographs*, 2(4), 328-335.
- Ruggeri, K., Vanderslott, S., Yamada, Y., Argyris, Y. A., Večkalov, B., Boggio, P. S., ... & Hertwig, R. (2024). Behavioural interventions to reduce vaccine hesitancy driven by misinformation on social media. *bmj*, 384.
- Shah, A. M., Zahoor, S. Z., & Qureshi, I. H. (2019). Social media and purchasing behavior: A study of the mediating effect of customer relationships. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 32(2), 93-115.
- Sibtain, M. M., Hashim, M., García Márquez, F. P., Baig, S. A., & Nazam, M. (2024). Role of social influence in adoption of energy-efficient household systems among Pakistani consumers: a quantitative study. *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis*.
- Silva, E. C. D. M., & Vaz, J. C. (2024). How disinformation and fake news impact public policies?: A review of international literature. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.00951. Singh, L., Bao, L., Bode, L., Budak, C., Pasek, J., Raghunathan, T., ... & Wycoff, N. (2024). Understanding the rationales and information environments for early, late, and nonadopters of the COVID-19 vaccine. *npj Vaccines*, 9(1), 168.
- Southwell, B. G., Thorson, E. A., & Sheble, L. (2020). The persistence and peril of misinformation. *American Scientist*, 108(4), 268-271.
- Su, Z., & Agyingi, E. (2024). Modeling the Impact of Misinformation on the Transmission Dynamics of COVID-19. In Z. Su & E. Agyingi, *AppliedMath* (Vol. 4, Issue 2, p. 544). <https://doi.org/10.3390/appliedmath4020029>
- Sylvia Chou, W. Y., Gaysynsky, A., & Cappella, J. N. (2020). Where we go from here: health misinformation on social media. *American journal of public health*, 110(S3), S273-S275.

- Tay, L. Q., Lewandowsky, S., Hurlstone, M. J., Kurz, T., & Ecker, U. K. H. (2024). Thinking clearly about misinformation. In L. Q. Tay, S. Lewandowsky, M. J. Hurlstone, T. Kurz, & U. K. H. Ecker, *Communications Psychology* (Vol. 2, Issue 1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44271-023-00054-5>
- Traberg, C. S., Harjani, T., Roozenbeek, J., & van der Linden, S. (2024). The persuasive effects of social cues and source effects on misinformation susceptibility. *Scientific Reports*, *14*(1), 4205.
- Linden, S., Roozenbeek, J., & Compton, J. (2017). Inoculating against fake news about COVID-19. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 566790.
- Vanderpool, R. C., Gaysynsky, A., & Sylvia Chou, W. Y. (2020). Using a global pandemic as a teachable moment to promote vaccine literacy and build resilience to misinformation. *American Journal of Public Health*, *110*(S3), S284-S285.
- Voramontri, D., & Klieb, L. (2019). Impact of social media on consumer behaviour. *International Journal of Information and Decision Sciences*, *11*(3), 209-233.
- Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. *Science*, *359*(6380), 1146-1151.
- Waldrop, M. M. (2023). How to mitigate misinformation. In M. M. Waldrop, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (Vol. 120, Issue 36). National Academy of Sciences. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2314143120>
- Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policy making. *Council of Europe Report DGI(2017)*.
- Welch, E. W., Johnson, T. P., Chen, T., Ma, J., Islam, S., Michalegko, L. F., ... & Frandell, A. (2023). How Scientists View Vaccine Hesitancy. *Vaccines*, *11*(7), 1208.
- Wilson, S. L., & Wiysonge, C. (2020). Social media and vaccine hesitancy. *BMJ Global Health*, *5*(10), e004206.
- Witte, K. (1992). Putting the fear back into fear appeals: The extended parallel process model. *Communication Monographs*, *59*(4), 329-349.
- Woods, K., Gomez, M., & Arnold, M. G. (2019). Using social media as a tool for learning in higher education. *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies (IJWLTT)*, *14*(3), 1-14.
- Xie, B., He, D., Mercer, T., Wang, Y., Wu, D., Fleischmann, K. R., ... & Lee, M. K. (2020). Global health crises are also information crises: A call to action. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, *71*(12), 1419-1423.
- Zarocostas, J. (2020). How to fight an infodemic. *The Lancet*, *395*(10225), 676.