Richard Dawkins' Criticism of Religion: An Islamic Analysis

Kauthar Razali[1], Nooramira Ghazali[1], Farah Roslan[1], Susana Aditiya Wangsanata[2]

[1]Faculty of General Studies and Advanced Education, [2]Sekolah Tinggi Islam Sunniyyah

Abstract

Richard Dawkins, a renowned biologist and a leading voice in the New Atheist movement, delivers a pointed critique of religion in his influential 2006 work, 'The God Delusion'. He argues that religious beliefs are devoid of empirical support and can have detrimental effects on morality and society at large. This analysis seeks to explore Dawkins' criticisms across three critical realms: epistemology, ethics and societal impact. By examining pertinent literature and juxtaposing Dawkins' views with insights from various experts, this piece aims to enrich the ongoing dialogue between atheism and religious belief.

Keywords: Richard Dawkins, Atheism, Religion, Criticism, Epistemology

Introduction

Richard Dawkins, a distinguished British evolutionary biologist, ethologist, and science communicator, has profoundly shaped the fields of evolutionary biology and cultural evolution. Born on March 26, 1941, in Nairobi, Kenya, he emphasizes the gene as the fundamental engine of evolution, alongside his passionate advocacy for atheism. After returning to England in 1949, he pursued zoology at Balliol College, Oxford, where he earned both master's and doctoral degrees in 1966 under the guidance of eminent ethologist Nikolaas Tinbergen. Dawkins is celebrated for his assertion that natural selection operates at the genetic level rather than at the individual or species level (Scott, 2013). He popularized the term "memes," likening the spread of cultural ideas to genetic variations, illustrating how concepts can evolve and propagate throughout society.

Dawkins returned to Oxford to teach zoology in 1970 and published 'The Selfish Gene' in 1976, aiming to clarify widespread misconceptions about Darwinism and asserting that genes drive natural selection. His engaging writing style made complex scientific ideas accessible to a broad audience (Dawkins, 1976). Grasping Dawkins' critique of religion necessitates an understanding of his philosophical foundations and the cultural milieu in which his arguments emerged. As a prominent public intellectual, Dawkins employs a rigorous scientific framework to scrutinize religious claims, contending that faith often lacks the empirical grounding that characterizes scientific inquiry. His hallmark work, 'The God Delusion', serves as a clarion call

underscoring the argument that religion can foster harmful ideologies, obstruct scientific progress, and impair moral judgment. Furthermore, his critiques intersect with pressing debates concerning religion's role in public life, particularly amidst rising secularism and globalization. Targeting primarily the Abrahamic traditions, Dawkins asserts that belief in God is fundamentally irrational and that religion can perpetuate unethical and damaging practices within society (Dawkins, 2006). This paper systematically evaluates Dawkins' key critiques, categorizing them into three pivotal areas: (1) epistemology—faith as irrational, (2) ethics—religion as a source of immorality and (3) social critique—the adverse impacts of religion on societal wellbeing. Through a thorough review of existing literature on these themes, the paper will contrast Dawkins' arguments with counter-arguments, providing a nuanced discussion about the relevance and limitations of his views on religion.

Methodology
This qualitative study employs document analysis as its primary methodology. It involves a detailed examination of Dawkins' writings alongside critiques and perspectives from various authors. Furthermore, insights gained from YouTube discussions featuring Dawkins will be incorporated to enrich the analysis through inductive content analysis.

Discussions
In this discussion, Dawkins' criticisms will be analyzed from an Islamic perspective, examining the foundations of his arguments and how they resonate or conflict with Islamic teachings. This paper will explore the implications of his views on faith, morality, and community, and consider how Islamic scholars and adherents respond to his assertions. By engaging with Dawkins' critique, this paper aim to foster a deeper understanding of the intersection between science and religion, and how Islam addresses contemporary challenges in a rapidly changing world. This analysis seeks not just to defend religious beliefs but to engage in a meaningful dialogue about faith, reason, and the pursuit of knowledge.

Dawkins' Epistemological Critique: Faith and Reason
Dawkins has written extensively about the intersection of science and religion, producing influential works that critically examine both realms. His prominent publications include 'The Extended Phenotype' (1982) and 'The Blind Watchmaker' (1986)— the latter earned him the Royal Society of Literature Award in 1987—as well as 'River Out of Eden' (1995). 'In Climbing Mount Improbable' (1996), Dawkins confronts common misconceptions about Darwinian evolution, emphasizing that the emergence of complex structures, such as the eye, evolves through gradual adaptations to selective pressures rather than through random chanceDawkins argues that belief in God is irrational because it is based on faith rather than empirical evidence. In The God Delusion, he characterizes faith as "belief in the absence of evidence" and argues that such belief systems contradict scientific inquiry, which relies on observable and verifiable data (Dawkins, 2006).

From an Islamic standpoint, the epistemological issues raised by Richard Dawkins are not new; Islamic theology has a rich tradition grappling with the interplay of faith and reason. Esteemed scholars such as Al-Ghazali and Ibn Rushd (Averroes) engaged in profound discussions about the nature of knowledge and the significance of reason in interpreting divine revelation. In Islam, both reason and revelation (wahy) are acknowledged as valid pathways to truth, where

reason serves as a tool for comprehending God's signs (ayat) in the universe, as expressed in the Qur'an (Ishiaku, 2019).

Religion as a Source of Immoral Behavior
In The God Delusion, Dawkins paints a stark picture of God as an embodiment of evil, a being that must be obliterated. He presents a clear dichotomy between science and religion— where science is celebrated for its rationality, religion is dismissed as a realm of irrationality. This viewpoint aligns with the assertions of fellow atheist Daniel Dennett, who posits that religion is an evolutionary relic, once fulfilling a role in human life that has now become obsolete. Dawkins contends that the psychological foundations of religious belief can drive followers to engage in self-destructive behaviors, as their intense devotion to God clouds their ability to think rationally. This can lead to alarming outcomes, including the willingness to sacrifice oneself or even take lives, all in the name of faith.

Dawkins employs a piercing critique of the religious condition, launching a scathing attack that encompasses all faiths. This sweeping condemnation has significantly bolstered his reputation in Western intellectual circles, presenting his arguments as breakthroughs that reframe human evolution through a scientific lens. He supports the provocative concept of a "lazy God," as suggested by Peter Atkins, alongside Woody Allen's idea of an "underachiever God." According to Dawkins, religious practices are so inherently ineffective that they fail to provide a credible explanation for the "God hypothesis" or the notion of an "interventionist God." He argues that the principles of natural selection possess an innate capacity for growth and complexity, a notion echoed by philosopher Arthur Robert Peacocke (Noble, 2021).

Dawkins also takes aim at the ethical frameworks established by religions like Islam, labeling them as antiquated and frequently nurturing violence, intolerance, or the repression of women's rights. He advocates for a secular, humanistic model of ethics- grounded in empathy and reason—as a more enlightened alternative to religious moral systems. He argues that one does not require belief in a deity to be virtuous, asserting that secular morality is inherently more adaptable and responsive to the complexities of contemporary life. Dawkins makes clear in 'The God Delusion', his view that God represents an evil force that must be eradicated. He contrasts science and religion, labeling science as rational while relegating religion to the realm of the irrational. His perspective mirrors that of fellow atheist Daniel Dennett, framing religion as an evolutionary byproduct that once served humanity's needs but is now obsolete. According to Dawkins, the psychological underpinnings of belief lead followers to engage in self-destructive behavior motivated by a misguided devotion to God, resulting in a rejection of rational thought and even violent acts in the name of faith (Dawkins, 2006).

Dawkins portrays an atheistic worldview that critiques religion, delivering a provocative indictment against all faiths. Through his work, Dawkins has gained recognition in the Western world for presenting a "scientific" understanding of human evolution. He aligns himself with Peter Atkins' notion of a "lazy God" and Woody Allen's idea of an "underachiever God," arguing that religious practices fail to substantiate the "God hypothesis" or an "interventionist God." He posits that concepts such as natural selection exhibit a "proclivity for increased complexity," as noted by philosopher Arthur Robert Peacocke. Dawkins challenges the rationale behind the persistent adherence to religion, questioning its capacity to provide

coherent explanations of reality and morality. He attributes the existence of a world filled with suffering to the very God who claims to offer redemption (Dawkins, 1976).

Dawkins extends his critique to fundamentalist Islamic regimes, such as Brunei, condemning their endorsement of Sharia law that imposes the death penalty on gay individuals, claiming that Muslims often suffer as victims of their own religious structures. He provocatively mocked the phrase "Allahu Akbar," drawing a comparison to the pleasant sound of church bells. In his view, devoid of divine guidance, humanity must turn to science for direction. He asserts that "if God's absence creates a void, people will fill it in various ways," suggesting his approach is rooted in a rigorous pursuit of truth through science (Dawkins. 2006). He argues that atheists can lead meaningful and morally sound lives, despite their skepticism of religious morality. In contrast, Dawkins posits that religious individuals see life as ephemeral, tying their actions to divine accountability, which discourages wrongdoing. This perspective contradicts the view held by scholars like Zuckerman (2009), who contend that morality can exist independently of religion, while Dawkins concludes that religion diminishes rational thought and fosters evil.

Dawkins further critiques religious ethical systems, including Islam, characterizing them as outdated and often promoting violence and intolerance. He posits that a secular, humanistic approach—rooted in empathy and reason—outshines religious moral frameworks. He asserts that one need not believe in God to uphold morality, emphasizing secular ethics as more flexible and responsive to modernity. In contrast, Islamic morality is intricately linked with faith itself. The Qur'an and Hadith form the foundation of a moral system that guides personal behavior and social justice. It emphasizes core values such as the welfare of humanity (maslaha), justice (adl), and compassion (rahmah), urging believers to embody these principles in their everyday lives (Iqbal & Cam, 2018).

Social Critique—Religion's Harmful Impact on Society.
Dawkins frequently criticizes religion as a significant source of social harm, providing vivid examples of how religious extremism, terrorism, and societal oppression manifest in the world today. He argues that Islam, akin to other faiths, can lead to violence and division, particularly when its teachings are interpreted by zealots who adopt a fundamentalist approach. Dawkins raises alarming concerns about the implications of religious education, suggesting that indoctrinating children in devout households equates to a form of "child abuse." He highlights the ethical dilemmas posed by religious teachings that endorse violence, inequality, or intolerance, capturing the gravity of these issues. However, Dawkins' critiques often paint religion with a broad brush, neglecting the rich tapestry of diverse religious thought and practice (Dawkins, 2006). Numerous religious communities, including many within Islam, promote ethical values that resonate closely with the principles of secular humanism, including justice, charity, and a dedication to peace (Aziz et al, 2020).

While Dawkins' social critique is compelling, it faces significant challenges. The reality is that religion can undeniably contribute to violence and oppression, yet it has also served as a powerful agent for social good throughout history. Faith-based organizations have played pivotal roles in transformative movements, such as the Civil Rights Movement in the United States, and have spearheaded global humanitarian efforts aimed at alleviating social injustices. In contrast, Islam presents itself as a comprehensive system that is deeply

concerned with promoting the well-being of both individuals and society as a whole. The concept of ummah, or community, is central to Islamic teachings, promoting ideals of unity, mutual support, and justice among its followers. While it is true that some individuals have manipulated religious texts to justify acts of violence, mainstream Islamic scholarship argues vigorously that such actions are distorted interpretations of genuine Islamic principles (Omar, 2017). The Qur'an explicitly states, "There is no compulsion in religion" (Qur'an 2:256), a powerful assertion that underscores the importance of freedom of belief and respect for the rich diversity of human thought and experience.

Richard Dawkin's View on Religion From Islamic Perspective
Various arguments for the existence of God have been articulated by Muslim theologians, many of which continue to hold relevance today. Among the most prominent are the kalam cosmological argument and the argument from design. The Darwinian model, as presented by Richard Dawkins, suggests that all phenomena can be understood from a naturalistic perspective. This view posits that even cognitive and emotional experiences, such as free will and morality, are regarded as complex or epiphenomenal outcomes of indiscriminate natural forces, which we will either eventually comprehend or may have already elucidated. This perspective is fundamentally at odds with the Islamic worldview, which upholds the belief in non-observable, supernatural entities, including God, the soul, and angels (Daneshgar, 2020). A syllogism exemplifies how such arguments are constructed:

Premise 1: Everything that begins to exist has a cause.
Premise 2: The universe came into being.
Conclusion: Therefore, the universe must have a cause (Al- Fatani, 1890).

This deductive argument is based on logical principles, with each premise supported through rational discourse, often including dialectical exchanges of points and counterpoints, and is typically backed by empirical evidence. The Qur'an states that Adam was created in the finest form (Quran, 95:4) and emphasizes that he was shaped directly by God (Quran 38:75), assigning him the role of God's vicegerent on Earth (Quran 2:30). This narrative implies that Adam and his descendants are positioned above other forms of creation. It raises the question of how such a distinguished, noble being could have emerged from random processes and imperfect ancestors. Furthermore, the Qur'an identifies Adam as the progenitor of humanity (17:70), suggesting that he was the first human without any parents, diverging from the evolutionary perspective proposed by Darwin.

Yahya discusses the societal consequences of atheism by referencing the hippie movement as an illustrative example. Promoters of this movement sought to liberate themselves spiritually through sexual promiscuity and the use of drugs, as espoused by contemporary humanist philosophers. Many leaders of this countercultural phenomenon ultimately succumbed to substance abuse or took their own lives, indicating that a secular existence often ends in despair. Similar outcomes befell many young followers who adhered to John Lennon's vision of a world devoid of nations and religious beliefs (Yahya, 2011). While Dawkins posits that faith is inherently opposed to reason, Islamic theology endeavors to establish a harmonious relationship between the two.

The Qur'an invites believers to reflect upon the universe as indicative of God's existence: "Indeed, in the creation of the heavens and the earth, and the alternation of the night and day, there are signs for people of reason" (al-Qur'an 3:190). For Muslims, belief in God is not merely a matter of blind faith; rather, it is supported by contemplation, observation, and intellectual inquiry. This approach sharply contrasts with Dawkins' assertion that religious belief lacks rational foundation. Islamic scholars may contend that he misunderstands the adaptability and dynamic nature of Islamic law (Shari'ah), as demonstrated by the maqasid al-Shari'ah (the objectives of Islamic law), which prioritize the protection of life, intellect, property, religion, and lineage, indicating that Islamic ethics are fundamentally anchored in the welfare of individuals and society. Moreover, Islamic ethics are not static; they can be interpreted and adapted in response to evolving circumstances through principles such as ijtihad (independent reasoning). Critics from an Islamic stance might also argue that secular ethics, as proposed by Dawkins, lack the transcendental foundation necessary for moral accountability in the afterlife.

From an Islamic viewpoint, Dawkins' critique may be perceived as overly simplistic, conflating the actions of a minority with the tenets of the religion as a whole. Islamic scholars would argue that violence purportedly committed in the name of Islam is frequently driven by political, economic, or cultural motivations rather than by religious doctrine. Furthermore, the history of Islam reveals numerous instances of coexistence, scientific advancement, and social harmony, especially during the Golden Age of Islam. The substantial contributions of Islamic civilization in fields such as science, medicine, and philosophy may serve as a counterpoint to Dawkins' broader critique of religion. Dawkins' criticisms present both challenges and opportunities for Islamic thought. While his emphasis on empirical evidence aligns with Islam's encouragement of intellectual inquiry, his outright dismissal of faith-based knowledge systems contradicts fundamental Islamic beliefs. The Islamic tradition possesses a rich intellectual legacy that underscores the role of reason in comprehending divine truths, thereby providing a more integrative perspective on faith and reason than Dawkins' binary approach permits (Nakissa, 2020). Dawkins' influence has extended beyond the academic sphere, as he has emerged as a prominent public intellectual and advocate for atheism (Foster et al., 2017). His views on the role of religion in society have sparked vigorous debates, with critics arguing that his approach oversimplifies the complex relationship between faith and reason.

Moreover, while Dawkins raises pertinent concerns regarding extremism and intolerance, his critiques do not adequately consider the broader ethical framework of Islam, which stresses justice, compassion, and the welfare of humanity. Islamic ethics are not inflexible or stagnant, as suggested by Dawkins, but are adaptable to shifting social contexts through principles such as ijtihad and the objectives of Shari'ah. Ultimately, Dawkins' critique is deeply rooted in his commitment to scientific rationalism and his conviction that religious belief represents a remnant of pre-scientific thought. His arguments, particularly those concerning the epistemological validity of religious faith, solicit essential questions about the nature of belief and evidence. However, critics argue that Dawkins' rigid alignment with empirical science as the sole valid epistemological framework neglects the complexities inherent in religious experience, which often transcends empirical boundaries.

Conclusion

Richard Dawkins' critique of religion, including his views on Islam, encourages believers to engage in a critical examination of faith's role in the contemporary world. However, his

analysis tends to oversimplify the complex relationship between faith and reason, neglects the inherent flexibility of Islamic ethics and fails to recognize the diversity of interpretations and practices within Islam. From an Islamic standpoint, faith is not in opposition to reason; rather, it serves to complement and enhance it. Additionally, Islamic ethics provide a robust framework that promotes both individual and societal well-being.

While Dawkins raises important issues regarding the risks associated with extremism, a more nuanced comprehension of Islamic theology and its practical implications is essential for a meaningful engagement with his critiques. Future discussions should consider the potential for an integrated approach that harmonizes scientific inquiry with the moral and existential questions posed by religious beliefs. This synthesis could facilitate a collaborative framework that fosters mutual respect and acknowledges the distinct yet complementary realms of science and spirituality. Such an endeavor would not only enrich both fields but could also cultivate a more comprehensive understanding of the human experience.

References

Al-Fatani, Z. A. (1890). `Aqidah al-Najin fi `Ilm Usuluddin. Kaherah: Matba`ah Ibn Halab

Aziz, Y,. Mansor, F,. Waqar S,. & Abdullah, L. (2020). The Nexus Between Zakat And Poverty Reduction, Is The Effective Utilization Of Zakat Necessary For Achieving Sdgs: A Multidimensional Poverty Index Approach. *Asian Social Work And Policy Review*. 14(3): 235- 247.

Daneshgar, M. (2020). The Future Of Islam And Science: Philosophical Grounds. *Journal Of Religion And Science.* Vol 55(4): 971-976.

Dawkins, R. (1976). *The Selfish Gene*. Oxford University Press.

Dawkins, R. (2006). *The God Delusion*. Oxford University Press.

Foster, R., Megoran, N., & Dunn, M. (2017). Towards A Geopolitics Of Atheism: Critical Geopolitics Post The 'War On Terror'. *Political Geography*. Vol 60: 179- 189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2017.07.011

Iqbal, U,. Cam, C. (2018). Ethical Principles of the Islamic Faith – Insights for the Modern World. *Business And Management Research*. Vol 7 (3): 27- 35.

Ishiaku, Ab. (2019). The Relevance of Reason and Revelation in Guiding Man in Modern Nigeria. *South Asian Research Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences.* Vol 1 (2): 198-202. 10.36346/SARJHSS.2019.v01i02.029.

Nakissa, A. (2020). The Cognitive Science of Religion and Islamic Theology: An Analysis Based on the Works of al-Ghazālī. *Journal of the American Academy of Religion*.88 (4): 1087–1120. https://doi.org/10.1093/jaarel/lfaa059

Noble, R., Noble, D. (2021). Can Reasons and Values Influence Action: How Might Intentional Agency Work Physiologically?. *J Gen Philos Sci.* 52: 277–295. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10838-020-09525-3.

Omar, A.R. (2017). Islam and Violence Revisited. *Journal of Ecumenical Studies*. 52(1): 67-78. https://dx.doi.org/10.1353/ecu.2017.0006.

Scott, E. (2013). *Biology: Evolution Of A Mind*. Nature. https://doi.org/10.1038/501163a.

Yahya, H. (2011). *Perfceted Faith*. Goodwork Books.

Zuckerman, P. (2009). Atheism, Secularity, and Well-Being: How the Findings of Social Science Counter Negative Stereotypes and Assumptions. *Compass Journal*. Vol 3 (6) : 949-971. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9020.2009.00247.x