Vol 15, Issue 01, (2025) E-ISSN: 2222-6990

Examining Secondary Students' Calculator Competency and its Relationship to Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) in Mathematics Problems

Leong Zi Jun

Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Malaysia

To Link this Article: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v15-i1/24167 DOI:10.6007/IJARBSS/v15-i1/24167

Published Date: 06 January 2025

Abstract

Scientific calculators are intended to be effective tools for enhancing and broadening mathematical skills. However, many students continue to face challenges in solving mathematics problems requiring Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS), even with access to scientific calculators. This study investigates the competency of secondary students in using calculators for mathematical problem-solving and examines its relationship with their performance in HOTS mathematics problems. Using a quantitative research approach, the study involved administering tests and surveys to 55 Form Four students from a school in the Johor district. Data collection assessed students' calculator skills and their ability to solve HOTS problems related to quadratic functions. The analysis showed a significant positive correlation between students' proficiency in using calculators and their performance in HOTS problems. Students with stronger calculator skills achieved higher scores in HOTS problem-solving. The findings provide valuable insights for improving educational strategies to enhance students' calculator skills. By exploring the connection between calculator use and HOTS performance, this study aims to guide educators and policymakers in improving mathematical learning experiences and outcomes for secondary students.

Keywords: Scientific Calculators, Higher-Order Thinking Skills, Quadratic Functions

Introduction

In today's rapidly evolving world, the ability to solve complex problems is vital for success in both academic and professional spheres. Mathematics, a cornerstone of education, plays a crucial role in fostering these skills. Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS), such as analyzing, evaluating, and creating, are integral to this process, as they encourage students to move beyond rote memorization and engage in critical and creative thinking. Recognizing this, the Malaysian Ministry of Education has integrated HOTS into the national curriculum through the Malaysian Education Blueprint (2013–2025). This initiative aims to prepare students for the demands of the 21st century by equipping them with critical thinking and problem-solving skills essential for global competitiveness.

In October 2011, the Ministry of Education (MOE) launched a comprehensive evaluation of the Malaysian education system, leading to the development of the Malaysian Education Blueprint (2013–2025). This initiative aims to prepare both educators and students for 21st-century challenges. A central focus of the new curricula, namely the Kurikulum Standard Sekolah Menengah (KSSM) and the Kurikulum Standard Sekolah Rendah (KSSR), is the incorporation of Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS). Introduced in 2012 and fully implemented by 2017, these curricula are designed to equip students with the ability to think critically, apply knowledge to new situations, analyze information, and communicate effectively with the skills essential for thriving in a modern learning environment.

Scientific calculators are increasingly acknowledged as transformative tools in mathematics education. Beyond merely simplifying computations, these devices enable students to focus on understanding mathematical concepts and solving higher-level problems. Research has shown that effective use of calculators enhances not only computational accuracy but also students' engagement and attitudes toward mathematics, ultimately fostering deeper conceptual understanding (Ellington, 2003; St. John & Lapp, 2000). Despite this potential, many students struggle to utilize calculators effectively, particularly when tackling HOTS problems. This study explores secondary students' proficiency with calculators and its relationship to their performance in solving HOTS questions, with a focus on quadratic functions.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform educational practices and policies. By identifying gaps in students' calculator competencies and their impact on problem-solving skills, this research provides valuable insights for educators and policymakers. It highlights the need for targeted interventions, such as teacher training and curriculum adjustments, to optimize the use of calculators in enhancing mathematical understanding and HOTS development. Ultimately, this study seeks to bridge the gap between procedural fluency and conceptual mastery, ensuring students are better prepared to meet contemporary challenges.

1. Scientific Calculators in Mathematics Education

Scientific calculators play an essential role in engaging students and providing immediate feedback during mathematics lessons (Kamarulhaili & Sim, 2005; Leng, 2011). To maximize their potential, both students and teachers must develop the skills required to use this technology effectively in the classroom (Nabie & Yidana, 2001; Ebal et al., 2019). In today's technology-rich environment, students should be proficient in utilizing the functionalities of scientific calculators. At all educational levels, calculators can expand mathematical understanding, facilitate access to mathematical information, and improve computational fluency, provided their use is guided by knowledgeable educators.

2. Previous Studies on Calculator Use

Research consistently demonstrates the positive impact of calculators on students' mathematical learning, particularly in developing HOTS. Advanced calculators, including those powered by artificial intelligence, have been shown to enhance students' performance in subjects like Basic Calculus by enabling deeper engagement with abstract concepts (Afidchao et al., 2023). Furthermore, studies indicate that calculators support conceptual learning by allowing students to concentrate on problem-solving rather than repetitive

Vol. 15, No. 01, 2025, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2025

calculations, leading to improved achievement and attitudes toward mathematics (Bray et al., 2017). These findings align with earlier research, which highlights the educational benefits of traditional calculators (Liang, 2017).

3. Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS)

Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) are critical for developing creative and analytical thinking. These skills require students to apply, analyze, evaluate, and create, going beyond rote memorization. Based on Bloom's Taxonomy, HOTS encompass the higher levels of cognitive processes, including complex reasoning, decision-making, and problem-solving (Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 2013; Ministry of Education, 2019). The revised taxonomy by Anderson and Krathwohl categorizes cognitive processes into six levels—remembering, understanding, applying, analyzing, evaluating, and creating—with the top three levels classified as HOTS (Bloom et al., 1956; Schultz, 2005).

The Malaysian education system emphasizes the integration of HOTS to improve learning outcomes, particularly in national and international assessments like PISA and TIMSS, which highlight the need for stronger cognitive skills among students (Malaysia Education Blueprint, 2013–2025). Incorporating HOTS into teaching practices encourages students to explore concepts, effectively use calculators in exams, and develop analytical and creative thinking skills, ensuring they are prepared to meet the demands of the 21st century. Research shows that calculator use enhances problem-solving and analytical skills, fostering a deeper understanding of complex mathematical ideas (Kastberg & Leatham, 2005; Ellington, 2003). This study seeks to explore the relationship between secondary students' calculator competency and their performance in HOTS, emphasizing the need for collaboration among educators and stakeholders to strengthen these skills. HOTS are essential for fostering critical, analytical, and logical thinking, which are crucial for addressing modern challenges (Hassan et al., 2012; Jacob et al., 2012; Prusak et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2001).

Problem Background

Despite the recognized benefits of scientific calculators in mathematics education, their integration into teaching practices remains inconsistent, particularly in developing countries like Malaysia. The National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) has long advocated for calculators as essential tools for enhancing mathematical understanding (NCTM, 2000). However, studies reveal that students often lack the proficiency to use calculators effectively for complex problem-solving, leading to missed opportunities for fostering HOTS (Higgins et al., 2019; Kerslake et al., 2013). This gap is evident in international assessments such as the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) and the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), where Malaysian students consistently score below the global average in higher-order cognitive tasks (OECD, 2018).

Quadratic functions, a fundamental topic in secondary mathematics, exemplify these challenges. Many students struggle with misconceptions about variables, graph interpretation, and algebraic representations, which hinder their ability to solve HOTS problems (Zaslavsky, 1997; Ellis & Grinstead, 2008). Scientific calculators, with their advanced features, have the potential to address these issues by providing visual and numerical insights. For instance, modern calculators enable students to explore the effects of

coefficients on the vertex and shape of a graph, promoting a deeper understanding of quadratic functions (Kissane, 2016).

However, the effective use of calculators demands specific skills, such as accurately entering functions, interpreting outputs, and applying results to real-world problems. Research shows that while calculators can enhance mathematical reasoning, their misuse or underutilization often exacerbates students' difficulties in solving higher-order problems (Didis et al., 2011; Díaz & Poblete, 2018). In Malaysia, this issue is compounded by limited instructional support and an overemphasis on content mastery at the expense of critical thinking (Hassan et al., 2012).

Moreover, the disparity in calculator usage proficiency among students highlights a critical issue in educational equity. Students from underprivileged backgrounds often lack access to quality teaching resources, including calculators, which further widens the achievement gap in HOTS-related tasks (Radzuan et al., 2021). These inequities are particularly concerning in light of Malaysia's performance in TIMSS and PISA assessments, which underscore the urgent need for educational reforms to enhance critical thinking and problem-solving skills (OECD, 2018). For example, Malaysia's TIMSS 2019 scores in mathematics and science at the Grade 8 level were 461 and 471, respectively but still below the international average of 500. While PISA 2022 revealed improved scores in reading, mathematics, and science compared to 2018, they remain below OECD averages, reflecting persistent challenges in higher-order cognitive tasks. Educational reforms, such as the introduction of Hot Topics (HOTs), aim to shift from rote memorization toward critical thinking and analytical problem-solving (Tee et al., 2012).

Research also indicates that inadequate teacher training contributes to the ineffective integration of calculators into classrooms. Teachers often lack the confidence or expertise to utilize calculators as tools for developing HOTS, focusing instead on basic computational functions (Chan et al., 2023). This shortfall not only limits students' exposure to advanced calculator features but also reduces opportunities for them to develop higher-order cognitive skills through technology-assisted learning. Addressing this gap requires targeted professional development programs to equip educators with the necessary skills and strategies for integrating calculators effectively (Tan & Tan, 2022).

Finally, the rapid advancement of technology presents both opportunities and challenges for education systems. As calculators evolve with features such as graphing capabilities and Alpowered tools, ensuring their effective use in classrooms becomes increasingly complex. Educators must balance the benefits of these technologies with the need to maintain a strong foundation in conceptual understanding, particularly in critical topics like quadratic functions (Afidchao et al., 2023). This study aims to address these challenges by exploring the relationship between students' calculator proficiency and their performance in HOTS, providing actionable insights for enhancing mathematics education in Malaysia.

Objectives

The objectives of this study are as follows:

1) To assess the competency of Form Four students in using calculators for the topic of quadratic functions.

Vol. 15, No. 01, 2025, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2025

2) To evaluate the competency of Form Four students in solving HOTS problems related to quadratic functions.

3) To understand students' perspectives on their competency in using calculators for quadratic functions.

4) To investigate the relationship between calculator competency and performance in solving HOTS problems among Form Four students in the topic of quadratic functions.

Method

Research Design and Sampling

This study adopts a quantitative research approach to evaluate secondary students' calculator competency and their ability to solve Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) problems. Utilizing a correlational framework, the research examines the relationship between two primary variables: calculator competency (independent variable) and HOTS problem-solving ability (dependent variable). Data collection involves administering tests and questionnaires. The instruments include a demographic survey (Part A), assessments on quadratic functions (Parts B and C), and a 10-item questionnaire (Part D). The sample comprises 55 Form Four students from Kulai, Johor, selected through purposive sampling. These students, having been exposed to mathematics examinations since Form One, possess the foundational knowledge required for the study. Data analysis, performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), seeks to provide insights into the influence of calculator usage on students' higher-order thinking capabilities.

Research Instruments

The study employs a structured tool comprising four sections to evaluate students' proficiency in calculator usage and HOTS problem-solving:

Part A: Collects demographic data about the participants.

Part B and Part C: Feature tests on quadratic functions with seven open-ended questions. These questions assess calculator skills, with a maximum of 12 marks allocated based on question complexity. Part B focuses on fundamental calculator tasks, including basic operations and simpler mathematical problems, with lower marks for less complex tasks. Part C evaluates HOTS competencies through more advanced problems that require application, analysis, evaluation, and creation, with higher marks awarded for demonstrating advanced calculator skills.

Part D: Contains a 10-item, closed-ended questionnaire exploring teacher strategies, student confidence in using calculators, and success rates in solving problems. The questionnaire uses a four-point Likert scale for straightforward response analysis and classifies calculator competency into low, moderate, or high categories.

The instrument, refined through pilot testing, ensures reliability and validity, providing a robust assessment aligned with the study's objectives.

Data Collection

The study employs a correlational design to simultaneously gather data using tests and questionnaires. Participants were informed of the study's purpose and assured of confidentiality before completing the survey. Test and questionnaire items were constructed based on relevant literature and adapted to suit the research context. To accommodate potential language barriers, the survey questions were presented in both English and Bahasa

Melayu. Students were given 60 minutes to complete the test and questionnaire. Responses were submitted anonymously, with no incentives provided or identifying information collected.

Data Analysis

A correlational method is used to assess the relationship between the independent variable (calculator competency) and the dependent variable (HOTS problem-solving skills). This approach quantifies the degree of correlation between the variables, as outlined in Table 1. The analysis provides valuable insights into the impact of calculator use on students' performance in HOTS-related tasks.

Table 1

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	RESEARCH INSTRUMENTS	DATA ANALYSIS
RO 1: To assess the competency of	Test	Score
Form Four students in using		
calculators for the topic of		
quadratic functions.		
RO 2: To evaluate the competency	Test	Score
of Form Four students in solving		
HOTS problems related to quadratic		
functions.		
RO 3: To understand students'	Questionnaires	Interval data
perspectives on their competency		
in using calculators for quadratic		
functions.		
RO 4: To investigate the relationship	Test	Spearman Correlation
between calculator competency		
and performance in solving HOTS		
problems among Form Four		
students in the topic of quadratic		
functions.		

Summary of Data Analysis

Research Findings

Students' Calculator Competency

The analysis of calculator proficiency, as outlined in Table 2, reveals that a significant portion of students (56.36%) fall into the "High" competency category, demonstrating strong skills in using calculators for quadratic functions. However, 29.09% of students were categorized as having "Low" competency, while 14.55% exhibited "Moderate" competency. This distribution highlights a notable disparity in calculator skills, suggesting that while many students are proficient, a substantial number face challenges that could be addressed through targeted instructional support.

A deeper examination of this issue is illustrated in Figures 1 and 2, which depict two approaches to solving the quadratic inequality $2x^2 \le 1 + x$. In Figure 1, the solution focuses on the equivalent inequality $x^2-x-3>0$. The quadratic expression is correctly factored as (x-3)(x+1)>0, and the critical points identified are x=3 and x=-1. The intervals satisfying the

inequality are accurately determined, concluding that x < -1 or x > 3 represent the solution intervals. This approach reflects a sound understanding of factoring and interval testing.

In contrast, the solution shown in Figure 2 attempts to solve the same inequality but exhibits errors in either the comprehension or application of the factoring and testing method. While the quadratic expression is also factored as (x-3)(x+1)>0, the intervals identified as solutions x<-1 or x>3—are incorrect. The process indicates confusion in evaluating the intervals, likely due to a lapse in manually verifying the signs of the intervals or incorrect use of the calculator to test the signs at specific points. This type of error underscores the need for improved instruction on integrating manual checks and calculator usage in solving inequalities.

Table 2

zever of calculator competency in Quadratic ranctions					
Students' Calculator	Range of Marks by Using	Numbers of Students (%)			
Competency	Calculator Skills				
Low	1-4 Marks	16 (29.09)			
Moderate	5-8 Marks	8 (14.55)			
High	9-12 Marks	31 (56.36)			

Level of Calculator Competency in Quadratic Functions

NO	TOPIC	CONSTRUCT	LEVEL
1	Quadratic Functions	Apply	Intermediate
The Basic of qu	adratic functions - SPM 2010 Pape	r 1 Question 4	
Rajah 4 menunju	kkan graf bagi fungsi kuadratik y = fi	(x)	
Diagram 4 show	s the graph of a quadratic function y	$= f(\mathbf{x})$	
5.			
Ť	surface)		
5	- Same		
/	1		
-1	<u>b</u> 5		
Diagr	arn 4		
State/Tentukan			
(a) Punca-punca	persamaan $f(x) = 0$		
(a) The roots of a	the equation $f(x) = 0$		
(b) Persamaan pa	ksi simetri lengkung		
(b) The equation	of the axis of symmetry of the curve	0	
		[3markah/marks]	
Jawapan/Answer	/ /	4	
(a) x = -1	2/3		
(b) (x+1)	(x-3)=0		
x = - 3x	4x-3=0		
x3-7	x -3=0		
~ -			
paksi sin	uetn =		
	- 3		
	=1/		
	/		

Figure 1 Students' Correct Answer (Proper Calculator Used)

Vol. 15, No. 01, 2025, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2025

NO	TOPIC	CONSTRUCT	LEVEL
1	Quadratic Functions	Apply	Intermediate
The Basic of qu	adratic functions - SPM 2010 Paper	1 Question 4	and a faile fefers
Discover d show	the promh of a supdratic function v		
		21.4	
1			
5,5	2.000		
/			
	<u>\</u> .		
-11	2 2		
Diago	am 4		
State/Tentukan			
(a) Punca-punca p	$\operatorname{sersamaan} f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$		
(a) The roots of t	he equation $f(x) = 0$		
b) Persamaan pal	ksi simetri lengkung		
b) The equation	of the axis of symmetry of the curve	13 markah/man	kal
awapanyinaway.			
a) + cx) = 6		
(re +	1.) (2-3)=0		
R2 -	3×+2-3=0+		
22-	22-3=0		
			-
-			n
1 2 -	220000		U
73-7	2x-523 (L		
	517		

Figure 2 Students' Incorrect Answer (Incorrect Data Entry, a Misunderstanding of How to Apply the Calculator's Outputs in Testing Interval Signs)

Competency in Solving Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) Problems

The analysis of student performance on HOTS tasks reveals a decline in success rates as the complexity of problems increases. According to Table 3, students performed best on Level 4 (Analyze) tasks, with a success rate of 65.45%, but their performance dropped to 54.55% for Level 5 (Evaluate) tasks and 58.18% for Level 6 (Create) tasks. Overall, the competency distribution shows that 70.91% of students exhibited high proficiency in solving HOTS problems, while 29.09% were at a low proficiency level. These results highlight disparities in skill development, particularly at higher levels of cognitive tasks.

Table 3

	, <u> </u>	J - (/
No of Questions in Part C	Level of HOTS	Numbers of Students
		(%)
C4	Analyse (Level 4)	36(65.45)
C5	Evaluate (Level 5)	33(60.00)
C6	Evaluate (Level 5)	30(54.55)
C7	Create (Level 6)	32(58.18)
Competency distribution for	High	47(70.91)
HOTS tasks	Low	8(29.09)

Percentages of Students Answer Correctly in Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) Problems

Students' Perspectives on Calculator Competency

Table 4 highlights significant challenges students face in using calculators effectively for solving HOTS problems. A lack of confidence is evident, as 100% of students provided negative responses to item 1, with similar trends observed in items 3, 6, and 10, where most students strongly disagreed or disagreed with feeling capable of using calculators proficiently. These findings suggest inadequate preparation or exposure to calculator-based problem-solving.

In terms of "Teachers' Effort," students expressed dissatisfaction with instructional support, with nearly half (49.09%) disagreeing and the remaining 50.91% strongly disagreeing those teachers provided adequate guidance in using calculators for complex tasks.

The "Ability to Get the Correct Answer" construct showed slightly better outcomes, but over 80% of students still reported negative responses to items 5, 7, 8, and 9. While a small number of students expressed some confidence in achieving correct answers, these results underscore the need for enhanced instructional strategies, professional development for educators, and opportunities for students to develop confidence and proficiency in calculator-based problem-solving.

Table 4

No	Items	Construct	Strongly Disagree (SO), Numbers (%)	Disagree (D), Numbers (%)	Agree (A), Numbers (%)	Strongly Agree (SA), Numbers (%)
1	I enjoy of using scientific calculator in mathematics class.	SC	33 (60)	22 (40)	0	0
2	Teacher has teaching us how to using scientific calculator to solve the mathematics questions.	TE	27 (49.09)	28 (50.91)	0	0
3	I am increasingly using the scientific calculator in solving form four mathematic problems.	SC	27 (49.09)	28 (50.91)	0	0
4	I am competent to use scientific calculator in	SC	23 (41.82)	28 (50.91)	4 (7.27)	0

Percentage of Students Answered in Questionnaire of Competency of Using Calculator in Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) Problems

Vol. 15, No. 01, 2025, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2025

	mathematics					
	class.					
5	Scientific	ACA	21 (38.18)	24 (43.64)	9 (16.36)	1 (1.82)
	calculator					
	use is					
	applicable to					
	all topics in					
	form four					
	mathematic					
	curriculum.					
6	I prefer using	SC	32 (58.18)	22 (40.00)	1 (1.82)	0
	scientific					
	calculator in					
	objective and					
	subjective					
	paper and in					
	school.					
7	Using	ACA	38 (69.09)	18 (27.27)	2 (3.64)	0
	scientific					
	calculator					
	made the					
	mathematics					
	easier in					
	learning					
	process.					
8	Having a	ACA	35 (63.64)	17 (30.91)	3 (5.45)	0
	scientific					
	calculator					
	which has					
	multiple					
	functions					
	greatly					
	increases					
	your learning					
	capacity.				2 (2 (2)	
9	Current	ACA	29 (52.73)	24 (43.64)	2 (3.63)	U
	functions of					
	the scientific					
	calculator is					
	enough for					
	torm tour					
	mathematic					
	curriculum.					
10	I willing to	SC	37 (67.27)	18 (32.73)	0	0
	learn how to					
	using the					
	calculator.					

Relationship between Calculator Competency and HOTS Problem-Solving

The study identified a moderate positive correlation between calculator competency and HOTS problem-solving, as indicated by a Spearman correlation coefficient of 0.632 (p < 0.001) in Table 5. This result suggests that students with higher proficiency in using calculators are more likely to excel in solving higher-order problems.

Table 5

Spearman Correlation of Relationship between Competency of Using Calculator and Solving Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) Problems among Form Four Students in the Topic of Quadratic Functions

	HOTS	Competency_of_Calculator
Spearman Correlation	1	0.632
Sig. (2-tailed)		<0.001
Ν	55	55

Further analysis, represented by scatterplots in Figure 4, confirmed the correlation. The trendline equation y=0.74+1.01x and the coefficient of determination ($R^2=0.497$) indicate that approximately 49.7% of the variance in students' HOTS performance is explained by their calculator competency. This suggests that improvements in calculator skills are linked to better performance in solving HOTS problems.

However, the scatterplots also reveal variability in the data, indicating that other factors, beyond calculator competency, influence students' ability to solve HOTS problems. These findings emphasize the need to integrate calculator training with a focus on conceptual understanding and critical thinking skills. Educators should prioritize teaching strategies that combine calculator use with the development of analytical and problem-solving skills to enhance student performance in tackling HOTS problems.



Figure 4 Scatterplot of Relationship between Competency of Using Calculator and Solving Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) Problems among Form Four Students in the Topic of Quadratic Functions

Vol. 15, No. 01, 2025, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2025

Discussions and Results

Scientific calculators have become essential tools in mathematics education, significantly enhancing students' problem-solving abilities and Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS). By shifting the emphasis from routine arithmetic tasks to advanced analytical problem-solving, calculators enable students to engage more deeply with challenging concepts such as quadratic functions. These functions, which involve both algebraic and graphical representations, are often difficult for students to master. Scientific calculators help bridge this gap by allowing students to visualize and manipulate quadratic equations, fostering a more profound conceptual understanding and improving overall efficiency in solving problems (Kissane, 2016; Sun & Li, 2021).

However, the integration of calculators into educational practice is not without challenges. A common obstacle is students' difficulty in navigating the advanced features of scientific calculators, particularly in solving HOTS-related problems. Research highlights that many students lack confidence and proficiency in using calculators for complex mathematical tasks, emphasizing the need for targeted instructional support (Kissane, 2016). Studies have demonstrated that workshops and seminars aimed at improving calculator skills can significantly enhance students' confidence and their ability to tackle higher-order mathematical problems (Tan & Tan, 2022).

Teachers play a pivotal role in addressing these challenges. To maximize the benefits of calculator usage, educators must go beyond using them as mere computational aids and integrate them as tools to support conceptual learning (National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 2014). By guiding students in interpreting calculator outputs and connecting these results to the underlying mathematical principals, teachers can enhance students' understanding and problem-solving skills. Professional development programs are crucial in equipping educators with the strategies needed to effectively teach HOTS using scientific calculators (Chan et al., 2023).

Conclusion and Suggestion

Scientific calculators are indispensable in advancing students' problem-solving and HOTS abilities in mathematics education. Students with high calculator competency consistently outperformed their peers in HOTS tasks, as evidenced by the moderate positive correlation (Spearman r=0.632,p<0.001) between calculator proficiency and HOTS problem-solving ability. However, the study also revealed significant gaps in students' confidence and proficiency in using advanced calculator functions, pointing to the need for focused instructional interventions.

Teachers have a critical role in bridging these gaps by ensuring that calculators are utilized not just for calculations but as tools to deepen students' conceptual understanding. Professional development initiatives are essential to empower teachers with effective methods for incorporating calculators into HOTS instruction. Additionally, addressing common misconceptions in mathematics, such as those related to quadratic functions, requires a combination of effective teaching strategies and technological integration.

Future research should explore teachers' competencies in fostering HOTS and examine their impact on student performance. Expanding the scope to cover other mathematical topics and

Vol. 15, No. 01, 2025, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2025

a broader range of student demographics could further validate the potential of calculators as tools to improve both procedural fluency and critical thinking in mathematics education.

Acknowledgement

The author wish to thank Dr Norulhuda Ismail from Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Teknologi Malasyai (UTM), Malaysia, Email: p-norulhuda@utm.my

References

- Afidchao, D., Bigayan, J. J. C., Galindez, M. S. S., Jimenez, E., Macam, F. K., Orias, C. E., Balonquita, M., Bernardino, R. (2023). Perceived effectiveness of artificial intelligencepowered calculators on the academic performance of senior high school STEM students in mathematics. Philippine EJournals. https://ejournals.ph
- Bloom, B. S., Engelhart, M. D., Furst, E. J., Hill, W. H., & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: The Classification of Educational Goals by a Committee of College and University Examiners (Handbook I: Cognitive Domain). New York: Longmans Publishing.
- Borgen, K., & Manu, H. (2002). Misconceptions in mathematics: Variables and functions. Mathematics Education Journal, 12(2), 112–128.
- Bray, A., Nelson, S., & Simpson, B. (2017). Technology usage in Mathematics education research – A systematic review of recent trends. Computers & Education, 114, 255-273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.07.008
- Budd, C. J., & Sangwin, C. J. (2004). Mathematics Galore! The First Five Years of the EPSRC Mathematics Programme. Oxford University Press.
- Chan, S. H., Lee, S. Y., & Tan, K. H. (2023). Professional development programs on integrating calculators in mathematics teaching: Improving teachers' strategies for HOTS instruction. Journal of Mathematics Education, 49(4), 212-226. https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2023.1981092
- Díaz, C., & Poblete, P. (2018). The integration of algebra and functions for developing mathematical reasoning. Journal of Mathematical Education, 14(1), 55–71.
- Didis, M. G., Bas, S., & Erbas, A. (2011). The effect of function representations on students' learning of quadratic functions. International Journal of Mathematical Education, 41(3), 245–260.
- Ebal, J. P., Hassan, S., & Amir, R. (2019). Technology integration for teaching mathematics: A conceptual review. Educational Technology & Society, 22(4), 150–160.
- Ellington, A. J. (2003). A Meta-Analysis of the Effects of Calculators on Students' Achievement and Attitude Levels in Precollege Mathematics Classes. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education. https://doi.org/10.2307/30034795
- Ellis, A. B., & Grinstead, P. (2008). Misconceptions and errors in interpreting quadratic functions: An analysis of student work. Mathematics Education Research Journal, 20(2), 21–36.
- Eraslan, A. (2008). Misconceptions of pre-service teachers about quadratic functions and the vertex form. International Journal of Education and Information Technologies, 2(4), 342–346.
- Green, C. (2011). Calculating the Difference: A Discussion of the use of calculators in the English Primary Classroom. NRICH. University of Cambridge.
- Hassan, A., Eizuan, M., Saad, N. S., & Dollah, M. U. (2012). Kemahiran Penaakulan Saintifik (KPS) dalam kalangan pelajar Tingkatan Empat dan hubungannya dengan stail

Vol. 15, No. 01, 2025, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 © 2025

pengajaran guru Matematik di Bilik Darjah. Jurnal Pendidikan Sains & Matematik Malaysia 2(2), 1-10.

- Hassan, A., Osman, S., & Puteh, F. (2012). Developing Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) through inquiry-based learning. Journal of Educational Research, 18(2), 75–82.
- Higgins, S., Beauchamp, G., & Miller, D. (2019). The impact of digital tools on teaching methodologies: A focus on Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS). Computers in Education, 122, 100–111.
- Jacob, B. A., Lefgren, L., & Sims, D. P. (2012). The persistence of teachers in promoting higherorder thinking: Evidence from US data. Economics of Education Review, 31(5), 740–753.
- Kamarulhaili, H., & Sim, M. K. (2005). The role of scientific calculators in mathematics instruction: Benefits and limitations. Journal of Mathematical Instruction, 13(3), 205–214.
- Kastberg, S., & Leatham, K. (2005). Research on graphing calculators at the secondary level : Implications for mathematics teacher education. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 5(1), 25–37.
- Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia (2013). Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan Malaysia 2013-2025. Putrajaya: Bahagian Pendidikan Guru.
- Kerslake, D., Kucirkova, N., & Higgins, S. (2013). Challenges in integrating scientific calculators in classrooms: Prioritizing HOTS. Educational Technology & Society, 16(3), 82–94.
- Lee, Y., Chin, T. C., & Boo, H. K. (2001). Critical thinking in mathematics: Malaysian perspectives. Mathematics Education Research Journal, 13(1), 1–14.
- Leng, L. T. (2011). The use of calculators for learning mathematics: A Malaysian perspective. Educational Technology Research Journal, 19(3), 145–153.
- Liang, S. (2017). Teaching the concept of limit by using conceptual conflict strategy and Desmos graphing calculator. International Journal of Research in Education and Science, 2(1), 34-46. https://doi.org/10.21859/ijres.2728
- Lim C. S., & Kor, L. K. (2004). Teaching statistics with graphical Calculators in Malaysia: Challenges and constraint.Micromath. 20(2):30-33.
- Malay Mail Online. (2015, May 12). TIMSS results show Malaysian students underperforming in math and science. https://www.malaymail.com
- Ministry of Education. (2019). Malaysia Education Blueprint 2015 2025 (Higher Education). Putrajaya, Malaysia: Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia.
- Mutambara, L., Wadesango, N., & Mavhunga, F. (2020). Pre-service teachers' understanding of quadratic functions and its impact on teaching effectiveness. Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education, 23(4), 401–415.
- Nabie, M. J., & Yidana, I. (2001). The Scientific Calculator as a Tool for Mathematics Teaching and Learning. Mathematics Connection, 2, 34.
- Nabie, M., & Yidana, I. (2001). Technology-enhanced mathematics instruction: Challenges and potential solutions. International Journal of Mathematics and Technology Integration, 9(2), 89–105.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (2000).Principals and Standards for School mathematics, Reston, VA: NCTM.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2014). Principles to Actions: Ensuring Mathematical Success for All. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- OECD. (2018). PISA 2018 results: What students know and can do. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/5f07c754-en

Vol. 15, No. 01, 2025, E-ISSN: 2222-6990 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2025

- Prasetyo, P. (2008). Quantitative data analysis in social science research. Social Science Journal, 14(2), 45–65.
- Prusak, N., Hershkowitz, R., & Schwarz, B. B. (2013). Developing higher order thinking skills through collaborative learning. Journal of Educational Psychology, 105(2), 303–315.
- Radzuan, F. S., Kamarudin, N., Khambari, M. N. M., & Arsad, N. M. (2021). Impact of Scientific Calculators in Mathematics among Low-Achieving Students in a Secondary School in Kajang, Selangor. Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities, 29(S1), 199-214.
- Roper, B. (2014) Calculator use in Mathematics classrooms. Retrieved from http://jwilson.coe.uga.edu/EMAT7050/Papers2014/RoperCalculatorPaperREVISED.pdf
- Schultz, M. (2005). The revised Bloom's taxonomy: Its implications for mathematics teaching. Educational Researcher, 34(4), 19–29.
- Sun, Y., & Li, M. (2021). The impact of calculator use on students' performance in solving quadratic functions. International Journal of Mathematical Education, 33(2), 45-59. https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2021.1830927
- Tan, L. T., & Tan, E. (2022). Enhancing mathematical problem-solving with scientific calculators: A case study of secondary school students. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 25(1), 72-85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edtechsoc.2022.01.010
- Tanzeh, A. (2006). Quantitative research methods for education: Applications and interpretation. Educational Research Journal, 11(3), 67–85.
- Tee, M. Y., Chee, K. L., & Lim, K. W. (2012). Hot Topics (HOTs) in science education: Enhancing problem-solving skills through inquiry-based learning. Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 20(1), 112–128.
- The Star Online. (2015, May 13). TIMSS assessment results: Time for education reform in Malaysia. https://www.thestar.com.my
- Thomas, M. O., Hong, Y. Y., Bosley, J., & Santos, D. A. G. (2006). Use of calculators in the mathematics classroom. The Electronic Journal of Mathematics and Technology, 2(2), 229-242.
- Zaslavsky, O. (1997). Students' difficulties in understanding quadratic functions: A study of conceptual barriers. Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 16(4), 337–351.