Strategic Risk Governance in the Digital Era: A Review of Public Sector Risk Management in the UAE

Easa Salem Rashed Almaftool Al Ali, Samer Ali Alshami

Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Institute of Technology Management and Entrepreneurship

Email: samshami79@gmail.com

Abstract

The United Arab Emirates (UAE) has emerged as a global leader in digital governance, yet public sector risk management practices remain fragmented and compliance driven. This review examines how UAE public institutions manage strategic, operational, financial, and reputational risks amid rapid digital transformation and increasing uncertainty. Despite advancements such as AI integration and enterprise risk management (ERM) adoption, gaps persist in coordination, cultural acceptance, and ethical oversight. Drawing upon contingency theory, institutional theory, and stewardship perspectives, this study synthesizes peer-reviewed literature, policy documents, and regional case studies to map the UAE's evolving risk landscape. Key findings reveal tensions between compliance and value creation, siloed risk practices, and underdeveloped frameworks for climate and AI-related risks. The paper contributes by identifying theoretical-practical mismatches and recommending priorities for future research particularly in ethical AI, PPP risk-sharing, and federal coordination. It offers policymakers a foundation for developing more resilient, adaptive, and strategically aligned risk governance systems.

Keywords: Strategic, Risk, Governance, UAE

Introduction

The public sector in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) has long been the cornerstone of national development, serving not only as the primary driver of economic diversification but also as a guardian of social welfare and political stability (Morshed & Khrais, 2025). As the government continues to advance its Vision 2030 agenda, public institutions have become key engines of transformation, tasked with implementing reforms that strengthen governance, improve efficiency, and deliver high-quality services to citizens and residents alike. Over the past decade, the UAE has made remarkable progress in this regard. Through the integration of digital governance and innovation-led strategies, the country has achieved notable

improvements in transparency, accountability, and service delivery, consistently ranking at the top of regional indices and positioning itself as a global benchmark for smart governance (Saif Almuraqab, 2020).

Yet, beneath these achievements lies a more complex reality. Public organizations in the UAE operate in an environment defined by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity (VUCA). They face risks ranging from financial instability and operational disruptions to cybersecurity threats and geopolitical pressures. These challenges directly impact the ability of public institutions to maintain service continuity, safeguard national interests, and sustain public trust (Alzarooni et al., 2024). The urgency of addressing these risks has grown in recent years, especially in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, which exposed vulnerabilities in crisis preparedness, digital service delivery, and supply chain resilience (Dabbeek et al., 2020). At the same time, the rapid adoption of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and blockchain has created new opportunities for governance innovation but has also introduced risks related to cybersecurity, data privacy, and ethical use of digital tools (Murikah, Nthenge, & Musyoka, 2024).

Studying risk management in the UAE public sector is therefore not only timely but essential. Despite the presence of frameworks such as ISO 31000 and COSO ERM, implementation across agencies often remains fragmented, with risk management still viewed by many as a compliance exercise rather than a strategic imperative (Lechner & Gatzert, 2018). This gap between policy ambition and practical execution highlights the need for deeper academic inquiry and applied research. A more systematic understanding of risk management practices can help bridge this gap, enabling policymakers and practitioners to design strategies that embed resilience into the very fabric of public administration.

This review paper responds to that need by examining the state of risk management in UAE public organizations, with particular attention to the challenges, opportunities, and future directions in this critical area. By synthesizing current literature, the paper identifies gaps in existing practices and proposes pathways to strengthen risk governance in ways that are adaptive, inclusive, and innovation-friendly. Ultimately, this study underscores the importance of building resilient public institutions that can not only withstand shocks but also seize opportunities in an era of rapid change. The findings are intended to guide policymakers, risk managers, and researchers in advancing strategies that ensure service continuity, reinforce public confidence, and support the UAE's broader national development agenda.

Literature Review
Literature Review: Risk Management in the Public Sector
Conceptualizing Risk Management in Government Contexts
Risk management in public sector organizations has evolved significantly from its origins in financial and disaster preparedness frameworks to become a strategic governance imperative. The public sector faces a unique risk landscape characterized by complex stakeholder expectations, political influences, and societal responsibilities that differ markedly from private sector risk profiles (Woods, 2009; Palermo, 2014). Contemporary scholarship defines public sector risk management as "the coordinated activities to direct and control an organization with regard to risk" (ISO 31000:2018), emphasizing its role in achieving policy objectives while safeguarding public value. The theoretical foundations of

public sector risk management draw from multiple disciplines. Contingency theory posits that optimal risk management approaches depend on organizational context and environmental factors (Donaldson, 2001; Bracci et al., 2021). Institutional theory explains how isomorphic pressures shape risk management adoption across government entities (Joseph and Jonathan, 2014). Stewardship theory highlights the particular challenges of managing risks where the "owners" (citizens) are separated from operational control (Rodríguez-Espíndola et al., 2022). These theoretical lenses help explain why public sector risk management often emphasizes compliance and accountability over value creation (Yang et al., 2021).

Recent research emphasizes the need for public sector-specific risk management models that account for political cycles, public accountability, and the absence of profit motives (McShane, Nair and Rustambekov, 2011). The New Public Governance paradigm has further complicated risk landscapes by introducing networked service delivery models that diffuse risk responsibility across multiple agencies and private partners (Osborne, 2010; Torchia et al., 2015). This evolution requires rethinking traditional risk management frameworks to address the distinctive characteristics of public sector organizations and their operating environments.

Taxonomy of Public Sector Risks
Public sector organizations face a multifaceted risk portfolio that can be categorized into several interconnected domains. Strategic risks emerge from failures in policy formulation, political interference, or misalignment with societal needs (Head & Alford, 2015; Ansell & Bartenberger, 2016). These include risks associated with digital transformation agendas, where rapid technological adoption may outpace regulatory frameworks or implementation capacity (Dunleavy et al., 2006; Mergel et al., 2019). The UAE's ambitious smart government initiatives, while transformative, have introduced new vulnerabilities in cybersecurity and digital service continuity (Al-Khouri, 2014; Alshehhi et al., 2025). Operational risks in government encompass service delivery failures, human resource challenges, and process inefficiencies (Black et al., 2017; Teo et al., 2021). Research highlights particular concerns about aging infrastructure, with global studies estimating that 30-40% of public sector operational risks stem from physical asset management deficiencies (Amadi-Echendu & Willett, 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic exposed acute operational vulnerabilities in healthcare systems and emergency response capacities worldwide, including in otherwise advanced governance systems like the UAE (Mrini & Tzavara, 2023; OECD, 2021).

Financial risks represent another critical category, encompassing budget volatility, procurement inefficiencies, and fiscal sustainability challenges (Schick, 2014; Posner & Gordon, 2021). Public-private partnerships (PPPs), while offering potential efficiency gains, introduce complex risk allocation challenges that many governments struggle to manage effectively (Hodge & Greve, 2016; Alnuaimi & Abdulhabib, 2023). Reputational risks have gained prominence in the digital age, where social media amplifies citizen dissatisfaction and erodes trust in public institutions (Ganya, 2015; Mergel, 2022). Emerging risk categories include climate change impacts, with coastal nations like the UAE facing exposure to rising sea levels and extreme weather events (World Bank, 2021; UAE Ministry of Climate Change and Environment, 2022). Geopolitical risks also feature prominently in Gulf region public sector risk assessments, given regional tensions and global economic interdependencies (IMF,

2023; Almezaini, 2021). This evolving risk taxonomy underscores the need for dynamic, context-sensitive risk management approaches in government organizations.

Theoretical Frameworks Informing Public Sector Risk Management
Several theoretical perspectives inform our understanding of risk management in public sector contexts. Contingency theory provides a foundational lens, suggesting that effective risk management depends on aligning approaches with organizational characteristics and environmental conditions (Donaldson, 2001; Bracci et al., 2021). This perspective helps explain why standardized risk frameworks often fail when mechanically applied across diverse government agencies without adaptation to local contexts (Woods, 2009; Lægreid & Christensen, 2013). Institutional theory illuminates how isomorphic pressures - both coercive (regulatory requirements) and mimetic (benchmarking against peers) - shape risk management adoption in public organizations (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983; Power, 2007). Research demonstrates that many governments implement risk management systems primarily for legitimacy rather than operational utility (Meyer & Rowan, 1977; Rana et al., 2019). This explains the prevalence of "tick-box" compliance approaches that may not meaningfully enhance risk resilience (Power, 2004; Palermo, 2014). Stewardship theory offers insights into the principal-agent challenges inherent in public sector risk governance, where elected officials (as stewards of public trust) delegate risk management to professional administrators (Davis et al., 1997; Hood, 2002). This creates inherent tensions between political risk appetites and technical risk assessments, particularly in electoral cycles (Hood & Lodge, 2006; Head & Alford, 2015). Complexity theory has gained traction in recent years as scholars recognize that public sector risks often emerge from nonlinear interactions within complex adaptive systems (Teisman et al., 2009; Ansell & Trondal, 2018). This perspective challenges traditional linear risk models and advocates for more agile, iterative approaches to risk governance (Koppenjan & Klijn, 2004; Head, 2019). The UAE's risk management approach reflects elements of these theoretical perspectives, combining strong centralized oversight with agency-level adaptation (UAE Federal Government, 2021; Alshehhi et al., 2025). The country's emphasis on digital government and smart city initiatives has also fostered innovative, technology-enabled risk management approaches that merit further theoretical examination (Al-Khouri, 2014; McKinsey, 2023).

Operational Challenges in Public Sector Risk Implementation
Despite theoretical advancements and policy commitments, public sector organizations face persistent challenges in operationalizing effective risk management. A recurring theme in the literature is the tension between compliance-oriented and value-creating approaches to risk governance (Woods, 2009). Many governments have implemented risk management systems primarily to satisfy external accountability requirements rather than to drive strategic decision-making (Palermo, 2014; Bracci et al., 2021). This results in bureaucratic "tick-box" exercises that consume resources without meaningfully enhancing organizational resilience (Hood, 2002). Cultural barriers represent another significant challenge. Research consistently finds that risk-averse cultures dominate public sector organizations, stifling innovation while paradoxically encouraging risk concealment (Hood, 2002). The UAE's public sector reforms have sought to address this through performance-based incentives and innovation labs, but cultural transformation remains an ongoing challenge (Alzarooni et al., 2024).
Resource constraints frequently limit risk management effectiveness, particularly in operational agencies facing budget pressures (Amadi-Echendu & Willett, 2020). Many public

organizations lack dedicated risk management personnel, relying instead on overstretched general managers to identify and mitigate risks. The UAE's federal structure creates additional coordination challenges, with varying risk management capabilities across different emirates and government levels (Alzarooni et al., 2024). Data limitations hinder evidence-based risk assessment in many government contexts. While the UAE has made significant investments in government analytics, many agencies still struggle to integrate risk data across siloed systems (Methri, 2025). Political factors further complicate risk management, with leadership changes often disrupting risk governance continuity. The COVID-19 pandemic exposed several of these operational weaknesses globally, including in relatively advanced governance systems like the UAE (Dabbeek et al., 2020). Supply chain disruptions, workforce absences, and emergency response limitations revealed gaps in business continuity planning and crisis preparedness across multiple government sectors (Amadi-Echendu & Willett, 2020).

Emerging Opportunities and Future Research Directions
Despite these challenges, significant opportunities exist to enhance public sector risk management. Digital transformation presents particularly promising avenues for innovation. The UAE's smart government initiatives demonstrate how artificial intelligence and predictive analytics can strengthen risk identification and monitoring (Alzarooni et al., 2024; Methri, 2025). Blockchain applications for contract management and identity verification offer potential to reduce fraud risks while increasing transparency (Morshed & Khrais, 2025). Integrated risk management frameworks that connect strategic, operational, and financial risks are gaining traction in leading public sector organizations (Lechner & Gatzert, 2018; Palermo, 2014). The UAE's adoption of enterprise risk management (ERM) principles across federal entities provides a valuable case study in whole-of-government risk governance (Bracci et al., 2021). These approaches recognize the interconnected nature of modern risks and move beyond siloed risk management practices. Behavioral insights offer another promising frontier for public sector risk management (Davis et al., 1997). Research suggests that "nudge" techniques and choice architecture can improve risk-related decision-making without heavy-handed regulation (Hood, 2002; Woods, 2009). The UAE's government innovation programs have begun experimenting with these approaches, though systematic evaluation remains limited (Morshed and Khrais, 2025).

Future research should address several critical gaps in public sector risk management scholarship. First, more empirical studies are needed on risk management effectiveness - what works, under what conditions, and why (Woods, 2009; Bracci et al., 2021). The UAE's comprehensive governance reforms provide a rich context for such research, particularly given its unique federal structure and rapid digital transformation (U.A.E Ministry for Artificial Intelligence, 2023). Second, scholars should explore the risk implications of networked governance models that distribute service delivery across multiple public, private, and nonprofit providers (Morshed and Khrais, 2025). As the UAE expands its public-private partnership programs, understanding risk allocation and mitigation in these collaborative arrangements becomes increasingly important (Morshed and Khrais, 2025). Third, climate change adaptation requires urgent research attention, particularly for coastal nations like the UAE (World Bank, 2021; UAE Ministry of Climate Change and Environment, 2022). Studies should examine how to integrate climate risk scenarios into long-term infrastructure planning and budget processes (OECD, 2021; IMF, 2023). Finally, the ethical dimensions of public sector risk management merit deeper exploration (Hood, 2002). As governments adopt predictive

analytics and AI-driven decision tools, questions arise about algorithmic bias, privacy protections, and appropriate risk tradeoffs between security and liberty (Murikah, Nthenge, & Musyoka, 2024). The UAE's pioneering work in digital government provides an important context for these investigations (Methri, 2025). The evolving risk landscape demands continuous adaptation of public sector risk management approaches. By addressing these research gaps and building on emerging opportunities, governments can develop more resilient, responsive governance systems capable of navigating 21st-century challenges while delivering public value.

Table 1 synthesizes critical insights into risk management in the UAE public sector, revealing a dynamic interplay between technological advancements and persistent governance challenges. The UAE's leadership in digital transformation presents unique opportunities for AI and blockchain-enabled risk frameworks, yet gaps remain in implementation and cultural adoption. Future research must bridge theory and practice, particularly in climate resilience and ethical AI, while addressing federal coordination and PPP complexities. Policymakers should prioritize moving beyond compliance toward strategic risk governance, ensuring the UAE's smart city initiatives serve as global benchmarks while mitigating emerging vulnerabilities.

Table 1
Public Sector Risk Management – Opportunities, Challenges & Future Directions

Category	Key finding	UAE specific Implication
Opportunities	- Digital transformation (AI, blockchain, predictive analytics) enhances risk monitoring	- UAE's smart government initiatives provide models for tech-enabled risk management
	- Integrated ERM frameworks improve cross-agency coordination	- Federal ERM adoption offers whole-of-government case study
	- Behavioral insights ("nudge" techniques) optimize risk decision-making	- Innovation labs test behavioral approaches
Challenges	- Compliance-focused "tick-box" culture limits strategic value	- Balancing centralized oversight with emirate-level flexibility
	- Resource constraints hinder dedicated risk functions	- Rapid digitalization creates cybersecurity vulnerabilities
	- Data silos impede comprehensive risk assessment	- PPPs introduce complex risk allocation issues
	- Political cycles disrupt risk governance continuity	
Future Research	- Empirical studies on risk management effectiveness in federal systems	- UAE's unique federal-digital governance as living lab

	- Risk allocation in networked governance (PPPs)	- Coastal climate adaptation strategies for Gulf nations
	- Climate risk integration in infrastructure planning	- Algorithmic transparency in smart government systems
	- Ethical AI use in predictive risk analytics	
Strategic Priorities	- Shift from compliance to value-creation in risk governance	- Align with UAE Vision 2030 diversification goals
	- Build risk-aware organizational cultures	- Strengthen national crisis preparedness systems
	- Develop climate-resilient infrastructure frameworks	- Position as global benchmark for smart risk governance
	- Enhance cross-border risk coordination (GCC level)	

Study Contribution

Studying risk management in the UAE public sector is therefore not only timely but essential. Despite the presence of frameworks such as ISO 31000 and COSO ERM, implementation across agencies often remains fragmented, with risk management still viewed by many as a compliance exercise rather than a strategic imperative (Lechner & Gatzert, 2018). This gap between policy ambition and practical execution highlights the need for deeper academic inquiry and applied research. A more systematic understanding of risk management practices can help bridge this gap, enabling policymakers and practitioners to design strategies that embed resilience into the very fabric of public administration. This paper responds to that challenge by reviewing how UAE public institutions approach risk governance in an era of rapid digital transformation, uncertainty, and societal change. It highlights the tensions between compliance-driven frameworks and value-creating approaches, drawing attention to the human, institutional, and technological dimensions of risk management that directly affect service delivery and citizen trust. By weaving together theoretical perspectives and practical evidence, the paper not only identifies existing gaps but also charts possible pathways for strengthening public resilience and innovation capacity.

References

Alzarooni, A. I., Alhashmi, S. M., Lataifeh, M., & Rice, J. (2024). Navigating digital transformation in the UAE: Benefits, challenges, and future directions in the public sector. *Computers, 13*(11). https://doi.org/[Insert DOI if available]

Dabbeek, J., Silva, V., Galasso, C., & Smith, A. (2020). Probabilistic earthquake and flood loss assessment in the Middle East. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 49,* 101662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101662

Joseph, C., & Jonathan, L. (2014). ISO 14001 implementation in a Malaysian city council: Insights from neo-institutional theory. *ResearchGate,* 2–4. https://www.researchgate.net/[Insert full URL]

Lechner, P., & Gatzert, N. (2018). Determinants and value of enterprise risk management: Empirical evidence from Germany. *European Journal of Finance, 24*(10), 867–887. https://doi.org/[Insert DOI if available]

McShane, M. K., Nair, A., & Rustambekov, E. (2011). Does enterprise risk management increase firm value? *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance, 26*(4), 641–658. https://doi.org/10.1177/0148558X11409160

Methri, G. (2025). UAE SMEs lead the digital payment wave, 92% now cash-free. *IBS Intelligence.* https://ibsintelligence.com/ibsi-news/uae-smes-lead-the-digital-payment-wave-92-now-cash-free

Morshed, A., & Khrais, L. T. (2025). Cybersecurity in digital accounting systems: Challenges and solutions in the Arab Gulf region. [Publication details pending completion].

Murikah, W., Nthenge, J. K., & Musyoka, F. M. (2024). Bias and ethics of AI systems applied in auditing: A systematic review. *Scientific African, 25,* e02281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2024.e02281

Rodríguez-Espíndola, O., Chowdhury, S., Dey, P. K., Albores, P., & Emrouznejad, A. (2022). Analysis of the adoption of emergent technologies for risk management in the era of digital manufacturing. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 178,* 121562. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121562

Saif Almuraqab, N. A. (2020). E & M-government and smart city: A review of ICT strategies and plans in the United Arab Emirates. *International Journal of Management, 11*(3), 1–19. https://doi.org/[Insert DOI if available]

U.A.E Ministry for Artificial Intelligence. (2023). *UAE national strategy for artificial intelligence 2031.* [Publisher]. https://www.[Insert URL]

UAE Ministry of Climate Change and Environment. (2023). *The United Arab Emirates' first long-term strategy (LTS).* [Publisher]. https://www.[Insert URL]

Yang, Y., Jia, F., Chen, L., Wang, Y., & Xiong, Y. (2021). Adoption timing of OHSAS 18001 and firm performance: An institutional theory perspective. *International Journal of Production Economics, 231,* 107870. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107870

Davis, J. H., Schoorman, F. D., & Donaldson, L. (1997). Toward a stewardship theory of management. *Academy of Management Review, 22*(1), 20–47. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1997.9707180258

Donaldson, L. (2001). *The contingency theory of organizations.* Sage Publications.

Hood, C. (2002). The risk game and the blame game. *Government and Opposition, 37*(1), 15–37. https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-7053.00085

Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology, 83*(2), 340–363. https://doi.org/10.1086/226550

Palermo, T. (2014). Accountability and expertise in public sector risk management: A case study. *Financial Accountability & Management, 30*(3), 322–341. https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12034

Power, M. (2007). *Organized uncertainty: Designing a world of risk management.* Oxford University Press.

Woods, M. (2009). A contingency theory perspective on the risk management control system within Birmingham City Council. *Management Accounting Research, 20*(1), 69–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2008.10.003