

# Transformational AI Leadership in Technical and Vocational Education: Reimagining Pedagogical Personalization and Industry Readiness through Intelligent Systems

Zi Jian Oh<sup>1\*</sup>, Marina Rahman<sup>2</sup>, Mustapa Hj Kamar<sup>3</sup>, Siti Khadijah Ahmad Ramli<sup>4</sup>, Syaifuldin Mohamad<sup>4</sup> and Hwei Chin Chin<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Department of Business Management, Batu Lanchang Vocational College, Penang, Malaysia, <sup>2</sup>Johor Bahru Technical Secondary School, Johor, Malaysia, <sup>3</sup>Rompin District Education Office, Pahang, Malaysia, <sup>4</sup>Department of Business Management, Port Dickson Vocational College, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia, <sup>5</sup>Department of Business Management, Butterworth Vocational College, Penang, Malaysia

\* Corresponding Author Email: ohzijian@gmail.com

DOI Link: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v15-i11/27036>

**Published Date:** 24 November 2025

## Abstract

Artificial intelligence is redefining educational leadership and reshaping the mandate of technical schools and vocational colleges. In Malaysia, this includes nine Technical Secondary Schools and eighty-six Vocational Colleges governed by the Ministry of Education. These institutions must now prepare students who are adaptable, ethically aware, and industry ready in alignment with the Dasar Pendidikan TVET (2025) and Dasar TVET Negara (2023). This conceptual paper proposes a model of Transformational AI Leadership in which school leaders employ intelligent systems to promote pedagogical personalization and strengthen collaboration with industry. Drawing upon contemporary peer-reviewed scholarship, the paper integrates insights on AI adoption, leadership transformation, ethics, and professional capacity building. It argues that AI-enabled leadership cultivates a culture of innovation, enhances teaching efficiency, and equips students with digital competencies aligned with Education 5.0 and Industry 4.0 agendas. While the literature on AI in education is growing rapidly, its intersection with transformational leadership in technical and vocational education remains conceptually underexplored. Addressing this gap, the paper advances a synthesized framework to guide school leaders in integrating AI ethically and strategically within technical and vocational contexts.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Transformational Leadership, Personalized Learning, TVET, Industry Readiness, Education 5.0, Dasar Pendidikan Tvet, Dasar Tvet Negara

**Introduction**

The accelerating advancement of artificial intelligence has prompted educational institutions around the world to reconsider leadership, pedagogy, and management practices. Internationally, schools and colleges are adopting artificial intelligence for assessment, administration, and adaptive learning. These developments reflect wider social changes driven by data-based decision making and technology mediated work environments (Wang et al., 2024). In Malaysia, nine Technical Secondary Schools and eighty-six Vocational Colleges form the backbone of the national Technical and Vocational Education and Training system. Leaders in these institutions must address the convergence of automation, data analytics, and rapid industrial transformation while maintaining equitable and human oriented learning (Fullan et al., 2023). At the same time, they are required to respond to ethical concerns, digital readiness, and the fast pace of advanced technologies, all of which create additional demands for capable and informed institutional leadership.

The integration of artificial intelligence into teaching, administration, and assessment brings both opportunities and ethical challenges. Recent studies highlight concerns related to algorithmic clarity, teacher trust, data protection, and uneven digital readiness among educational practitioners (Viberg et al., 2025; Yan et al., 2025). As institutions increasingly adopt predictive analytics and automated processes, leadership plays a decisive role in guiding pedagogical reform and organisational change (Adams & Thompson, 2025). These developments indicate the urgent need for leadership models that can harmonise technology advancement with ethical responsibility and sound pedagogical practice.

Transformational leadership provides a useful foundation for understanding how principals in technical schools and vocational colleges mobilise staff to adopt artificial intelligence in a responsible manner. Recent scholarship indicates that leaders who demonstrate vision, intellectual stimulation, and individual support are able to accelerate digital transformation and sustain teacher innovation (Zaid & Yaqub, 2024). However, although research relating to artificial intelligence and leadership is growing in higher education, there is limited scholarship that examines how artificial intelligence enabled transformational leadership functions in technical secondary schools and vocational colleges. Existing studies often focus on artificial intelligence tools or leadership behaviour as separate areas of inquiry. They do not fully integrate artificial intelligence ethics, personalised learning, and industry aligned competencies as a combined conceptual field (Giannakos et al., 2024). Recent reviews also highlight that there is no established conceptual model that explains how leaders in the Technical and Vocational Education and Training system can use artificial intelligence in a strategic and ethical manner to support personalised instruction and increase industry readiness. This gap provides the foundation and motivation for the present study.

Therefore, this study seeks to conceptualise Transformational Artificial Intelligence Leadership within Malaysia's Technical and Vocational Education and Training ecosystem by emphasising its theoretical foundation, ethical importance, and connection to national policies such as the Dasar Pendidikan TVET (2025) and the Dasar TVET Negara (2023). This work is timely because current literature shows that leadership readiness, teacher competence in artificial intelligence, and a supportive institutional culture are among the strongest factors influencing successful artificial intelligence integration (Bond et al., 2024). A conceptual model that reflects the Malaysian vocational context can guide leaders in aligning

technological change with pedagogical quality and industry expectations. The paper adopts a conceptual approach that integrates contemporary scholarship involving leadership, artificial intelligence ethics, and vocational pedagogy without relying on empirical data. It is intended for researchers, policymakers, and educational leaders who seek conceptual clarity and strategic insight into the role of artificial intelligence in transforming technical and vocational institutions.

### **Transformational Leadership and Intelligent Systems**

Transformational leadership emphasizes moral purpose, shared vision, and empowerment. When merged with AI technologies, this leadership model shifts toward a data-driven yet values-based practice (Giannakos et al., 2024). Principals are expected to inspire collective inquiry into how intelligent systems can improve both learning outcomes and administrative efficiency. Studies in higher and vocational education show that leaders who embrace AI enhance institutional adaptability and teacher creativity (Adams & Thompson, 2025; Polat et al., 2025).

Fullan et al. (2023) contends that effective AI leadership refers to the leader's ability to integrate artificial intelligence tools and data-driven insights into institutional and pedagogical decision-making in ways that are ethical, transparent, and aligned with educational and industrial goals. It entails visionary direction, empathetic communication, professional empowerment, and a commitment to safeguarding human values in the process of digital transformation. Leaders must nurture trust, ensure transparency, and foster experimentation while upholding humanistic principles. Similarly, Viberg et al. (2025) found that teachers' trust in AI systems is strongly influenced by leadership communication and organizational culture. Building upon these insights, this paper extends transformational leadership theory by situating it within the socio-technical dynamics of technical and vocational education, where leaders must orchestrate change across pedagogical, infrastructural, and industrial dimensions. Transformational AI leadership thus integrates vision and ethics, enabling staff to see technology as an instrument for professional growth rather than replacement.

### **Ai-Enabled Pedagogical Personalization**

AI technologies offer remarkable potential for tailoring instruction to learner diversity. Adaptive algorithms can analyze student data and deliver individualized pathways that address skill gaps and preferences (Létourneau et al., 2025). Within technical and vocational contexts, personalized learning supports competency-based curricula and hands-on mastery. Empirical studies demonstrate that AI-driven feedback improves engagement and motivation (Alfarwan 2025; Wang et al., 2024).

Transformational leaders play an instrumental role in aligning personalization initiatives with curricular standards. They cultivate teacher data literacy and encourage reflective dialogue about the interpretation of analytics (Sperling et al., 2024). Recent systematic-analyses (Peng & Li, 2025) highlight that successful personalization requires leadership structures that empower teachers to co-design data-informed learning pathways rather than rely solely on algorithmic recommendations. In Malaysia and similar systems, the integration of adaptive platforms within vocational programs has increased students' performance in applied subjects and stimulated interest in project-based learning (Omeh et

al., 2025). This underscores that leadership agency, not merely technology adoption, determines the pedagogical success of AI-enabled personalization.

Leadership practices that emphasize collaboration and capacity building ensure that AI-assisted personalization remains equitable and pedagogically sound. When teachers interpret AI-generated insights through professional judgment and contextual awareness, personalization transforms from a technological trend into a vehicle for inclusive, human-centered learning.

### **Industry Readiness and School–Industry Collaboration**

The rapid emergence of Industry 4.0 technologies requires educational systems to produce graduates proficient in automation, data reasoning, and problem solving. Technical schools and vocational colleges occupy a pivotal position in bridging education with employment (Rokeman & Che Kob, 2025). Transformational AI leaders can strengthen this bridge by deploying intelligent systems that simulate workplace scenarios and by aligning learning analytics with industrial competency frameworks.

Liang et al. (2025) observed that institutions integrating AI-driven analytics into curriculum design achieved better alignment between student learning outcomes and labor market requirements. Predictive models help identify skill gaps and guide targeted training interventions (Mahdin et al., 2025). Such practices enable technical schools to create dynamic partnerships with industries, ensuring that learning content reflects technological evolution. Moreover, the integration of AI-enhanced labor market analytics can assist leaders in forecasting emerging skills, thereby enabling responsive curriculum design (Mahmud et al., 2024).

Transformational AI leadership fosters these partnerships by articulating a shared vision of innovation, sustainability, and employability. In doing so, it positions technical schools and vocational colleges not as passive training centers but as proactive innovation hubs that co-evolve with industry. This paradigm shift reflects Education 5.0's call for adaptive leadership that bridges digital transformation and social responsibility.

### **Ethical, Social, and Cybersecurity Dimensions**

The adoption of AI in education raises significant ethical and security considerations. Issues related to privacy, algorithmic bias, and emotional well-being require proactive governance. Adams et al. (2023) proposed a framework for ethical principles in K-12 AI applications, emphasizing fairness, transparency, and accountability. Leaders must internalize these principles within institutional policy and daily practice.

Cybersecurity has emerged as a parallel priority as schools increasingly digitize sensitive data. Crabb et al. (2024) demonstrated that many secondary institutions lack comprehensive cybersecurity programs, which exposes their systems to potential intrusion. Chaudhuri & Shoemaker (2025) contended that leadership awareness and resilience planning are crucial for maintaining institutional integrity. Recent scholarship also highlights the ethical challenge of algorithmic opacity, referring to the difficulty educators face in comprehending the decision-making processes of artificial intelligence systems (Michopoulou & Gan, 2025). To mitigate this issue, transformational leaders are encouraged to foster algorithmic

transparency through continuous professional learning and open dialogue among stakeholders.

Transformational AI leaders play a vital role in ensuring that teachers and students acquire the necessary competencies in digital citizenship and data protection, thereby cultivating ethical awareness throughout the educational community. This ethical leadership perspective reconceptualizes AI integration as not merely a technological transformation but a moral endeavor rooted in trust, inclusivity, and the preservation of human dignity.

### **Professional Development and Capacity Building**

Teacher readiness is often the determining factor in successful AI integration. Studies confirm that educators' acceptance of AI depends on perceived usefulness, training quality, and institutional support (Yim & Wegerif, 2024). Transformational leaders prioritize ongoing professional learning that links AI literacy with pedagogical design. Chan (2023) and Bond et al. (2024) highlight the importance of structured policy education frameworks that enable teachers to navigate AI use responsibly.

Jangra (2025) reported that teachers who participated in AI-focused professional development demonstrated greater confidence in using adaptive technologies to differentiate instruction. Leadership commitment to sustained mentoring and collaboration thus transforms AI adoption from a technological upgrade into a pedagogical evolution. To sustain this transformation, continuous professional learning communities (PLCs) centered on AI ethics and innovation should be institutionalized (Chen, 2025).

Such capacity building also reduces resistance, ensuring inclusive participation across disciplines. By fostering cross-departmental dialogue and reflective inquiry, transformational AI leaders create a professional culture where technological change becomes an opportunity for shared growth rather than anxiety.

### **Policy and Institutional Implications**

At the policy level, governments are recognizing AI as a lever for educational innovation. Comparative analyses across Southeast Asia indicate that systems with clear national AI strategies experience smoother institutional implementation (Yan et al., 2025). Leaders in technical schools and vocational colleges should align their institutional plans with Malaysia's Dasar Pendidikan TVET (2025) and Dasar TVET Negara (2023). The former highlights digital integration, industrial collaboration, and human capital development, while the latter emphasizes inclusivity, governance, and innovation-driven growth. Strategic funding, ethical guidelines, and performance indicators grounded in evidence can sustain long-term transformation.

Institutionally, transformational AI leaders embed innovation into vision statements, improvement plans, and professional learning communities. By leveraging data analytics for decision-making, they enhance transparency and accountability (Ghamrawi et al., 2024). However, fragmented policies and inconsistent implementation often hinder AI transformation in TVET systems (Baharin et al., 2025). Addressing this requires policy coherence, inter-agency coordination, and leadership advocacy that links school-level innovation to national reform agendas.

Ultimately, policy and leadership must operate in synergy, where visionary leaders interpret macro-level strategies through micro-level practices that are contextually relevant, ethically grounded, and pedagogically transformative.

### **Conceptual Framework**

This conceptual framework positions transformational AI leadership at the intersection of three core domains: personalized pedagogy, professional capability, and industry alignment. Leadership functions as the mediating construct that bridges intelligent systems with learning and employability outcomes. Through visionary communication, ethical governance, and the cultivation of collaborative learning cultures, principals transform their institutions into adaptive ecosystems (Strielkowski et al., 2025). Unlike earlier leadership models that regarded digital transformation as a secondary managerial task, the present framework conceptualizes artificial intelligence as an epistemic partner in decision-making, creativity, and human development (Zárate-Torres et al., 2025).

The framework outlines three mutually reinforcing pathways. The first pathway, pedagogical in nature, emphasizes the leader's responsibility to enhance teacher competence in interpreting learning analytics and promoting AI-supported personalization of instruction. The second pathway focuses on professional development by empowering educators through sustained AI literacy and ethical capacity building. The third pathway highlights strategic collaboration with industry partners to ensure that training outcomes remain aligned with evolving technological ecosystems.

Together, these pathways are anchored in the principles of ethical innovation, data-informed reflection, and human-centered adaptability. The model presents transformational AI leadership as both a catalyst and a guardian, ensuring that technological advancement strengthens rather than undermines the humanistic values of education.

### **Conclusion**

Transformational AI leadership offers a comprehensive approach to reimagining technical schools and vocational colleges. By integrating intelligent systems that support personalized learning and strengthen industry readiness, educational leaders can foster innovation while upholding ethical and humanistic principles. Current scholarship highlights that leadership vision, teacher competency, and policy coherence are central determinants in realising the aspirations of Education 5.0. Future empirical research should validate the proposed framework across diverse TVET contexts to assess its impact on learner achievement, teacher empowerment, and institutional sustainability.

This conceptual examination reaffirms that the success of AI leadership depends not only on technological implementation but on transformational capacity, which refers to the leader's ability to integrate AI responsibly, inspire collaboration, and align institutional innovation with national TVET policy directions. As the boundaries between education and industry become increasingly interconnected, leadership that is intelligent, ethical, and inclusive will form the foundation of sustainable educational advancement.

### **Theoretical and Contextual Contributions**

Theoretically, this paper advances transformational leadership theory by integrating

it with socio-technical systems thinking and the growing body of research on educational artificial intelligence. It presents a synthesized model that connects leadership vision, ethical governance of artificial intelligence, and personalized pedagogy, areas that have rarely been explored collectively within the context of technical secondary education.

From a contextual perspective, the paper situates this conceptual development within Malaysia's ongoing TVET transformation and Education 5.0 initiatives, providing insights that are transferable to other emerging economies. It illustrates how institutional leaders can translate national AI strategies into actionable practices through school-based innovation, collaboration with industry, and continuous professional development. By conceptualizing AI leadership as both a transformative and ethical pursuit, the study bridges contemporary social science discussions on equity, technological ethics, and organizational change.

### Acknowledgment

Warm appreciation is extended to my team members for their commitment and hard work, which greatly contributed to the successful completion of this study.

### References

- Adams, C., Pente, P., Lemermeyer, G., & Rockwell, G. (2023). Ethical principles for artificial intelligence in K-12 education. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 100131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100131>
- Adams, D., & Thompson, P. (2025). Transforming School Leadership with Artificial Intelligence: Applications, Implications, and Future Directions. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 24(1), 77-89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15700763.2024.2411295>
- Alfarwan, A. (2025). Generative AI use in K-12 education: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Education*, 10, 1647573. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2025.1647573>
- Baharin, A. T., Sahadun, N. A., Ramli, S., & Redzuan, N. A. L. (2025). Exploring the Adoption of Generative Artificial Intelligence by TVET Students: A UTAUT Analysis of Perceptions, Benefits, and Implementation Challenges. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, 10(19s), 429-437. <https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i19s.3052>
- Bond, M., Khosravi, H., Laat, M. D., Bergdahl, N., Negrea, V., Oxley, E., Pham, P., Chong, S. W., & Siemens, G. (2024). A meta systematic review of artificial intelligence in higher education: A call for increased ethics, collaboration, and rigour. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(4), 1-41. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00436-z>
- Chan, C. K. Y. (2023). A comprehensive AI policy education framework for university teaching and learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20, 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00408-3>
- Chaudhuri, A., & Shoemaker, D. (2025). Cyber-attack on schools – steps toward resilience. *EDPACS*, 70(11), 63–71. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07366981.2025.2503627>
- Chen, J. (2025) A Study on Professional Development Paths for Vocational College Teachers in the Context of Artificial Intelligence. *Creative Education*, 16, 1476-1484. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2025.169087>.
- Crabb, J., Hundhausen, C., & Gebremedhin, A. (2024). *A Critical Review of Cybersecurity Education in the United States*. In Proceedings of the 55th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education V. 1 (SIGCSE 2024). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 241–247. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3626252.3630757>

- Fullan, M., Azorín, C., Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence and school leadership: challenges, opportunities and implications. *School Leadership & Management, 44*(4), 339–346. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2023.2246856>
- Ghamrawi, N., Shal, T., & Ghamrawi, N. A. R. (2024). Cultivating teacher leadership: evidence form a transformative professional development model. *School Leadership & Management, 44*(4), 413–441. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2024.2328056>
- Giannakos, M., Azevedo, R., Brusilovsky, P., Cukurova, M., Dimitriadis, Y., Hernandez-Leo, D., ... Rienties, B. (2024). The promise and challenges of generative AI in education. *Behaviour & Information Technology, 44*(11), 2518–2544. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2024.2394886>
- Jangra, S. (2025). Teacher Education and Professional Development for AI Integration. *Asia Pacific Journal of Research in Business Management, 16*(9), 8-21.
- Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia. (2025). *Dasar Pendidikan TVET*. Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia.
- Kementerian Pendidikan Tinggi Malaysia. (2023). *Dasar TVET Negara*. Kementerian Pendidikan Tinggi Malaysia.
- Létourneau, A., Deslandes Martineau, M. D., Charland, P., Karran, J. A., Boasen, J., & Léger, P. M. (2025). A systematic review of AI-driven intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) in K-12 education. *NPJ Science of Learning, 10*, 29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41539-025-00320-7>
- Liang, J., Stephens, J. M., & Brown, G. T. L. (2025). A systematic review of the early impact of artificial intelligence on higher education curriculum, instruction, and assessment. *Frontiers in Education, 10*, 1522841. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2025.1522841>
- Mahdin, H., Nurwarsito, H., Baharum, Z., Kamri, K. A., Hassan, A., Haw, S., & Arshad, M. S. (2025). Predictive Analytics for Employability in Malaysian TVET with a Hybrid of Regression and Clustering Methods. *International Journal on Informatics Visualization, 9*(5), 1816-1821. <https://doi.org/10.62527/joiv.9.5.4516>
- Mahmud, F., Goffer, M. A., Chakraborty, P., Sultana, S., Rozario, E., Miah, M. A., Chy, M. A. R., & Haldar, U. (2024). AI-Powered Workforce Analytics Forecasting Labor Market Trends and Skill Gaps for U.S. Economic Competitiveness. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies, 6*(5), 265-277. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jcsts.2024.6.5.21>
- Michopoulou, K., & Gan, J. K. C. (2025). Ethical Leadership in AI-Enabled Schools: Navigating Human Rights, Equity, and Justice in Education. *European Journal of Education and Pedagogy, 6*(5), 24-33. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejedu.2025.6.5.988>
- Omeh, C.B., Olelewe, C.J. & Hu, X. (2025) Application of artificial intelligence (AI) technology in tvet education: Ethical issues and policy implementation. *Education and Information Technologies, 30*, 5989–6018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13018-x>
- Peng, J., & Li, Y. (2025). Frontiers of Artificial Intelligence for Personalized Learning in Higher Education: A Systematic Review of Leading Articles. *Applied Sciences, 15*(18), 10096. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app151810096>
- Polat, M., Karataş, İ.H., & Varol, N. (2025). Ethical Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Educational Leadership: Literature Review and Bibliometric Analysis. *Leadership and Policy in Schools, 24*, 46-76. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15700763.2024.2412204>
- Rokeman, N. R. M., & Che Kob, C. G. (2025). Key competencies for TVET Teachers in the age of industry 4.0: adapting to transformative challenge. *Journal of Social Science, 10*(1), 27-36.

- Sperling, K., Stenberg, C.-J., McGrath, C., Åkerfeldt, A., Heintz, F., & Stenliden, L. (2024). In search of AI literacy in teacher education: A scoping review. *Computers & Education Open*, 6, 100169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2024.100169>
- Strielkowski, W., Grebennikova, V., Lisovskiy, A., Rakhimova, G., & Vasileva, T. (2025). AI-driven adaptive learning for sustainable educational transformation. *Sustainable Development*, 33(2), 1921–1947. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.3221>
- Viberg, O., Cukurova, M., Feldman-Maggor, Y. et al. (2025). What explains teachers' trust in AI in education across six countries? *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 35, 1288–1316. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-024-00433-x>
- Wang, S., Wang, F., Zhu, Z., Wang, J., Tran, T., & Zhao Du, Z. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 252, 124167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.124167>
- Yan, Y., Liu, H., Chau, T. (2025). A Systematic Review of AI Ethics in Education. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 33(1), 1-50. <https://doi.org/10.4018/JGIM.386381>
- Yim, I. H. Y., & Wegerif, R. (2024). Teachers' perceptions, attitudes, and acceptance of artificial intelligence educational learning tools: An exploratory study on artificial intelligence literacy for young students. *Future in Educational Research*, 2(4), 318–345. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fer3.65>
- Zaid, W. M. A., & Yaqub, M. Z. (2024). The prolificacy of green transformational leadership in shaping employee green behavior during times of crises in small and medium enterprises: a moderated mediation model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1258990. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1258990>
- Zárate-Torres, R., Rey-Sarmiento, C. F., Acosta-Prado, J. C., Gómez-Cruz, N. A., Rodríguez Castro, D. Y., & Camargo, J. (2025). Influence of Leadership on Human–Artificial Intelligence Collaboration. *Behavioral Sciences*, 15(7), 873. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs15070873>