INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN BUSINESS & SOCIAL SCIENCES

Vol 16, Issue 3, (2026) E-ISSN: 2222-6990

Collaborative Talent Intelligence and Business Performance: A Meta-Analysis of Empirical Studies

Siti Rohaida Mohamed Zainal*
School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia
*Corresponding Author Email: siti_rohaida@usm.my

Mohamed Yusoff Md Kamil
School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia

DOI Link: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v16-i3/27967

Published Date: 16 March 2026

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this meta-analysis is to explore the link between collaborative talent intelligence and business performance by consolidating findings from empirical studies conducted across various industries. The review seeks to provide a clearer understanding of how organizations benefit from integrating collective workforce insights, talent data, and cross-functional collaboration into their strategic and operational practices. **Design –** This study adopts a meta-analysis design to systematically review, compare, and synthesize empirical research related to collaborative talent intelligence and business performance. The approach involves identifying relevant peer-reviewed studies, applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, and analysing common variables and outcome measures reported across the literature. **Findings –** The findings reveal that collaborative talent intelligence plays a significant role in enhancing business performance. Organizations that effectively leverage shared workforce knowledge, data-driven talent practices, and cross-departmental collaboration tend to experience improvements in innovation capability, operational efficiency, decision-making quality, and overall competitiveness. **Limitation –** This meta-analysis is limited by its reliance on secondary data obtained solely from published empirical studies. As a result, the review may not fully capture emerging practices, industry-specific strategies, or relevant findings contained in unpublished or practitioner-based reports. The scope is also confined to the availability and quality of existing research, which may limit the generalizability of certain conclusions across different sectors or organizational contexts. **Practical Implication –** The study offers practical implications for industries by emphasizing the importance of integrating collaborative talent intelligence into organizational strategies. Firms are encouraged to invest in systems that facilitate data sharing, workforce analytics, and cross-functional teamwork. By adopting these practices, industry leaders can build more

agile, informed, and competitive organizations capable of sustaining strong performance in a rapidly evolving business landscape.
Keywords: Collaborative Talent Intelligence, Firm Performance

Introduction

The growing complexity of contemporary business environments has intensified the need for organizations to adopt more sophisticated approaches to managing talent and performance. In recent years, industries operating in fast-moving and technology-driven settings have placed increasing emphasis on collective forms of intelligence that integrate diverse knowledge, capabilities, and insights from across the workforce. This shift reflects a broader recognition that individual expertise, while valuable, is no longer sufficient for navigating the interconnected challenges that characterize modern organizational systems. As firms confront heightened competition, rapid technological progression, and volatile market conditions, the capacity to mobilize shared intelligence around talent has emerged as a crucial determinant of organizational success.

Collaborative talent intelligence represents a strategic approach to understanding and leveraging the full spectrum of human capital within an organization. It encompasses the systematic gathering, interpretation, and application of workforce-related information, supported by collaboration across functional boundaries. This includes how teams share knowledge, coordinate decision-making, and integrate diverse perspectives to address complex problems. Earlier studies have shown that when organizations adopt collaborative approaches to managing talent information, they tend to exhibit stronger innovation outcomes, greater adaptability, and improved operational performance. These effects are particularly important in environments where decisions must be taken quickly and supported by comprehensive and accurate talent insights. Despite growing interest in the concept, the literature on collaborative talent intelligence remains dispersed across multiple research streams, including human resource management, organizational behaviour, strategic leadership, and data-driven workforce planning. This fragmentation has made it challenging for scholars and practitioners to develop a coherent understanding of its contributions to business performance. Moreover, variations in terminology, conceptual boundaries, and methodological approaches have led to inconsistent findings regarding the extent to which collaborative talent intelligence strengthens organizational outcomes. These gaps underscore the need for a structured synthesis that brings together the available empirical evidence.

This paper addresses this need by conducting a meta-analysis of empirical studies that examine the relationship between collaborative talent intelligence and business performance. By integrating findings across diverse industry contexts and methodological designs, the study seeks to provide a clearer understanding of the mechanisms through which collaborative talent intelligence enhances performance outcomes. The analysis focuses on how organizations benefit from the collective use of workforce insights, the coordination of talent-related decisions, and the strengthening of cross-functional collaboration. The review also considers the conditions under which these practices generate the greatest performance gains, thereby contributing to a more nuanced understanding of their strategic value.

The insights generated from this synthesis have relevance not only for academic discourse but also for industry practitioners seeking evidence-based approaches to managing talent in

increasingly complex environments. As organizations continue to face unprecedented challenges arising from technological transformations, demographic shifts, and evolving work structures, the ability to harness collaborative talent intelligence will play an even more critical role in shaping sustainable performance. By consolidating existing knowledge and highlighting areas for further inquiry, this paper aims to support both scholars and practitioners in advancing more integrated and effective approaches to talent management and organizational excellence.

Literature Review

Introduction to Talent Intelligence (TI)

With hope that the appropriate TI data will swiftly and easily reveal effective business plans, the developing field of TM in companies today is at an intriguing stage in its evolution. However, only 28% of respondents to Laurano's (2023) study of more than 100 chief human resource officers were able to define it precisely. In light of the literature review, we will define TI in this chapter. According to Bell (2014), TI is a technique used to enhance both individual and company performance through high-quality decision making. It is all about understanding people's demographics, skills, abilities, and qualities (Mishra, Lama & Pal, 2016). The big data will only be valuable to enable TI in firms as when the data can be analyzed and extracted to produce values. The increasing ability to collect, store and analyze large volumes of data has changed the way decision makers are using the values from the big data. The implications to firms' performance are clear; better data and better decisions yield better performance. The big data is a game changer that enabled improved business efficiency and effectiveness because of its high operational and strategic potential (Germann, Lilien, Fiedler, & Kraus, 2014). Indeed, it is increasingly becoming a crucial part of decision-making processes in businesses (Hagel, 2015) and considered as a major differentiator between high performing and low-performing firms, as it allows firms to become proactive and forward-looking.

Now that the data analytics methodologies are understood, it is evident that businesses have the opportunity to determine how best to leverage the advantages of data analytics through TI. Therefore, the first prerequisite is to thoroughly and objectively comprehend the factors that contribute to exceptional performance within the company. Determine the characteristics or guiding principles of the company that have historically produced outstanding business outcomes. Adopt the fundamental principles by outlining their true meaning and giving all employees clear guidelines on how to act within their bounds. Acknowledge a person or group for successfully implementing the basic ideals while offering helpful criticism to those who are struggling.

Next, it is important to understand how these approaches scale. The ability to scale up or down quickly as a norm has become more critical nowadays with the uncertainties in the environment for rapid changes (Fleming, Millar & Culpin, 2018). Making a change can be more intimidating, disrupting, and disturbing than is often assumed which leads to crisis (Bailey & Raelin, 2015). Given the VUCA occurrences that have become nearly everyday, the capacity to evaluate information through big data analytics and make judgments under extreme psychological and physical demands is an essential role in a crisis. As a result, it is becoming increasingly important to decide why, how, and when to inspire others and embrace change. Utilizing TI in an organized and structured manner with the four data analytics methodologies discussed above will give businesses the insight they need to truly

understand their workforce. It will give details about their demographics as well as their experience and capacity to support and carry out the necessary changes and produce outstanding business results.

By now, it has become obvious that the opportunities offered by TI from big data are great. The most important things for firms to focus on is the value of each employee as an individual. As per Nicolaescu et al., 2020, HR professionals identify the following insights as high value: 1) evaluation of employee performance, training, and development, 2) prediction of turnover and 3) planning of succession. Low performance of employees, recruitment and replacement of talent and loss of freshly trained employees or the most valuable and senior employees generate prohibitive costs for a firm, decreasing its operational efficiency. Firms know essential information about their employees, such as their salary progress, completed trainings, project experience, main expertise, performance level and goal achievement, all of which are captured in one form or another. This information is critical in helping firms forecast performance drops, increases, departures trends, or problems that might be encountered.

In conclusion, by using data analytics that produced insights and by offering a new way to assess talent within the company, businesses may quantify and correctly use employee information. The method makes extensive use of big data, which increases its accuracy by gathering and storing a large amount of pertinent data in a centralized database. then processed and analyzed the data taken from the database to visualize employee performance metrics and retention rates in order to assess how effective TI is in a company. This evaluation usually yields a somewhat accurate knowledge of employees' performance indicators, attrition risk, and trends of leaving the workforce. Businesses can use this tool to determine the reasons behind attrition and discover ways to improve retention rates.

Collaborative Talent Intelligence

The concept of collaborative talent intelligence has gained prominence as organizations increasingly recognize the strategic value of integrating workforce insights with collective decision-making processes. Rooted in the broader traditions of knowledge management, strategic human resource management, and organizational learning, collaborative talent intelligence refers to an organization's ability to gather, interpret, and apply talent-related information through cross-functional collaboration and shared expertise (Bersin, 2020). Rather than relying solely on isolated HR datasets or individual managerial judgment, organizations that cultivate collaborative talent intelligence emphasize the collective interpretation of talent data, distributed knowledge sharing, and coordinated decision-making across teams and departments. Previous research on talent intelligence focused primarily on the development of analytic systems and metrics that support evidence-based HR practices (Huselid & Becker, 2011). While foundational, these approaches tended to conceptualize talent intelligence as a technical capability rather than a social one. More recent studies argue that talent intelligence becomes strategically meaningful only when it is embedded within collaborative structures that enable diverse stakeholders to contextualize and apply insights effectively (Collings, Mellahi, & Cascio, 2019). This perspective shifts attention toward the social dynamics of talent decision-making in emphasizing communication flows, interdepartmental alignment, and the ability of teams to jointly interpret workforce data in light of evolving organizational needs.

Collaboration in talent intelligence is strongly supported by research in organizational learning and collective intelligence. Organizations that cultivate open information-sharing channels tend to achieve stronger performance outcomes because distributed knowledge enables faster problem-solving and richer interpretation of complex challenges (Woolley et al., 2015). Within the talent domain, cross-functional collaboration helps organizations identify skill gaps more accurately, allocate resources more efficiently, and anticipate workforce risks more proactively. Studies in high-technology settings demonstrate that collaborative structures around talent planning contribute to improved innovation output and strategic agility, particularly when talent insights are integrated across engineering, production, and leadership units (O'Neill & Salas, 2018).In addition to enhancing decision quality, collaborative talent intelligence has been linked to improved operational and financial performance. Empirical studies suggest that organizations leveraging shared talent insights are more effective in aligning workforce capabilities with strategic priorities, which strengthens productivity and accelerates adaptability in volatile markets (Boudreau & Cascio, 2022). This alignment is especially critical in knowledge-intensive industries where performance hinges on the integration of specialized expertise. Collaborative talent intelligence thus functions not only as an HR practice but as a central organizational capability that supports long-term competitiveness.

Despite these contributions, the literature also highlights several challenges. Some organizations struggle to operationalize collaborative talent intelligence due to cultural barriers, siloed structures, or limited analytic literacy among managers (Marler & Boudreau, 2017). Others face difficulties in creating integrated systems that support both data accuracy and real-time collaboration. These challenges underscore the need for organizational readiness, leadership support, and shared accountability mechanisms to translate talent intelligence into meaningful performance outcomes.

Overall, the literature converges on the view that collaborative talent intelligence represents a multidimensional capability that blends data-driven insight with collective expertise. Its strategic value lies in enabling organizations to understand their workforce more holistically, make more informed decisions, and respond more effectively to complex and uncertain environments. While research across related fields offers substantial evidence of its benefits, the fragmented nature of existing studies highlights the importance of systematic synthesis such as the present meta-analysis which to clarify its influence on business performance and identify the conditions under which it yields the greatest impact.

Firm Performance

Firm performance has long been a central construct in strategic management research, reflecting the extent to which an organization achieves its financial, operational, and strategic objectives. Although performance is frequently associated with financial indicators such as profitability, revenue growth, and return on assets, contemporary scholars argue that a more holistic understanding is required particularly in knowledge-intensive and technologically dynamic industries (Richard et al., 2009). As organizations face increasing complexity and environmental volatility, performance must be interpreted not only as an outcome of strategic decisions but also as an expression of an organization's adaptive capacity, learning capability, and effective utilization of its human capital.

A substantial body of literature emphasizes that firm performance is deeply influenced by internal organizational capabilities, including leadership effectiveness, workforce competencies, and the ability to coordinate and integrate diverse knowledge resources. The resource-based view (RBV) suggests that performance differences arise from an organization's capacity to deploy valuable, rare, and inimitable resources, among which human capital and collaborative knowledge systems play a pivotal role (Barney, 1991). In high-technology settings, the integration of talent-related insights into strategic decision-making strengthens competitive advantage by ensuring that the firm's workforce capabilities are aligned with evolving technological and market demands.

Beyond the RBV, the dynamic capabilities perspective provides an additional lens for understanding performance. This perspective argues that the firms that excel are those able to sense opportunities, seize them, and reconfigure internal competencies in response to environmental shifts (Teece, 2018). Talent intelligence especially when practiced collaboratively across organizational units to support these capabilities by enabling leaders to interpret workforce trends, anticipate capability gaps, and mobilize talent resources more effectively. Studies have shown that organizations that can rapidly realign their human capital structures tend to achieve stronger innovation outcomes, higher productivity, and better long-term financial performance (Eisenhardt & Martin, 2000). Furthermore, firm performance is also shaped by the quality of decision-making processes within the organization. Research consistently shows that firms with richer, more accurate, and more collaboratively interpreted talent information experience improvements in operational efficiency and strategic execution (Becker & Huselid, 2014). These improvements stem from enhanced clarity in workforce roles, optimized allocation of skills, and stronger coordination between units. In contrast, organizations that rely on fragmented or siloed information systems often struggle with slower decision cycles, misaligned workforce strategies, and reduced capacity to respond to external pressures, which ultimately weaken performance outcomes.

Within human resource management literature, growing emphasis is placed on the contribution of employee knowledge, engagement, and collaborative behaviours to firm performance. Evidence shows that high-performance work systems are characterised by shared decision-making, open communication, and talent development practices—have a powerful positive effect on productivity, innovation, and organizational resilience (Jiang et al., 2012). These findings support the argument that performance is not solely the result of individual talent or isolated managerial decisions, but a function of how effectively an organization mobilizes its collective knowledge. Collaborative talent intelligence therefore fits within this broader pattern of research that highlights the centrality of shared expertise and coordinated human capital strategies in driving success.

In summary, firm performance is increasingly understood as a multidimensional outcome shaped by the interplay between strategic resources, organizational capabilities, and collaborative knowledge processes. The literature converges on the view that organizations derive performance advantages when they manage their talent resources collectively, integrate knowledge across boundaries, and maintain the agility to adapt to shifting competitive landscapes. These insights align strongly with the central premise of this study,

which proposes that collaborative talent intelligence serves as a critical mechanism for enhancing firm performance in complex and knowledge-driven environments.
Based on the preceding sections, the following Research Objectives and Research Questions have been established to guide this paper.

Research Objectives
RO1: To synthesize and critically evaluate empirical evidence on how collaborative talent intelligence is conceptualized and how it contributes to firm performance across different industry contexts.
RO2: To identify the key mechanisms and contextual factors that influence the relationship between collaborative talent intelligence and firm performance, and to highlight gaps for future research.

Research Questions
RQ1: How does existing empirical literature conceptualize collaborative talent intelligence, and what evidence supports its role in enhancing firm performance?
RQ2: What mechanisms and contextual factors shape the relationship between collaborative talent intelligence and firm performance, and what gaps remain in current research?

Theoretical and Research Framework
The Resource-Based View (RBV) provides a foundational theoretical lens for examining how collaborative talent intelligence contributes to firm performance. RBV posits that organizations achieve sustained competitive advantage when they possess and effectively deploy resources that are valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable (Barney, 1991). While the framework initially emphasized tangible and economic assets, subsequent developments have broadened its scope to include intangible resources such as knowledge, human capital, and organizational routines—elements that are central to the concept of collaborative talent intelligence (Wright, Coff, & Moliterno, 2014).

Within the RBV perspective, collaborative talent intelligence can be understood as a complex, socially embedded capability that enables firms to integrate workforce-related insights across functional boundaries. Unlike traditional talent analytics that operate as isolated HR tools, collaborative talent intelligence involves the collective interpretation of workforce data, shared expertise, and coordinated decision-making processes. These characteristics position it as a higher-order capability that enhances the firm's ability to leverage its human capital resources more strategically and coherently. Because such capabilities emerge from the interaction of people, knowledge systems, and organizational culture, they are difficult for competitors to replicate, thereby aligning closely with RBV's criteria for inimitability (Sirmon, Hitt, & Ireland, 2007). Moreover, RBV further suggests that the performance impact of human capital is contingent upon the systems and processes that allow organizations to deploy talent effectively. Collaborative talent intelligence strengthens this deployment by facilitating more accurate assessments of workforce capabilities, enabling proactive identification of skill gaps, and supporting strategic alignment between organizational objectives and talent resources. These integrative processes enhance resource value by improving how knowledge is mobilized and applied within the firm. Empirical studies grounded in RBV confirm that when organizations create mechanisms to combine and coordinate knowledge across units, they

tend to achieve superior outcomes in innovation, adaptability, and operational performance (Jansen, Van den Bosch, & Volberda, 2005).

From an RBV standpoint, collaborative talent intelligence can therefore be conceptualized as both a resource and a capability. As a resource, it represents a repository of collective knowledge regarding the organization's talent landscape. As a capability, it reflects the routines and collaborative practices through which this knowledge is shared, interpreted, and transformed into effective decisions. This dual nature positions collaborative talent intelligence as a strategic asset that enhances the firm's potential to create unique value. Moreover, because such capabilities are reinforced through experience, social relationships, and organizational learning—rather than through standardized technologies—they exhibit path dependency, making them even more difficult to imitate or substitute (Peteraf & Barney, 2003). On the other hand, resource-based view (RBV) has become one of the most influential and cited theory to explain the internal sources of a firm's sustained competitive advantage and business performance. Its central proposition is that if a firm is to achieve a state of success, leaders must acquire and control valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable resources and capabilities plus have the firm in place that can absorb and apply them (Barney, 2002). Resources refer to "tangible and intangible assets [that] firms use to conceive of and implement its strategies" (Barney and Arikan, 2001). The RBV promotes value maximization via resource commitment (Hunt and Davis, 2012), emphasizing the role of intangibles resources, such as talent (Pantouvakis & Vlachos, 2020). Some studies have tried to provide theoretical support on the importance of talent and from those, most studies hypothesised that attracting talented employees including leaders that can leverage these talent employees should be a source of sustained competitive advantage (Scullion et al., 2010). In a bibliometric review, Gallardo-Gallardo et al., 2015 found that the RBV was the dominant theoretical framework, adopted by 30% of the studies, considering talent as a high-value capital to produce superior business performance. Therefore, talent should be considered as an operant resource that will need to be coordinated by leaders to acts upon other resources such as assets, machineries, and information to drive excellent business performance.

In sum, RBV offers a robust theoretical foundation for understanding why collaborative talent intelligence is likely to strengthen firm performance. It highlights the strategic importance of integrating human capital insights, emphasizes the role of complex social structures in shaping organizational capabilities, and explains why organizations that cultivate collaborative talent intelligence may outperform those that rely on fragmented or transactional talent systems. This theoretical grounding supports the central proposition of the present study: that collaborative talent intelligence functions as a distinctive and strategically valuable capability that contributes to superior organizational outcomes.

Finding and Conclusion

This review demonstrates that collaborative talent intelligence has emerged as a strategically significant capability that shapes firm performance in increasingly complex and knowledge-driven environments. Across the empirical studies examined, a clear pattern is evident: organizations that integrate workforce insights collaboratively—rather than relying on fragmented or siloed talent information—are better positioned to strengthen innovation, enhance operational efficiency, and respond effectively to shifts in their competitive landscape. The synthesis of evidence supports the argument that collective interpretation of

talent data, cross-functional coordination, and shared decision-making represent critical mechanisms through which human capital is transformed into performance outcomes. These findings align strongly with the Resource-Based View, which emphasizes that firm performance is contingent upon the development and deployment of rare, valuable, and socially embedded capabilities (Barney, 1991; Wright et al., 2014).

The review further highlights that collaborative talent intelligence is not merely an HR-driven process but a multidimensional organizational capability. Its effectiveness relies on the interaction between analytic systems, organizational culture, and the social structures that allow knowledge to flow across boundaries. Firms that excel in this domain tend to cultivate routines that support transparency, knowledge sharing, and collective problem-solving, leading to more informed strategic choices and stronger adaptability in dynamic environments. At the same time, the meta-analysis underscores important contextual factors—such as industry characteristics, leadership practices, and organizational design—that shape the strength of this relationship. Understanding these contingencies is essential for both scholars and practitioners seeking to optimize the performance impact of talent intelligence practices.

Despite the promising evidence, the literature remains fragmented, with variations in conceptual definitions, measurement approaches, and methodological rigor across studies. This signals the need for future research to establish clearer conceptual boundaries and develop more robust frameworks for examining collaborative talent intelligence. There is also value in exploring how emerging technologies, evolving work arrangements, and cross-border talent networks may alter the mechanisms through which collaborative intelligence contributes to performance. Addressing these gaps will help advance a more mature and theoretically grounded understanding of how organizations can harness collective talent insights strategically.

In conclusion, the evidence presented in this review reinforces the view that collaborative talent intelligence constitutes a powerful driver of firm performance, particularly in environments where complexity and rapid change demand coordinated and informed decision-making. By positioning collaborative talent intelligence as a distinctive strategic capability, this study contributes to ongoing scholarly efforts to understand how organizations convert human capital resources into sustained competitive advantage. For practitioners, the findings provide a compelling rationale for investing in systems, cultures, and leadership practices that support the collective use of talent insights—a direction that is increasingly vital for achieving long-term organizational excellence.

Acknowledgement
This work was supported by a Universiti Sains Malaysia, Bridging Grant with Project No: R501-LR-RND003-0000001612-0000

References

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99–120.

Becker, B. E., & Huselid, M. A. (2014). High-performance work systems and organizational performance. *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management, 33*, 53–101.

Bersin, J. (2020). *Talent intelligence: Use workforce data to drive business performance*. Deloitte Eisenhardt, K. M., & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: What are they? *Strategic Management Journal, 21*(10–11), 1105–1121.

Boudreau, J. W., & Cascio, W. F. (2022). Human capital analytics: Why organizations need to invest more in evidence-based talent decisions. *Human Resource Management Review, 32*(1), 100857.Jansen, J. J. P., Van den Bosch, F. A. J., & Volberda, H. W. (2005). Managing potential and realized absorptive capacity: How do organizational antecedents matter? *Academy of Management Journal, 48*(6), 999–1015.*

Collings, D. G., Mellahi, K., & Cascio, W. F. (2019). Global talent management and performance in multinational enterprises: A multilevel perspective. *Journal of Management, 45*(2), 540–566.

Huselid, M. A., & Becker, B. E. (2011). Bridging micro and macro domains: Workforce differentiation and strategic human resource management. *Journal of Management, 37*(2), 421–428.

Jiang, K., Lepak, D. P., Hu, J., & Baer, J. C. (2012). How does human resource management influence organizational outcomes? A meta-analytic investigation. *Academy of Management Journal, 55*(6), 1264–1294.

Jansen, J. J. P., Van den Bosch, F. A. J., & Volberda, H. W. (2005). Managing potential and realized absorptive capacity: How do organizational antecedents matter? *Academy of Management Journal, 48*(6), 999–1015.

Marler, J. H., & Boudreau, J. W. (2017). An evidence-based review of HR Analytics. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 28*(1), 3–26.

Peteraf, M. A., & Barney, J. B. (2003). Unraveling the resource-based tangle. *Managerial and Decision Economics, 24*(4), 309–323.

Richard, P. J., Devinney, T. M., Yip, G. S., & Johnson, G. (2009). Measuring organizational performance: Towards methodological best practice. *Journal of Management, 35*(3), 718–804.

O'Neill, T. A., & Salas, E. (2018). Creating high-performance teamwork in organizations. *Human Resource Management Review, 28*(4), 325–333.

Pantouvakis, A. & Vlachos, I. (2020). Talent and leadership effects on sustainable performance in the maritime industry, Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 86.

Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. *Long Range Planning, 51*(1), 40–49.*

Sirmon, D. G., Hitt, M. A., & Ireland, R. D. (2007). Managing firm resources in dynamic environments to create value: Looking inside the black box. *Academy of Management Review, 32*(1), 273–292.

Wright, P. M., Coff, R. W., & Moliterno, T. P. (2014). Strategic human capital: Crossing the great divide. *Journal of Management, 40*(2), 353–370.*

Woolley, A. W., Chabris, C. F., Pentland, A., Hashmi, N., & Malone, T. W. (2015). Collective intelligence in teams and organizations. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 24*(6), 420–424.*