

A Qualitative Analysis of Hybrid Pedagogical Practices in Sino-Foreign Cooperative Education Institutions

Li Baifeng

Kuala Lumpur University of Science and Technology, Malaysia

Email: 232924093@s.klust.edu.my

Mahendran Maniam

Adjunct Associate Professor, Kuala Lumpur University of Science and Technology, Malaysia

Email: mahendran.maniam@yahoo.com

DOI Link: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v16-i5/28315>

Published Date: 21 May 2026

Abstract

The rapid internationalization of higher education has significantly influenced English language teaching practices in Sino-foreign Cooperative Education (SFCE) institutions in China. These institutions employ both local Chinese teachers and foreign language instructors whose pedagogical approaches often differ in methodology, curriculum design, assessment practices, and classroom interaction. This qualitative study investigates how collaborative teaching practices between local and foreign teachers contribute to effective English language learning. Through thematic qualitative analysis of previous studies, institutional reports, and intercultural teaching practices, the research identifies the importance of hybrid pedagogy, cultural responsiveness, collaborative planning, and blended learning environments. The study further proposes a practical guideline for local and foreign language teachers to enhance communicative competence, academic achievement, and intercultural understanding among learners. The findings indicate that balanced pedagogical integration strengthens both theoretical language competence and practical communicative skills.

Keywords: Sino-foreign Cooperative Education, Hybrid Pedagogy, Qualitative Analysis, Communicative Language Teaching, Intercultural Competence, Collaborative Teaching Practices.

Introduction

Globalization has transformed educational systems worldwide, particularly in the field of English language teaching. In China, the development of Sino-foreign Cooperative Education (SFCE) institutions has created a multicultural educational environment where local Chinese teachers and foreign language instructors collaboratively teach English language courses.

These institutions aim to integrate Chinese educational traditions with international pedagogical practices to produce globally competent graduates.

However, differences in teaching philosophies often create instructional challenges. Local teachers generally emphasize grammar instruction, examination preparation, vocabulary acquisition, and structured classroom management. In contrast, foreign teachers tend to focus on communication, critical thinking, interactive learning, and intercultural competence. Such pedagogical contrasts influence classroom practices, curriculum implementation, assessment methods, and student participation.

This study employs qualitative analysis to examine collaborative pedagogical practices in SFCE institutions. It explores how hybrid teaching models can bridge differences between local and foreign instructors while improving language learning outcomes. The study also proposes practical teaching guidelines for sustainable collaboration and intercultural educational effectiveness.

Background of the Study

Sino-foreign Cooperative Education institutions emerged in response to China's growing demand for internationalized higher education. English language proficiency became an essential component of these institutions because English serves as a global academic and professional language. Consequently, universities increasingly recruited foreign teachers to complement local teaching expertise.

Despite the benefits of international collaboration, educational differences between local and foreign instructors often create tensions within classrooms. Chinese educational systems traditionally prioritize examination performance, grammatical accuracy, and teacher-centered instruction. Foreign teachers, particularly those trained in Western educational systems, usually adopt communicative language teaching (CLT), task-based learning, and student-centered methodologies.

According to Michael Byram (1997), intercultural competence is essential for successful language learning because language reflects cultural meanings and social interaction. Similarly, David Nunan (1991) emphasizes learner-centered communication-based instruction in second-language acquisition.

The coexistence of these two pedagogical systems creates opportunities for innovation but also challenges related to curriculum coordination, lesson planning, classroom expectations, and assessment. Therefore, understanding collaborative teaching practices in SFCE institutions becomes important for improving educational quality and student outcomes.

Review of Literature

Theoretical perspectives on language learning have evolved significantly over the past decades. Dell Hymes (1972) introduced the concept of communicative competence, arguing that language learning should involve sociocultural appropriateness in addition to grammatical knowledge. This perspective later influenced communicative language teaching approaches widely adopted in international education.

Stephen Krashen (1982) proposed the Input Hypothesis, which highlighted the importance of meaningful interaction and comprehensible input in second-language acquisition. His work supports communicative and interactive classroom practices commonly implemented by foreign language teachers.

Research by Rod Ellis (1994) suggests that grammar instruction remains important when integrated meaningfully within communicative contexts. This supports the argument that hybrid pedagogical models may provide balanced language instruction.

Several studies on international education emphasize collaborative teaching as a strategy for improving instructional quality. Collaborative teaching enables local teachers to contribute contextual and institutional knowledge while foreign teachers introduce authentic language use and intercultural communication practices.

Studies on blended learning also indicate that technological integration enhances student engagement and language acquisition. Educational technologies such as online forums, multimedia presentations, interactive discussions, and digital quizzes create dynamic learning environments that support multiple learning styles.

Existing research demonstrates that purely grammar-based instruction may limit communicative competence, while exclusively communication-focused teaching may inadequately prepare students for standardized examinations. Consequently, hybrid pedagogical frameworks appear increasingly necessary in SFCE institutions.

Research Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative descriptive research design to analyze collaborative pedagogical practices in Sino-foreign Cooperative Education institutions. Qualitative analysis was selected because it allows deeper exploration of educational experiences, teacher interactions, intercultural communication, and instructional practices.

Data Collection

The study relies on secondary qualitative data collected from various academic and institutional sources. These sources include journal articles related to English language teaching, research studies focusing on intercultural education, reports published by Sino-foreign Cooperative Education institutions, and scholarly studies on communicative language teaching and blended learning practices. In addition, institutional teaching guidelines and educational policies were examined to understand the pedagogical frameworks and collaborative teaching strategies adopted in international educational settings. These secondary data sources provided comprehensive insights into hybrid pedagogical practices, intercultural communication, curriculum design, and collaborative language teaching methodologies.

Research Objectives

- To explore pedagogical differences between local and foreign language teachers.
- To examine challenges in collaborative teaching practices.
- To identify effective hybrid instructional strategies.
- To develop practical guidelines for collaborative English language teaching.

Data Analysis

The study employed thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns and themes across the collected literature, educational reports, and institutional documents. Through careful examination and interpretation of the qualitative data, several major themes emerged that were closely related to collaborative teaching practices in Sino-foreign Cooperative Education institutions. These themes included pedagogical approaches, curriculum design, collaborative planning, cultural responsiveness, technology integration, assessment practices, and teacher collaboration. The thematic categorization enabled the researcher to systematically analyze the similarities and differences between local and foreign language teachers while exploring how hybrid pedagogical practices contribute to effective English language teaching and intercultural learning environments.

Findings and Discussion

Pedagogical Differences and Hybrid Learning

The findings reveal that local teachers primarily emphasize grammar instruction, examination preparation, and structured classroom management. These practices align with China's educational system, where academic assessments significantly influence student success. Foreign teachers, however, prioritize communicative competence, interaction, debates, presentations, and learner-centered activities. Students exposed to communicative teaching methods demonstrate improved confidence in speaking and intercultural communication.

The analysis indicates that combining both pedagogical approaches creates balanced language learning environments. Grammar-focused instruction strengthens linguistic accuracy, while communicative activities improve fluency and practical language use. This finding aligns with the studies of Richards and Rodgers (2001), who argue that language teaching methods should integrate both structural and communicative dimensions.

The study therefore recommends a hybrid pedagogy that equally balances grammar instruction and communicative competence.

Curriculum Design and Cultural Integration

The findings demonstrate that local teachers align curriculum content with national educational standards and examination requirements. Foreign teachers contribute authentic global materials, intercultural examples, and real-world communication contexts.

Collaborative curriculum design enables institutions to combine local educational expectations with international perspectives. Such integration promotes intercultural awareness and critical thinking among students.

The study also found that culturally responsive teaching practices significantly improve student engagement. Local teachers help contextualize learning materials within Chinese cultural settings, while foreign teachers encourage global awareness and intercultural dialogue. These findings support Vygotsky's (1978) sociocultural theory, which emphasizes social interaction in cognitive development.

Technology Integration in Language Learning

The analysis highlights the growing importance of blended learning in SFCE institutions. Local teachers frequently use structured drills, digital quizzes, and classroom management

platforms such as Chaoxing. Foreign teachers often integrate multimedia presentations, online discussions, and interactive learning tools.

Blended learning environments provide students with opportunities for independent learning, collaborative communication, and multimodal engagement. Technology integration also supports flexible learning environments that combine theoretical instruction with practical application.

Assessment Practices

Assessment differences represent one of the major challenges in collaborative teaching environments. Local teachers prioritize theoretical competence, including grammar, vocabulary, reading comprehension, and writing skills. Foreign teachers assess listening, speaking, debating, and communicative interaction.

The findings suggest that hybrid assessment systems are more effective because they evaluate both academic and communicative competencies. Such balanced assessment frameworks better reflect the multidimensional nature of language learning.

Guideline for Local and Foreign Language Teachers

Based on the research above, the guideline for local and foreign language teachers working in Sino-foreign Cooperative Education institutions and colleges is recommended from various perspectives as follows:

Table1

Guideline for Local and Foreign Language Teachers

Domain	Local Teachers	Foreign Teachers	Joint Practice
Pedagogy	1.Emphasize grammar 2.Addcommunicative elements	1.Emphasize communication 2. Integrate exam-focused grammar	Hybrid pedagogy (50/50 balance)
Curriculum Design	Aligns with national standards	Brings global examples and authentic contexts	Co-designed curriculum blending local and global case studies
Planning	Provide structured syllabus	Offer creative, interactive tasks	Joint lesson planning (bi-weekly)
Cultural Responsiveness	Use local case studies	Adapt debates/activities to Chinese context	Attend cross-cultural training
Technology	Combine drills with Chaoxing quizzes	Use online forums, multimedia	Blended learning integration
Assessment	Focus on exam prep rubrics	Include communicative performance	Hybrid assessment rubrics combining theoretical language competence like grammar, vocabulary, reading and writing skills and practical language competence like listening, public speaking, communicating and debating with a better understanding of English culture
Collaboration	Share institutional knowledge and local classroom norms	Bring intercultural teaching expertise	Regular joint lesson planning and teacher peer review sessions

This guideline provides a visualized and practical framework for teachers to adopt, ensuring balance between exam preparation, communicative competence, cultural responsiveness, and collaborative learning practices.

Conclusion

This qualitative study concludes that effective English language teaching in Sino-foreign Cooperative Education institutions depends largely on collaboration between local and foreign language teachers. Both groups contribute unique pedagogical strengths that, when integrated effectively, create comprehensive language learning environments.

Local teachers provide grammatical accuracy, examination preparation, and institutional familiarity, while foreign teachers contribute communicative competence, intercultural awareness, and authentic language use. Hybrid pedagogical models that integrate these strengths improve student learning outcomes and intercultural communication skills.

The study further emphasizes the importance of collaborative curriculum planning, blended learning integration, cross-cultural training, and balanced assessment practices. The proposed guideline offers a practical framework for institutions seeking to harmonize local educational traditions with international teaching methodologies. Future qualitative studies may explore student perceptions, classroom observations, and teacher experiences to further understand the long-term effectiveness of hybrid pedagogical practices in international educational settings.

References

- Anderson, J. (2019). Intercultural communication in multilingual classrooms. *International Review of Education*, 65(4), 455–472.
- Bailey, K. M. (2005). *Practical English language teaching: Speaking*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Brown, H. D. (2007). *Principles of language learning and teaching* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Byram, M. (1997). *Teaching and assessing intercultural communicative competence*. Multilingual Matters.
- Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1–47.
- Chen, L. (2021). Cross-cultural challenges in Sino-foreign cooperative education. *International Journal of Educational Studies*, 12(3), 45–59.
- Choi, Y. H. (2020). Globalization and language education in Asian universities. *Asian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 7(2), 115–130.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2007). *Research methods in applied linguistics*. Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. (1994). *The study of second language acquisition*. Oxford University Press.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Continuum.
- Gao, X. (2022). Hybrid pedagogy in Chinese higher education. *Journal of English Language Teaching*, 18(2), 88–101.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1978). *Language as social semiotic: The social interpretation of language and meaning*. Edward Arnold.

- Harmer, J. (2007). *The practice of English language teaching* (4th ed.). Pearson Longman.
- Holliday, A. (1994). *Appropriate methodology and social context*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hymes, D. (1972). On communicative competence. In J. B. Pride & J. Holmes (Eds.), *Sociolinguistics* (pp. 269–293). Penguin.
- Johnson, K. E. (2009). *Second language teacher education: A sociocultural perspective*. Routledge.
- Krashen, S. (1982). *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. Pergamon Press.
- Kumaravadivelu, B. (2006). *Understanding language teaching: From method to postmethod*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Lantolf, J. P. (2000). *Sociocultural theory and second language learning*. Oxford University Press.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (2000). *Techniques and principles in language teaching* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage Publications.
- Littlewood, W. (2004). The task-based approach: Some questions and suggestions. *ELT Journal*, 58(4), 319–326.
- Macaro, E. (2018). *English medium instruction*. Oxford University Press.
- Merriam, S. B. (2009). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation*. Jossey-Bass.
- Norton, B. (2013). *Identity and language learning: Extending the conversation* (2nd ed.). Multilingual Matters.
- Nunan, D. (1991). *Language teaching methodology*. Prentice Hall.
- Richards, J. C. (2006). *Communicative language teaching today*. Cambridge University Press.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2001). *Approaches and methods in language teaching*. Cambridge University Press.
- Savignon, S. J. (2002). *Interpreting communicative language teaching*. Yale University Press.
- Schwandt, T. A. (2015). *The Sage dictionary of qualitative inquiry* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Stake, R. E. (2010). *Qualitative research: Studying how things work*. Guilford Press.
- Tomlinson, B. (2011). *Materials development in language teaching* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Tsui, A. B. M. (2003). *Understanding expertise in teaching: Case studies of second language teachers*. Cambridge University Press.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wang, R. (2020). Collaborative teaching models in international universities. *Asian Education Review*, 9(1), 67–80.
- Yin, R. K. (2016). *Qualitative research from start to finish* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Zhang, W. (2021). Communicative language teaching in China. *TESOL International Journal*, 15(4), 120–136.
- Zhao, H. (2019). Intercultural adaptation among foreign teachers in China. *Journal of Multicultural Education*, 13(2), 89–102.
- Zhao, L. (2023). Technology integration in English language learning. *Journal of Digital Education*, 11(5), 90–107.
- Zhou, M., & Brown, D. (2017). Educational learning theories and teaching practices in higher education. *International Journal of Learning and Teaching*, 3(1), 27–35.
- Zhu, H. (2014). *Exploring intercultural communication: Language in action*. Routledge.