INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN BUSINESS & SOCIAL SCIENCES

Vol 16, Issue 11, (2026) E-ISSN: 2222-6990

The Role of Social Identity Theory in Explaining Consumer Participation in Brand Communities: A Systematic Review

Muhammad Syafiq Salleh, Shahrizal Mohd Safri, Muhammad Amiruddin Salleh

Faculty of Business, UNITAR International University, Tierra Crest, Jalan SS6/3, Kelana Jaya, 47301 Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia

Corresponding Author Email: msyafiq.pt@unitar.my

DOI Link: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v16-i11/28855

Published Date: 23 November 2026

Abstract

This systematic literature review examines the role of Social Identity Theory (SIT) in explaining consumer participation within brand communities across diverse digital and physical environments. The review synthesizes recent studies published between 2024 and 2026 that investigate the relationships among social identity, community identification, consumer engagement, and participation behaviours. Findings indicate that consumers are more likely to actively participate in brand communities when group membership becomes an important component of their self-concept and sense of belonging. Strong community identification consistently promotes engagement behaviours such as content creation, electronic word-of-mouth, value co-creation, advocacy, and brand loyalty. The review also reveals that the influence of social identity is frequently strengthened through mediating mechanisms including emotional attachment, trust, psychological ownership, social capital, and community commitment. However, evidence demonstrates that identity-based participation is context dependent and varies across industries, cultures, platforms, and emerging digital environments such as metaverse and AI-mediated communities. The findings further highlight the existence of negative outcomes, including community conflict, oppositional behaviour, anti-brand activism, and psychological strain arising from intense identification. This review contributes to the literature by integrating fragmented evidence, identifying conceptual and methodological gaps, and proposing directions for future research on identity-driven consumer participation in contemporary brand communities.

Keywords: Social Identity Theory, Online and Offline Brand Communities, Consumer Participation Behaviour, Community Identification and Sense of Belonging, Consumer Engagement in Digital Platforms, Customer Value Co-Creation Activities, Brand Loyalty and Brand Advocacy, Digital and Virtual Brand Community Environments

Introduction

Consumer participation has become a defining characteristic of contemporary marketing environments as digital technologies enable individuals to interact with brands beyond traditional purchasing activities. Consumers no longer function solely as recipients of marketing messages but increasingly contribute to discussions, evaluations, and content creation related to brands. Digital platforms have facilitated the formation of interactive spaces where consumers exchange experiences, provide recommendations, and develop collective relationships around shared consumption interests (Méndez-Lazarte et al., 2025; Heere et al., 2024). These interactions have transformed the nature of brand-consumer relationships by encouraging collaboration, engagement, and value co-creation. Brand communities have emerged as important mechanisms through which organizations cultivate stronger relationships with consumers and encourage long-term engagement (Lin et al., 2024; Song et al., 2024). Research has shown that participation within brand communities can influence consumer loyalty, advocacy, electronic word-of-mouth, and purchase-related outcomes (Bahri-Ammari et al., 2025; Chen et al., 2025). The expansion of social media has further accelerated the growth of such communities by enabling consumers to connect with brands and fellow consumers regardless of geographical boundaries (Raichur et al., 2025; Luo et al., 2025). Organizations increasingly invest in brand community initiatives because active consumer participation may strengthen relationship quality and improve overall brand performance. Community-based engagement has become particularly relevant in markets characterized by intense competition and information abundance. Consumer decisions are often influenced by opinions and experiences shared by community members rather than by firm-generated communication alone. Academic interest in understanding the drivers of consumer participation has therefore increased substantially over recent years. The growing importance of digital communities has prompted researchers to explore the psychological processes that motivate individuals to participate in collective brand-related activities.

Global developments in digital communication have intensified scholarly attention toward the role of social relationships in shaping consumer behavior. Social networking platforms, online forums, metaverse environments, virtual communities, and creator-driven ecosystems have expanded the opportunities for individuals to express identity through brand affiliations (Yoon et al., 2025; Gomes et al., 2025). Participation in these communities frequently extends beyond product-related discussions and involves emotional, symbolic, and social connections among members. Researchers have increasingly argued that consumers seek belonging, recognition, and social acceptance through their involvement in brand communities (Abuljadail, 2025; Diep & Mai, 2026). Social Identity Theory has become one of the most influential frameworks for explaining such behavior because it suggests that individuals define themselves partly through their membership in social groups (Whelan, 2025; Carlson et al., 2026). Brand communities provide environments where consumers can develop a shared sense of identity based on common interests, values, and experiences. Studies conducted across hospitality, sports, tourism, fashion, and online retail contexts have reported that stronger identification with a community is associated with greater engagement, advocacy, and participation intentions (Amani, 2025; Jang & Kim, 2024). Identity-based motivations have also been linked to value co-creation activities, loyalty behaviors, and positive electronic word-of-mouth communication (Liu et al., 2024; Nasution et al., 2024). Consumers often interpret community participation as a means of expressing who they are and where they belong. Social identity processes therefore extend beyond social interaction and become

embedded within broader consumption experiences. Marketing scholars increasingly view identity as a central factor influencing consumer participation in digital environments. Understanding the role of Social Identity Theory has become necessary for explaining why some consumers actively engage in brand communities while others remain passive observers.

The growing adoption of social media across regions has created diverse forms of online brand communities that differ in purpose, structure, and engagement intensity. Brand communities now exist on platforms such as Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, Discord, Reddit, and metaverse-based environments where consumers interact continuously with brands and other members (Chen et al., 2025; Kusuma et al., 2024). Southeast Asia has experienced substantial growth in social media usage, creating favorable conditions for brand communities to flourish within various industries. Consumer engagement activities increasingly include content sharing, product reviews, community discussions, livestream participation, and collaborative innovation efforts (Khandeparkar et al., 2025; Srivardhana & Boonchoo, 2025). Social identification has frequently been cited as a factor that encourages participants to contribute knowledge, support peers, and strengthen community relationships (Kustiawan, 2025; Jalilvand, 2026). Evidence from virtual brand communities indicates that consumers with stronger social identification demonstrate higher levels of engagement and commitment than those with weaker community connections (Nikhashemi et al., 2025; Li et al., 2026). Community participation also appears to influence behavioral outcomes such as brand loyalty, brand evangelism, and advocacy intentions (Tsai & Chen, 2026; Wang et al., 2026). Digital interactions have become increasingly personalized through artificial intelligence and recommendation technologies, allowing organizations to create more tailored community experiences (Munandar et al., 2026; Hilali, 2025). Identity-related motivations remain relevant despite technological advancements because consumers continue to seek meaningful social connections. Research conducted in different regions indicates that cultural and contextual factors may influence how social identity shapes participation behavior (Bahri-Ammari et al., 2025; Upadhyaya et al., 2026). Variations across platforms and industries suggest that the relationship between identity and participation is more complex than previously assumed. Scholarly attention has therefore shifted toward understanding the mechanisms through which identification influences consumer engagement within increasingly diverse digital communities.

Brand communities have also become increasingly relevant in emerging technological environments where consumer identities are negotiated through virtual and hybrid interactions. Metaverse communities, NFT communities, virtual influencer ecosystems, and AI-mediated platforms have introduced new forms of consumer participation and identity expression (Nevi et al., 2026; Diwanji et al., 2026). Consumers frequently participate in these environments to strengthen self-expression, gain social recognition, and establish meaningful group affiliations. Research indicates that social identity continues to influence engagement behaviors even when interactions occur through avatars, virtual assets, or AI-generated content (Feng & Song, 2026; Yoon et al., 2025). Emotional attachment, psychological ownership, and community belonging have been identified as important drivers of participation across technologically advanced brand ecosystems (Wu et al., 2025; Wang & Yang, 2025). Identity-based engagement has also been associated with brand advocacy, community commitment, and co-creation citizenship behaviors in virtual settings (Yin et al.,

2026; Sanz-Blas et al., 2026). Some studies report that shared identity enhances collaboration among community members and facilitates stronger emotional bonds with brands (Abbas et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2026). Other investigations suggest that identity influences consumer responses to sustainability initiatives, CSR activities, and ethical branding practices (Akahome, 2026; Sung & Lee, 2026). Contrasting findings have emerged regarding the extent to which identity alone explains participation outcomes. Certain studies indicate that factors such as satisfaction, perceived value, technological features, and platform design may be equally important determinants of engagement (Le et al., 2026; Lin et al., 2026). These differences highlight the complexity of participation behavior within contemporary brand communities. Research attention has increasingly focused on understanding how identity-related mechanisms operate across evolving digital environments. The growing diversity of community settings has created opportunities to reassess established theoretical explanations of consumer participation.

Existing research provides substantial evidence supporting the relevance of Social Identity Theory in explaining consumer participation, yet important inconsistencies remain across the literature. Several studies report that community identification directly strengthens engagement, loyalty, advocacy, and co-creation behaviors (Díaz et al., 2025; Rui et al., 2026). Alternative findings suggest that the effect of social identity may operate through mediating variables such as brand affinity, source credibility, emotional attachment, psychological ownership, or community commitment (Ali & Amin, 2026; Al-Abdallah et al., 2026). Research conducted in sports, tourism, fashion, and nonprofit settings demonstrates that the strength and direction of identity-related effects vary according to contextual conditions (Akgül et al., 2026; Diep & Mai, 2026). Studies investigating online communities often emphasize belongingness and collective identification, whereas brand-focused studies frequently emphasize brand identification rather than community-based identity (Wu, 2025; Donavan et al., 2026). Conceptual distinctions between social identity, community identification, brand identification, and self-identity are not always clearly articulated in existing scholarship. Differences in theoretical interpretations have contributed to fragmented knowledge regarding the role of Social Identity Theory in consumer participation research (Whelan, 2025; Carlson et al., 2026). Methodological variations across studies have further complicated efforts to establish consistent conclusions. Cross-sectional survey designs dominate the field, while longitudinal and mixed-method investigations remain relatively limited (Méndez-Lazarte et al., 2025; Raichur et al., 2025). Evidence has also emerged regarding negative outcomes such as oppositional behavior, anti-brand activism, consumer boycotts, and psychological strain resulting from intense community involvement (Eldegwy & Marzouk, 2025; Osei-Frimpong et al., 2026). These findings indicate that identity-based participation may generate both positive and negative consequences. The presence of divergent findings highlights the need to examine existing knowledge in a more systematic and integrative manner.

Prior studies have demonstrated meaningful relationships between social identity, community identification, consumer engagement, brand loyalty, advocacy, and value co-creation (Liu et al., 2024; Nasution et al., 2024; Wang & Yang, 2025). However, these constructs are often examined independently, with limited attention given to how they collectively contribute to consumer participation in brand communities. Previous studies have mainly explored specific relationships, such as the effect of community identification on

engagement, loyalty, and advocacy, as well as the role of social identity in shaping loyalty and advocacy behaviours (Nasution et al., 2024; Díaz et al., 2025; Jalilvand, 2026; Rui et al., 2026). Less attention has been given to explaining how these behavioural outcomes collectively emerge through Social Identity Theory as part of a broader identity-based participation process across different brand community environments. The growing diversity of brand community environments, including social media platforms, virtual brand communities, metaverse spaces, NFT ecosystems, and AI-mediated communities, further increases the need for a more integrated perspective (Gomes et al., 2025; Yoon et al., 2025; Nevi et al., 2026; Diwanji et al., 2026). This review addresses that need by bringing together evidence from different research streams to examine how Social Identity Theory explains the connections among identification, engagement, participation, advocacy, loyalty, and value co-creation. Such an integrative perspective contributes to a clearer understanding of identity-driven consumer behaviour and highlights the conditions under which social identity may enhance, constrain, or reshape participation within contemporary brand communities (Whelan, 2025; Carlson et al., 2026).

Academic interest in brand communities has expanded rapidly across multiple disciplines, resulting in a substantial body of literature examining identity, engagement, loyalty, co-creation, advocacy, and participation behaviors. Publications addressing virtual communities, social media communities, influencer communities, NFT ecosystems, metaverse environments, and AI-supported consumer networks have increased considerably during recent years (Méndez-Lazarte et al., 2025; Gomes et al., 2025). Existing studies frequently acknowledge the relevance of Social Identity Theory, yet evidence remains dispersed across different contexts, theoretical perspectives, and methodological approaches. Limited attention has been devoted to systematically synthesizing how Social Identity Theory explains consumer participation across diverse brand community environments. Theoretical discussions often focus on specific outcomes such as loyalty, purchase intention, advocacy, or engagement without examining broader participation processes (Hsu & Wu, 2025; Jalilvand, 2026). Variations in the conceptualization and measurement of social identity have created challenges for cumulative theory development. Geographical concentrations within selected countries and industries have also limited the generalizability of existing findings (Bahri-Ammari et al., 2025; Abbas et al., 2024). Research involving emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, virtual influencers, and metaverse communities remains fragmented despite their increasing significance in contemporary marketing environments (Diwanji et al., 2026; Soliman et al., 2026). Questions remain regarding the conditions under which social identity strengthens, weakens, or transforms consumer participation behaviors. A systematic review can consolidate existing evidence, identify dominant themes, evaluate inconsistencies, and reveal underexplored areas within the literature. Such an approach enables a clearer understanding of the theoretical contribution of Social Identity Theory to consumer participation research. The present study is therefore warranted to systematically examine the role of Social Identity Theory in explaining consumer participation in brand communities and to provide a comprehensive synthesis of current scholarly knowledge.

Literature review

Social Identity Theory and Consumer Behaviour

Social Identity Theory has become one of the most influential theoretical perspectives for explaining how individuals develop perceptions, attitudes, and behaviours based on their

membership in social groups. The theory proposes that individuals construct part of their self-concept through identification with groups that possess shared characteristics, values, beliefs, or experiences (Whelan, 2025; Carlson et al., 2026). Consumer researchers increasingly apply this perspective to understand why individuals establish emotional and psychological connections with brands, organizations, and consumption communities. The emergence of digital communication platforms has expanded opportunities for consumers to interact with groups that reinforce desired social identities. Brand-related interactions are frequently motivated by consumers' desire to affiliate with communities that reflect their personal values and self-perceptions (Jang & Kim, 2024; Amani, 2025). Consumption activities are therefore viewed not only as market exchanges but also as social processes through which individuals express identity and belonging. Shared group membership creates social bonds that influence attitudes toward products, brands, and fellow consumers. Group-based identification often shapes consumer preferences because individuals seek consistency between their self-concept and the characteristics associated with the group. Marketing scholars have consequently viewed Social Identity Theory as a useful framework for explaining collective consumer behaviours. Research interest in identity-based consumption has expanded significantly as digital environments facilitate continuous interaction among consumers with similar interests.

Consumer behaviour literature demonstrates that social identity influences a wide range of marketplace outcomes beyond simple purchasing decisions. Studies have reported associations between social identification and consumer engagement, loyalty, advocacy, participation, and value co-creation behaviours (Rui et al., 2026; Wu et al., 2025). Individuals frequently support brands that align with the identities they seek to communicate to others. Such behaviour reflects the symbolic function of brands as markers of social affiliation and self-expression. Research on destination branding indicates that identification with tourism communities encourages cooperative behaviour and value co-creation among community members (Amani, 2025). Similar patterns have been observed within sports and gaming contexts where consumers exhibit stronger commitment when brands become integrated into their identity structures (Akgül et al., 2026; Hsu & Wu, 2025). Evidence from fashion and lifestyle communities suggests that social identity often shapes consumers' willingness to engage in discussions, create content, and advocate for brands within digital spaces (Al-Abdallah et al., 2026; Ali & Amin, 2026). Identity-based motivations appear particularly relevant in online environments because consumers can publicly display affiliations and receive social validation from community members. The significance of social identity extends beyond behavioural outcomes and influences psychological dimensions such as belongingness, trust, and emotional attachment. Consumer behaviour researchers therefore increasingly examine identity processes as fundamental elements of contemporary marketplace interactions.

Scholarly discussions have expanded beyond traditional interpretations of Social Identity Theory to incorporate digital and networked forms of identification. Digital environments allow individuals to simultaneously belong to multiple communities and engage with diverse identity groups. Networked interactions enable consumers to move between communities while maintaining several overlapping identities associated with brands, lifestyles, and interests (Whelan, 2025). Research on social media participation suggests that identification is often reinforced through repeated interactions, shared content, and reciprocal

communication among members (Kustiawan, 2025; Luo et al., 2025). Virtual communities provide opportunities for consumers to develop social connections without physical proximity, increasing the relevance of identity-based explanations. Scholars have also argued that identity formation within digital environments is more dynamic and fluid than in traditional settings. Technological developments such as virtual influencers, metaverse communities, and AI-mediated platforms have introduced new contexts in which social identity can influence consumer responses (Diwanji et al., 2026; Schaap & de Rooij, 2025). These developments have encouraged researchers to revisit conventional assumptions regarding group membership and identification processes. Identity is increasingly understood as a socially constructed and continuously negotiated phenomenon rather than a fixed characteristic. Contemporary marketing research therefore treats Social Identity Theory as an adaptable framework capable of explaining emerging forms of consumer interaction.

Empirical evidence generally supports the relevance of Social Identity Theory within consumer research, although important inconsistencies remain. Numerous studies report positive relationships between social identification and behavioural outcomes such as engagement, loyalty, and brand advocacy (Díaz et al., 2025; Jalilvand, 2026). Alternative studies suggest that social identity alone may not sufficiently explain participation behaviour without considering variables such as satisfaction, emotional attachment, perceived value, or trust (Nikhashemi et al., 2025; Wang & Yang, 2025). Variations in findings often emerge from differences in consumer populations, research settings, and methodological approaches. Research conducted in sports and fandom communities frequently reports stronger identity effects than studies within purely commercial communities (Čater et al., 2026; Rui et al., 2026). Conceptual ambiguity also exists regarding distinctions between social identity, community identification, brand identification, and self-identity. Many studies treat these constructs interchangeably despite meaningful theoretical differences. Cross-sectional survey designs dominate identity-related research, limiting understanding of how identification develops over time. Contextual influences such as cultural norms, technological environments, and community governance remain underexplored in many studies. Methodological and conceptual fragmentation has therefore restricted cumulative knowledge development within the field. Systematic examination of existing evidence is necessary to clarify how Social Identity Theory contributes to understanding consumer behaviour across different brand community contexts.

Brand Communities as Social Structures

Brand communities represent organized social systems where consumers interact around a shared interest in a specific brand. These communities facilitate relationships among consumers as well as between consumers and organizations. Research has increasingly characterized brand communities as social environments that support identity formation, social interaction, and collective meaning creation (Heere et al., 2024; Méndez-Lazarte et al., 2025). Community members often share rituals, traditions, values, and consumption experiences that contribute to group cohesion. Digital platforms have expanded the accessibility of brand communities by enabling interactions across geographical boundaries and demographic differences. Community participation may involve discussions, content creation, product reviews, knowledge exchange, and collaborative problem-solving activities (Song et al., 2024; Raichur et al., 2025). Marketing scholars argue that community structures strengthen consumer relationships because they create interaction opportunities beyond

direct transactions. Consumers frequently perceive communities as social spaces where they can obtain support, information, and emotional connection from like-minded individuals. Brand communities therefore function as social systems that encourage participation through interpersonal relationships rather than solely through brand-related incentives. Academic attention has increasingly focused on understanding how these social structures influence consumer behaviour within contemporary digital environments.

The development of brand communities has been closely associated with advancements in social media technologies and digital communication platforms. Organizations increasingly establish official online communities, while consumers simultaneously create independent communities based on shared brand interests. Research indicates that both firm-initiated and consumer-initiated communities can foster engagement, although the nature of participation often differs across these settings (Raichur et al., 2025; Kusuma et al., 2024). Firm-managed communities frequently emphasize brand communication and customer engagement objectives. Consumer-generated communities tend to prioritize peer interactions and shared experiences among members. Community effectiveness is often influenced by the level of interaction, trust, and reciprocity present within the network. Evidence suggests that communities characterized by strong social ties encourage more active participation than those dominated by one-way communication (Lin et al., 2024; Wong, 2025). Relationships among members contribute significantly to perceptions of attachment and commitment. Digital communication technologies have therefore altered the role of consumers from audience members to active participants in community development. These transformations continue to influence how marketers approach relationship-building strategies. Understanding community structure remains important for explaining variations in participation behaviours.

Research examining community dynamics has highlighted the significance of social capital in sustaining consumer participation. Social capital refers to the resources embedded within relationships that facilitate cooperation, trust, and information exchange among members. Community interactions often generate cognitive, relational, and structural forms of social capital that strengthen engagement and commitment (Kusuma et al., 2024; Wong, 2025). Cognitive social capital reflects shared values and common understanding among participants. Relational social capital emerges through trust, reciprocity, and emotional bonds developed through repeated interactions. Structural social capital relates to the network connections that facilitate communication and resource sharing. Studies have reported that stronger social capital increases consumers' willingness to contribute knowledge and support community activities (Nasution et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2024). Community members frequently remain active because participation provides social rewards and reinforces group belonging. Social capital may therefore complement identity-based motivations by creating favourable interaction environments. Research increasingly recognizes that participation behaviours are influenced by both psychological and relational factors. Social capital has consequently become an important consideration within brand community literature.

Despite broad agreement regarding the benefits of brand communities, scholars have identified important differences across community types and settings. Participation drivers vary considerably between sports communities, fashion communities, gaming communities, tourism communities, and technology-oriented communities (Akgül et al., 2026; Amani,

2025). Consumers may prioritize different motivations depending on the symbolic and functional characteristics of the community. Community governance structures also influence member experiences and behavioural outcomes. Certain studies suggest that highly controlled communities may limit authentic interaction, while more open communities encourage greater consumer expression (Raichur et al., 2025; Wang, 2026). Contrasting evidence indicates that excessive openness can lead to conflicts, fragmentation, or reduced community cohesion. Methodological diversity across studies further contributes to differing conclusions regarding community effectiveness. Cross-sectional research dominates the literature and provides limited insight into long-term community development. Existing evidence therefore demonstrates substantial complexity within brand community environments. Understanding participation requires consideration of both structural and psychological dimensions. These observations have encouraged greater examination of the role of identity within community participation processes.

Consumer Participation in Brand Communities

Consumer participation represents one of the most widely examined outcomes within brand community research. Participation refers to the extent to which consumers contribute to community activities through communication, collaboration, content creation, knowledge sharing, and interaction. Active participation strengthens the vitality of brand communities because it generates engagement and promotes continuous interaction among members (Song et al., 2024; Yin et al., 2026). Participation extends beyond observable activities and often includes emotional, cognitive, and behavioural investment in community affairs. Consumers who actively participate frequently perceive stronger connections with both the community and its associated brand. Digital platforms have increased opportunities for participation by reducing communication barriers and facilitating real-time interaction. Community participation contributes to information dissemination, peer learning, and consumer empowerment. Organizations increasingly encourage participation because active communities can support relationship-building and brand development objectives. Consumer participation has therefore become a central topic within contemporary marketing research. Understanding the factors that encourage participation remains essential for both scholars and practitioners.

Researchers have identified multiple motivations underlying participation behaviours within brand communities. Social motivations frequently emerge as important determinants because consumers seek belonging, recognition, and interpersonal connection through community involvement (Abuljadail, 2025; Diep & Mai, 2026). Functional motivations also influence participation when consumers seek information, problem-solving assistance, or product-related knowledge. Entertainment, self-expression, and reputation enhancement represent additional participation drivers reported across different contexts. Studies examining social media communities suggest that consumers often participate to gain visibility and strengthen relationships with fellow members (Khandeparkar et al., 2025; Srivardhana & Boonchoo, 2025). Participation behaviours are therefore influenced by a combination of social, psychological, and utilitarian factors. Individual motivations may vary across industries and community types. Digital technologies allow consumers to satisfy multiple needs simultaneously through community engagement. Participation should therefore be viewed as a multidimensional behavioural phenomenon. Such complexity has

encouraged scholars to explore theoretical frameworks capable of integrating diverse motivations.

Identity-based explanations have received increasing attention because participation often reflects consumers' desire to maintain group membership and social affiliation. Research indicates that stronger identification with a community increases willingness to engage in discussions, share experiences, and support collective goals (Jalilvand, 2026; Díaz et al., 2025). Consumers frequently participate because involvement reinforces important aspects of their self-concept. Identity-driven participation may also strengthen community commitment and encourage long-term engagement. Studies involving sports fans, tourism communities, and lifestyle communities consistently report positive associations between identification and participation behaviours (Amani, 2025; Rui et al., 2026). Similar relationships have been observed within virtual communities and social media environments. Participation can become a mechanism through which identity is expressed and validated by community members. These identity-based processes contribute to stronger emotional attachment and behavioural commitment. Participation therefore involves more than functional interaction or information exchange. Social Identity Theory provides a useful framework for interpreting these behavioural patterns.

Empirical findings concerning participation behaviour remain characterized by inconsistencies and contextual variations. Some studies report strong identity effects, while others emphasize satisfaction, perceived value, social capital, or platform characteristics as primary participation drivers (Liu et al., 2024; Wang & Yang, 2025). Differences in measurement approaches have contributed to inconsistent findings across studies. Research conducted in emerging technological environments often reveals new participation dynamics that differ from traditional community settings (Yoon et al., 2025; Nevi et al., 2026). Variations in cultural contexts may further influence participation motivations and behaviours. Many studies rely on self-reported data that may not fully capture actual participation activities. Longitudinal investigations remain relatively uncommon despite participation being a dynamic process that evolves over time. Evidence concerning disengagement and declining participation also remains limited. Existing literature therefore provides an incomplete understanding of participation dynamics across diverse community environments. These limitations highlight the importance of examining participation through a broader theoretical perspective.

Social Identity and Community Identification

Community identification refers to the degree to which consumers perceive a sense of belonging and psychological attachment to a brand community. The concept originates from Social Identity Theory and reflects the integration of community membership into an individual's self-concept (Carlson et al., 2026; Whelan, 2025). Consumers who strongly identify with a community are more likely to perceive group successes and failures as personally relevant. Identification develops through repeated interaction, shared experiences, and collective values. Brand communities provide suitable environments for identity formation because they encourage communication among individuals with similar interests. Research consistently associates community identification with higher levels of participation, commitment, and advocacy (Nasution et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2024). Identification also enhances emotional attachment toward community members and associated brands. Psychological investment increases when membership becomes an

important element of personal identity. Community identification therefore plays a significant role in shaping consumer behaviour. Scholarly attention has increasingly focused on understanding how identification develops and influences community outcomes.

Brand identification and community identification are closely related but conceptually distinct constructs. Brand identification reflects consumers' psychological connection with a brand, whereas community identification focuses on attachment to the collective group of members (Wu, 2025; Abbas et al., 2024). Studies suggest that consumers may identify strongly with a community even when attachment to the brand itself is moderate. Alternative findings indicate that brand identification often precedes community identification. The relationship between these constructs remains a subject of ongoing scholarly debate. Some researchers view community identification as an extension of brand identification, whereas others treat the two constructs as independent influences on consumer behaviour (Nikhashemi et al., 2025; Chen et al., 2026). Distinctions between the constructs become particularly important when examining participation outcomes. Community-oriented interactions may be driven more strongly by group attachment than by brand attachment. Theoretical clarity remains necessary to distinguish their respective influences. Existing evidence continues to highlight the significance of both forms of identification within community environments.

Research has also examined the mechanisms through which identification influences consumer responses. Emotional attachment, social support, trust, and psychological ownership have frequently been identified as intermediary processes linking identification to behavioural outcomes (Wu et al., 2025; Wang & Yang, 2025). Community members often experience stronger attachment when they perceive shared values and mutual understanding. Trust develops more easily in communities characterized by strong identification and reciprocal interaction. Psychological ownership may emerge as members perceive greater personal investment in the community. Such mechanisms contribute to increased participation and commitment. Identification therefore interacts with other psychological factors rather than functioning in isolation. Several studies suggest that emotional processes strengthen the effect of identification on community behaviours. Relationships among these variables remain insufficiently integrated across different research streams. Further theoretical synthesis remains necessary to understand these complex interactions.

Contradictions remain evident regarding the consequences of strong community identification. Positive outcomes such as engagement, loyalty, advocacy, and cooperation dominate much of the literature (Rui et al., 2026; Tsai & Chen, 2026). Alternative evidence suggests that intense identification may also contribute to exclusionary behaviour, community conflict, and oppositional tendencies toward outsiders (Eldegwy & Marzouk, 2025; Dini Azizi et al., 2024). Strong identification can encourage members to defend communities aggressively during criticism or controversy. Research involving anti-brand activism and consumer boycotts suggests that identity processes may contribute to negative behavioural outcomes under certain conditions (Ridwan et al., 2026; Ulfah et al., 2025). Existing literature has devoted considerably more attention to positive outcomes than negative consequences. Understanding both dimensions remains important for developing balanced theoretical explanations. Current evidence indicates that identification can generate diverse outcomes depending on context and community dynamics. These observations raise

important questions regarding the broader implications of social identity processes. Such issues warrant closer examination within the brand community literature.

Outcomes of Consumer Participation in Brand Communities

Consumer participation produces a range of outcomes that are relevant to both organizations and community members. Brand loyalty has emerged as one of the most frequently examined consequences of active participation. Participation strengthens relationships between consumers and brands by fostering emotional attachment and repeated interaction (Bahri-Ammari et al., 2025; Chen et al., 2025). Community members often demonstrate stronger loyalty because shared experiences reinforce positive perceptions of the brand. Participation also facilitates trust development through social interaction and peer recommendations. Research suggests that loyal consumers are more likely to remain engaged during periods of market uncertainty. Community involvement therefore contributes to relationship stability and retention. Loyalty outcomes have been reported across diverse industries, including hospitality, sports, fashion, and digital commerce. Participation is increasingly viewed as a key driver of long-term customer relationships. Brand loyalty consequently remains a major outcome variable within community research.

Value co-creation represents another significant outcome associated with community participation. Active participants frequently contribute ideas, feedback, and knowledge that support innovation and product improvement (Song et al., 2024; Yin et al., 2026). Co-creation enables consumers to interact directly with organizations in shaping products and services. Research reports that consumers who participate in collaborative activities often experience stronger satisfaction and community attachment. Co-creation also generates benefits for organizations through access to customer insights and innovation opportunities. Community members frequently perceive such involvement as meaningful because it enhances feelings of contribution and recognition. Studies consistently report positive associations between participation and co-creation behaviours. Digital platforms have expanded opportunities for collaborative engagement. Co-creation therefore occupies a central position within contemporary community research. The concept highlights the evolving role of consumers as active contributors within marketplace relationships.

Electronic word-of-mouth and brand advocacy represent additional outcomes linked to community participation. Community members often share information, recommendations, and experiences with individuals both inside and outside the community (Díaz et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2026). Advocacy behaviours contribute to brand visibility and reputation development. Research indicates that highly engaged consumers frequently act as informal brand ambassadors. Participation strengthens advocacy because social interaction increases emotional investment in the community. Positive experiences encourage members to promote brands through online communication channels. Electronic word-of-mouth may influence purchasing decisions among prospective consumers. Community advocacy therefore generates strategic marketing benefits beyond direct consumer engagement. Several studies identify advocacy as a natural extension of community commitment and identification. Such findings reinforce the importance of fostering meaningful participation opportunities.

Participation outcomes are not universally positive and may include undesirable consequences. Research examining continuous community involvement reports associations with consumer fatigue, psychological strain, and community-related stress under certain conditions (Osei-Frimpong et al., 2026; Gani et al., 2026). Highly involved members may also participate in oppositional behaviours directed toward competing brands or external groups. Intense community attachment can contribute to polarization and ideological conflict. Evidence from anti-brand activism studies suggests that participation may facilitate collective resistance and protest activities. Such outcomes challenge assumptions that community engagement always generates favourable results. Existing literature remains heavily weighted toward positive outcomes while devoting limited attention to negative consequences. Balanced examination of participation outcomes remains necessary for theoretical development. Consumer participation appears capable of generating both constructive and problematic behavioural responses. These complexities highlight the importance of contextual analysis within community research.

Digital Transformation and Emerging Brand Community Environments

Digital transformation has fundamentally altered the nature of brand communities and consumer participation. Social media, mobile applications, virtual platforms, and data-driven technologies have expanded opportunities for interaction and engagement. Brand communities increasingly operate within environments that support continuous communication and content sharing (Méndez-Lazarte et al., 2025; Munandar et al., 2026). Consumers can participate regardless of geographical location and time constraints. Technological innovation has therefore increased the scale and diversity of community interactions. Emerging digital environments encourage new forms of collaboration, relationship-building, and identity expression. Brand communities are no longer confined to conventional online forums or social networking sites. Digital transformation continues to redefine consumer expectations and participation patterns. Understanding these developments remains important for contemporary marketing research. Scholars increasingly examine how technological changes influence community engagement.

Virtual environments such as metaverse platforms and NFT ecosystems have introduced new participation opportunities. Consumers interact through avatars, virtual assets, and immersive experiences that extend beyond traditional community structures (Yoon et al., 2025; Nevi et al., 2026). These environments facilitate identity experimentation and symbolic self-expression. Participation within virtual spaces often reflects status-seeking, creativity, and social recognition. Studies indicate that community interactions remain strongly influenced by identity-related motivations despite technological differences. Consumers frequently seek belonging and connection within virtual environments. Research on metaverse communities suggests that social experiences shape engagement more strongly than technological features alone. Digital innovation therefore complements rather than replaces identity-based explanations of participation. Virtual environments continue to expand the scope of brand community research. Their growing popularity has generated substantial scholarly interest.

Artificial intelligence has emerged as another influential factor shaping contemporary brand communities. AI-driven personalization systems enable organizations to deliver tailored experiences based on consumer preferences and behavioural patterns (Hilali, 2025;

Munandar et al., 2026). Personalized interactions may increase consumer satisfaction and encourage continued community participation. Virtual influencers and AI-generated content have also introduced new forms of engagement. Research indicates that consumers respond differently to AI-based stimuli depending on authenticity perceptions and social identification processes (Diwanji et al., 2026; Feng & Song, 2026). Identity cues remain important even when interactions involve non-human entities. The integration of artificial intelligence creates opportunities as well as challenges for community management. Questions concerning authenticity, trust, and engagement continue to attract scholarly attention. AI technologies are expected to play an increasingly significant role in shaping participation behaviours. Their influence remains an evolving area of inquiry.

Research concerning emerging digital environments remains fragmented across disciplines and contexts. Many studies focus on specific technologies rather than broader participation processes. Comparisons across traditional communities, virtual communities, and AI-supported communities remain relatively limited. Conceptual frameworks often lag behind technological developments. Existing evidence provides valuable insights into digital participation but lacks integration across different environments (Gomes et al., 2025; Soliman et al., 2026). Methodological approaches also vary considerably across studies. Longitudinal evidence examining technological changes over time remains scarce. Questions concerning the future evolution of community participation remain largely unanswered. These limitations highlight opportunities for further investigation of identity-based participation within emerging digital ecosystems. Greater theoretical synthesis is needed to advance understanding of these developments.

Research Gaps in Social Identity and Brand Community Participation Literature

The literature consistently recognizes Social Identity Theory as an important framework for explaining consumer participation in brand communities. Research conducted across sports, fashion, hospitality, tourism, and digital commerce contexts generally supports the argument that identification influences engagement and participation behaviours (Amani, 2025; Jalilvand, 2026). Evidence demonstrates that consumers often participate because community membership contributes to their self-concept and social belonging. Participation behaviours frequently reflect identity expression rather than purely functional motivations. Scholarly attention has therefore focused extensively on the relationship between identification and behavioural outcomes. Research growth has generated a substantial body of evidence concerning identity-driven participation. Important patterns have emerged regarding loyalty, advocacy, and value co-creation outcomes. Existing knowledge provides a strong foundation for understanding participation processes. Nevertheless, substantial conceptual and empirical questions remain unresolved. Continued investigation remains necessary to address these limitations.

Conceptual fragmentation represents a major challenge within the current literature. Researchers frequently employ terms such as social identity, community identification, self-identity, brand identification, and customer identification interchangeably despite meaningful theoretical distinctions (Carlson et al., 2026; Whelan, 2025). Such inconsistency complicates comparisons across studies and hinders cumulative theory development. Measurement approaches also vary considerably across research contexts. Differences in conceptualization contribute to divergent findings regarding participation outcomes. Certain

studies prioritize community-based identity whereas others focus primarily on brand-related attachment. The lack of conceptual clarity limits understanding of the specific role played by Social Identity Theory within consumer participation research. Stronger theoretical integration remains necessary. Scholars continue to debate the boundaries and relationships among identity-related constructs. These issues highlight the need for systematic synthesis of existing evidence.

Methodological limitations further restrict current understanding of participation behaviour. Cross-sectional survey designs dominate the literature, limiting insights into identity development and behavioural change over time (Raichur et al., 2025; Méndez-Lazarte et al., 2025). Longitudinal studies examining evolving participation processes remain uncommon. Research frequently relies on self-reported responses that may not accurately reflect actual behaviour. Geographical concentration within selected countries also limits generalizability. Cultural influences on identity formation and participation remain insufficiently explored. Comparative studies across industries and technological environments remain relatively scarce. Emerging platforms such as metaverse communities and AI-supported ecosystems have received limited systematic examination. Methodological diversity is required to strengthen empirical understanding. Such limitations create opportunities for future research.

Empirical findings remain characterized by inconsistencies regarding the mechanisms linking identity and participation. Some studies emphasize direct relationships between identification and engagement, while others report significant roles for emotional attachment, trust, social capital, and psychological ownership (Wu et al., 2025; Wang & Yang, 2025). Positive participation outcomes dominate existing scholarship despite growing evidence concerning anti-brand behaviour, psychological strain, and community conflict (Osei-Frimpong et al., 2026; Gani et al., 2026). Research addressing negative consequences remains comparatively limited. Evidence from emerging digital environments has also produced findings that challenge traditional assumptions regarding community interaction. The coexistence of positive and negative outcomes suggests that identity effects may be more context dependent than previously assumed. Broader synthesis is required to understand these complexities. Existing research provides considerable insight into specific aspects of participation but lacks an integrated perspective capable of explaining diverse findings. Systematic examination of the literature is therefore necessary to identify recurring themes, evaluate inconsistencies, and clarify the role of Social Identity Theory in explaining consumer participation across contemporary brand communities.

Methodology

This study employed a systematic literature review (SLR) approach to synthesize and critically evaluate existing scholarly evidence concerning the role of Social Identity Theory in explaining consumer participation in brand communities. The selection of a systematic review methodology was aligned with the study objective of integrating fragmented knowledge relating to social identity, community identification, brand identification, consumer engagement, and participation behaviour within a single analytical framework. Systematic literature reviews are widely recognized as rigorous approaches for identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing scholarly knowledge within a specific research domain. The methodology provides a transparent and replicable process for reviewing existing evidence while

minimizing researcher bias during article selection and evaluation. Similar review-based approaches have been widely used in consumer behaviour and marketing research to consolidate theoretical perspectives and identify emerging research trends (Salleh et al., 2026a; Salleh et al., 2026b).

The present review was guided by the research question of how Social Identity Theory has been applied to explain consumer participation in brand communities across different contexts and digital environments. Existing studies have investigated identity-based consumer behaviour within social media communities, virtual brand communities, influencer communities, sports communities, tourism communities, metaverse environments, and online consumer networks (Amani, 2025; Whelan, 2025). The rapid growth of research in these areas has produced a substantial volume of literature dispersed across multiple theoretical perspectives and disciplinary contexts. A systematic review was considered appropriate because it enables a comprehensive synthesis of existing knowledge while facilitating the identification of dominant themes, theoretical developments, empirical patterns, and emerging research directions. The application of systematic synthesis techniques has become increasingly important in contemporary consumer behaviour research where rapidly evolving digital environments generate extensive and fragmented scholarly evidence (Salleh et al., 2026c; Salleh et al., 2026d). Particular attention was devoted to studies examining relationships among social identity, community identification, consumer participation, consumer engagement, advocacy, loyalty, and value co-creation. The methodology was designed to provide an evidence-based understanding of the mechanisms through which identity-related processes influence participation within brand communities.

The literature search was conducted using several major academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, Emerald Insight, SpringerLink, Taylor & Francis Online, Wiley Online Library, Sage Journals, and Google Scholar. These databases were selected because they provide extensive coverage of high-quality publications within marketing, consumer behaviour, communication, psychology, hospitality, and digital business disciplines. A structured search strategy was employed using combinations of keywords such as Social Identity Theory, social identity, community identification, brand community, virtual brand community, consumer participation, consumer engagement, brand engagement, online communities, and consumer behaviour. The review focused on studies published between 2024 and 2026 to capture recent developments associated with digital transformation, social media expansion, virtual communities, artificial intelligence, and emerging technological environments. The selected timeframe reflects the rapid evolution of consumer behaviour and digital engagement observed within contemporary marketing literature (Salleh et al., 2026b; Salleh et al., 2026c).

Inclusion criteria required studies to be published in English, contain empirical or theoretical discussions related to Social Identity Theory and consumer participation in brand communities, and provide sufficient methodological and conceptual information for analysis. Articles unrelated to consumer participation, duplicate publications, conference abstracts without full-text access, editorials, book reviews, and non-academic publications were excluded from the review process. The screening procedure involved title screening, abstract evaluation, and full-text assessment to determine the relevance of each article to the research objectives. Studies were retained only when they directly addressed social identity-related

constructs within brand community settings. Relevant publications were organised and managed using a reference management system to ensure accurate documentation and citation management. The use of explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria strengthened the consistency, transparency, and reliability of the review process.

Data extraction was conducted using a structured review matrix developed specifically for this study. Information extracted from each article included author information, publication year, study objectives, theoretical foundations, research methodology, industrial context, geographical setting, key constructs, principal findings, and identified limitations. The review matrix facilitated systematic comparison across studies and supported the identification of recurring concepts and empirical patterns. Extracted information was categorised according to the primary themes examined in the present review, including Social Identity Theory, community identification, brand identification, consumer participation, consumer engagement, loyalty, advocacy, and value co-creation. Similar thematic categorisation approaches have been adopted in consumer behaviour research to explain relationships among psychological, behavioural, and contextual constructs (Salleh et al., 2026a; Salleh et al., 2026d).

Content analysis was adopted as the primary analytical technique because of its suitability for identifying conceptual relationships, theoretical trends, and recurring patterns across diverse studies. Thematic coding procedures were applied to classify articles into meaningful categories based on common conceptual and empirical characteristics. Particular attention was devoted to identifying how previous studies conceptualised social identity, operationalised participation behaviour, and explained the relationship between identification and brand community outcomes. Similarities and contradictions across studies were examined to identify areas of theoretical agreement and inconsistency. The analysis also considered how different scholars adapted Social Identity Theory to explain participation across social media communities, virtual platforms, gaming communities, tourism communities, and emerging digital environments. This process enabled a deeper understanding of the mechanisms and conditions under which social identity influences consumer participation.

Several measures were undertaken to enhance the credibility, transparency, and methodological rigour of the review process. A systematic review protocol was established prior to data collection to ensure consistency throughout the article identification, screening, selection, extraction, and analysis procedures. Clear inclusion and exclusion criteria reduced subjective decision-making and improved the reproducibility of the review. The use of multiple academic databases minimised the risk of omitting relevant publications and strengthened the comprehensiveness of the literature search. Methodological triangulation was achieved through the inclusion of studies employing quantitative, qualitative, mixed-method, bibliometric, conceptual, and review-based research designs. Potential limitations relating to database accessibility, publication bias, and language restrictions were acknowledged during the screening process. Additional searches of reference lists and citation networks were conducted to reduce the likelihood of overlooking influential studies. Consideration of variations relating to industrial contexts, geographical settings, community types, technological environments, theoretical perspectives, and measurement approaches

contributed to a more nuanced interpretation of existing evidence concerning social identity and consumer participation.

The methodological procedures adopted in this study were intended to produce a transparent, reliable, and replicable synthesis of the literature concerning the role of Social Identity Theory in explaining consumer participation in brand communities. The systematic approach provides a comprehensive foundation for identifying dominant themes, evaluating inconsistencies, examining emerging trends, and proposing future directions for identity-based consumer behaviour research within contemporary brand community environments.

Findings

The systematic review demonstrated that Social Identity Theory (SIT) remains one of the most widely applied theoretical frameworks for explaining consumer participation in brand communities. Across the reviewed studies, consumers engaged more actively in brand communities when membership became an important part of their self-concept. Traditional online forums, social media brand communities, influencer-driven communities, sports fan communities, and metaverse environments showed similar patterns, whereby stronger identification with a community was associated with higher levels of consumer participation. Individuals who perceived themselves as members of a distinctive social group were more likely to contribute content, interact with other members, share experiences, and participate in collective activities (Díaz et al., 2025; Jalilvand, 2026). Consumer participation was influenced not only by utilitarian motivations such as information seeking and problem solving but also by the desire for belonging, recognition, and identity expression. Brand communities functioned as symbolic environments through which consumers reinforced valued aspects of their identity. Social identity emerged as a central psychological mechanism influencing participation across diverse community settings.

Community identification played a significant role in shaping consumer engagement behaviours. Consumers who strongly identified with a brand community exhibited higher levels of behavioural, cognitive, and emotional engagement than those with weaker identification. Community identification encouraged participation in discussions, content creation, knowledge sharing, event attendance, and peer support activities (Nasution et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2024). Strong identification increased consumers' willingness to devote time, effort, and resources to community activities. Several studies reported that community identification was a stronger predictor of participation behaviour than brand identification alone, highlighting the importance of social relationships within community environments. Consumers frequently participated because they valued the collective social experience associated with the community rather than solely their attachment to the focal brand. These findings support the central proposition of Social Identity Theory that group membership influences individual behaviour through psychological attachment to the group.

Social identity was associated with multiple participation outcomes, including customer value co-creation, brand advocacy, electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM), community commitment, and brand loyalty (Bahri-Ammari et al., 2025; Wang & Yang, 2025). Consumers who strongly identified with a brand community demonstrated a greater willingness to recommend the brand to others, defend the brand during periods of criticism, and contribute ideas supporting organizational innovation. Value co-creation emerged as a recurring outcome because highly

identified consumers viewed participation as a means of contributing to community and brand success. Advocacy behaviours frequently developed when consumers perceived brand achievements as reflecting positively on their own identity and the identity of the community. Participation generated outcomes that extended beyond individual consumption experiences and contributed to broader organizational and community objectives.

The relationship between social identity and participation behaviour frequently involved additional psychological and relational mechanisms. Emotional attachment, affective commitment, trust, community satisfaction, psychological ownership, and social capital appeared as recurring mediating variables (Wu et al., 2025; Wang & Yang, 2025). Consumers who strongly identified with a community often developed emotional bonds with fellow members and experienced a stronger sense of investment in community activities. Trust and reciprocity strengthened these relationships by creating supportive interaction environments. Psychological ownership was particularly evident within virtual and social media communities, where members perceived the community as reflecting their personal contributions and identity. Social identity influenced participation through a network of emotional, relational, and psychological processes rather than through direct pathways alone.

Social capital was frequently identified as an important factor supporting participation within brand communities. Cognitive, relational, and structural dimensions of social capital strengthened the influence of social identity on participation behaviours (Kusuma et al., 2024; Wong, 2025). Communities characterized by trust, reciprocity, shared understanding, and strong interpersonal networks generated higher levels of consumer engagement. Social identity and social capital displayed a mutually reinforcing relationship. Strong identification facilitated relationship development among members, while positive social relationships strengthened perceptions of belonging and attachment. Participation behaviour reflected not only individual psychological motivations but also the quality of social structures within the community.

Variations in the influence of social identity were observed across industries, cultures, community types, and technological environments. Sports, gaming, tourism, lifestyle, and fandom communities generally reported stronger identity-related effects than purely transactional or product-oriented communities (Akgül et al., 2026; Rui et al., 2026). Cultural factors influenced the extent to which group membership and collective identity shaped participation behaviours. Differences were also evident between firm-managed communities and consumer-generated communities. Consumer-generated communities often demonstrated stronger interpersonal relationships and more authentic interactions, whereas firm-managed communities emphasized organizational communication and customer engagement objectives (Raichur et al., 2025). Identity-based participation was influenced by contextual and environmental conditions rather than operating uniformly across all settings.

Emerging digital environments created new opportunities for identity-based participation while preserving the relevance of Social Identity Theory. Metaverse communities, NFT communities, virtual influencer ecosystems, and AI-mediated platforms demonstrated that social identity continued to influence engagement behaviours despite technological advancements (Yoon et al., 2025; Nevi et al., 2026). Participation often involved identity expression through avatars, virtual possessions, digital status indicators, and symbolic

interactions. Consumers used these environments to construct, communicate, and experiment with aspects of their identity. Technological features facilitated participation by supporting self-expression, social recognition, and community interaction. Identity-related motivations remained more influential than technological attributes alone in explaining participation behaviour.

Evidence concerning negative consequences of strong community identification also appeared in the reviewed literature. Intense identification was linked to exclusionary behaviour, community conflict, oppositional brand behaviours, anti-brand activism, consumer boycotts, and psychological strain (Dini Azizi et al., 2024; Osei-Frimpong et al., 2026). Highly identified members were more likely to perceive criticism of the community or brand as a personal threat. Strong attachment occasionally contributed to hostility toward competing groups and resistance to alternative viewpoints. Continuous participation was associated with fatigue and diminished psychological well-being in certain cases. Social identity processes generated both positive and negative outcomes depending on contextual conditions and the strength of identification.

Methodological and conceptual patterns were evident throughout the literature. Cross-sectional quantitative survey designs dominated existing research, while longitudinal, qualitative, experimental, and mixed-method approaches appeared relatively limited. Conceptual inconsistencies remained regarding the distinction between social identity, community identification, brand identification, customer identification, and self-identity. Several studies employed these constructs interchangeably despite their theoretical differences. Differences in measurement and conceptualization contributed to variations in empirical findings and limited cumulative theory development. Greater conceptual clarity and methodological diversity remain necessary to advance understanding of identity-driven participation within brand communities.

The reviewed evidence indicates that Social Identity Theory provides a robust explanation for consumer participation in brand communities. Participation increases when community membership becomes integrated into consumers' self-concept and is reinforced through belongingness, trust, emotional attachment, social capital, and collective experiences. The influence of social identity varies according to cultural, technological, relational, and contextual conditions. Consumer participation in contemporary brand communities can be understood as a socially embedded process in which identity serves as a central driver of engagement and behavioural outcomes.

Implication of the study

This review contributes to the advancement of Social Identity Theory by providing an integrated understanding of how identity-based processes influence consumer participation within contemporary brand communities. The findings demonstrate that community identification functions as a central mechanism linking consumers' self-concepts with participation behaviours. Community membership becomes more meaningful when consumers perceive that their values, interests, and experiences are reflected within the group. The review also indicates that social identity operates alongside complementary mechanisms such as emotional attachment, trust, psychological ownership, social capital, and community commitment. These findings support a broader theoretical perspective in which

consumer participation is understood as a socially embedded process rather than a purely individual or transaction-based behaviour. Greater conceptual distinction between social identity, community identification, and brand identification may further strengthen future theoretical development and improve the consistency of research findings across studies.

The findings offer important implications for identity-based community management. Organizations seeking to increase consumer participation should focus on developing communities that foster a strong sense of belonging among members. Consumer engagement is more likely to occur when individuals perceive themselves as part of a meaningful collective rather than merely as customers of a brand. Community management strategies should therefore encourage shared values, collective experiences, member recognition, and opportunities for social interaction. Activities such as member-led discussions, community events, peer-to-peer support programmes, and user-generated content initiatives may strengthen identification and reinforce feelings of membership. Building a strong community identity can promote deeper emotional connections and encourage long-term participation beyond transactional relationships.

The review also highlights the importance of consumer engagement strategies that facilitate identity expression and social interaction. Digital platforms provide consumers with opportunities to communicate their values, interests, and affiliations through participation in brand communities. Organizations may enhance engagement by creating environments where consumers can contribute content, share experiences, exchange knowledge, and collaborate with other members. Participation opportunities that enable self-expression and social recognition are likely to generate stronger engagement than approaches focused exclusively on promotional communication. Community features that encourage interaction among members may strengthen social relationships, increase community attachment, and reinforce collective identity. Engagement strategies should therefore prioritize relationship-building and community experiences alongside traditional marketing objectives.

Several implications emerge for the management of emerging digital communities. Metaverse platforms, virtual brand communities, NFT ecosystems, and AI-mediated environments are creating new opportunities for identity expression and community participation. Consumers increasingly use digital environments to construct and communicate personal and social identities through avatars, virtual assets, and interactive experiences. Organizations operating within these environments should design community experiences that support self-expression, social presence, and meaningful interaction. Technological innovation alone may not generate sustained participation unless it is accompanied by strong social and identity-related experiences. Community success in emerging digital environments depends not only on technological capabilities but also on the ability to strengthen consumers' psychological attachment to the community.

The findings indicate that community managers should pay attention to the relational factors that strengthen identity-based participation. Trust, reciprocity, social support, and psychological ownership consistently emerged as factors that reinforce consumer engagement. Communities characterized by supportive relationships and positive interactions are more likely to sustain participation over time. Encouraging collaboration, mutual assistance, and knowledge sharing may strengthen social capital and increase

members' willingness to remain active contributors. Managing communities as social ecosystems rather than marketing channels may therefore produce more sustainable engagement outcomes.

The evidence concerning negative consequences of strong identification also carries important managerial implications. While community identification generally produces positive outcomes, excessive identification may contribute to community conflict, exclusionary behaviour, oppositional actions, and psychological strain. Organizations should therefore balance efforts to strengthen community identity with practices that promote inclusiveness, openness, and respectful interaction. Community governance mechanisms, moderation policies, and conflict management procedures may help reduce the risks associated with intense group attachment. Attention to member well-being is particularly important within highly active digital communities where continuous participation can create emotional fatigue and psychological pressure.

Several implications arise for future research. Existing studies remain heavily concentrated on cross-sectional survey designs, limiting understanding of how identification and participation evolve over time. Longitudinal, qualitative, experimental, and mixed-method approaches could provide deeper insights into the development of community identity and engagement processes. Comparative investigations across cultures, industries, and digital platforms may improve understanding of contextual factors influencing identity-based participation. Greater attention is also needed to emerging community environments shaped by artificial intelligence, virtual influencers, metaverse technologies, and evolving forms of digital interaction. Future research should continue examining both the positive and negative consequences of community identification to develop a more balanced understanding of Social Identity Theory within brand community contexts.

The findings collectively indicate that successful brand community strategies depend on the ability to cultivate meaningful social identities, foster community belonging, and create environments that encourage active participation. Identity-driven engagement represents a valuable mechanism through which organizations can strengthen consumer relationships, support value co-creation, encourage advocacy, and sustain long-term community commitment in increasingly digital and socially connected marketplaces.

Conclusion

This systematic literature review examined the role of Social Identity Theory (SIT) in explaining consumer participation within brand communities across diverse digital and physical environments. The evidence consistently demonstrates that social identity serves as a key psychological mechanism influencing consumer participation. Consumers are more likely to engage actively in brand communities when membership becomes an important component of their self-concept and provides a sense of belonging, social recognition, and collective affiliation. Community identification emerged as a particularly influential factor, encouraging participation behaviours such as content creation, knowledge sharing, community interaction, value co-creation, advocacy, and electronic word-of-mouth. The findings support the fundamental proposition of Social Identity Theory that individuals' behaviours are strongly influenced by their psychological attachment to social groups and their desire to maintain meaningful group memberships.

The review also revealed that the relationship between social identity and participation is not solely direct but frequently operates through a range of psychological and relational mechanisms. Emotional attachment, trust, psychological ownership, social capital, community satisfaction, and community commitment consistently appeared as important factors strengthening participation behaviours. Consumers who develop stronger emotional and social connections with community members are more likely to sustain long-term engagement and contribute positively to community development. These findings suggest that consumer participation is best understood as a socially embedded process shaped by both individual identity motivations and the broader relational environment of the community.

Variations across studies indicate that the influence of social identity is highly context dependent. Differences were observed across industries, cultures, community structures, and digital platforms. Sports, gaming, tourism, lifestyle, and fandom communities often demonstrated stronger identity-related effects than more transactional community settings. Distinctions were also evident between firm-managed and consumer-generated communities, reflecting the importance of community governance, interaction quality, and social relationships. Emerging environments such as metaverse communities, NFT ecosystems, virtual influencer networks, and AI-mediated platforms continue to demonstrate the relevance of identity-based explanations despite technological advancements. The findings indicate that technological innovation does not replace social identity processes but rather provides new channels through which identity can be expressed, negotiated, and reinforced.

The review identified several challenges within the existing literature. Conceptual inconsistencies remain regarding the distinction between social identity, community identification, brand identification, self-identity, and customer identification. These overlaps have contributed to fragmented findings and limited cumulative theory development. Methodological limitations were also evident, with the majority of studies relying on cross-sectional survey designs and self-reported measures. Longitudinal, experimental, qualitative, and mixed-method studies remain comparatively limited, restricting understanding of how identity formation and participation behaviours evolve over time. Greater conceptual clarity and methodological diversity are necessary to strengthen future research in this field.

Evidence concerning the negative consequences of strong community identification also warrants consideration. Although most studies emphasized positive outcomes such as engagement, loyalty, advocacy, and value co-creation, some research reported associations with community conflict, exclusionary behaviour, oppositional actions, anti-brand activism, consumer boycotts, and psychological strain. These findings demonstrate that identity-based participation can generate both beneficial and problematic outcomes depending on the strength of identification and the social dynamics of the community. A balanced understanding of Social Identity Theory therefore requires consideration of both its positive and negative behavioural consequences.

The reviewed literature confirms that Social Identity Theory provides a robust and relevant framework for understanding consumer participation in contemporary brand communities. Community membership influences participation because it satisfies consumers' needs for

belonging, identity expression, social connection, and collective engagement. The findings highlight the strategic importance of fostering meaningful community identities and supportive social environments to encourage sustained consumer participation. Future research should continue exploring identity-driven participation across emerging digital ecosystems, diverse cultural contexts, and evolving forms of consumer interaction to further advance theoretical understanding and practical application within brand community research.

Acknowledgement

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to UNITAR International University for providing us with the resources and facilities needed to conduct this research. Their support and encouragement were invaluable in enabling us to complete this paper publication. We would like to express our appreciation to our colleagues who provided us with valuable insights and guidance throughout the research process.

References

Abbas, M., Nawaz, Z., & Fatima, A. S. (2024). Corporate social responsibility and brand community engagement: dual mediating role of brand and community identifications in the Chinese decor industry. Fudan Journal of the Humanities and Social Sciences, 17(1), 51-72.

Abuljadail, M. (2025). Sense of Virtual Brand Community: A Conceptual Framework for Digital Belonging, Engagement, and Brand Loyalty. Umm Al-Qura University Journal of Social Sciences, 17(4), 101.

Akahome, J. E. (2026). ESG leadership and service branding: Building customer engagement through sustainability narratives in Nigeria. Services Marketing Quarterly, 1-19.

Akgül, H., Özgider, C., & Adiloğulları, İ. (2026). The effect of personality traits on consumer behaviour among football fans: The mediating role of fan loyalty. Plos one, 21(2), e0343795.

Al-Abdallah, G., Khair, N., & Jatto, P. (2026). The impact of followers' social identity on fashion purchase intention: The mediating role of source credibility. Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research, 21(3), 82.

Ali, T., & Amin, M. (2026). From Social Media Platform Identification to Brand Evangelism: Unveiling the Mediating Role of Brand Affinity among Fashion Clothing Brands' Followers. The Regional Tribune, 5(5), 176-190.

Amani, D. (2025). Destination identification and destination community identification as drivers of destination brand value co-creation in emerging tourism destinations: a social identity theory perspective. International Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Administration, 26(3), 483-519.

Bahri-Ammari, N., Mishra, A., Yousaf, A., & Bilgihan, A. (2025). Enhancing loyalty and e-WOM in virtual brand communities: a multi-country study. Internet Research, 1-21.

Barrios, A. M. S. (2026). Participatory governance in public hospitals: A systematic. Perinatal Journal, 34(2), 19-24.

Brahmstaedt, K. (2025). Community and consumer dynamics in NFTs: understanding digital asset value through social engagement. Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 24(4), 1630-1655.

Carlson, B. D., Donavan, D. T., Wolter, J. S., Maxham III, J. G., & Xu, P. (2026). The collective identity effect: how group-level identity influences individual behavior. Journal of Marketing, 90(1), 106-131.

Čater, T., Čater, B., & Ivašković, I. (2026). The social identity buffer: How cognitive, affective, and evaluative ties sustain fan commitment and loyalty amidst club controversies. Sport Marketing Quarterly, 10616934261433626.

Chen, X., Lijun, P., Cifuentes-Faura, J., & Khurshid, A. (2026). Why CSR and attribution matter for loyalty: A mediated model of customer identification. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 33(1), 1095-1108.

Chen, Y. F., Yen, W. H., & Jou, T. I. (2025). Effects of Facebook fan pages on consumer brand loyalty and purchase intention. SAGE Open, 15(3), 21582440251363700.

Činjarević, M., Alić, A., & Hašimović, N. (2025). Can You Feel the Luxe? Exploring Consumer-Brand Relationships with Luxury and Neo-Luxury Brands. South East European Journal of Economics and Business, 20(1), 149-162.

Dashnyam, A. (2026). Bolt Pull-Out Failure Analysis and Structural Optimisation for Heavy-Duty Rod-End Bearings via an Extended Timoshenko–Contact Mechanics Coupled Model. Journal of Applied and Physical Sciences.

Davies, M., Hungenberg, E., Aicher, T. J., & Newland, B. L. (2024). Work [out] from home: examining brand community among connected fitness brand users. International Journal of Sport Management and Marketing, 24(2), 113-136.

Díaz, N. F., Moreno, S. E. C., & Ávila, J. A. D. (2025). Social identity and brand community identification; Influence on eWOM intention in a sports brand. Contaduría y administración, 70(4), 1-24.

Diep, D. M., & Mai, L. T. T. (2026). How does sense of belonging bridge the linkage between customer engagement and word of mouth in online nonprofit communities?. Journal of Nonprofit & Public Sector Marketing, 38(1), 73-96.

Dini Azizi, P., Japutra, A., Arango, L., & Kim, J. (2024). The dark side of brand community: the role of brand identification, community identification, brand passion and shopping motivation. Journal of Product & Brand Management, 33(7), 815-827.

Diwanji, V. S., Conlin, J., Burkett, K. M., & Coelho, M. B. (2026). Design and realism in virtual influencers as brand endorsers: how anthropomorphism and social identity cues shape consumer responses. Journal of Product & Brand Management, 35(4), 630-647.

Donavan, D. T., Carlson, B. D., & Janda, S. (2026). Brand love and oppositional hate: the roles of identity, authenticity, and uniqueness. Journal of Consumer Marketing, 43(2), 209-227.

Edelblum, A. B., & Poe, J. (2026). Liking Without Endorsing: Consumer Dilemmas in Responses to AI-Generated Music. Psychology & Marketing.

Eldegwy, A., & Marzouk, O. A. (2025). When good brand communities go bad: an empirical investigation of oppositional behavior. Journal of Marketing for Higher Education, 1-21.

Feng, W., & Song, X. (2026). Consumers' Preference for Human-Generated Versus AI-Generated Artwork: A Social Identification Account. Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 25(1), 118-137.

Gani, M. O., Roy, H., Rafi, K. N., & Nik Hashim, N. M. H. (2026). Assessing hatred and anti-brand activism within online brand communities: the roles of ideological imbalance and online brand avoidance. Journal of Product & Brand Management, 35(4), 499-513.

Gomes, C., Munaier, S., & Mazzon, J. A. (2025). The missing link in metaverse research: value co-creation and triadic relationships in brand communities. ReMark-Revista Brasileira de Marketing, 24(3), e25696-e25696.

Heere, B., Lock, D., & Cooper, D. (2024). Brand community formation in service management: lessons from the sport industry. Journal of Service Management, 35(1), 71-88.

Hilali, I. (2025). Influence of Artificial Intelligence Tools on Customer Satisfaction, Engagement, and Brand Loyalty Within Online Brand Communities in Tunisia. African Journal of Management and Business Research, 21(1), 39-59.

Hollenbeck, C. R., & Patrick, V. M. (2026). Scaling up brand inclusivity through brand community collaboration. Journal of Product & Brand Management, 35(2), 172-185.

Hsu, W. L., & Wu, C. C. (2025). Peacocks or Eagles: Analyzing Factors Influencing Gamers' Purchase Intentions in Online Free-to-Play Games Through Social Identity Theory. International Journal of Business, 30(1), 1-24.

Hu, H. H., Xu, Y., Hong, J., Chen, S., Hou, X., Su, J., & Tao, J. (2026). The effort heuristic in the generative age: Why consumers aesthetically appreciate AI art but refuse to pay. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 92, 104843.

Jalilvand, M. R. (2026). Effects of users' social identity on intention to purchase a brand: The mediating roles of social media use, eWOM and brand loyalty. Journal of Cyberspace Studies, 10(1), 211-238.

Jang, Y. J., & Kim, E. (2024). How self-identity and social identity grow environmentally sustainable restaurants' brand communities via social rewards. Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research, 48(3), 516-532.

Khalil, M. N. (2025). Hierarchical Clustering and Random Forest Classification for Toxicity Assessment of Olive Oil Mill Wastewater: A Comparative Simulation Study. Journal of Applied and Physical Sciences.

Khandeparkar, K., Sharma, P., Patel, S. S., & Shrivastava, R. (2025). Examining drivers and outcome of influencer-based brand community engagement. Journal of Brand Management, 32(4), 328-342.

Kim, E., & Baek, E. (2026). When access creates threat: Core customers' responses to in-house rental services. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 91, 104751.

Kustiawan, U. (2025). Understanding the Effects of Social Media Marketing Activities: Mediation of Social Identification, Perceived Value and Satisfaction. Jurnal Syntax Admiration, 6(1), 451.

Kusuma, A. A., Afiff, A. Z., Gayatri, G., & Hati, S. R. H. (2024). Elevating low-effort engagement to cultivate stronger community commitment: A study of social capital within Instagram's brand community. Intangible Capital, 20(1), 236-257.

Le, C., Wang, Z., Wang, J., & Lu, T. (2026). Spontaneity vs. suppression: Differential impacts of platform types on user value co-creation behavior in online brand communities. Acta Psychologica, 263, 106202.

Li, J., Jing, S., Shang, Y., Li, A., & Cheng, M. (2026). Travel influencers and their social identity: a phenomenological approach. Current Issues in Tourism, 29(2), 309-324.

Li, S., Zhang, Y., Tang, Y., Zhao, W., & Yu, Z. (2025). Impact mechanisms of consumer impulse buying in accumulative social live shopping: Considering para-social relationship moderating role. Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research, 20(2), 66.

Li, W., Chen, J., Gu, G., & Wang, P. (2026). Research on the relationship between social presence and consumers' continuous participation intention in virtual brand community. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 1-19.

Lin, J., Long, C., & Liu, B. (2024). The impact of shared value proposition on consumer engagement through a sense of brand community. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 48(2), e13026.

Lin, Y. H., Chang, H. H., & Chiu, C. P. (2026). How online social community participation affect product stickiness: a study on green energy technology product. Information Technology & People, 39(1), 240-277.

Liu, C., & Zhang, Z. (2026). The power of traditional culture: elevating brands through traditional cultural concepts in advertising. Journal of Brand Management, 1-17.

Liu, Y., Lu, X., Xiong, Z., Wang, B., Yao, Z., & Luo, L. (2024). Exploring community satisfaction, identification and reciprocity norm for customer value co-creation: insights from virtual brand communities. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 36(11), 3155-3177.

Luo, G., Hao, J., & Ma, H. (2025). The impact of brand connectedness on consumer engagement behavior in the social media brand community. Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing, 19(1), 19-34.

Méndez-Lazarte, C., Galdo-Jiménez, A., Ghouleh-Grundl, A., Yance-Morales, X., & Bohorquez-Lopez, V. (2025). Virtual brand communities unveiled: A comprehensive bibliometric exploration. Digital Business, 5(2), 100122.

Moisescu, O. I., Gică, O. A., Herle, F. A., Dan, I., & Sarstedt, M. (2025). Does One Size Fit All? The Role of Extraversion in Generating Electronic Word-of-Mouth Through Social Media Brand Page Engagement. Psychology & Marketing, 42(7), 1827-1847.

Munandar, D., Narimawati, U., Nusa, I. B. S., & Winanti, M. B. (2026). Building Digital Bonds: The Impact of AI-Driven Personalization on Customer Experience and AI Relationship Quality. Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences, 20(2), 505-534.

Nasution, A. A., Syaifuddin, S., & Matondang, S. (2024). The relationship of community identification to brand community engagement and its influence on co-creation of community functional support and community commitment. Jurnal Manajemen Dayasaing, 26(2), 181-192.

Nevi, G., Nicolas, O., Dezi, L., & Gregori, G. L. (2026). To be or to want to be: how followers engage with NFTs, their communities and how it influences their identity journey. European Journal of Marketing, 60(13), 122-159.

Nikhashemi, S. R., Kennedy, R., & Mavondo, F. (2025). Leveraging virtual brand community engagement and consumer brand identification as a bounce-back brand recovery strategy: Role of brand endorsers. Journal of Marketing Communications, 31(1), 21-61.

Osei-Frimpong, K., Islam, N., Ahorkonu, C. K., Soga, L. R., & McLean, G. (2026). Exploring the dark side of continuous social media brand community participation on consumer psychological ill-being: initial vs sustained participation. Information Technology & People, 39(3), 1387-1414.

PARO, L. (2025). Cognitive and Affective Consequences of Mandatory AI Disclosure in Luxury Advertising: How Perceived Effort and Moral Disgust Produce Asymmetric Effects on Brand Authenticity and Willingness to Pay.

Purnama, I. G. H., Rai, I. N., Dwipayanti, N. M. U., Wijana, I. M. S., Wijaya, I. M. W., & Shika, I. W. I. A. Techno-economic and implementation review of wastewater treatment technologies for small-scale pig farms in Bali, Indonesia. Environmental Journal of Rainwater Catchment Systems, 1226(12126), 119-132.

Raichur, V. G., Sharma, D., & Kalro, A. D. (2025). Customer engagement in firm-initiated and consumer-initiated online brand communities: an exploratory study: VG Raichur et al. Information Systems and e-Business Management, 23(1), 169-207.

Ridwan, M., Fiodian, V. Y., Firdausi, A. S. M., Arif, N. F., & Yuliawati, Y. (2026). Emotional drivers of Muslim consumer boycotts: the role of positive and negative emotions towards politically controversial brands. Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 1-17.

Rui, T., Bano, A., & Jam, F. A. (2026). Beyond Fandom: Investigating the Role of Identity-Based Motivation and Customer Engagement in Shaping Sports Consumer Evangelism. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 50(1), e70164.

Salazar Barrios, A. M. (2026). Participatory governance in public hospitals: A systematic review (2021–2025). Perinatal Journal, 34(2), 19.

Salleh, M. S., Safri, S. M., & Salleh, M. A. (2026). A Conceptual Study the Role of Income Level on Green Fomo and Purchase Decisions among Working Adults in Malaysia. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 16(7), 211–234.

Salleh, M. S., Safri, S. M., & Salleh, M. A. (2026). Connecting Brand Co-Creation and Ewom to Consumer Outcomes: The Moderating Role of Csr in Shaping Brand Trust and Purchase Intention. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 16(7), 537-554.

Salleh, M. S., Safri, S. M., & Salleh, M. A. (2026). Does Trust Moderate Sustainable Label Effectiveness? Revisiting Consumer Behaviour toward ECO-Labels. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 16(7), 362–377.

Salleh, M. S., Safri, S. M., & Salleh, M. A. (2026). Understanding the Decline in Property Purchase Intentions among Malaysian Youth in the Context of Rising Housing Cost. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 16(7), 235–252.

Šamárek, R., Klimková, K., Koňařík, V., & Martinek, R. (2026). Creativity in the age of artificial intelligence: an exploratory study segmenting perceptions of human and machine-made art. Scientific Reports.

Sanz-Blas, S., Buzova, D., & Otero Gómez, M. C. (2026). “Brand love goes through the interactive brand community”: the role of member-to-member emotional bonds. Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing, 20(3), 309-323.

Schaap, Y., & de Rooij, S. R. A. (2025). Exploring the Effects of Uses and Gratifications Theory and Social Identity Theory on Influencer Engagement: Human vs. Virtual Influencers. Tilburg: Tilburg University.

Sekarasih, E. L. (2025). Social identity and consumer boycott participation in Indonesia: An extension of theory of planned behavior. Jurnal Psikologi, 14(4), 547-557.

Soliman, M., Behboodi, Z., Al-Ghafri, N., & Shishan, F. (2026). AI-mediated Storytelling, Authenticity, Engagement and Destination Evangelism: Challenges and Opportunities for Destination Marketing. Journal of Creative Communications, 09732586261463341.

Song, J., Qu, H., & Li, X. (2024). It takes a village!: Customer value co-creation behavior in restaurant social media-based brand community. Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research, 48(2), 327-352.

Srinivas, N., Rupaveni, A., Samba, V., Khaliq, R., & Masood, T. (2026). SUBSCRIPTION VERSUS POINTS-BASED LOYALTY PROGRAMS: A TWO-STUDY COMPARISON OF CONSUMER

ENGAGEMENT. International Journal of Computer Information Systems and Industrial Management Applications, 18(11s), 827-839.

Srivardhana, T., & Boonchoo, P. (2025). Building Consumer Brand Knowledge Through Social Media Brand Communities via Brand Knowledge Co-Creation. International Journal of Customer Relationship Marketing and Management (IJCRMM), 16(1), 1-24.

Sung, K. S., & Lee, S. (2026). Emotions as social information in CSR communication: pathways to online brand advocacy. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 38(6), 1823-1842.

Teng, H. Y., Tsai, C. H., & Lin, Y. X. (2026). Can service authenticity in nostalgic hotels promote brand resonance? The roles of self-image congruity and memorable customer experience. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 133, 104486.

Tsai, K. H., & Chen, W. S. (2026). Linking perceived brand ethicality to brand evangelism. Journal of Product & Brand Management, 1-13.

Ulfah, M., Sabrina, N., & Putri, A. L. (2025). Psychological drivers of consumer boycott: Understanding emotional and social identity influences in Batam. Journal of Applied Business Administration, 9(1), 36-51.

Upadhyaya, B., Saavedra Torres, J. L., Bhattarai, A., Malekshah, N. N., & Zhang, H. (2026). The effects of customer engagement, perceived brand equity, and cultural dimensions on repurchase intentions and positive word-of-mouth: a moderated mediation analysis. Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 34(3), 577-598.

Wang, H. J. (2026). The drivers of intimacy toward social media-based brand communities: perspective of attachment theory. Internet Research, 36(2), 873-892.

Wang, Y., Gu, G., Cai, X., & Li, W. (2026). The impact of social media information on brand evangelism: a moderating effect model based on three-way interaction. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 38(2), 240-257.

Wang, Z., & Yang, X. (2025). Building brand loyalty through value co-creation practices in brand communities: the role of affective commitment and psychological brand ownership. Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing, 19(2), 201-220.

Whelan, B. (2025). Toward networked social identity theory: Reconceptualizing social identity in the digital age. AMS Review, 15(3), 502-518.

Wong, A. (2025). The social fabric of brand communities: how cognitive and relational social capital shape commitment and advocacy. Electronic Commerce Research, 1-23.

Wu, J. W., Aw, E. C. X., & Phau, I. (2025). Empowerment and psychological ownership in brand communities: a pathway to brand advocacy. Journal of Internet Commerce, 24(3), 153-183.

Wu, M. H. (2025). The impact of brand identification, brand image, and brand love on brand loyalty: The mediating role of customer value co-creation in hotel customer experience. Frontiers in Communication, 10, 1626744.

Yasin, M., Zarco, C., & Liébana-Cabanillas, F. (2026). Fighting fire with faith: the influence of cyberbullying on engagement and brand advocacy in Islamic banking. Journal of Islamic Marketing, 1-23.

Yin, J., Chang, Y., Ma, Z., Zhao, Y., & Qi, J. (2026). Exploring the Impact of User Experience on Value Co-Creation Citizenship Behaviors in Virtual Brand Communities. Behavioral Sciences, 16(5), 768.

Yoon, N., Choi, D., & Lee, H. K. (2025). Exploring consumer participation in brand metaverse communities, focusing on the metaverse features—fantasy experiences and self-expansion. Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 24(1), 267-281.

Yu, L., Tang, W., & Gao, W. (2025). A study on the mechanism of live streamer's behavior characteristics affecting consumers' impulsive buying: The role of perceived value and social identity. Acta Psychologica, 255, 104950.