

# Christian Theologians Views on the Doctrine of Trinity in the Islamic Philosophical Criticism

**Mohammad Nashief S. Disomimba<sup>a</sup>, Kalsom Ali<sup>b</sup>, Abdussalam s. Disomimba<sup>c</sup>**

<sup>a</sup>Akidah and Religion Program, Faculty of Leadership and Management, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia.

<sup>b</sup>Da`wah and Islamic Management Program, Faculty of Leadership and Management, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia.

<sup>c</sup>King Faisal Center for Asian and Arabic Studies, Mindanao State University, Philippines

DOI: 10.6007/IJARBSS/v7-i13/3195 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v7-i13/3195>

## ABSTRACT

This article discusses the views of Christian theologians on the doctrine of trinity in the light of Islamic philosophical criticism. It focuses the oneness of God through Islamic philosophical criticism, understanding the proofs and evidences of Christian theologians based on their Holy Bible, Athanasius Creed and Nicene Creed. The tendency of giving priority of this study is to show the views of Christian theologians on the doctrine of trinity with Islamic philosophical criticism in order to justify and confirm the oneness of God. The objectives of the study is to identify and trace the historical background of the oneness of God as Creator, to confirm that Jesus Christ is not God and creator, to confirm that God is not three persons and the three persons is not same substance and nature of the Son and Holy Spirit, to analyze and summarize the views of the Christian theologians on the doctrine of Trinity with Islamic philosophical criticism. Discourse analysis is employed in this article in order to identify that God is only one. The finding of this article will give a clear understanding about the Oneness of God as uncreated while the Son and the Holy Spirit are created by the Father. This article is significance in providing the clear insight of the Oneness of God to both Christians and Muslims based on Islamic philosophical criticism.

**Keywords:** Trinity, Athanasius, Nicene, Theologians, Uncreated, Jesus.

## 1. Introduction

The Christian theologians and philosophers around the world justified and believed on the doctrine of trinity and conveyed it to every part of the universe specifically at Christian countries. A lot of study discussed about the trinity and spread out around the world in order to Christianize entire the universe. Unfortunately, the study about the views of Christian theologians on the doctrine of trinity in the light of Islamic philosophical criticism is very few (Johnson 1973; Victor Raj; 2003 & Fortman 1982). So the intention of this study is to show that the trinity is not the teaching and message of Jesus Christ and not biblical belief. This study will criticize and analyze the doctrine of trinity through Islamic philosophical criticism in order to

justify that the Father is not one with the Son, not same essence and substance, and to show the view of Islamic perspective that the Father is completely separated from His Son and the Son is completely separated from his Father and the Holy Spirit is not same with the Father and His Son.

### *1.1 The term of Trinity*

The English word trinity is derived from Latin trinitas, meaning "the number three, a triad". 'This abstract noun is formed from the adjective trinus (three each, threefold, triple), as the word unitas is the abstract noun formed from unus (one). The first one who used trinity is Tertulian, a Latin theologian who wrote in the early third century. The Christiandocrine of the Trinity defines God as three divine persons or hypostases: the Father, the Son (Jesus), and the Holy Spirit; one God in three persons'. The three persons are distinct, yet are one 'substance, essence or nature'. In this context, a 'nature' is what one is, while a 'person' is who one is' (Johnson 1973 & Fortman 1982). So the explanation of the Christian theologians and philosophers on the Holy trinity is very clear, because they defined God as three divine persons command in same time they said that the Father, the Son and Holy Spirit is one; the substance of the Father is same with the substance of the Son, and the Substance of the Holy Spirit is same with the substance of the Son and Father (Pfandl 1999 & Usman, 1987). But if we use our own Islamic philosophical criticism, we will discover that the trinity is completely separated each other; the Father is another substance, the Son is another substance and the Holy Spirit is another substance. Islamic philosophical criticism will tell us that the trinity is against the teaching of the Holy Bible, because throughout the history of the monotheistic divine religion; from the time of Noah, Abraham, Moses, Jesus Christ till Mohammad peace be upon them; they conveyed their message to their nations by worshipping the oneness of God and Jesus Christ is not a Trinitarian but he worshipped the monotheistic religion which is Islam.

## **2. Conceptual Background**

### *2.1 Doctrine of trinity*

The doctrine of trinity is confusing term and difficult to understand. The Christian nation around the world confirmed and confessed that the first fundamental of their religion is to belief about the doctrine of the 'Holy Trinity' and entirely Christian Churches all over the world believed in the holy trinity. After all it has been confirmed, and finalized; the teachings of their churches for many centuries starting from the time of Athanasius until our current time still practicing the and worshipping the Holy trinity. it is worthy to mention the teaching of the Roman Catholic Church in the world is based on following statement: '*The Trinity is the term employed to signify the central doctrine of the Christian religion...*' (Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society of New York 1989 & Yaacob, 2004; Usman, 1987; & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917) while the Greek Orthodox calls the Trinity: '*the fundamental doctrine of Christianity...*' (Johnson 1973; Usman, 1987; & Yaacob, 2004). It is very clear that the trinity 'the Father, the Son, and Holy Spirit' is the fundamental creed of the Christian religion and every Christian worship the Holy Trinity; the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit as one God. So if we use our own Islamic philosophical criticism in order to understand the Holy Trinity through

asking the following questions, is the Father says in the Holy Bible; worship me with my Son and Holy Spirit as one God? This question is unanswerable by the Holy Bible and the Christian theologians and philosophers. Is Jesus Christ says in the Bible worship me with my Father and Holy Spirit as one God? This question also cannot answer by the Holy Bible and the Christian theologians and philosophers. Is the Holy Spirit says to humanity; worship me with my Father and Son as one God? It is confirmed that the Father never said worship me, and my Son and Holy Spirit as one God. Throughout the history of Jesus Christ, he never asked his twelve disciples to worship him with his Father and Holy Spirit as one God (Johnson 1973). So the monotheistic divine religion never taught the doctrine of the Holy trinity. Due to this simple Islamic philosophical criticism, we can say that the doctrine of the Holy trinity is not a Biblical belief. Because we didn't find any verse from the Holy Bible which clearly discussing the trinity as one God and we didn't find in the Holy Bible any verses which dealing and commanded humanity to worship the doctrine of trinity; the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit as one God.

The Christian in general mentioned that According to Henry Bettenson says in 'The Documents of the Christian Church' (Ath De Synodis, 23- P.G. XXV1) such as the following statement; *'if anyone says that the son (Jesus Christ) is a creature as one of the creatures, or an offspring...let him be anathema'*. (Layla 1979; Usman, 1987; & Yaacob 2004). The Christian theologians and philosopher confirmed that these are the strong reasons of their belief on the doctrine of the trinity, then, on why we want to know the truth about the fundamental doctrine of the trinity. But Jesus himself said: *'Eternal life is this: to know you, the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom you have sent.* (John 17:3). Based on this verse, it is very clear that the eternal life is to know the oneness of God, not to know the doctrine of holy trinity and worship them as one God. In the last sentence of this verse, it clearly mentioned that the most important also is to know Jesus Christ is the messenger of Father not to worship him with His Father and it is impossible to the messenger of God will become a God and Creator.

### **3. Methodology**

The design of this article is interpretive and qualitative investigation of a single case, Christian Theologians Views on the Doctrine of Trinity in the Islamic Philosophical Criticism. A critical sampling is use because it is in need to criticize the trinity. The interpretive and criticizing the trinity falls within the theoretical tradition of discourse and language. In interpreting the trinity, a framework was conceptualized, integrating three interrelated discursive concepts: text, context and intertextuality (Disomimba 2012).

### **4. Result and discussions**

#### *4.1 Athanasius Creed*

Athanasius Creed is one of the important creeds of the Christian nation. So it is worthy to mention here the Athanasius Creed, because it is the most important creed in the history of Christian religion throughout the history in the world. So, the Athanasian Creed such as following: *'and the Catholic faith is this: that we worship one God in Trinity and Trinity in unity. Neither confounding the person nor dividing the substance. For there is one person of the*

*Father, another of the son, and another of the Holy Spirit. But the Godhead of the Father, of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit is all one, the glory equal, the majesty co-eternal.... The Father uncreated, the son uncreated, and the Holy Spirit uncreated.....the Father eternal, the Son eternal, and the Holy Spirit eternal. And yet there are eternal, three eternal but one eternal. And also there are not likewise the father is almighty. And yet there are not three Almighty, but one Almighty. So the father is God, the Son is God, and the Holy Spirit is God, and yet they are three Gods, but one God....so likewise the father is lord, the Son is Lord, the Holy Spirit is Lord, and yet they are not three Lords, but one Lord....and this Trinity none is before or after another, none is greater or less than another' (Yaacok, 2004 & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917).*

Based on this Creed, if we use our own Islamic philosophical criticism and understanding, it is very clear that this statement is confusing and complicated statement, because the Christian nation believe that they worshipped trinity in unity, it means they worship; the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit as one God 'in unity'. So when Jesus Christ in the womb of Virgin Mary and the Father is in the Heaven and the Holy Spirit is unknown where he is; they worship them (trinity) as one in one place, it is impossible to worship three persons in different places in same time, and it is impossible that the different places will become in one place, and it is impossible to believe and worship that the body of Jesus Christ in the womb of Virgin Mary or in the earth and the Father is in the heaven and Holy Spirit is no specific place. The place of Father is totally different from the place of His Son and the place of the Holy Spirit is totally different from the place of both Father and His Son. And it is impossible to combine these three persons in same time and place and worship them as one God (Weinrich, 2003; Hoover, 2009; Scaer, 2003, & Meyer, (2003).

The Christian theologians never focused on the historical substance of the Father and the substance of the Holy Spirit. Is substance of the Father same with the substance of Holy Spirit and His Son? It is totally different substance. It is worthy to mention that the historical beginning of the substance of the Holy Spirit is unknown while the Son of the Father is the word of God, and the word was with God, the word became God. So why the Christian theologians never focused and mentioned that the historical beginning of the substance of Father is the word of the His Son, and the word was with Son, the word became Father. The Holy Bible never taught this statement that the substance of the Father is same with the substance of the His Son and the Holy Spirit. And where is the historical beginning of the substance of the Holy Spirit? The Christian theologians tried and focused only the historical beginning of Jesus Christ and saying that Jesus Christ is same with the Father and Holy Spirit.

#### *4.2 The Christian theologians*

The Christian theologies said: *For there is one person of the Father, another of the son, and another of the Holy Spirit. But the Godhead of the Father, of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit is all one*(Yaacob, 2004; Scaer, 2003; Meyer, 2003 & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917). In Islamic philosophical criticism, this is complicated belief and

confusing statement, because the Father cannot elucidate as person and incomparable unto anyone, the Son is another person, and the Holy Spirit is another person. It is impossible to combine these three persons in same time and worship them as one God. The Christian theologians' view! The Godhead of the Father is totally different from the Godhead of the His Son and the Holy Spirit also. So it is very clear that if we refer this creed to the Holy Bible, it will tell us; this belief is not exist in the Holy Bible or is not Biblical belief. This was invented by the Christian theologians through their churches. Jesus Christ never taught this doctrine to his twelve disciples and the twelve disciples conveyed their message to worship the Oneness of Creator.

#### *4.3 The Glory of the Father is same with the Glory of His Son.*

The Christian theologians said that the glory of the Father is same with the Glory of His Son based on the following verse '*the glory equal, the majesty co-eternal*' (Weinrich, 2003; Yaacob, 2004; Scaer, 2003; Victor Raj, 2003; & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917). Based on this statement, in Islamic philosophical criticism; the glory of Father is totally different from the glory of His Son, and the majesty of the Son is totally different from the majesty of His Father; because the Father cannot accept to be called as Son and the Son cannot accept to be called as Father and also the Holy Spirit cannot accept called as Son or Father. When the Christian theologians said that the majesty of Father is co-eternal and same with the majesty of His Son as majesty co-eternal; this is totally different because the Son never mentioned and accepted that his majesty is co-eternal with the majesty of the Father and never said same with the majesty of his Father. And this is not Biblical teaching throughout the history of the word.

#### *4.4 The Father is Uncreated and the Son Uncreated*

Based on the Christian theologians' views on the doctrine of trinity, they said that '*The Father uncreated, the Son uncreated, and the Holy Spirit uncreated.....*' (Weinrich, 2003; Yaacob, 2004; Scaer, 2003, & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917). So if we think and try to understand this statement through Islamic philosophical criticism, this statement is obviously complicated without disputation; because the Christians theologians said that the Father is accurately uncreated and the Son is uncreated by His Father and the Holy Spirit is also uncreated. So if the Son is uncreated, how he dwelt with his twelve disciples and guided his nation to worship the Oneness of Creator. It is important to mention that the Son never existed and created without word of his Father. So the word of Father is the cause to existing of the Son. Another important thing to say; the Son was born by Virgin Mary, and everything was born by human being is accurately consider a creation of the Oneness of God. The Father was not created and born by Virgin Mary or any human being in this Universe. The Islamic philosophical criticism on this matter is the Father not created and He created everything including His Son and all exist in this universe. The Holy Spirit also never created and existed in this universe without Father. So both Son and the Holy Spirit were creation and they were created by their Father and no one created the Father throughout the history.

#### *4.5 The Father is Eternal and the Son is Eternal*

According to the Christian theologian, they said and confirmed that the Father eternal, the Son eternal, and the Holy Spirit eternal and they give justification based on the following statement: *'the Father eternal, the Son eternal, and the Holy Spirit eternal. And yet there are eternal, three eternal but one eternal'*(Yaacob, 2004, Scaer, 2003, & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917).Based on this statement, this is unbelievable and more complicated ever, the Christian theologians tried to justify that the Father is eternal, the Son is eternal and the Holy Spirit is eternal. They said these three persons totally same. But according to Islamic philosophical criticism is totally different this three persons, because the Father is accurately eternal no beginning and no ending while His Son and the Holy Spirit are creation of the Father and they are not eternal they have beginning and ending. So the main source of the Son and the Holy Spirit is the Father as a Creator, and Father created them. It is important to say that the Son cannot exist in this universe without the word of his Father, the Christian theologians justified based on the following verse from the Holy Bible; In *John 1:1 in the beginning was the **Word**, and the **Word** was with God ...and the word was God* (Yaacob, 2004 & Scaer, 2003). So it is very clear that the beginning of Jesus Christ is the word of His Father. So logically in Islamic philosophical criticism; there is a time that the word of the Father does not exist and not with God and it is very obvious that Jesus Christ does not exist before the Father's word. So in Islamic philosophical criticism; everything has a beginning accurately considered one of God's creations, so the Son and the Holy Spirit are creation of the Oneness of God.

It is confirm that Jesus Christ is the word of the Father and not eternal. The Holy Spirit also is not eternal because he is one of Father's Creations in this universe. And when Christian theologians said that the Father, the Son and Holy Spirit are equally eternal, they are not three eternal but one eternal; this is complicated statement from the Christian theologians but the one eternal is only the Father not including His Son and the Holy Spirit. Moreover, in Islamic philosophical criticism, we should refer this to the Holy Bible; because this statement does not exist in the Holy Bible and not the fundamental teaching of the messenger's peace be upon them. So the justification and proof of the Christian theologians as the following statement is fake and innovated by the Christian Trinitarians; *the Father eternal, the Son eternal and the Holy Spirit eternal. And yet there are eternal, three eternal but one eternal* (Owen, 1965, Pfandl, 1999, Yaacob, 2004, Scaer, 2003, & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917). This is not a Biblical teaching and Jesus Christ never taught this statement to his nation specifically his twelve disciples during his time in the universe.

#### *4.6 The Nicene Creed*

The Nicene Creed is one of the important creed of the Christian theologians, so it is worthy to mention that the Christian theologians supported their view on the doctrine of the Holy Trinity by mentioning the summarization of the Nicene Creed as follows: *'And in one Lord Jesus Christ, the only-begotten Son of God, Begotten of the Father before all ages, light of light, true God, begotten not made, of one substance with the Father, through whom all things were made; who for us men and for our salvation came down from the heavens, and was crucified for under*

*Pontius Pilate, and suffered and was buried, and rose again on the third day according to the scriptures, and ascended into heaven, and sits at the right hand of the Father, and comes again with glory to judge the living and the dead, of whose Kingdom there will be no end...*'(Hoover, 2009, Pfandl, 1999; Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917, Scaer, 2003; Scaer, 2003; & Owen, 1965). We will try to understand this statement word to word or sentence to sentence through Islamic philosophical criticism. This Nicene Creed tells us clearly that Jesus Christ is only one true God and begotten Son of God, begotten of the Father before all ages. But according to Islamic philosophical criticism; we found that Jesus Christ never conveyed his message to his nation one by one that he is a Lord, never conveyed that he is one of trinity, never say that he is one of the only begotten Son of God. Jesus Christ never conveyed his message to his twelve disciples that he is only-begotten Son of God and true God. When the Christian theologians said that Jesus Christ is only *begotten of the Father before all ages* (Owen, 1965, Pfandl, 1999, & Hoover, 2009), the Christian theologians never understand what they said, and they never used their knowledge and brain to understand the oneness of Father, because before he was begotten of the Father, there is a time that he is not begotten by His Father or he is not existed in this universe, but after he was begotten by Virgin Mary; he was existed in this universe but not with the Father in every time and everywhere. So, in our own Islamic philosophical criticism, every begotten has a beginning and everything has a beginning is considered one of the Father's creations.

Another more complicated statement of the Christian theologian belief which taken from the Nicene Creed such as the following statement: *Jesus Christ is the true God, begotten not made, of one substance with the Father, through whom all things were made* (Owen, (1965, Hoover, 2009 & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917). This is more complicated statement also, because it's tells us that the substance of Father is same with the substance of His Son is unacceptable, because we cannot elucidate the substance of the Father and the substance of the Son is totally different. No one can elucidate the substance of the Father. The substance of the Father is cannot compare with the substance of His Son; Jesus Christ. And no one saw the substance of Father while the substance of the Son is human substance which created by Father and the substance of the Son is the word of his Father. When the Christian theologians said that; *through whom all things were made*, this is unacceptable statement, and it is human's speech. If we use our own Islamic philosophical criticism through questions to the Christian theologians directly; how Jesus Christ created all things before he become a word? And how Jesus Christ created everything when he was a word? And how the word of the Father became a God? How Jesus Christ created everything when he was Infant and he was child lacking of power? As we know that the infant or child cannot create anything, he is powerless, lacking of knowledge, limited understanding, and majesty. Moreover, in Islamic philosophical criticism, is there any child can create something during his childhood? So, the views Christian theologians that everything was created by Jesus Christ is lies came from their mouth only and they destroyed the teachings of Jesus Christ and his twelve disciples. It is worthy to mention that if we refer this view to the Holy Bible, we

cannot find this statement, so this is not Biblical teaching but it is the Christian church's innovation and corruptions.

#### *4.7 Jesus Christ was crucified*

Another more complicated statement of the Christian theologians and philosophers which taken from the Nicene Creed is the following: *Jesus was crucified for under Pontius Pilate, and suffered and was buried, and rose again on the third day according to the scriptures, and ascended into heaven* (Minea, 1967, Pfandl, 1999, & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917). In our own Islamic philosophical criticism or view about this statement, if Jesus Christ is God and was crucified by his creation and suffered and buried by his creation, so there is no God of the Christian nation because their God (Jesus Christ) was crucified and killed by his creation. According to the Christians belief on the Holy trinity, they said that the Father is God, the Son is God and the Holy Spirit is God, and they are not three Gods but one God. So if they are one God not three Gods and the God was crucified and buried, so no God of the Christian nation in this universe, because the Father, the Son and Holy Spirit is one and He was crucified. So another Islamic philosophical criticism, is the Father (God) says in the Holy Bible that he was crucified by His creation, and is Jesus Christ says that he was crucified by his creation or is the Holy Spirit says in the Holy Bible that He was crucified by his creation? Why only Jesus Christ crucified and the Father and the Holy Spirit are not crucified? These questions is cannot answer by Christian theologians and philosophers. The Christian theologians and philosophers belief that Jesus Christ crucified on the cross, he asked help from his Father, and Jesus Christ said my Father why you leave me alone and the people going to crucifying me, in this believe, Jesus Christ is not a God because no God in this universe asking help from another God, and anyone who ask help from God; it is indicated that he is not God and it is a proof of his humanity and separation from the oneness of God.

The Christian theologians said, after Jesus Christ was crucified on the third day he rose and ascended into heaven, so where is the Father and the Holy Spirit? Is the Father ascended with Jesus Christ or the Father already in the heaven in same time, and also where is the Holy Spirit? Is the Holy Spirit ascended with Jesus Christ or already exist in the heaven with his Father? And when Jesus Christ ascended into heaven; the Christian theologians said: Jesus Christ sitting in the right hand of His Father. If Jesus Christ sitting in the right hand of the Father, so the Father is not same place with His Son and the Holy Spirit also. So is the Father sitting in the left hand of the Son? Or the Holy Spirit is sitting in the in front of both Father and Son or at their back? Thesis clearly indicated that the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit are not one and not same in substance and nature, they are completely separated each other.

It is worthy to mention here that the Christian in general believed that God in three persons and they are not three person, but one person, and they confirm and confess by mentioning the following statement: *'We confess that God is one, but one in nature, not in number'* (Minea, 1967, Pfandl, 1999, Yaacob, 2004 & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917). The Christian Trinitarian around the world confessed and

confirmed their view on the Holy Trinity are one God, one in nature not in number, the matter is very obvious. Further on, however, the Christian theologians and philosophersexplanation about their belief and views on the Oneness of God is extremely confusing and not clear. It is very difficult to understandit properly. Nevertheless, the Christian theologians and philosophers said that we shall present the interpretation and explanation of this doctrine, which clear and to be accepted by all Christians around the world. Referring to the Christian explanation and understanding about their belief on the Holy Trinity, the Christian scholars holds that God is One, but the Oneness of God is manifested in three different person as they say: *God the Father, God the Son, (Jesus) and God the Holy Spirit* ‘Holy Ghost’ (Hoover, 2009, Pfandl, 1999, Johnson 1973, Yaacob, 2004, Johnson (1973; Weinrich, 2003; & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917).

In our current time, the Christian theologiansjustified their belief on the doctrine of Trinity by citing Josh McDowell, the great Christian evangelist in recent time, he says in his book: ‘out of all reality or existence, only God is tripersonal or triune. By triune, from which the word Trinity comes, we mean that God is consistently revealed as subsisting eternally as three persons ‘Father, Son and the Holy Spirit’ (Pfandl,1999). These three persons make up the Godhead, yet there is only one God’. (Minea, 1967, Masche, 2003, Jonson 1973 & Yaacob,. 2004). But this explanation from Josh McDowell is more difficult and confusing to understand, cannot represent the clear explanation of their belief on trinity. The views of Christian scholar son Trinity is still not accepted by the human’s philosophy around the world even some Christian scholars confessed that trinity is not including Jesus Christ’s teaching and his twelve disciples. If we refer the Holy Trinity in the following books which written by Christian scholars: The New Encyclopedia Bitannica 2003, The Encyclopedia American 1956, The New Catholic Encyclopedia 1967, The Encyclopedia of Religion and Ethics etc. these encyclopedias written by the Christian scholars and will tell you that the trinity is not exist in the Holy Bible (Masche, 2003).

#### *4.8 Three Persons but same Essences and Substance*

The Concept of Christians Theologians and philosophers on Three Persons but same Essence and power.The Christian scholars confirmed and confessed that there is only one true God, but when they explain it will become more complicated and confusing. For instance, the Father is God, the Son is God and Holy Spirit is God and they are one essence not three essence. They said if we refer to the teaching of the Catechism of the Catholic Church pronounced as follows: ‘*We firmly believe and confess without reservation that there is only one true God....the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit; three persons indeed, but one essence, substance or nature entirely simple*’ (Minea, 1967, Masche, 2003, Catechism of the Catholic Church, 1995, Yaacob, 2004, Johnson, 1973; Scaer, 2003 & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917). According to this teaching from Catholic Church, it is confirm that there is only one God not three, but when the Christian theologians and Philosophers explain more they went astray and misunderstanding about their belief, because they said, we have only one God, the Father, the Son and Holy Spirit. Their explanation is confusing because these three persons

is not same essence and power; the essence of the Father is not same with the essence of the Son, and the essence of the Son is not same with essence of the Father and the essence of the Holy Spirit is not same with the essence of the Father and Son.

According to this statement, the Christian scholars' explanation and understand about the three person in indeed one essence, and one nature not in three nature is unacceptable. Another explanation of the Christian scholars about their belief on trinity from the teaching of Christian Protestant, and it was formalized and explained the doctrine of Trinity as follows: *'There is one divine essence which is eternal yet there are three persons of the same essence and power, who are co-eternal; the Father, the Son and Holy Ghost.* (Weinrich, 2003; Middendorf, 2003, Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917, Marten 2012 & Lump, David (2003). The Christian theologians and philosophers confirmed and explained repeatedly about their belief on the doctrine of Holy trinity such as three persons but one essence and co-eternal; the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit, but their explanation still confusing because even themselves cannot elucidate and justify properly about the doctrine of trinity as same essence, power and co-eternal. As for our own Islamic philosophical view, the Father cannot accept to be called as Son and the Holy Spirit cannot accept to be called as Son. The historical essence of the Father is incomparable to His Son Jesus Christ because the Father is not human being, He is motherless and fatherless while the historical essence of the Son was human being born by Virgin Mary; so we cannot say that the father was born by Virgin Mary and we cannot say that the Holy Spirit was born by Virgin Mary because throughout the history we didn't trace that the Holy Spirit was born by Virgin Mary and also the Father. We cannot say that the Holy Spirit is the only divine Son of the Father, or the Father is only begotten Son of Son; so how the Christian theologians and Philosophers said that three persons is same essence, same power, same nature and substance and they are not three Gods but one God? It is very clear that the Son has a nature of humanity while the Father doesn't have any nature of human being and also the Holy Spirit doesn't have any substance and essence with the Father throughout its history.

The concept of Christian on the relationship between God, the Father, the Son and Holy Spirit is extremely ambiguous and difficult to understand and confusing explanation he Christian theologians and philosophers with their followers believed about this explanation since the God must be living and knowing; He must thus own and enjoy the quality of life and knowledge alone not with the Son and Holy Spirit (Johnson 1973, Hick 1977, Middendorf, 2003, Yaacob 2004 & Owen 1965). According to the Christian theologians and philosophers believed that the Father's life being called the Holy Spirit and His knowledge, speech or word being the Son or he is Jesus Christ (Yaakob 2004). So if we refer this to the Holy Bible, we never and ever find these kinds of philosophy; because we knew that the Father never says this statement in the Holy Bible. So the life of Father is incomparable to the Son's life and the Holy Spirit's life also, because the Son has a process of growing, starting from the word to the womb until he was born by Virgin Mary and became a mature parson; he don't know everything, and his power, knowledge is limited and the power of the Oneness of God is limitless.

The Christian theologians and Philosophers elucidated that the substance or essence of God alone without any reference to the attributes of knowledge and life is called the Father. They confirmed and supported this ideas by mentioning, the Paul of Antioch, the Melkite Bishop of Said (d. 118C.E) in his book letter to a Muslimin states as follows: *'We hold the essence to be the Father, who is the origin of the other two. The Word is the Son...and the life is the Holy Spirit.* (Hick, John (1977; Scaer, 2003; Middendorf, 2003, & Yaacob 2004). Based on this statement, it is very clear that the Father is the origin of the Son and the Holy Spirit; it means they were created by the Father, and it is impossible to the creation to become a Creator, and it is impossible that their essence are same with the essence of the Father. The Christian theologians and philosophers' explanation about this statement is more difficult to understand because they said the word is the Son, and the life is the Holy Spirit, so how the Son of God became a God as a Creator of everything? According to our philosophy, the essence of the God not same with the essence of the Son and the essence of the Son not same with the essence of the Father, and the essence of the Holy Ghost not same with the essence of the Father; it mean the essence of the Father as Creator is incomparable with the essence of the creations such as Son and the Holy Spirit.

The Christian philosophers said the essence of the Father is in the Son and the Holy Spirit. Jesus Christ is God the Son and the attribute of life is God, the Holy Spirit both of them are at the same time not a lesser or a junior God but One to God the Father in every sense of the word reference. It is very confusing this explanation on the doctrine of trinity, because the historical essence of the God is not same with the historical essence of the Son. For instance, the historical essence of Son was mentioned in the Holy Bible that the creation of Jesus Christ in the beginning is the word. According to the Gospel John 1:1 in the beginning was the word, and the word was with God, and the Word was God. Based on this verse from the Holy Bible, it is very clear that the beginning of Son's creation is the word from God while the Father never mentioned in the Holy Bible his beginning and even the Son of Father never mentioned that the beginning of his Father is the Word, and the Word with God, and the Word was God. So the essence of the Father is totally different from the essence of His Son, and the essence of the Son is totally different with the essence of the Holy Spirit and the essence of the Holy Spirit is totally different from the essence of the Father. These three essences or three persons; the Father, the Son, and Holy Spirit are totally different. So the Son is totally lesser than Father, because the Son was the word and the word was with God and the word was God (Wenthe, 2003 & Scaer, 2003).

The Holy Bible never mentioned that the beginning of the Father is word, and the word was with God and the word became God;so the essence of the Father is not same with the essence of the Son. One of the Authors of the Holy Bible, St. John, according to him 1: 14 The Word became flesh and made his dwelling among us, we have..The Word became flesh and took up residence among us. We observed. So based on this verse from the Holy Bible, the historical essence of the Son repeatedly mentioned in the Holy Bible that word became flesh!

Why the Holy Bible did not mention that the beginning of the Father is the word and the word became flesh, and the flesh became infant and the infant became person, and the person dwelling among us and the person became a God and Creator of everything?

The Christian theologians and philosophers in general support this matter through the following verse; in chapter One verse 15 and 19 of Paul's epistle to the Colossians contains the following statements: *'Christ is the visible image of the invisible God'* (Middendorf, 2003, Yaacob 2004 & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917). *'For God in his fullness was pleased to live Christ'* (Yaacob 2004). The Christian theologian says to make this simple and clear to all human being in the world, it is worthy to mention the following statement: *'Philip said, show us the Father and we will be satisfied. Jesus replied, Philip, don't you even know who I am, even after all the time I have been with you? Anyone who has seen me has seen the Father ! so why are you asking to see him? Don't you believe that I am in the Father and the Father is in me?'* (Jhon 14:9-10, NLT & Wenthe, 2003). The Christian says based on this verse, it is the clear message to the Christian nation all over the world that Jesus Christ is the Father and Father is Jesus Christ and they are not two but One God and same essence and attribute because he is the image of invisible God. This verse is not valid to be a proof and evidence that Jesus Christ is same power, attributes with the Father; but we can say this verse is the proof that the mission and the purpose of Father is same with the mission and the purpose of His Son which is to disseminate the monotheistic religion in the world.

The Christians scholars says, for more explanation about the relationship between God the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit, it is important to cite the following statement from the teaching of the Catechism of the Catholic Church as follows: *'Because of that unity the Father is wholly in the son and wholly in the Holy Spirit; the Son wholly in the Father and wholly in the Holy Spirit; the Holy Spirit is wholly in the Father and wholly in the Son...'*(Catechism of the Church, 1995, Middendorf, 2003, Yaacob 2004 & Triglot Concordia The Symbolical Books of the Ev. Lutheran Church 1917). According to this statement, it is obviously that the Christians hold this statement strongly as one of the reasons and evidences of their containing and combining one another; that the Father is in the Son, the Son is in the Father and Holy Spirit is in the God and Son and not separated each other. But when the Son dwelt at the womb of Virgin Mary! Is the Father with his Son in the womb and also the Holy Spirit with him? Is the Father was born by Virgin Mary? Is the Holy Spirit was born by Virgin Mary? How the Catholic Church teaches this statement? The Father is in the heaven after he created the universe and in that time, Virgin Mary didn't give birth of Jesus Christ, and when the Father in the heaven and the Son in the womb of Virgin Mary; how the Father and his Son and Holy Spirit be united in same time? The teaching of the Catholic Church gives more confusing belief to the human being in this universe. Finally, if we refer this statement to the Holy Bible, we will trace that the Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit never said this statement.

## 5. Conclusion

The Holy trinity is the fundamental belief of Christian nation all over the world and the term trinity is derived from Latin trinitas, meaning the number three, and a triad. The Trinity is the term employed to signify the central doctrine of the Christian religion. The Christian scholars discussed about their fundamental doctrine that the Father is uncreated and the Son is uncreated and also the Holy Spirit is uncreated and they confirm also that the Son was crucified on the cross, but according to the Islamic philosophical view, the Father is uncreated no beginning and no ending while the Son and the Holy Spirit were created by the Father. The essence of the Father is not same with the essence of the Son and the Holy Spirit. The trinity is not a Biblical belief and Jesus Christ is not a Trinitarian and his twelve disciples. The life of the Father cannot share with the life of His Son and Holy Spirit. I found that it is impossible that the Father will be killed by his creation and the Father is no son and no partner; He is only one God and Creator of everything in this Universe.

## Acknowledgement

This article is a contribution for the Faculty of Leadership and Management (FKP), Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia as an initiative of publication.

## References

- Arand, P. C. (2003). *Confessing the Trinitarian Gospel*. Concordia Theological Quarterly, Vol. 67, Fort Wayne, Indiana.
- Deedat, A. (1995). *Islam and Christianity*. Vol. 1, Sabah Islamic Media, Malaysia.
- Disomimba, S., Nashief, M. (2012). *Islamic Thought of Ahmad Domocao Alonto (1914-2002): A Critical Analysis*. International Islamic University Malaysia.
- Fortman, J. E. (1982). *The Triune God: A Historical Study of the Doctrine of the Trinity*. U.S.A.
- Harnack, A. (2005). *The Mission and Expansion of Christianity in the First Centuries*. Grand Rapids, MI: Christian Classics, Ethereal Library, London.
- Hasting, J. (1951). *Encyclopedia of Religion and Ethics*. (vol. xii, pg. 458).
- Hick, J. (1977). *The Myth of God Incarnate*. Westminster Press, the University of Michigan.
- John F. J. (2003). *Speaking of the Triune God: Augustine, Aquinas and the Language of Analogy*. Concordia Theological Quarterly, Vol. 67, Fort Wayne, Indiana.
- Johnson, L. G. (1973). *Is God Trinity* Ambassador College. U.S.A.
- Hoover, J. (2009). *Islamic Monotheism and the Trinity*. The Conrad Grebel Review, p 57-82.
- Layla, M. A. (1979). *The Document of the Christian Church*. Oxford University Press.
- Lindsay, Thomas Martin (2000). *The Church and the Ministry in the Early Centuries*. Grand Rapids MI: Christian Classics Ethereal Library
- Lumpp, D. (2003). *Returning to Wittenberg: What Martin Luther Teaches Today's Theologians on the Holy Trinity*. Concordia Theological Quarterly, Volume 67, Fort Wayne, Indiana.
- Marten, L. E. (2012). *The Antichristian Doctrine of Trinity* Associates for Spiritual Knowledge, Portland.
- Meyer, P. D. (2003). *Teaching the Trinity*. Concordia Theological Quarterly, Vol. 67, Fort Wayne, Indiana.

- Middendorf, M. (2003). *The Bud has Flowered: Trinitarian Theology in the New Testament*. Concordia Theological Quarterly, Vol.67, Fort Wayne, Indiana.
- Minea, E. (1967). *The Encyclopedia of Religion*. Vol. 4. Macmillan Publishing & Co, New York.
- Masche, T. (2003). *The Holy Trinity and Our Lutheran Liturgy*. Concordia Theological Quarterly, Vol. 67, Fort Wayne, Indiana.
- Owen, J. (1965). *A Brief Declaration and Vindication of the Doctrine of the Trinity*. The Banner of truth trust, Edinberge.
- Pfandl, G. (1999). *The Trinity in Scripture*. Biblical Research Institute, Silver Spring, MD.
- Scaer, P. D. (2003). *The Doctrine of Trinity in Biblical Perspective*. Concordia Theological Quarterly, Vol. 67. Fort Wayne, Indiana.
- Usman, T. (1987). *What is Christianity?* The Muslim League, Makkah al-Mukarramah, Saudi Arabia
- Victor Raj, A.R. (2003). *The Challenge of Confessing and Teaching the Trinitarian Faith in the Context of Religious Pluralism*. Concordia Theological Quarterly, Vol. 67. Fort Wayne, Indiana.
- Weinrich, C. W. (2003). *Trinitarian Reality as Christian Truth: Reflections on Greek Patristic Discussion*. Concordia Theological Quarterly, Vol. 67, Fort Wayne, Indiana.
- Wenthe, O. D. (2003). *The Biblical Trinitarian Narrative: Reflections on Retrieval*. Concordia Theological Quarterly, Vol. 67, Fort Wayne, Indiana.
- Yaakob, M. A. (2004). *Christianity Through the Lens of Christian and Muslim Scholars*. Perniagaan Jahabersa, Johor Bahru, Darul Takzim, Malaysia.
- Correspondent Author: [kalsom@usim.edu.my](mailto:kalsom@usim.edu.my) (Kalsom Ali)