

Development of a Health Literacy Assessment for Young Adult High School Students: A Pilot Study

Jasmin Arif Shah, Siti Raba'ah Hamzah, Turiman Suandi, Ismi Arif Ismail

Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education Faculty of Educational Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia

DOI: 10.6007/IJARBSS/v7-i12/3674 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v7-i12/3674

ABSTRACT

Several conceptual models have been developed to measure health literacy. However, health literacy of the community, particularly the young is still under reported and under-explored. The purpose of this study was to develop and validate health literacy assessment tool for high school students. Participants were 150 students. The concept of health literacy evolved from defining, redefining, outlining and quantifying health literacy needs of a young population. Seven health education experts reviewed the initial item pool and helped select 85 questions for testing. The reviewer also provided confidential feedback via an evaluation questionnaire. Seven distinct health literacy domains meanings emerged viz health promotion, health care, disease prevention, health behaviour, health attitude, health wellbeing and health culture. The instrument has 85 questions that look promising for measuring health literacy in high school students, but needs additional and continuous testing with larger population to see how these questions continue to perform. The findings of present study might be a useful policy maker and health organizations to reflect and focus their promotion efforts on the more practical aspects of day-to-day in health promotion and are concerned with empowering young through enhancing their knowledge and improving their ability to make choices about their health wellbeing.

Keywords: Health Literacy, Adolescents, Psychometric

Introduction

Malaysians are facing a ticking time bomb scenario due to their unhealthy lifestyle and weight issues (The Sun Daily, 5 May 2015). Youth and Sports Minister Khairy Jamaluddin hoped that the health program, which was supported by both the Education Ministry and the state governments, would motivate and encourage youths to be more concern in healthy life and reduce the risk of diseases. Such health program is about education, information, awareness and exposure so that people, especially adolescent understand about health, nutrition, food content, and physical activities to enhance their health wellbeing. Youth and Sports Minister Khairy Jamaluddin said every year, Malaysian are faced with the question of how much we want to spend money to build a hospital and health facilities. Therefore, focus on raising health awareness about sustainable lifestyle among the young people is more worth it and precious,"



(The Star, 16 August 2015). According to Youth Malaysia Index reported by Ministry of Youth and Sport Malaysia, the level of health status among youth in Malaysia is moderate (65.8%). The statistic showed that more action need to be taken to improve young people health as well as develop a health literate generation.

Thus, developing students' health literacy is the prior attention to interventions aimed at enhancing health literacy especially in Malaysia (Begoray et al., 2009; Borzekowski, 2009; Deal and Hodges, n.d.; Schmidt et al., 2010; St Leger, 2001; Wu et al., 2010). It's important that young people's health is considered in its broadest sense, as encompassing social, physical and emotional wellbeing.

Consequently, in this paper researcher might first give a definition of health literacy as a learning outcome, and besides, the study concentrates on the sorts of learning conditions that are required to create health literacy education among school students.

Literature review

Health literacy as an idea has been defined in different ways as often as possible, cited definitions incorporate the one given by Ratzan and Parker (2000), and the definition of the World Health Organization, given by Nutbeam (1998). Health literacy has been defined as "how many people have the ability to get, prepare and comprehend essential health information and administrations expected to settle on proper health decisions" (Ratzan and Parker, 2000). Writing in the WHO glossary, Nutbeam (1998), takes a more extensive point of view: "health literacy infers the accomplishment of a level of knowledge, individual abilities and confidence to make a move to enhance individual and group health literacy by changing individual ways of life and living conditions".

Most of health literacy research has concentrated on the adult population, and some usually utilized health literacy measurement tools have been approved just in the adult population. However, adolescent health literacy is critical too, in light of the fact that the present youths are regularly challenged to deal with their chronic health conditions and to make vital health-related decisions in light of accessible data.

In defining health literacy, the researcher has proposed a three-level various level structure for health literacy highlighted by Nutbeam (2000; 2008). The three-level moving from basic and functional literacy (sufficient skills to function effectively in everyday circumstances) towards communicative and interactive literacy (more advanced cognitive and literacy abilities, expected to apply information in changing circumstances and to partake in daily routine), and critical literacy (the most advanced-level cognitive skills, for vital analysis of information and the utilization of it to improve health).

Health literacy is important because it affects an individual's ability to manage personal health: to navigate the healthcare system, share health history with healthcare providers, engage in self-care and manage chronic disease, and understand concepts such as probability and risk.

Research has shown, patients with inadequate health literacy have a poorer health status, less knowledge about their disease and the treatments, less in health self-



management skills, increased hospitalizations, increase health costs and have poorer adherence rates (Kickbusch, 2001; Baker, Parker, Williams, Clark. 1997).

Promoting healthy practices during adolescence, and taking steps to better protect young people from health risks are critical for the prevention of health problems in adulthood, and for countries' future health and social infrastructure (WHO, 1998;2007; Taghizadeh, Shahinfar, Bahreini, Ajilian, Fazli, & Saeidi, 2016; Langley, 2015; Call, Riedel, Hein, McLoyd, Petersen, & Kipke, 2002).

It is now quite widely accepted that adolescence is a time of transition involving multidimensional changes: biological, psychological (including cognitive) and social. Biologically, adolescents are experiencing pubertal changes, changes in brain structure and sexual interest, as a start. Psychologically, adolescents' cognitive capacities are maturing. And finally, adolescents are experiencing social changes through school and other transitions and the roles they are assumed to play in family, community and school (National Research Council [NRC], 2002; Hoyt, Chase-Lansdale, McDade, & Adam, 2012). These changes occur simultaneously and at different paces for each adolescent within each gender, with structural and environmental factors often impacting adolescents' development.

In the present study, the researcher study and assess the health literacy among school student in Malaysia, researching and analysis mainly their capacity to apply basic knowledge in a health setting. For the purpose of this study, model by Nutbeam (2000; 2008) will be applied to support and strengthen the health literacy framework.

Methodology

This study used the quantitative research design as it involves numerical and numbering data to answer the research objectives. The population of this study covers adolescent from secondary school. Eventually, the target population of this study was focused on adolescents who are studying in Klang valley. Cluster sampling techniques were used in selecting the respondents. The 13 schools that involved in this study are divided into two categories; (1) boarding School, and (2) day school. Thus the population for this study narrowed down to the adolescent between ages 15 to 17 years old. The selections of these schools were based on the response and permission given by the principals within the survey period from January to March 2017. A total of 790 students ages between 15 to 17 years were participating in the study. A selfadministered questionnaire had been employed in this study. Data were collected using a twopart questionnaire. The instrument used in this study has been developed by the research team based on the input from the multidisciplinary expert panel. The first part of the questionnaire was included the personal characteristics such as age, gender, ethnicity, race, parents' education and health status. The second part of the questionnaire was included seven distinct domains of health literacy meanings emerged viz health promotion, health care, disease prevention, health behaviour, health attitude, health wellbeing and health culture. Questions were rated in a 5-point Likert-scale format was used, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Hence, the scoring level of adolescent health literacy was regarded as Low (1.00 - 2.33), Moderate (2.34-3.66) and High (3.67-5.00). Finally, all participating students signed the informed consent statement and gave their permission to use the questionnaire



content for the research purpose only. Data was analyzed using IBM-SPSS version 23 to determine the level of health literacy among students with selected demographic factors.

Research Finding and Discussion

Profile of respondent

A total number of 150 students were enrolled in this study. In all, adolescents aged 15–18 participated in the study. The mean age of respondents was 16.2 years. The demographic profiles analyzed were gender, and health status, as shown in Table 1. There were 67 male respondents (44.7%) while 83 respondents were female (55.3%). From the survey, it was found that most students live in urban areas. Overall, students had a healthy status, which 95 (63.3%) at a healthy level and 31 (20.7%) indicate a very healthy person. Hence, about 24 (16%) of adolescent in the study are indicate they are not healthy person of their general health status. As depicted in Table 1, male students indicate 61.3% as a very healthy person compare to female students, about 38.7% said that they are very healthy. In addition, only 33.3% of students state that their health status as unhealthy. Meanwhile, more than half female students (66.7%) reported as unhealthy status.

Table 1: Profile of Health Status among Students				
Charac	toristics	Health Status		
Charac	teristics	Very Healthy	Healthy	Unhealthy
Gender	Male	19 (61.3%)	40 (42.1%)	8 (33.3 %)
	Female	12 (38.7%)	55 (57.9%)	16 (66.7%)
Age	15 years	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	-
	16 years	20 (17.1%)	79 (67.5%)	18 (15.4)
	17 years	7 (25%)	15 (53.6%)	6 (21.4%)

The Cronbach's alpha coefficient was measured for each dimension and items as well as for the entire scale. In the study, a Cronbach's (alpha) coefficient of 0.70 or above indicates that the instrument has acceptable reliability. The Cronbach's (alpha) coefficient for the entire scale was 0.95 and ranged from 0.733 to 0.897 for various domains. Table 2 represents the value of Cronbach's α Coefficient of health literacy assessment tool and its subscales.

Table 2: Cronbach's α Coefficient of Health Literacy Assessment Tool and its Subscales				
Construct	Number of items	Cronbach's α coefficient		
		(n=150)		
Health Promotion	13	.762		
Health Care	13	.818		
Disease Prevention	14	.806		
Health Behaviour	14	.897		
Health Attitude	9	.857		
Health Wellbeing	13	.893		
Health Culture	9	.733		
Overall Health Literacy	85	.955		



Level of Health literacy Constructs among Adolescent

The general health status of 150 high school students of Malaysia was assessed in 2017 and more than half (84%) of the students were found to be healthy. Overall, the health literacy status indicates moderate level 54.7% (M=3.57, SD= .43310). As depicted in Table 3, the level of health literacy constructs shows moderate to high level. Among the health literacy constructs, health attitude shows higher mean (M=3.97, SD= .61335). While, health promotion construct indicate a lower mean score (M=3.01, SD= .50962) compare to other construct.

			-	
Construct/Level	Frequency	Percent (%)	Mean	SD
Health Promotion			3.01	.50962
Low	17	11.3		
Moderate	121	80.7		
High	12	8.0		
Health Care			3.62	.43990
Low	-	-		
Moderate	81	54.0		
High	69	46.0		
Disease Prevention			3.50	.55316
Low	3	2.0		
Moderate	88	58.7		
High	59	39.3		
Health Behaviour			3.50	.57511
Low	1	0.7		
Moderate	92	61.3		
High	57	38.0		
Health Attitude			3.97	.61335
Low	3	2.0		
Moderate	41	27.3		
High	106	70.7		
Health Wellbeing			3.79	.56771
Low	3	2.0		
Moderate	51	34.0		
High	96	64.0		
Health Culture			3.57	.58101
Low	4	2.7		
Moderate	65	43.3		
High	81	54.0		
Overall Health Literacy			3.57	.43310
Low	-	-		
Moderate	82	54.7		
High	68	45.3		

Table 3: Level of Health literacy Construct among Students

Table 4 gives the descriptive characteristics of the subscales measuring the level of health promotion, health care, disease prevention, health behaviour, health attitude, health wellbeing and health culture on adolescence health literacy by gender. The findings indicate that the level



of health literacy by gender is no different. The overall health literacy level by gender showed moderate level. Majority of the male students had high health literacy level, while the health literacy level of health promotion, health care, disease prevention, health behaviour subscales were 79.1%, 52.2%, 68.7%, and 59.7% respectively. Additionally, the health literacy levels for the three aspects viz health attitude (67.2%), health wellbeing (67.2%), and health culture (58.2%) indicates high level. While, female students also showed moderate level in health promotion (81.9%), health care (55.4%), disease prevention (50.6%), health behaviour (62.7%) subscales. The score of health literacy in health attitude (73.5%), health wellbeing (61.4%), and health culture (50.6%) dimension indicates high level.

Construct/Characteristics	Male	Female
Health Promotion		
Low	8 (11.9%)	9 (10.8%)
Moderate	53 (79.1%)	68 (81.9%)
High	3 (9%)	6 (7.2%)
Health Care		
Low	-	-
Moderate	35 (52.2%)	46 (55.4%)
High	32 (47.8%)	37 (44.6%)
Disease Prevention		
Low	1 (1.5%)	2 (2.4%)
Moderate	46 (68.7%)	42 (50.6%)
High	20 (29.9%)	39 (47.0%)
Health Behaviour		
Low	1 (1.5%)	-
Moderate	40 (59.7)	52 (62.7%)
High	26 (38.8%)	31 (37.3%)
Health Attitude		
Low	2 (3.0%)	1 (1.2%)
Moderate	20 (29.9%)	21 (25.3%)
High	45 (67.2%)	61 (73.5%)
Health Wellbeing		
Low	2 (3.0%)	1 (1.2%)
Moderate	20 (29.9%)	31 (37.3%)
High	45 (67.2%)	51 (61.4%)
Health Culture		
Low	2 (3.0%)	2 (2.4%)
Moderate	26 (38.8%)	39 (47.0%)
High	39 (58.2%)	42 (50.6%)

Table 4: Level of Health literacy Construct among Students (by gender)

Overall Health Literacy



Low	-	-
Moderate	36 (53.7%)	46 (55.4%)
High	31 (46.3%)	37 (44.6%)

In addition, the overall health literacy rate by ages was at a moderate level. Respondents ages 15 years old were the highest score (80.0%) in the health literacy level. While, respondents ages 16 years and 17 years were 53.6% and 53.8% respectively. Additionally, for all the aspects of health literacy among 15 years old respondents, the rates of health literacy were around 60 % to 80%. While, the level of health literacy at the moderate level for respondents ages 16 years old in descending order were 80.3%, 64.1%, 60.7%, and 53.8%, for the health promotion, health behaviour, disease prevention, and health care subscales, respectively. The level for the three constructs of health literacy remains at high level in descending order were health attitude (71.8%), health wellbeing (65.8%), and health culture (56.4%). Besides that, health literacy level of respondents 17 years old also indicates moderate score in the health promotion (82.1%), disease prevention and health behaviour (50.0%) subscales. In addition, for health attitude (71.4%), health wellbeing (64.3%), and health culture (53.6%) reported high level. While, health care constructs show a balanced score of moderate to high levels.



Construct/Characteristics	15 years	16 years	17 years
Health Promotion			
Low	1 (20.0%)	12 (10.3%)	4 (14.3%)
Moderate	4 (80.0%)	94 (80.3%)	23 (82.1%)
High	-	11 (9.4%)	1 (3.6%)
Health Care			
Low	-	-	-
Moderate	4 (80.0%)	63 (53.8%)	14 (50.0%)
High	1 (20.0%)	54 (46.2%)	14 (50.0%)
Disease Prevention			
Low	1 (20.0%)	1 (0.9%)	1 (3.6%)
Moderate	3 (60.0%)	71 (60.7%)	14 (50.0%)
High	1 (20.0%)	45 (38.5%)	13 (46.4%)
Health Behaviour			
Low	1 (20.0%)	-	-
Moderate	3 (60.0%)	75 (64.1%)	14 (50.0%)
High	1 (20.0%)	42 (35.9%)	14 (50.0%)
Health Attitude			
Low	-	3 (2.6%)	-
Moderate	3 (60.0%)	30 (25.6%)	8 (28.6%)
High	2 (40.0%)	84 (71.8%)	20 (71.4%)
Health Wellbeing			
Low	-	3 (2.6%)	-
Moderate	4 (80.0%)	37 (31.6%)	10 (35.7%)
High	1 (20.0%)	77 (65.8%)	18 (64.3%)
Health Culture			
Low	-	4 (3.4%)	-
Moderate	5 (100%)	47 (40.2%)	13 (46.4%)
High	-	66 (56.4%)	15 (53.6%)
Overall Health Literacy			
Low	-	-	-
Moderate	4 (80.0%)	63 (53.8%)	15 (53.6%)
High	1 (20.0%)	54 (46.2%)	13 (46.4%)

Table 5: Level of Health literacy Construct among Students (by ages)

Discussion

The findings of this study are able to present important information regarding health literacy among adolescents. It is essential to inform the education department to be aware of these growing phenomena in order to overcome the declining of health problems and psychological well-being among adolescents. Studies on health literacy in Malaysia are still very



limited and not documented, often focused on a specific illness, and demographic groups. The word 'health literacy' is vaguely utilized in research, not defined in standard measurement. Yet, studies on health literacy at national level are still non-existent. This presents a huge potential and benefit for this study to be conducted nationwide in Malaysia.

This study was the first attempt to design and psychometrically evaluate an instrument to measure health literacy among adolescents in Malaysia. The initial questionnaire was developed based on data obtained from a qualitative study on adolescents aged 15–17, expert opinions, and extensive reviews of existing literature on health literacy. The designed questionnaire included a wide range of items to assess individual and interpersonal factors relating to adolescent health literacy. After the completion of the validity and reliability phases, the health literacy assessment tool consisted of 85 items within 7 construct. These constructs were health promotion, health care, disease prevention, health behaviour, health attitude, health wellbeing and health culture. As most participants completed the questionnaire without any difficulties in approximately 15 minutes, the researcher believes that the health literacy assessment is an easy-to-use questionnaire that can be used easily for future studies.

Health literacy is worldwide agenda. Meanwhile, measuring health literacy among adolescents, not only in Malaysia but also elsewhere, is a relatively new issue. Although there are growing concerns about this, applying conventional health literacy measurements (such as TOFHLA, REALM, NVS) to this population has its limitations (Shone, Doane, Blumkin, Klein, Wolf, 2009). Few studies have investigated adolescent health literacy and fewer research projects have addressed this concept from adolescents' perspectives. The findings of this study indicated that the health literacy assessment has appropriate validity and reliability. One of the features of the health literacy assessment is that, in addition to functional health literacy, it covers other dimensions, including interactive health literacy and critical health literacy suggested by Nutbeam (2000; 2008). Studying adolescent health literacy in a school (Steinberg, 2001; 2005) is another vital aspect of this study, which can help to overcome the challenges (Seligman, Ernst, Gillham, Reivich, & Linkins, 2009) of health literacy assessments in non-clinical settings, particularly since this age group is often the healthiest period of life and have fewer dealings with healthcare services (Manganello, 2008)

As stated previously, this was the first attempt to measure the health literacy of Malaysian adolescents. Future studies should be carried out among different age groups of adolescents and in different settings. Perhaps the assessment of such studies may lead to a stronger confirmation of the psychometric properties of the health literacy assessment.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study shows that health literacy level among young people was at a moderate level with health promotion construct indicate a lower score compare to other construct. Thus, more health education promotion program should be done to strengthen the knowledge, attitude and practice of health literacy among young people. General health literacy is an important issue among adolescents and high school students due to their higher risk of general health disorder. Therefore, to plan an appropriate and proper extension



education health program, the evaluation of students' general health literacy status is necessary.

Acknowledgement

We wish to thank all of the respondents for taking the time to be open and helpful with the answers they provided for our multitude of questions, and without whom this study would have never been possible.

Corresponding Author

Jasmin Arif Shah Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia 43400 UPM, Serdang Selangor Email: neojustmean@gmail.com

References

- Abel, G., Plumridge, L., & Graham, P. (2002). Peers, networks or relationships: Strategies for understanding social dynamics as determinants of smoking behavior. *Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy*, 9 (4), 325–338.
- Abel, T. (2008). Measuring health literacy: moving towards a health-promotion perspective. *International Journal of Public Health*, *53*(4), 169.
- Ackard, D. M., & Neumark-Sztainer, D. (2001). Health care information sources for adolescents: Age and gender differences on use, concerns, and needs. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 29(3), 170–176.
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. *Selected Findings on Child and Adolescent Health Care from the 2004 National Healthcare Quality/Disparities Reports Fact Sheet*. AHRQ Publication No. 05-P011. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Rockville, MD, Available at: http://www.ahrq. gov/qual/nhqrchild/nhqrchild.pdf. Accessed: 5 May 2016.
- Andrus, M. R., & Roth, M. T. (2002). Health literacy: a review.*Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy*,22(3), 282-302.
- Baker, D.W. (2006). The meaning and measure of health literacy. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 21, 878-883.
- Bandura, A. (1977). *Self-Efficacy: Toward a Unifying Theory of Behavioral Change*. Psyhological Review. 84. 191-215.
- Bandura A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: an agentic perspective. *Annu Rev Psychol*.;52:1–26.
- Bandura, A. (2004). Health promotion by social cognitive means. *Health education & behavior*, *31*(2), 143-164.
- Boehm, J. K., & Kubzansky, L. D. (2012). The heart's content: The association between positive psychological well-being and cardiovascular health. Psychological Bulletin, 138, 655–691. doi:10.1037/a0027448



Boice M. (1998). Chronic illness in adolescence. Adolescence; 33: 927–34.

- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1977). Toward an experimental ecology of human development. *American Psychologist*, 32, 513–531.
- Brown, B., Larson, R., & Saraswathi, T. S. (Eds.). (2002). *The world's youth: Adolescence in eight regions of the globe*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Call, K. T., & Mortimer, J. T. (2001). Arenas of comfort in adolescence: A study of adjustment in context. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Call, K. T., Riedel, A. A., Hein, K., McLoyd, V., Petersen, A., & Kipke, M. (2002). Adolescent health and well-being in the twenty-first century: a global perspective. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 12(1), 69-98.
- Caprara, G. V., Barbaranelli, C., Pastorelli, C., Bandura, A., & Zimbardo, P. G. (2000). Prosocial foundations of children's academic achievement. Psychological Science, 11,302–306. doi:10.1111/1467-9280.00260
- Casey, B. J., Jones, R. M., & Hare, T. A. (2008). The adolescent brain. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1124*(1), 111-126.
- Chang, L. C. (2011). Health literacy, self-reported status and health promoting behaviours for adolescents in Taiwan. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 20(1-2), 190-196.
- Davis, T. C., Byrd, R. S., Arnold, C. L., Auinger, P., & Bocchini, J. A. (1999). Low literacy and violence among adolescents in a summer sports program. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 24(6), 403-411.
- DeWalt, D. A., & Hink, A. (2009). Health literacy and child health outcomes: a systematic review of the literature. *Pediatrics*, *124*(Supplement 3), S265-S274.
- DiCenso, A., Borthwick, V. W., Busca, C. A., Creatura, C., Holmes, J. A., Kalagian, W. F., et al. (2001). Completing the picture: Adolescents talk about what's missing in sexual health services. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 92(1), 35–38.
- DiClemente, R. J., Salazar, L. F., & Crosby, R. A. (2013). *Health behavior theory for public health*. Jones & Bartlett Publishers.
- Dodge, R., Daly, A. P., Huyton, J., & Sanders, L. D. (2012). The challenge of defining wellbeing. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 2(3).
- Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of schoolbased universal interventions. Child Development. 82, 405–432. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01564.x
- Eccles, J. S., Lord, S. E., Roeser, R. W., Barber, B. L., & Jozefowicz, D. M. H. (1997). The association of school transitions in early adolescence with developmental trajectories through high school. In J. Schulenberg, J. M. Maggs, & K. Hurrelmann (Eds.), *Health risks* and developmental transitions during adolescence (pp. 283–320). Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press
- Feldman, S. S., & Elliott, G. R. (1990). *At the threshold: The developing adolescent*. (pp. 431–56). Harvard University Press.



- Ghaddar, S. F., Valerio, M. A., Garcia, C. M., & Hansen, L. (2012). Adolescent health literacy: the importance of credible sources for online health information. *Journal of school health*, 82(1), 28-36.
- Guntzviller, L. M., King, A. J., Jensen, J. D., & Davis, L. A. (2016). Self-Efficacy, Health Literacy, and Nutrition and Exercise Behaviors in a Low-Income, Hispanic Population. Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health, 1-5. DOI: <u>10.1007/s10903-016-0384-4</u>
- Higgins, J. W., Begoray, D., & MacDonald, M. (2009). A social ecological conceptual framework for understanding adolescent health literacy in the health education classroom. *American journal of community psychology*,44(3-4), 350-362.
- Hoyt, L. T., Chase-Lansdale, P. L., McDade, T. W., & Adam, E. K. (2012). Positive youth, healthy adults: Does positive wellbeing in adolescence predict better perceived health and fewer risky health behaviors in young adulthood? Journal of Adolescent Health, 50, 66– 73. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2011.05.002
- Huppert, F. A. (2014). The state of wellbeing science: Concepts, measures, interventions, and policies. In F. A. Huppert & C. L. Cooper (Eds.), Wellbeing: A complete reference guide: Interventions and policies to enhance wellbeing. (Vol. IV, pp. 1–50). Oxford: Wiley Blackwell.
- Huppert, F. A., & So, T. T. C. (2013). Flourishing across Europe: Application of a new conceptual framework for defining well-being. Social Indicators Research, 110, 837–861. doi:10.1007/s11205-011-9966-7
- Jamner, M. S., & Stokols, D. (Eds.). (2000). *Promoting human wellness: New frontiers for research, practice, and policy*. Univ of California Press.
- Keating, D. P., Lerner, R. M., & Steinberg, L. (2004). Cognitive and brain development. *Handbook of adolescent psychology*, *2*, 45-84.
- Keyes, C. (2002a). The mental health continuum: From languishing to flourishing in life. *Journal* of Health and Behaviour Research, 43, 207–222. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3090197
- Keyes, C. L. M., Shmotkin, D., & Ryff, C. D. (2002b). Optimizing well-being: The empirical encounter of two traditions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82, 1007– 1022. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.82.6.1007
- Keyes, C. (2009). The nature and importance of positive mental health in America's adolescents. In Gilman, R., Huebner, E., & Furlong, M. (Eds.). *Handbook of positive psychology in schools*. New York: Routledge.
- Kim, B. (2001). Social constructivism. *Emerging perspectives on learning, teaching, and technology*, 1(1), 16.
- Konu, A., & Rimpelä, M. (2002). Well-being in schools: a conceptual model.*Health promotion international*, *17*(1), 79-87.
- Kuh, D., Power, C., Blane, D., & Bartley, M. (1997). Social pathways between childhood and adult health. In D. Kuh & Y. Ben-Shlomo (Eds.), A life course approach to chronic disease epidemiology (pp. 169–198). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Larson, R. W., Wilson, S., Brown, B. B., Furstenberg, F. F., Jr., & Verma, S. (2002). Changes in adolescents' interpersonal experiences: Are they being prepared for adult relationships in the twenty-first century? *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 12, 31–68



- Lerner, R. M., & Galambos, N. L. (1998). Adolescent development: Challenges and opportunities for research, programs, and policies. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 49, 413–446.
- Manganello, J. A. (2008). Health literacy and adolescents: a framework and agenda for future research. *Health education research*, 23(5), 840-847.
- Maggs, J. E., Schulenberg, J. E., & Hurrelmann, K. (1997). Developmental transitions during adolescence: Health promotion implications. In J. E. Schulenberg, J. L. Maggs, & K. Hurrelman (Eds.), *Health risks and developmental transitions during adolescence* (pp. 522–546). New York: Cambridge University Press
- Matson-Koffman, D. M., Brownstein, J. N., Neiner, J. A., & Greaney, M. L. (2005). A site-specific literature review of policy and environmental interventions that promote physical activity and nutrition for cardiovascular health: what works?. American Journal of Health Promotion, 19(3), 167-193.
- Newacheck, P. W., Wong, S. T., Galbraith, A. A., & Hung, Y. Y. (2003). Adolescent health care expenditures: a descriptive profile. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *32*(6), 3-11.
- Nielsen-Bohlman, L., Panzer, A.M., & Kindig, D.A. (2004). Institute of Medicine Committee on Health Literacy. *Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Nutbeam, D. (2000). Health literacy as a public health goal: A challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century. Health Promotion International, 15, 259-267. doi:10.1093/heapro/15.3.259
- Nutbeam, D. (2008). The evolving concept of health literacy. Social Science and Medicine, 67(12), 2072-2078. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.09.050
- Paasche-Orlow, M., & Wolf, M. (2007). The causal pathways linking health literacy to health outcomes. *The American Journal of Health Behavior*, 31(1), 19-26.
- Ryff, C. D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57, 1069–1081. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.57.6.1069
- Ryff, C., & Keyes, C. (1995). The structure of psychological well-being revisited. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 69*(4), 719–727. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.69.4.719
- Ryff, C. D., & Singer, B. H. (1998). The contours of positive human health. Psychological Inquiry, 9, 1–28.
- Ryff, C. D., & Singer, B. H. (2006). Best news yet on the six-factor model of well-being. Social Science Research, 35, 1103–1119.
- Ryff, C., & Singer, B. (2008). Know thyself and become what you are: An eudiamonic approach to psychological wellbeing. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, *9*, 13–39. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10902-006-9019-0
- Rootman, I., & Gordon-El-Bihbety, D. (2008). A vision for a health literate Canada. *Report of the expert panel on health literacy*. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Public Health Association.
- Rutter, M. (1990). Psychosocial resilience and protective mechanisms. In J. Rolf, A. S. Masten, D.
 Cicchetti, K. H. Neuchterlein, & S. Weintraub (Eds.), *Risk and protective factors in the development of psychopathology* (pp. 181–214). New York: Cambridge University Press



- Seligman, M. E. P., Ernst, R. M., Gillham, J., Reivich, K., & Linkins, M. (2009). Positive education: Positive psychology and classroom interventions. Oxford Review of Education. 35, 293– 311. doi:10.1037//0003-066X.55.1.5
- Senge, P. (1990). The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning organization, Random House": London.
- Shah, H., & Marks, N. (2004). A well-being manifesto for a flourishing society. London: The New Economics Foundation
- Sharif, I., & Blank, A. E. (2010). Relationship between child health literacy and body mass index in overweight children. *Patient education and counseling*, *79*(1), 43-48.
- Smith, D. J., & Rutter, M. (1995). Time trends in psychosocial disorders of youth. In M. Rutter & D. J. Smith (Eds.), *Psychosocial disorders in young people* (pp. 763–781). New York: Wiley
- Somers, C. L., & Surmann, A. T. (2005). Sources and timing of sex education: Relations with American adolescent sexual attitudes and behaviour. *Educational Review*, 57(1), 37–54.
- Sorensen, K., Van den Broucke, S., Fullam, J., Doyle, G., Pelikan, J., Slonska, Z., & Brand, H. (2012). Health literacy and public health: a systematic review and integration of definitions and models. *BMC public health*, 12(1), 1.
- Steinberg, L., & Morris, A. S. (2001). Adolescent development. *Journal of Cognitive Education* and Psychology, 2(1), 55-87.
- Steinberg, L. (2005). Cognitive and affective development in adolescence. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 9(2), 69-74.
- Steinberg, L., & Monahan, K. C. (2007). Age differences in resistance to peer influence. *Developmental psychology*, 43(6), 1531Stokols, D. (2000). Social ecology and behavioral medicine: implications for training, practice, and policy. *Behavioral medicine*, 26(3), 129-138.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2010). Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. National Action Plan to Improve Health Literacy. Washington, DC. National Institutes of Health.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (1984). *Health promotion: A discussion document on the concept and principles*. Copenhagen, Denmark: WHO Regional Office for Europe
- World Health Organization. (1997). WHOQOL Measuring Quality of Life. Geneva: World Health Organisation.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (1998) Division of Health Promotion, Education and Communications Health Education and Health Promotion Unit. Health Promotion Glossary. World Health Organization, Geneva www.who.int/hpr/NPH/docs/hp glossary en.pdf
- World Health Organization (WHO). (1998). *The world health report 1998*: Life in the 21st century. Geneva, Switzerland: Author.
- World Health Organization (WHO)/United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA)/United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) Study Group on Programming for Adolescent Health. (1999). Programming for adolescent health and development. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization



U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2011). Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Healthy People 2020. Washington, DC: National Institutes of Health. Retrieved March 29, 2015 from:

http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topicsobjectives2020/objectiveslist.aspx?topicid=18.

- Von Sadovszky, V., Kleck Kover, C., Brown, C., & Armbruster, M. (2006). The need for sexual health information: Perceptions and desires of young adults. MCN, 31(6), 373–379.
- Von Wagner, C., Steptoe, A., Wolf, M.S., & Wardle, J. (2009). Health literacy and health actions: a review and a framework from health psychology. *Health Education and Behavior*, 36(5), 860-77.
- Waters, L. (2011). A review of school-based positive psychology interventions. The Australian Educational and Developmental Psychologist, 28, 75–90. doi:10.1375/aedp.28.2.75
- Waters, L. (2014). Balancing the curriculum: Teaching gratitude, hope and resilience. In H. Sykes (Ed.), A love of Ideas (pp. 117–124). Albert Park: Future Leaders Press.
- Yin, H. S., Forbis, S. G., & Dreyer, B. P. (2007). Health literacy and pediatric health. *Current problems in pediatric and adolescent health care*, *37*(7), 258-286.