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Abstract
The use of HIV 4rapid tests is increasingly widespread in order to raise knowledge of serological status and access to therapies especially in those populations considered more at risk. In this study, through a systematic review of literature and of the most significant projects made in the last years, we are trying to analyse the organizational elements that should be taken into consideration in order to ensure an efficient and effective service. In particular, the places of test administration, the type of staff involved, the relationship and link with a public body for the confirmation and to take charge of positivity, the counselling service offered, the costs of the service, the test type, the frequency of administration and the rate of effectiveness of the rapid test are being analysed and compared.
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Introduction
HIV is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality globally (Murray et al., 2010). Despite considerable progress in controlling the epidemic, there were approximately 2.2 million new HIV infections, 1.7 million HIV-related deaths, and 34.2 million people with HIV worldwide in 2011; 1.5 million of these new HIV infections, 1.2 million of the HIV deaths, and 23.5 million of the people living with HIV were in Africa (World Health Organization, 2015). In the United States, about a quarter of the estimated 1.1 million people living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) are not aware of their HIV status (Glynn et al., 2005). One way to increase the number of
people who are aware of their HIV status is to expand HIV prevention efforts to reach more people and especially targets at high risk of infection, but which cannot access HIV tests at Health services. People who are unaware of their positive serological status cannot benefit from antiretroviral treatment (Dybul et al., 2002; Palella Jr. et al., 1998), and are more likely to have behaviours at risk for a person with HIV with respect to people who are aware of their serological status (Marks et al., 2005). Studies have shown that the offer of HIV counselling and HIV tests in communities with outreach settings can be an effective strategy to identify people with unidentified HIV infection (CDC 2007, 2004). Effective rapid HIV test mechanisms are already in use in the World, including some countries in Africa (Steen et al., 2007). The spread of innovative rapid tests, providing results in less than 30 minutes and over 99% in both sensitivity and specificity, represents an additional opportunity in the field of HIV prevention (Branson et al., 2007; Doyle, 2005). Besides, these tests permit the use of different specimens other than blood, saliva and urine (Wolf & Walensky, 2007). Recently, the implementation of rapid HIV tests is the tool that WHO have chosen to work more effectively by expanding your offer. Moreover, the release of newer 4.5-generation rapid tests consented to reach a specificity and a positive predictive value of about 100% (Faraoni et al., 2013). Hence, HIV rapid test is suggested as a promising way to increase the percentage of people accessing screening. Preliminary results of rapid tests are available after only 10-40 minutes of sample collection and analysis. Furthermore, a check up is not required by individuals with negative results at centres for disease control and prevention, thus reducing service costs (CDC, 2001; Branson et al., 2006). All international guidelines and studies highlight the effectiveness of HIV rapid tests use, in particular organized in collaboration with community based. But which the organizational elements must be that need to be considered and should be present in the development of diagnostic services using HIV rapid tests, have not yet been analysed.

Methodology and Aim
The study group conducted a systematic review of literature by identifying publications through the bibliographic database TUTTTO. This included: Scopus (Elsevier), MEDLINE/PudMed, Science Citation Index Expanded (Web of Science), Social Sciences Citation Index (Web of Science), ProQuest Psychology Journals, ScienceDirect Journals (Elsevier), ProQuest Sociology, Sociological Abstracts, PMC (PubMed Central), SpringerLink, Social Services Abstracts, Taylor & Francis Online – Journals, Informa - Taylor & Francis (CrossRef), Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), JSTOR Archival Journals, Wiley Online Library, Wiley (CrossRef), BMJ Journals (BMJ Publishing Group), Wolters Kluwer - Ovid - Lippincott Williams & Wilkins (CrossRef), Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Journals (Wolters Kluwer Health). The words "community based HIV test" have been used both individually and jointly for the research, and only the articles in English and in Italian have been selected, although the database has identified only articles in English. The bibliography included peer reviewed periodicals, including articles and conference papers. The research considered all identifiable sources of the period between 2008 and 2017. Quotations identified in the research of literature have been projected on the title and on the abstract. The aim is to identify the main organizational features related to the administration of HIV rapid tests in international literature and in different national contexts.
Selection of Publications
The research scored 53,321 results. After discarding the duplicates and the irrelevant results, 31 articles were selected and, after reading each document, 6 articles were discarded due to the absence of at least 3 criteria considered significant in the analysis among the analysed ones (type of test, frequency of administration, type of population to which the test was given, setting of administration), overall 25 papers were selected.

For each article, data were collected: on the country of study, on the percentage of HIV incidence on the population, on HIV test types, on test administration settings, on time horizons, on the recipient population, on types of bodies which carried out the research and on the types of financing funds used, on the presence of volunteer staff and non-health personnel, on the connection with a public body of reference for the undertaking of HIV-positive persons, on the presence of psychologists or counsellors in the service, on the frequency of test administration, on the amount and on the types of service costs.

The screening process is summarized in the flow chart (Figure 1). The articles that are considered in the conducted analysis refer to different projects with different characteristics and can be identified in the table 1.

---

**Figure 1 Flow chart of methodological analysis**

Source: Made by the Authors
Table 1: the articles that are considered in the conducted analysis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tracking number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Publication year</th>
<th>Doi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Implementation of Rapid Testing Programs in Community and Outreach Settings: Perspectives from Staff at Eight Community-Based Organizations in Seven U.S. Cities</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>10.1177/003335490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81230s311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81230s312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rapid HIV Testing in Transgender Communities by Community-Based Organizations in Three Cities</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>10.1177/003335490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81230s313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Provider-related Barriers to Rapid HIV Testing in U.S. Urban Non-profit Community Clinics, Community-based Organizations (CBOs) and Hospitals</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>10.1007/s10461-008-9456-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Knowledge of HIV status prior to a community HIV counseling and testing intervention in a rural district of south Africa: results of a community based survey</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>10.1186/1471-2334-12-73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>High acceptance of home-based HIV counseling and testing in a urban community setting in Uganda</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>10.1186/1471-2458-11-730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Preferred HIV testing services and programme characteristics among clients of a rapid HIV testing programme</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>10.1186/1471-2458-13-791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Piloting an HIV self-test kit voucher program to raise serostatus awareness of high-risk African Americans, Los Angeles</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>10.1186/1471-2458-14-1226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Same day ART initiation versus clinical-based pre-ART assessment and counselling for individuals newly tested HIV-positive during community-based HIV testing in rural Lesotho – a randomized controlled trial (CASCADE trial)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>10.1186/s12889-016-2972-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Using participatory methods and geographic information systems (GIS) to prepare for an HIV community-based trial in Vulindlela, South Africa (Project Accept - HPTN 043)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>10.1002/jcop.20294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>The prevalence and correlates of receiving confirmatory HIV test results among newly diagnosed HIV-positive individuals at a community-based testing center</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>10.1521/aeap.2012.24.5.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Counselor-Based Rapid HIV Testing in Community Pharmacies</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>10.1089/apc.2013.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Evaluation of Pharmacy-Based HIV Testing in a High-Risk New York City Community</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>10.1186/1471-2334-14-s2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Home-based HIV counseling and testing: Client experiences and perceptions in Eastern Uganda</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>10.1186/1471-2458-12-966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Programmatic Evaluation of a Combined Antigen and Antibody Test for Rapid HIV Diagnosis in a Community and Sexual Health Clinic Screening Programme</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>10.1371/journal.pone.0028019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Early Identification of HIV: Empirical Support for Jail-Based Screening</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>10.1371/journal.pone.0037603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Biomarker-Based HIV Incidence in a Community Sample of Men Who Have Sex with Men in Paris, France</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>10.1371/journal.pone.0039872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Expanding Access to Non-Medicalized Community-Based Rapid Testing to Men Who Have Sex with Men: An Urgent HIV Prevention Intervention (The ANSR-DRAG Study)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>10.1371/annotation/73d8610b-c201-4889-889e-db0fba5a3ddc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Home-Based HIV Testing for Men Who Have Sex with Men in China: A Novel Community-Based Partnership to Complement Government Programs</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>10.1371/journal.pone.0102812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Community-Based HIV-1 Early Diagnosis and Risk Behavior Analysis of Men Having Sex with Men in Hong Kong</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>10.5353/th_b5177298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Uptake of Community-Based Peer Administered HIV Point-of-Care Testing: Findings from the PROUD Study</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>10.1371/journal.pone.0166942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Investigating Recent Testing among MSM: Results from Community-Based HIV Rapid Testing Attendees in France</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>10.1155/2013/648791</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion and Results**

**Test Administration**

As regards the test administration setting, in all the articles the participants tested themselves voluntarily and before doing the test, they were always required to give their informed consent and the guarantee of their anonymity; in almost all of the described projects, the compilation of questionnaires was done on socio-demographic, economic, personal variables (e.g. sexual history) and structural variables (e.g. link to therapies, housing information, health status, if it had ever been tested) of each subject; moreover, pre-test consultation was offered, proof that common guidelines are applied all over the world.

The administration strategy in all articles has always been the same (except for the home-based test project): to offer the test in places where the most at risk populations are used to meet in order to increase coverage and broaden the campaign of awareness. Many projects administered rapid tests trying to reach directly the user through vans with test area (Clark et al., 2008;
Shrestha et al., 2008; Sekandi et al., 2011; Chirowodza et al., 2009) in places with greater affluence of the target that was intended to be tested, such as parks, parking lots, council houses, high schools, communities for young people, communities of health centres, street corners, homeless shelters, syringe exchange sites, centres of substance abuse treatment, soup kitchen, health fairs, bars / nightclubs, gay pride events, prisons, SRO (Clark et al., 2008; Shrestha et al., 2008; Chirowodza et al., 2009; Feldman et al., 2012; Taegtmeyer et al., 2011; Tao et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2015; Lazarus et al., 2016). Among the other places for clinic administration (Shrestha et al., 2008), or social reference networks or associations (Schulden et al., 2008; Bogart et al.; 2008; Bogart et al., 2010; Labhardt et al, 2016; Kyaddondo et al., 2012; De Voux et al., 2012; Le Vu et al., 2012; Lazarus et al., 2016; Lorente et al., 2013B) the Internet and social network (Schulden et al., 2008; Marlin et al., 2014; Lorente et al., 2013A; Lazarus et al., 2016) or in some cases door to door by offering initiative to a defined community (Tabana et al., 2012; Hạnh et al. 2011; Hoyos et al., 2013; Marcus et al., 2015; Amesty et al., 2015; Lorente et al., 2013A) also through the administration in places of worship such as churches and mosques (Amesty et al., 2015). In one case, the tests were administered directly to the pharmacy by the staff (Calderon et al., 2013). **Geographic areas of administration are different:** Los Angeles - Long Beach, New York, Miami, Chicago, Atlanta, Boston, Indianapolis, Newark, Oakland, Riverside, San Bernardino, St Louis, Washington; Quang Ninh, a province north of Vietnam, Rurai South Africa, Sub-Saharan Africa, Butha-Buthe District, North of Lesotho, Paris, Nice and Marseilles. The geographic areas where HIV rapid test projects of use are concentrated are the US and South African ones. The analysed articles range from 2008 to 2016. In particular, there are 5 of 2008, 1 of 2009, 3 of 2011, 5 of 2012, 4 of 2013, 2 of 2014, 3 of 2015 and 2 of 2016.

Three quarters of the studies have been carried out by public bodies, mainly by CBO (Community-Based Organization), the other public bodies involved in these studies are represented by the Vietnamese health system, United Nations programs, IRB (Institutional Review Committee), hospitals and public universities, programs approved directly by CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention).

Private bodies, on the other hand, are mostly non-profit organizations, such as NGOs and private universities.

**Staff Presence in the Testing Project**

Apart from 10 articles where it is not specified, voluntary staff is almost always present in the others, also because the majority of the tests are rapid and are carried out within CBOs and NGOs where there are educated but volunteer people. In the article "Programmatic Evaluation of a Combined Antigen and Antibody Test for Rapid HIV Diagnosis in a Community and Sexual Health Clinic Screening Programme" held in Liverpool, UK, in 2011, however, it is specified that the staff of this study, despite being voluntary, comes from urogenital clinics and is therefore represented by professionals, although the type of test is rapid and is carried out within the CBOs, highlighting, however, that in the case of a reactive outcome a confirmation test (EIA of 4th generation) is done, followed by another line of immunological confirmation tests (LIA, Inno-Lia HIV-1 / HIV-2 Ab Innogenetics, Belgium).

Only in two articles it is clearly written that there was no voluntary staff and both studies concentrated in New York City and more specifically in the pharmacies of the Bronx, Manhattan, East Harlem and Central Harlem, where the test is carried out by pharmacists. These
neighborhoods are strategic points because with high prevalence of HIV, in fact NYC has one of the highest rates of new HIV diagnoses in the US, with 1.4% of the NYC population living with AIDS.

Instead, in the article "Expanding Access to Non-Medicalized Community-Based Rapid Testing to Men Who Have Sex with Men: An Urgent HIV Prevention Intervention (The ANSR-DRAG Study)" of 2013, held in Paris, Nice and Marseilles, which compares CBOOffer (community based test, in non-clinical contexts), and SMOffer (standard healthcare offer), it explained how in the first type of offer the staff was voluntary and in the second type of offer the staff was only health. From the comparison of the articles, it is noted that the presence of voluntary staff coincides also with the presence of non-health staff, apart from the aforementioned article "Programmatic Evaluation of a Combined Antigen and Antibody Test for Rapid HIV Diagnosis in a Community and Sexual Health Clinic Screening Programme" of the Liverpool study, where the staff were voluntary but represented by professionals, then by healthcare staff (Taegtmeyer et al., 2011). The article about research carried out in France (Paris, Nice and Marseilles) states that only health staff is present (Le Vu et al., 2012).

In all the articles where this theme was cited (19 out of 25), the counseling service was present (Shrestha et al., 2008; Schulden et al., 2008; Bogart et al., 2010; Tabana et al., 2012; Hạnh et al. 2011; Sekandi et al., 2011; Hoyos et al., 2013; Marcus et al, 2015; Labhardt et al, 2016; Feldman et al., 2012; Calderon et al., 2013; Amesty et al., 2015; Kyaddondo et al., 2012; De Voux et al., 2012; Lorente et al., 2013A; Tao et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2015; Lazarus et al., 2016; Lorente et al., 2013B); therefore in line with the norms and literature’s analysis criteria. In several cases counseling is done before the test is administered, in some cases it is possible to define the type of test to be administered (HIV or other sexually transmitted infection), in others it is related to post-test counseling. Counseling is always offered in an area that guarantees privacy. Only in one case counseling is offered by phone, since the test result is sent via e-mail.

Existence of a Relationship with a public body of reference for the Undertaking in Case of Positivity

Only 7 articles deal, in the context, with this theme and in all 7 articles that mention it, this relationship exists. In particular, this theme is dealt with in various ways:

- In "High acceptance of home-based HIV counseling and testing in a urban community setting in Uganda", conducted on the population of Rubaga (division of the city of Kampala, Uganda), where seropositive people to the test were invited to go to a HIV care centre of their preference, while the negatives were offered counseling service;
- In "Counselor-Based Rapid HIV Testing in Community Pharmacies", conducted in NYC (Bronx and Manhattan, characterized by high prevalence of HIV infection), the pharmacy, where rapid tests were administered, put all patients who were positive at preliminary tests, in the condition to be connected to the treatment of the cure on the same day. In fact, in case of positivity, the result was immediately notified to the director of the reference HIV clinic and the patient was taken to the hospital by taxi. After that, an appointment was scheduled to come back to hospital after 3 days to get the confirmation test results. However, customers were left the discretion to refuse the connection to care;
- In “Evaluation of Pharmacy-Based HIV Testing in a High-Risk New York City Community” conducted in NYC (East Harlem and Central Harlem neighborhoods), within 1 week an
appointment for the confirmation test was set up to customers who were positive at preliminary tests in pharmacies, to connect them to care;
- In "Home-based HIV counseling and testing: Client experiences and perceptions in Eastern Uganda", conducted on the Kumi District population in Eastern Uganda, the interviewees reported that HBHCT service (home-based hiv counseling and testing) allowed them to start treatment immediately in case of seropositive test;
- In "Programmatic Evaluation of a Combined Antigen and Antibody Test for Rapid HIV Diagnosis in a Community and Sexual Health Clinic Screening Programme", conducted in Liverpool (UK), all the participants diagnosed positive to rapid test, were connected to the clinic of urogenital medicine at Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen Hospital for complete HIV care, including evaluation of antiretroviral therapy;
- In "Early Identification of HIV: Empirical Support for Jail-Based Screening", carried out in prisons of several cities in the United States, one of the aims of this project was to ensure connection with doctors, when clients were out of prison. Most inmates had low stays in the jail and then began the care when they left; as far as those few patients who had a long period of stay were concerned, the ART and the subsequent stages of treatment were made within the prison itself, as the care service inside was feasible;
- In "Home-Based HIV Testing for Men Who Have Sex with Men in China: A NovelCommunity-Based Partnership to Complement Government Programs", conducted on MSMs of Beijing, China, customers who were positive to rapid test in Home-Based HIV Self-Testing, were connected to a local CDC for the confirmation test.

Test type
In 20 articles out of 21 that specify the type of rapid test. In 2 articles, both the rapid test and Elisa and Western Blot tests (Feldman et al., 2012) are administered. Literature shows how rapid test is more effective in the struggle with HIV and allows direct access to the more at-risk populations (Clark et al., 2008; Shrestha et al., 2008; Schulden et al., 2008; Bogart et al.; 2008; Bogart et al., 2010; Sekandi et al., 2011; Hoyos et al., 2013; Marlin et al., 2014; Marcus et al, 2015; Labhardt et al, 2016; Feldman et al., 2012; Calderon et al., 2013; Amnesty et al., 2015; Kyaddondo et al., 2012; Tægtdmeyer et al., 2011; Le Vu et al., 2012; Lorente et al., 2013A; Tao et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2015; Lazarus et al., 2016; Lorente et al., 2013B). The most commonly used rapid tests are salivary and on sample of blood collected from fingertip, while the most commonly used brands are first of all the OraQuick (subdivided into Rapid HIV-1 Antibody Test and Advance Rapid HIV-1/2 Antibody Test; Orasure Technologies, Bethlehem, Pa), followed by Uni-Gold Recombigen (Trinity Biotech PLC, Wicklow, Ireland); the other used brands are Clearview HIV 1-2 Stat-Pak (Chembio Diagnostic Systems, Medford, NY), Complete HIV 1-2 (Chembio Diagnostic Systems, Medford, NY), Alere HIV1/2 Ag/Ab Combo test, Genscreen Ultra HIV Ag-Ab (Biorad) e VIKIA HIV 1/2.

Frequency of Test Administration
The only 2 articles that deal with this theme are:
- "Number and timing of antenatal HIV testing: Evidence from a community-based study in Northern Vietnam", conducted on the mothers of Quang Ninh (a province north of Vietnam),
where it is said that the first test should be done just when pregnancy started and the second before 36 weeks of gestation;

- "Home-Based HIV Testing for Men Who Have Sex with Men in China: A Novel Community-Based Partnership to Complement Government Programs", conducted on MSMs in Beijing (China), where it is said that the guidelines dictated by the Chinese national HIV / AIDS prevention, indicate that for sexually active MSM the frequency of test administration should be 6 months.

**Type and Amount of Service Cost**

- In "Cost-Effectiveness of Finding New HIV Diagnoses Using Rapid HIV Testing in Community-Based Organizations", conducted in Kansas City (Missouri), where both conventional and rapid tests were performed, for the "Kansas City Clinic" program costs were $ 68318 for the total $ 80 per person, $ 3637 per person for which new HIV diagnoses were diagnosed [2005 currency dollars]; for the “Kansas City outreach” project: $ 79757 for the total program, $ 113 per tested person, $ 16985 per person to whom new HIV diagnoses have been diagnosed [2005 currency dollars].

In addition, for CBOs the costs were:

- Fixed: program management costs, training, travel, purchase, movable vans’ operation, durable goods and equipment;
- Variable: recruitment, counseling and testing, non-durable goods and supplies, confirmatory Western blot test.

- In "Scope of Rapid HIV Testing in Private Nonprofit Urban Community Health Settings in the United States", conducted in the four (Northeast, Midwest, South and West) US geographic areas, the costs for non-profit private clinics distributing rapid tests are represented by: feasibility, training, personal recruitment, environment and resource management, costs for MTU;

- In "Home-based HIV counseling and testing: Client experiences and perceptions in Eastern Uganda", conducted on the Kumi District (Eastern Uganda) population, it was found that for associations, the HBHCT setting (the one used in this article) is the cheapest, as it costs roughly US $ 8.29 per customer, while the hospital test costs about $ 11.68 and $ 19.26 mobile units.

In most of the other articles, instead, it is only said that a rapid test for participants is free, except in a case where participants were paid $ 15 and another $ 20 CAN for their time arrangement. Concerning the conventional test, the article on the preventive study of pregnant women in Vietnam says the problem is that in this country the figure for such a test is high ($ 3 per test, i.e. 50000 VND).

In addition:

- In "Piloting an HIV self-test kit voucher program to raise serostatus awareness of high-risk African American Americans, Los Angeles", conducted on the Los Angeles County MSMs, it is written that participants do the test for free and are paid homage with a voucher to buy a kit to self-test. These vouchers are redeemable at Walgreens (the largest pharmacy chain in the United States), using a third-party payment system; Walgreens then bills at UCLA (the University of California, Los Angeles, which is a US public and research university among the most important and prestigious in the world) for the cost based on negotiated cost and the number of refunded vouchers;
- In "Home-Based HIV Testing for Men Who Have Sex with Men in China: A Novel Community-Based Partnership to Complement Government Programs", conducted on MSMs in Beijing, the test is free only for those completing and reporting the result of their test, only at this point they will be returned $10 for the rapid test kit. In fact, in this study, participants supported CCAVG project on home-based HIV self-testing through an advertisement on their website; at this point, they received the HIV rapid test kit home and the results had to be emailed to CCAVG staff.

Typology of Funding Sources
Most bodies use private funds, as most of them are non-profit organizations, private NGOs and private universities, and the funds come mainly from donations. Many CDC-approved programs have both public and private funding sources, as CDCs (public bodies) are in turn financed in part by CDC Foundation (private body), which is a body independent from CDCs.

State or city of Realization of Activity and Type of Population
Most of the studies took place in the USA, especially in New York, which accounts for 6 studies out of 25 selected articles, demonstrating that the fight against AIDS is very active and this activity is facilitated by the fact that there are neighbourhoods in the city which host different ethnicities that facilitate their achievement. In addition, NYC is particularly affected by HIV, at a rate almost 3 times the national average.

MSMs, drug addicts and sex workers are the most vulnerable ethnicities, and all the studies conducted in the most industrialized countries focused their research on them, with the difference that in the USA studies were also aimed at African-Americans and Hispanics, given their wide presence in that country and their high percentages of infections.

Research in less-industrialized countries, such as some parts of Vietnam, South Africa and Uganda, was based on the achievement of poor and rural areas by providing rapid test to families with home-based test modality, so to general population and not just to some ethnicity.

Particular, instead, the Liverpool study, which offered HIV rapid test to communities where the most at risk ethnic groups are present, in a context such as that of the United Kingdom, where the prevalence of people with HIV is low.

With 10 articles out of 25, MSMs are the most popular research population and are most focused on actively offering rapid test.

Percentage of HIV Incidence on the Population
In all studies involved in these articles, a HIV prevalence higher than 0.1% has been observed, a threshold above which the HIV test has a cost/benefit (Ministry of Health, IRCCS Spallanzani of Rome, 2015).

Considering, however, studies involving only MSM population, the percentages are higher. In the description of the French project it is indicated that MSMs account for 40% of the new annual diagnosis, 60 times as much as the general population, in Beijing, 15% of the tested people were positive to the HIV self-test.
Conclusions

The analysis carried out confirms the theory that rapid tests can reduce the time of diagnosis of HIV infection and can immediately orientate to the cure, causing the cases of immunodeficiency and the related higher costs. The study confirms the community-based rapid HIV test as a useful tool for diagnosing and activating prevention activities outside the hospital context on targets that cannot be reached differently. Regardless of the State in which HIV rapid tests have been administered, these represent an excellent diagnostic tool to reach the rural or poor population where accessibility to test for various reasons is limited or to test populations where the risk behaviour has a greater incidence of HIV infection, such as most tested MSM population. The study confirms that the policies of the WHO and CDC of the introduction of the test are cost efficient and effective but only taking into account some organizational considerations taken from the literature and the projects analysed. The theoretical gap concerning the organizational and economic elements is largely healed in the analysis conducted. Almost all the projects described are either made by Public Bodies or realized and funded by universities with the approval of scientific committees of National Public Authorities, in most cases these are prevention projects related to scientific research. Articles dealing with the presence of staff, both voluntary and non-voluntary, are adequately trained, in most cases they are health professionals who carry out volunteering activities using their own clinical skills. It is logical that the employed staff have specific training as a diagnostic health service. In almost all the projects there is a counselling service, so there is at least the presence of pre-test and post-test counselling in order to increase the success of the diagnosis and prevention path even in case of non-responsiveness of the test. In articles where it is reported that there is a relationship with HIV/AIDS clinical public diagnosis and treatment bodies that are used for the undertaking, confirming the outcome of HIV rapid test and the start of the care; this kind of relationship should always be present between community based organizations and public bodies in order to integrate the diagnosis service and facilitate access to care. In all articles, there is the period of administration but not the frequency of test administration, this aspect must be consistent with the administration setting, the tested population and the reference epidemiological situation. The costs of organizing a community based service for HIV test administration are high for fixed costs (staff, rent of means or administration spaces) while being contained and sometimes lower in terms of variable costs (HIV rapid tests of 4th generation, administration material) compared to hospital ones, from cost-effectiveness point of view, this service has always the potential for early diagnosis by affecting lower hospital spending, preventing clinically aggravated cases with decreased CD4 and acquired immunodeficiency. Studies highlight that, in most cases, it is helpful to activate HIV test programs to reach the population, but these services must not be substitutes for hospitals and in some conditions, where the population is already accustomed at periodic tests, they would lead to additional costs instead of saving for the system. The organization of diagnostic services using HIV rapid tests that take into account the analysed elements can lead to the success of the various rapid-response HIV test initiatives, ensuring savings on the healthcare system and a parallel increase in the quality of the therapy. The results identified make it possible to state that rapid HIV testing projects should be implemented permanently, and that each state based on epidemiological and economic characteristics should create procedures that take into account all the elements presented.
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