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Abstract
Logical reasoning ability refers to the students’ ability to understand logical work through concepts and problems. Logical reasoning is one of the fundamental skill that is used for effective thinking. Fake news is a spread of inaccurate information in the internet and other forms of media. It is made either to misinform or deceive the reader most inevitably the students. The researcher aims to assess the relationship between logical reasoning ability and students’ vulnerability towards fake news. There are 240 respondents to answer the given survey questionnaire. All grade 11 and grade 12 students in the strand of STEM, HUMSS, GAS, ABM and TVL-Drafting in Jagobiao National High School- Senior High School Department were requested to answer the questionnaire in rating scale. The methodology in this study is quantitative survey using a check-list and NCAE result to gather data. The data have been analyzed using chi-square to determine if there is an association between logical reasoning ability and students’ vulnerability towards fake news. The result showed that there are no significant association between logical reasoning ability and students vulnerability towards fake news. Based on these findings, it was recommended that the parents, teachers, and school should put their guard on their children for them not to be easily deceit by fake news. It has been recommended that they should provide facts to students and encourage them to combat against the spread of false information.
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Introduction
Fake news is a spread of false stories in the internet and other forms of media. Fake news has no basis but seems factually accurate because such content is not questioned or reviewed. Logical reasoning or logic is the process of using rational in solving a problem. It is one of essential skills use for effective thinking. Logic is always reasonable and requires a person’s deeper understanding in any situations that can be mathematical equations or real life experiences.
Meanwhile, student’s vulnerability refers to the students who get easily affected by unbelievable and false information.

The researchers believed that logical reasoning ability has a great influence on how students identify fake news. Students misjudged immediately because they only focused on the appearance of information rather than its content. Fake news can easily spread through the use of gadgets and it is one of the disadvantages in today’s technology advancement. Now, as people engage more in social media websites, they will see trends and see various post that include news, pictures, and many others which can directly affect their mindset towards certain things, people, scenarios to a great extent. Due to the advancement of technology, fake news has a wide reach to the internet and most people fall for such news because it seems factual. Hence, students get easily influenced by the fake news because they still have young minds and little knowledge on factual data. With unreliable news and cynical reports feed on the young consumers, students misjudged the concept of most significant events in media and cyber world. The importance of distinguishing reports is for the students to put their guard on the untruthful posts and focus on educational articles with facts. Furthermore, the prominences of news are oftentimes neglected because nowadays, it is hard to identify which one is to believe. The widespread of fake news is causing misinformation to young adults keeping them apart from the truth. In addition, the internet with great advantage of easy communication also put youngsters to vulnerability. Most students depend on researchers and most researchers are falsified making data on the internet unreliable. Logical thinking ability in understanding data should be taught to students as they play a great part on the media. Logical reasoning of students is very important because it gives them the capability to understand what they have read and been seen. In the world of internet, students with great thinking ability will never be in a state of vulnerability as they know how to distinguish false from facts. Logical thinking is essential for students to evaluate news and feed their mind with resourceful information. To never underestimate the amount of fake news that reaches them this is alternately gullible and cynical. Students must learn to discern facts from fiction and truth from lies. Moreover, students have to verify sources, questions, and think logically. An ability to succeed as a student and functional adult will depend on students’ ability to balance truth and confidence with a healthy skepticism.

According to Bouhnik and Giat (2009) most students do not acquire the proper skills needed in today’s information era and many students do not have the ability to search efficiently for information. According to Lubis and Nasution (2017) students may already experience much about logic but it is not assurance that they have better understanding about logic. According to Sezen and Bulbul (2011) logic determines the right thinking which is also known as a tool for effective thinking.

Consequently, this study aims to assess the association of the students logical reasoning ability and students’ vulnerability towards fake news among students in Jagobiao National High School.

Theoretical Background

Howard Gardner’s Multiple Intelligence Theory

This study is supported by Howard Gardner’s Multiple Intelligence Theory, proposed by Howard Gardner (1983). The theory tackled about the different types of intelligence that relate to a person’s uniquely capabilities and ways they prefer to establish intellectual abilities. By knowing these
intelligences, student’s will know how to develop their strengths and confidence to improve fields in which they are not strong.

**Verbal-linguistic intelligence** is the capacity to understand the complexities of sentence structure, word structure, meaning and pronunciation. People with linguistic intelligence love words and has reach of vocabularies.

**Logical-mathematical intelligence** is the capacity to process information through logic and reason. People with logical mathematical intelligence often seeks evidence, facts, accuracy in what they do.

**Spatial-visual intelligence** is the capacity to be aware of their surroundings and are good at remembering images. People with spatial visual intelligence learn well through the use of visual arts, drawings and pictures.

**Bodily-kinesthetic intelligence** is the capacity to learn through movement and experimentation. People with kinaesthetic intelligence perform well in dancing, recreational activities and many others that exert physical efforts.

**Musical intelligence** is the capacity to make sound and tone easily. Some people with musical intelligence are good at singing, composing songs and playing instruments.

**Interpersonal intelligence** is the capacity to thrive with social interaction. Interaction with people and lively discussions is what how a person who has interpersonal intelligence learn.

**Intrapersonal intelligence** is the capacity to figure out their own feelings. People with intrapersonal intelligence enjoy making journals because it helps them learn about themselves and also good at helping others to know and understand themselves.

**Naturalist intelligence** is the capacity to be sensitive and appreciate nature. People with naturalist intelligence love recognizing and categorizing plants, animals, and other object in nature.

**Existential intelligence** is the capacity of human mind to think about the existence of life, death and beyond. People with existential intelligence have a hard belief in what they see as true. Proofs of their beliefs may or may not be existed but they know what they believe and they stand by it.

There are nine intelligences of Howard Gardner but logical-mathematical is much related to the study. Logical-mathematical can be classified as problem solving and calculations. Problem solving involves organizing and investigating while calculations involves numbers. A person who possesses this skill has the capacity to think for themselves, question and find truth. Their decisions are based on factual data therefore they do not easily influence by unrealistic reports.

In general, a student who has logical-mathematical intelligence can be good at distinguishing unbelievable reports for they always seek accuracy in their work but there is also a possibility that they get vulnerable by unrealistic information. It may depend on students’ perception or situation.

**Purpose Statement**

The study intends to determine the relationship between logical reasoning ability and students’ vulnerability towards fake news. Specifically, the study seeks to answer the following sub-problem:

1. What is the profile of National Career Assessment Examination results of the students?
2. What is the students level of vulnerability towards fake news?
3. Is there any relationship between logical reasoning ability and students’ vulnerability towards fake news?

**Hypothesis:**
H0: There is no relationship between logical reason ability and students’ vulnerability towards fake news
H1: There is a relationship between logical reasoning ability and students’ vulnerability towards fake news

Significance of the Study
The results of the study will be a great benefit to the following:
The study will provide information to the students regarding their logical reasoning ability in dealing with fake news. They will know the extent when they have logical reasoning with fake news. They will also know the level of their vulnerability.
The given data will guide the teachers on what to do to improve the students logical reasoning ability by teaching them to cite sources, questions and verify the validity of information. The teacher will be able to understand why some student fall for such fake news and later on, help them.
Like the teachers, the parents and guardians too will understand why their children can be easily deceit by fake news. The given data would help them do some precautionary measures to help their sons and daughters from believing false information.
The result of this study will help the community strengthen their knowledge on fake news. They will help out those young individuals to have proper reasoning to avoid the widespread of fake news. The community will give advice to youngsters to always check the validity of what they have read and been seen. Thus, it will lessen their vulnerability towards fake news.
This research will be a useful reference for the future researchers who would plan to make any related study about logical reasoning ability and students vulnerability towards fake news. They will gain new insights regarding on how students behave in today's information era. This study will give them proper guidelines on how logical reasoning influences the students in identifying fake news.

Definition of Terms
The researcher gives meaning to the following terminologies: Logical Reasoning Ability is taken from National Career Assessment Examination (NCAE) and it refers to the process of using rational in solving a problem.
Students Vulnerability refers to the student who believes fake news easily.
Fake News is false information coming from any forms of media for example Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, etc.

Review of Related Literature and Study
The study “Fake News: Logical Reasoning Ability and Students’ Vulnerability” was supported by several articles.
To enhance the students’ capacity to think rationally it is required for them to have a basic thinking aptitudes at their secondary school (Bouhnik & Giat, 2009). Consistent thinking may improve the learning of students by teachers instructing strategies (Noor, Hassan & Ahmad, 2017). School
outstandingly instructional exercises within the style of collective argument analysis ends up in important development within the analytical reasoning adeptness of learners and also within the sensible understanding of information (Cullen, Fan, Brugge & Elga, 2018). In teaching logical reasoning, understudies can be prepared together with the instructing materials that gives direct encounters and useful issues (Yenilmez, Sungur & Tekkaya, 2017).

Teaching logic in secondary school gives a superb chance to learners to grow elevated level thinking abilities with the goal that they can apply them in real life circumstances (Marou & Kalospyros, 2011). Students will perform better academically and will be set up for their rigors and scholarly expectations of their next college journey if they build up the capacity to think basically and their present secondary school (Changuong, Sukkamart & Sisan, 2018).

Students’ emotions have something to do with their legitimate thinking (Jung, Wranke, Hamburger & Knauff, 2018). Sometimes the content itself will contribute the complexity of the issue, however its role will rely upon the idea of task given (Yang & Johnson-Laird, 2002). Students decision about what’s the reality and lie is hard to the point that in any event, when they are inspired to do it, students frequently struggle to comprehend it unless they have some information on fundamental logical instruments (Epp, 2003). Their thinking does not show a better comprehensions of the conditions of the circumstances even a few understudies may know the right answer (Lubis & Nasution, 2017). Students are expected to have logical reasoning because legitimate thinking is not gender-sensitive (Agah, 2016).

Cognitive style predicts day by day issue and this relationship impacted by consistent thinking (Perzuti, Artístico, Chirumbolo, Picones & Doward, 2014). A portion of the understudies go to the school with logical approach and some do not. The significant point here is that, learners already build their intellectual aptitudes at the age of 16-18 and they assumed to think in logical way and ought to do objects and abstracts (Bektashi & White, 2012). Thus, it is necessary for the students to participate in exercises that will improve their capacity to think sensibly (Ongcoy, 2016). Since logic and basic reasoning are valid and sound thinking this means to live without these is to live without reason (Emeka & Shukwudi, 2018).

Exposure to the facts is needed for the students before social media, search engines and memetic discordance can completely change of what has been said (Albright, 2017). According to the informative democratic standards, the inability to access information and struggling to understand false news as an issue were alarming (Scheufele & Krause, 2018). Gossips that are refutable makes a distinctive blast that in the quantity of retweets considerably more than bits of gossip that have been demonstrated adequate or deficient (Zubiaga, Liakata, Procter, Sakhoi & Tolmie, 2016). For instance, many of Facebook users have exposed more fake news articles in their networks (Guess, Nugler & Tucker, 2019). Fake news is viewed on social media from different angles due to the centralized gatekeeping debate by certain influential or famous online users (Al-Rawi, 2018).

The students who conclude that fake news is more credible is the students who overstate their level of information (Pennycook & Rand, 2018). Claims that promote disinformation who has been produced by students fail to later question and change their attitude initially (Chan, Jones, Jamieson & Albarracín, 2017). It is so hard for readers to detect false news from true stories (Kumar & Shah, 2018). Issues related to fake stories and exploitation in digital environment appear to be the subject of many public debate, including from the traditional media due to the internet’s role in modern societies (Cunha, Caetano, Magno & Almeida, 2018). Diffusion of misinformation by means
of online networking is a possible risk to democracy and wider society (Alcott, Gentzkow & Yu, 2019). Despite the fact that students are bored and cynical about official news they are not usually paying attention about current events (Marchi, 2012). Students must rely primarily on news content and limited information relevant to society and face multiple challenges to detect false news during its life span at an early stage (Zhou & Zabarani, 2018). Students may adapt and become less vulnerable to dissemination if they become aware of the presence of fake news on social media (Aymanns, Foerster & Georg, 2017). Students should be encouraged that sharing of news and information can affect their socialites (Pangrazio, 2018). Early media literacy help empower students against the risk of disinformation and may therefore the best prevention (Roozenbeek & van der Linden, 2018).

Research Methodology

This chapter discusses about the design, environment, respondents, instruments and data gathering that will be used in the research study.

Design

The study was designed to examine the descriptive correlation between logical reasoning ability and students vulnerability towards fake news.

Environment

The study will be conducted in Jagobiao National High School- Senior High School department. The Senior High School building consist of two buildings and it can be seen in the lower part of Junior High School building. The first building has six rooms while the second building has it rooms which is occupied by grade 11 and grade 12 students. The classrooms composed of the following strands: Accountancy, Business and Management (ABM), Humanities and Social Sciences (HUMSS), General Academic Strand (GAS), Science, Technological, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM), and Technical Vocational and Livelihood-Drafting (TVL-Drafting).

Respondents

The chosen respondents of the study are all Senior High School students particularly grade 11 and grade 12 students. A total of 240 respondents from Senior High Department and these are the ones who would answer the following questions to achieve the research objectives.

Instruments

The researcher used checklist-questionnaire that is composed of 1 part only. The respondents will answer a 10-item question about the level of their vulnerability towards fake news. The questionnaire is consisting of questions that are answerable by 1-5 that stands for: (1) Never; (2) Seldom; (3) Sometimes; (4) Often; and (5) Always. The researcher also used National Career Assessment Examination (NCAE) to get the students result of their Logical Reasoning Ability test which is available in the school records.
Data Gathering
The researcher will ask permission from the school head using a transmittal letter. The respondents will be given the questionnaire and the researcher will give them enough time to answer the questions. The researcher will give appreciation to the respondents for the cooperation of the study. The data gathered by the researcher will be used for the analysis, interpretation, findings, conclusion and recommendation of the study.

Statistical Treatment
This study used weighted mean to get the mean of the level of vulnerability of the students. The study used Chi-Square test to determine whether there is significant difference between the expected frequencies and the observed frequencies in one or more categories.

Presentation, Analysis and Interpretation of Data
This chapter presents the findings, presentations, analysis and interpretations of data that aims to determine the extent of students logical reasoning and the level of their vulnerability towards fake news.

Table 1

Logical Reasoning Ability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraordinary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>240</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scale: (0-200) Poor; (201-400) Fair; (401-600) Good; (601-800) Logical; (801-1000) Extraordinary

The table above shows that out of 240 respondents, 151 of the respondents have good logical reasoning with a percentage of 62.9 percent. The respondents have poor and logical reasoning with the same percentage of 18.3 percent. The least percentage of the respondents who have extraordinary logical reasoning have a percentage of 0.4 percent. In addition, the result shows that there is no students who have very poor logical reasoning. To improve students’ academic performance logical reasoning must be given an utmost importance in teaching (Noor, Hassan & Ahmad, 2017). It requires the ability to think critically in any situations that need a creativity in solving problems and showing particular insights into possible solutions and also requires a learner to trust their abilities in doing such task (Changwong, Sukkamart & Sisan, 2018). At the beginning of instruction, teachers need to know the extent of students’ logical reasoning ability so he/she could provide the teaching materials, teaching strategies and other things that is necessary to every students to learn (Ongcoy, 2016).
Table 2

**Students Vulnerability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>WM</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. I believe news I just read in the internet.</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. I judge quickly without examining the truthfulness of its content</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>Seldom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>whenever I hear a rumor.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I believe that news does not contain any malicious distortion of truth</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I do not use skepticism in every news I read.</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. I can hardly identify news whether it is fake or factual.</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I do not ask if the news that was posted by famous personalities in</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>facebook was true.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. I do not go to the news sources if I doubt the validity of what I read</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. I do not express my opinion if my friend talks about something that is</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trend and just go with the flow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. I unreluctantly share a post in Facebook if I find it interesting.</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. I easily believe information posted by strangers in social media such as facebook, Instagram, and Twitter..</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall weighted Mean</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scale: (1.00-1.80) Never; (1.81-2.60) Seldom; (2.61-3.40) Sometimes; (3.41-4.20) Often; (4.21-5.00) Always.

The table above shows the overall weighted mean of level of vulnerability of the students towards fake news, weighted mean=2.85. it means that students are sometimes vulnerable in fake news. The statement "I believe news I just read in the internet" has the highest weighted mean with 3.17 that signify that they are sometimes vulnerable in fake news by believing the news they just read in the internet. It is more effective when people who experience falsified messages counter-argue the misinformation that reaches them (Chan, Jones, Jamieson & Albaraccin, 2017). "I unrelunctly share a post in Facebook if I find it interesting" has the second highest weighted mean with 3.11 which signify that students are sometimes vulnerable by being unreluctant to share a post in Facebook. The third highest weighted mean of 2.99 state that "I can hardly identify news whether it is fake or factual" it signifies that sometimes they can hardly identify news whether it is fake or factual. The statement "I judge quickly without examining the truthfulness of its content whenever I hear a rumor" has the least weighted mean of 2.54 which means that they seldom judge without examining the truthfulness of the rumor. "I easily believe information posted by strangers in social media such as in Facebook, Instagram, and Tweeter" has the second lowest mean of 2.62 which means that they sometimes believe information being posted by strangers. Students often got their news from their social networks though they can be informed by their peer, family, and strangers (Marchi, 2017). Lastly, the indicator that has 2.6 weighted mean statement that "I do not use skepticism in every news i read" this signifies that they are sometimes skeptical in every news i read. Primarily, based misinformation can be determined by means of matching it towards a knowledge based statistics (Kumar and Shah, 2018).
Table 3
**Frequency of Level of Vulnerability of Students**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completely Vulnerable</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Vulnerable</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly Vulnerable</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Vulnerable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above shows that out of 240 respondents, 144 of the respondents are moderately vulnerable towards fake news with a percentage of 60 percent. The second highest percentage is 32.9 percent, means that the respondents are vulnerable. The respondents are slightly vulnerable with a percentage of 5.4 percent. While, 1.7 percent of the respondents are completely vulnerable towards fake news. The respondents are not totally vulnerable towards fake news with zero percent. The spread of misinformation can be influenced by network connectivity (Foerster & Georg, 2017). Students who experienced fake news increases in social media such as Facebook and Twitter through the end of the year (Alcott, Gentzkow & Yu, 2019). However, by prioritizing content or subject that are worth checking, students ability in identifying worthy content will improve the efficiency of fake news detection (Zhou & Zafarani, 2018).

Table 4
**Fake news: Logical Reasoning Ability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Asymp. Sig (2-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-square</td>
<td>5.653a</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Valid Cases</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table shows that there is no significant association between logical reasoning ability and students vulnerability towards fake news because the significant value is .774 which is greater than the alpha 0.05. The decision of the result is failed to reject the hypothesis (H). Though student possess adequate logical reasoning it does not prevent them from being vulnerable to fake news. Sometimes, teaching logic does not influence students logical reasoning ability (Epp, 2003). Students tend to be tolerant of refutable rumours (Zubiaga, Liakata, Procter, Sak Hoi & Tolmie, 2016). However, students become vulnerable to fake due to lack of reasoning (Pennycook & Rand, 2018).

**Summary of Findings, Conclusion, Recommendation**

This chapter presents the summary and the major findings of the study. Based on these findings, the conclusions are made and recommendations were suggested.
Summary of Findings

The data reveals that most of the Senior High School students has good logical reasoning. Therefore, a student who may have or may not have high logical reasoning ability can still be influenced by unrealistic information.

The result of overall mean has been interpreted as sometimes. Students vulnerability of fake news may depend on the situations they are into.

There was no positive correlation between logical reasoning ability and students’ vulnerability towards fake news. Based on the findings, logical reasoning ability does not measure the vulnerability of Senior High School students.

Conclusions

Howard Gardner who proposed the multiple intelligence theory mentions that logical-mathematical intelligence is the students’ capacity to process information through logic and reason. Students who possess this skill often seeks evidence, facts, accuracy in their work. Meanwhile, fake news becomes alarming in school and social media. Some high school students do not know how to identify the credibility of information in the internet. Since it becomes difficult for students to discern fact from fiction, they immediately judge the content of a particular thing without examining its truthfulness. Logical reasoning in this situation is very important skill that every student should possess for it enables them to identify and interpret the complexity of a situation. Good judgement enables students to think rationally and also decides student’s prescient course of action (Emeka & Chukwudi, 2018). On the contrary, even if they possess adequate logical reasoning ability it is not an assurance that they could avoid the fake news that reaches them. However, the result of the study reveals that logical reasoning ability does not impacted student’s susceptibility in dealing false news. The absence of counter-evidence is one of the common reasons why student support unverified rumor (Zubiaga, Liakata, Procter, Sak Hoi & Tolmie, 2016). Students logical reasoning can be broaden by familiarizing abstract and logical formulas and applying them instinctively in any logical situations (Epp, 2003).

Recommendations

Based in the finding and discussion of this study, the researcher made the following recommendations:

1. Students still need to understand and know more about the importance of distinguishing false news.
2. Parents should pay attention regarding on how their children behave in today's information era and do some precautionary measures to lessen the vulnerability of their children.
3. The school should be aware on students’ behavior in dealing with fake news. The school should provide facts to students and encourage them to fight against the spread of inaccurate information.
4. Future researchers need to study more about the relations of human reasoning and their vulnerability in dealing with fake news.
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