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Abstract
Organizational citizenship behaviour (OCB) is most chosen topics that has being discussed year by year, time by time, among researchers and practitioners. (Karam et al, 2011). A part of that, OCB are the behaviour that not only for the formal tasks but also for conducted the extra role in the organization. (Carpenter et al, 2014.). This current paper basically will review the several type of OCB, consequences of OCB that novelty of this paper, author will discussed about positive and negative side of OCB, Beside that, author will propose the conceptual framework that can be take into consideration in future research. Furthermore, author also found ten (10) trend of OCB in this 21st Century that can be the next topic to be discussed in the future in order to get the benefit from OCB dimensions. This paper also have the resources that finds the article which are used the systematic literature review, author use the correct keywords and make sure the eligibility of the information needed. Hence, several keywords were identified to conduct the literature review. In the case of this study, the necessary and relevant supporting materials were obtained using electronic data bases available at the university’s library databases which include Scopus, SAGE, Academia, and Research Gate. Lastly, author included the conclusion part which are their hopes and beneficial elements to all the reader.
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Introduction
Organizational Citizenship Behaviour
According to Organ 1988, OCB defined are related to the self determination to give extra role for the organizational outcome and not only focusing to the reward in term of monetary purpose only this is the important element of OCB (Smith et al, 1983). In particular, altruism can be defines as helping attitude directed to individual, while general compliance mentions to a helping behaviour directed
to organization. This is the earlier definition of OCB and the term become wider time to time according to the organization and new outcome of researcher.

The generally accepted dimensions by author Chahal and Mehta, 2010, discussed as OCB under definition by Organ (1988) and Podsakoff et all (1990) that the most referring in OCB field:

i. **Altruism**: Altruism can be defined as the helping behaviour that as a team of the organization, we need to help others when they having any problems in order to have good result of the organization. This attitude is very important to the high demand industry like hospital, hotel and banking industry.

ii. **Conscientiousness**: Factors that contribute to the attitude of conscience include upholding the law, being on time after a timely break. This dimension will teach the staff to respect other time and not wasting and extended the time that will be messy up other.

iii. **Sportsmanship**: This is a willingness to accept less than desirable conditions without complaining, and to refrain from behaviours such as complaining and trivial grievances. Practices of sportsmanship among staff have allowed them to avoid any spread of problems within or outside the department and to develop forgiveness behaviour.

iv. **Civic Virtue**: These are actions on the part of individuals that show that they are responsible and rationally concerned about the existence of the organization. This is the important factor to make sure every staff have their own self reminder to be a part of the organization and active in their team when having any occasion/event.

v. **Courtesy**: OCB's element that also include the politeness a one of the dimensions. This behaviour is import to develop the staff respectfully each other and if the problems occurred in the organization, they will not be preventing world related problems among each other's. They will try to always to serve the best to patient and co-worker.

In addition, it should be illustrious that OCB has been extensively studied under different terms such as civic organizational behaviour by Graham et al 1991, extra-role behaviour (Vandynee et al, 1995), contextual performance (Motowidlo et al, 1999), perceived organizational membership (Masterson et al, 2003), and compulsory citizenship behaviour (Vigoda-Gadot et al,2006). Therefore, it can be determined that several concepts of OCB tend to result in the inadequate definition of this construct.

In conjunction with that, Williams and Anderson (Williams et al, 1991) have further categorized OCB into individuals or organizations by respectively representing them as OCBI and OCBO. In 2017, based on the review from The Journal of Applied Psychology stress out that OCB is the topic that will never stop being discovery because their impact towards the employee and organization outcome. (Kozlowski, Chen, & Salas, 2017). It is clearly telling the research OCB is the topic that always being relevance to studies in the future.

**Types of Organization Citizenship Behaviour**

This approach has successfully been used as far back as 1900s, when as described by Organ (1988) and Organ, Podsakoff, and MacKenzie (2006), lots of organizational scholar are agreed that OCB are the most arguing topic because this is not only done the formal task but also informal tasks that need to increase by the employee. For instance, Barnard (1938), he reported that as an employee we need to helps other; besides the researcher also suggested that element of sustainable or loyalty employee should develop in the organization (Organ, 1977, p. 50).

From the author reading, Bateman and Organ (1983) published the first empirical study of OCB stated that when employee engagement with the organization, the level of OCB will higher and the
employee will take care the asset, have a good time of training and will be more satisfy with any event in the organization.

Over the past 35 years, researchers have identified a number of different types of OCBs (Figure 1.0), and several author that put their efforts to write and do research about OCB and connected with other variable that can lead to the better employee performance and organizational outcomes (Podsakoff et al., 2000).

Figure 1.0 are telling the readers that OCB are the behaviour that are really important and will always being studies time by time to increase and fill the gaps after studying about this topic. This consequence of OCB will be explored time by time and will be improvise in the future to have more positive impact but maybe now the researcher also finds out that OCB also can bring the negative impact if the management don’t know how to manage this.

From the author review about the factor culture also can affect the OCB in term of the diversity of the employee. That being supported by Ang & Dyne, (2015), agreed that culture also as the predicator to increase the OCB in term of sharing the language and culture of a country. Respectful of other culture will bring the good value for increasing the OCB in an employee.
Regarding the many type of the OCB, it’s made the initiative by Le Pine, Erez, and Johnson (2002), to do the meta-analysis of OCB. The finding is found that the numerous types of OCB are highly correlated each other have their value to the organization outcome. However, as discussed by Bolino and Grant (2016), there are still have inconsistency of measuring the meaning of OCB.

From the great author knowledge, no matter how OCB are being conceptualize the benefits of OCB can be stated as “beyond the call of duty” and obviously being participant behaviours that help other specific individuals and that help the organization, this may involve observing the obligations of the organization or challenging them in a positive way, but it may also involve acting in a manner or performing tasks at such a high level or with such care that it exceeds what might normally be expected of the employee.

Consequences of OCB – Positive and Negative Aspects

The study by Chughtai and Zafar, 2006; Khalid and Ali, 2005; Meyer et.al, 1997; Podsakoff and Mackenzie, 1997 stated that OCB has a key predictor or antecedence of the job performance. Generally, it has been debated organization that have higher level of OCB in the employee will helps the company to reduce the tendency of turnover and negative behaviour like absenteeism and immoral attitude by the employee.

The empirical or previous study keep on debated about the consequences of having OCB. These dimensions are explained below by Chughtai and Zafar, 2006; Khalid and Ali, 2005; Meyer et.al, 1997; Podsakoff and Mackenzie, 1997:

i. Reduced absenteeism
ii. Reduced turnover and tendency of turnover.
iii. Employee’s retention
iv. Employees’ satisfaction
v. Consumer satisfaction.
vi. Consumer loyalty

From the best level of author knowledge, the consequences of OCB also can illustrated in the negative ways instead of positive outcome. Indeed, the basic of OCB outcome may increase the pressure of employee if there are too kind all the time (Bolino et al., 2010; Bolino et al., 2015). Consequently, in the further research the outcome of OCB needs to be fair in both perceptive not only what the employer wants. Besides, to overcome the matter, the measure of both side which are employee and employee should be taken in consideration (Chahal & Mehta, 2011).

Based on the article by Harvey et al, (2018), instead of discussing about antecedents of OCB, the researcher also discussed about consequences of OCB which are: positive and negative outcome, negative employee outcome and group and organization outcome. All the consequences are discussed in detailed and also mention the researcher that done the study about it. Surprisingly, there are lots of researcher that found the negative or bad side of OCB instead of positive impact, Bergeron (2007) and Bergeron et al. (2013, 2014) instead of OCB re great attitude but if the organization failed to address it the wise way, it will make the employee frustrated. Bolino and Turnley (2005) and
Halbesleben et al. (2009), studies about OCB also lead to negative consequences like job stress, frustration and demotivated. Bolino et al. (2015), initiate that OCB stress that OCB can make employee feel exhausted and stressful and that are supported by the research of Klotz and Bolino (2013); Bolino and Klotz (2015); and Yam et al. (2017); Koopman et al. (2016) agreed with their empirical result that OCB will be more decrease goal and lead to hurt job satisfaction and lead to stress. All this studies, reveal that OCB in the new era of 21st Century and there must have to take extra attention for all the researcher for their future studies.

Dyne and Ellis (2004) developed a theoretical model that shown the impact if the OCB are not being treated well by the employer towards the employee which can lead losing of the great employee in the organization in the future. Some scholars have criticized the process used to introduce OCB-related constructs (LePine et al., 2002). For example, most research which proposed new types of OCB used factor analysis to identify and support different types of OCB. (Spitzmuller, Van Dyne, & Illies, 2008) Unfortunately, however, very few studies have inspected the potential conceptual overlap of the resulting constructs (Van Dyne and colleagues, 1995; and LePine et al., 2002).

For the attention of researcher, there are few research on the consequences of performing OCB for those who perform OCB. As a researcher, all of us need to find the solution and give the attention to make sure OCB are being directly in a good outcome rather that wrongly negatively (Bateman and Organ, 1983; Park and Van Dyne, 2006).

Managerial Implications
Podsakoff and Mackenzie, 1997 reported that OCB can give lots of benefit to organization performance like reduce turnover, absenteeism and low motivation. The reflection of the good effect of OCB need to be manage and understand well by the top management in order to strengthening the correlation with consumers, enhancing organizational image and eventually organizational performance. Better understanding of the individual behaviour in organization (OCB) will help the industry player in all type of organization. (Meyer et al., 2007). Hence, pursuing such type of study, at local, national and global levels, could provide roadmap for the organizations in strengthening the relationship with customers, building robust image and competitive advantage over competitors through customer’s satisfaction and loyalty.
Based on the conceptual framework above, author suggested that in the future studies this will give the empirical result that will show the most significant factors among the dimension of OCB that contribute to the negative outcome of OCB. Furthermore, it will generate the new ideas and argument based on the previous study that have done by another researcher. And the main objective is to fill the gaps where there a few studies of negative outcome of OCB held. Besides that, the author also suggest that the consequences of OCB will lead to individual and organizational effect.

For decades OCB has been of attention to researchers and industry player alike, generating a significant amount of research exploring the concept of what citizenship behaviour is, and its antecedents, correlates, and consequences. All these while OCB have been treasured and will continue to be valuable, there are changes in the workplace that have the potential to modify what types of OCBs will remain important for organizations in the future, as well as what types of opportunities for OCB happen for employees. To the best author knowledge, study by Harvey et al, 2018, they build on these trends that others have identified as having the potential to shape the workplace of the future, which include:
Although over 40 years there are proven that OCB helps the organization to go beyond when the employee are go beyond of their work scope. However, the world of work has changed since the 1930s, or even the 1980s, and researchers have identified several trends that will characterize the workplace of the twenty-first century based on the technologies changes, lots of employee need to have the balance well-being and being understood by the organization (Harvey et al, 2018).

**Conclusion**

It has been decades since Katz (1964) observed that to increase the effectively organization its start from the extra mile’s employee. Since that time, it has become transparent that OCBs help both individuals and organizations (Bolino et al., 2002; Organ et al., 2006; Podsakoff et al., 1997, 2009; Podsakoff & MacKenzie, 1997), which suggests that they will continue to be part of organizational life in the future. In this paper, the studies also found the negative consequences of OCB that need to have more attentive in order to retain the employee and stay longer at the organization. Hence, the trends of OCB in this 21st century need to be alert of all the manager in order to fill the loops of the employee and world needed.

In conclusion, OCB has shown to bring important the good consequences toward both parties but its not possible to became negative effect if the organization are not well managing the great employee that have high level of OCB because it may turn to demotivated employee and maybe the organization will loose the great employee. This is supported by statement Vargo and Lusch, (2004) the well manage employee with high OCB will give the benefit to the service industry like hotel and airline that are not fully of the back to back working shift.

Hopefully, the current paper would be very useful in attracting more scholarly attention in conducting more individual- and organizational-related studies that can further contribute to the new
dimensions of organizational behaviour. Finally, the knowledge on this issue can be enhanced time to time.
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