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Abstract
Poly (2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide)(PPO) was successfully converted into hollow fiber carbon membrane for H₂/N₂ separation study. The ideal separation parameters were enhanced by tuning the pyrolysis temperature, heating rate, and thermal soak time utilizing the Robeson’s 2008 upperbound and commercial boundary to obtain maximum balanced point between permeability and ideal selectivity. Using this approach, the optimum H₂ permeability and H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity was 2868 Barrer and 586, respectively. SEM images depicted the surface of the PPO and carbon membranes were both dense, non-porous, symmetrical, and homogeneous. The estimated thickness of the carbon membranes was 14-15 µm. The permeability study indicated that the transport mechanism of the H₂ across the membrane layer was dominated by molecular sieving. Excessively high or very low pyrolysis temperature reduced the H₂ permeability and H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity. The H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity decreased against increasing heating rate as the H₂ and N₂ permeabilities increased significantly. Thermal soak time was highly effective in increasing the H₂ permeability and H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity. Both H₂ permeabilities and H₂/N₂ permselectivity from the binary test were considerably lower than the ideal separation values due to competitive gas transport through the membrane pore which was completely dominated by the larger N₂.
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Introduction
Owing to low capital costs and high efficiency in energy consumption, membrane technology in gas separation has been considered as a competitive alternative to replace or integrate with the existing conventional technology such as pressure swing adsorption, cryogenic distillation, and amine absorption (Ismail & David, 2001). Inorganic membranes, such as carbon membranes, which have good thermal and chemical resistance compared to polymeric membranes, have attracted increasing interest for gas separation under extreme conditions. Such robust membranes are highly promising in natural gas processing, landfill gas recovery, hydrogen recovery, olefin/paraffin separation, and air separation (Bhide & Stern,
In early development, most of the carbon membranes showed attractive high selectivity but normally at the expense of very low permeability (Ismail & David, 2001). After years of development, carbon membrane has demonstrated significant progress towards a more balanced performance, exhibiting both high selectivity and permeability. The capability for separation behavior, known as molecular sieving, is attributed to the pore size approaching the size of diffusing molecules and high porosity, thereby providing massive channels for the diffusion. This turbostratic structure of the carbon membrane can discriminate gases with similar kinetic diameters, such as O₂ and N₂.

The development of carbon membranes encompasses several critical variables, such as polymer precursor selection, pyrolysis temperature, heating rate, thermal soaking time, and heating atmosphere. Other variables include polymer structure modification, secondary materials, polymer solution concentration, and permeation conditions. In general, the common polymer precursors used for fabricating carbon membranes can be divided into polyimides and non-polyimides. Examples of polyimides are Kapton (Hatori, Yamada, & Shiraishi, 1992; Suda & Haraya, 1995), 6FDA-based polyimide (Geiszler & Koros, 1996; Jones & Koros, 1994; Ma, Lin, Wei, & Kniep, 2016), polyimide BPDA-pp’ODA (Hayashi, Mizuta, Yamamoto, Kusakabe, & Morooka, 1997), polyimide BPDA/pPDA (Fuertes & Centeno, 1998), polyimide BPDA-DDBT/DABA (Okamoto et al., 1999), and Matrimid (Sazali et al., 2015). Considering the high cost of most of the polyimides, alternatives and non-polyimide polymers have been used, such as poly(vinylidene chloride) (Rao & Sircar, 1993), poly(furfuryl alcohol) (Acharya at al., & Lerou, 1997; Chen & Yang, 1994), phenolic resin (Centeno & Fuertes, 1999; Katsaros et al., 1997), poly(p-phenylene oxide) (Yoshimune, Fujiwara, Suda, & Haraya, 2005), and novolac resin (Tanco et al., 2015).

Recently, hydrogen recovery has received attention because of the increasing demand for hydrogen, which is widely utilized in the petroleum industry, particularly hydroalkylation, hydrodesulfurization, and hydrocracking. Hydrogen is also regarded as an environmentally friendly energy carrier (Yun & Oyama, 2011). The hydrogen production requires separation and purification from other byproducts, such as N₂ in ammonia production. The research progress on carbon membranes for H₂/N₂ separation is summarized in Figure 1, which presents several excellent carbon membranes. The highest H₂ permeabilities were 6080 Barrer (Wang, Zeng, & Wang, 1996), 5387 Barrer (Zhang et al., 2014), and 5100 Barrer (Shusen, Meiyun, & Zhizhong, 1996), which were produced using unsupported thin films of phenol-formaldehyde (PFR) and resorcinol-formaldehyde (RFR) resins as precursors. The highest H₂/N₂ permselectivities were 1086 (Campo, Magalhães, & Mendes, 2010), 725 (Llosa Tanco, Pacheco Tanaka, & Mendes, 2015), and 614.7 (Kita, Yoshino, Tanaka, & Okamoto, 1997), which were produced using cellophane paper, tubular supported PFR/alumina, and thin-film polypyrrolone, respectively, as precursors. Llosa Tanco, Pacheco Tanaka, and Mendes (2015) fabricated an optimum carbon membrane that exhibited the best balance between permeability and permselectivity, which were 1731.3 Barrer and 725, respectively, with respect to the Robeson’s 2008 upperbound (Robeson, 2008) and commercial boundary (Go, Lee, Shamsudin, Kim, & Othman, 2016). The membrane was produced by vacuum-assisted dip-coating of mixture of PFR and boehmite sol, and then pyrolyzed at 550 °C with
heating rate and thermal soaking time of 1 °C/min and 2 h, respectively. The membrane was aged for 24 h and reactivated before testing. The deposited water reacted with the carbon active sites and formed oxygen functional groups, which caused a decrease in pore size that effectively hindered the N\textsubscript{2} diffusion.

Improving the performance of the carbon membrane is to increase the membrane productivity and efficiency. The selectivity and permeability need to be balanced and it is unique for different gas separation. Too high selectivity but extremely low permeability or vice versa is undesirable. Previous works have suggested several ways to improve the performances by adding secondary materials (Li et al., 2015; Teixeira et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015), discovering new materials (Itta & Tseng, 2011; Shusen et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 2009), altering the microstructure of the polymeric precursor (Li et al., 2014; Yoshimune et al., 2005). However, the values of the performance fall outside the desired region. Therefore, Robeson’s upperbound (Robeson, 2008) and the suggested boundary of commercially attractive (Go et al., 2016) as shown in Figure 1 can be used to guide the researchers to make sure the improvement of the permeability and selectivity is oriented to fall within the boundary and obtain the desired optimum points. From this point, necessary improvements such as addition of secondary materials can be continued accordingly. It has been reported that the microstructure of carbon membrane, in which eventually determines the gas diffusion and selectivity, can be controlled and adjusted by controlling the pyrolysis parameters which are pyrolysis temperature, heating rate and soak time (Saufi & Ismail, 2004). This work is to show that the carbon membrane pyrolysis and its corresponding performances can be directed into the optimum area of desirable permeability and selectivity. This is a simple and new approach to enhance the carbon membrane performance without integrating or introducing new secondary materials or creating new or micro-altering precursors. According to He and Hägg (2011), the pyrolysis temperature is the most dominant factor in affecting the permeability and ideal selectivity, followed by heating rate and thermal soak time which is used in this study.
Figure 1. $H_2/N_2$ performance of carbon membranes compared with the Robeson’s 2008 upperbound.

**Research Methodology - Materials and Method**

The PPO powder was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (US). A 20 wt% of PPO polymer solution was prepared using chloroform (purity 99.5%) as the solvent with vigorous stirring (1000 rpm) for 30 mins. Before it was spun into a hollow fiber polymeric membrane, the
The polymer solution was left for 30 mins at room temperature. The polymer solution was spun into a hollow fiber with the following parameters: air gap: 25 cm; polymer solution flowrate: 0.25 g/min; bore fluid: 125 ml/hr ethanol; receiving bath: ethanol.

The freshly spun hollow fiber was left inside the bath for a day, cut, and dried in the oven for 30 mins. The fiber was thermostabilized at 240 °C for 45 mins under a continuous supply of air (50 ml/min) and followed by pyrolysis under continuous nitrogen supply (50 ml/min). The pyrolysis temperature, heating rate, and thermal soak time were varied. All samples were kept inside a tight-closed desiccator.

A scanning electron microscope (SEM, Model Quanta FEG 450, FEI, USA) was used to capture the images of the samples’ cross-sections to analyze the morphology and estimate their thickness. Since the carbon membrane was brittle, epoxy was used to coat the samples before it was broken. The samples were crushed into powder for X-ray diffraction (XRD, Model PW 1820, Philips, USA) analysis to analyze the crystallinity of the samples. Small fragments of the samples were sent for thermogravimetric (TGA, Model STA6000, Perkin Elmer, USA) analysis.

A constant-pressure/variable-volume system was adopted to estimate the membrane flowrate in which a soap-bubble flow meter was used. For a single permeability test, the membrane fiber was bore-sided fed in which it was sealed at one end and opened at the other end to received pressurized gas. The test was beginning with H₂, followed by CH₄ and CO₂. The H₂ was also used to purge the membrane between the transitions from CH₄ to CO₂. The feed gauge pressure was 3.0 bar. The reading was taken after 18 hours of steady-state.

For the binary mixture experiment, the membrane was open-ended at both ends. The schematic diagram is shown in Figure 2. The mixing tank was continuously purged to the atmosphere at 10 ml/min to preserve the feed concentration. The concentration of the feed gas was tuned using flow rate controllers and fine-tuned using pressure regulators placed before the controllers. The retentate was controlled with a flow controller.

Results and Discussion

Morphology of the PPO and Carbon Membranes

SEM images of the PPO and carbon membranes’ cross-sectional views were depicted in Figures 2 which indicated the membrane structures were non-porous, dense, homogeneous and symmetrical. The estimated thickness of the carbon membrane was 14-15 µm. The change of the morphology is due to thermal rearrangement during the pyrolysis (Xu, Rungta, & Koros, 2011). It also involves partial decomposition of the polymer PPO in the thermostabilization stage and pyrolysis (Rivaton, 1995) as well as compaction (Sazali et al., 2015).
Transport Mechanism of the Carbon Membranes

In a carbon membrane, there are normally three possibilities of transport of gases molecules through its structure, which are Knudsen diffusion, selective surface diffusion, and molecular sieving, depending mostly on the nature of pore structure and pore size (Li, Wang, Liu, Cao, & Qiu, 2012). Figure 3 shows the permeabilities of $\text{H}_2$, $\text{CO}_2$, $\text{O}_2$, $\text{CH}_4$, and $\text{N}_2$ for the carbon membranes pyrolyzed at 500 °C (CM500), 600 °C (CM600), and 700 °C (CM700) with a heating rate and thermal soak time of 1 °C/min and 0.25 hr, respectively. PPOM permeabilities were included for comparison purposes. The order of the gas on the x-axis was arranged according to the order of the gas kinetic diameters starting from the smallest ($\text{H}_2$) to the largest ($\text{CH}_4$). The decreasing trend of the permeabilities against the increasing kinetic diameter of the gases, as shown by the PPOM and CM600, suggested that the transport mechanism was governed by the molecular sieving effect (He & Hägg, 2011; Itta, Tseng, & Wey, 2011; Zhang, Wang, Zhang, Qiu, & Jian, 2006).

The permeabilities of all gases except $\text{CO}_2$ dropped significantly when the sample was pyrolyzed to 500 °C due to the pre-mature development of the porous structure. As suggested by the TGA analysis in sub-chapter 4.3.3, at this pyrolysis stage, the decomposition of gases and structural rearrangement to create a highly porous structure was not sufficient for the diffusion of most non-adsorbable gases. These decomposed gases were responsible for creating the microporous channels on their way out (Norharyati & Ismail, 2012; Wang et al., 1996; Wei, Qin, Hu, You, & Chen, 2007). The amorphous structure originated from the thermostabilized PPOM gradually collapsed during the pyrolysis and chaotically rearranged as amorphous carbon (Lua & Su, 2006).
H₂ Permeability and H₂/N₂ Ideal Selectivity of Carbon Membrane Against Pyrolysis Temperature

The permeabilities of H₂ and N₂ and H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity against pyrolysis temperature are shown in Figure 4. After the PPO membrane was thermostabilized, and then pyrolyzed at 500 °C, significant reductions of H₂ and N₂ permeabilities and H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity were observed because of the pre-mature development of the porous structure. During the thermostabilization, the PPOM underwent oxidative crosslinking, which caused the formation of highly-packed polymer chains in the membrane structure (Rivaton, 1995). The decomposition of gases started to occur during the pyrolysis, which created the micro-channels when the decomposing gases were released (Fu, Sanders, Kulkarni, & Koros, 2015). The decomposition coincided with thermal shrinkage, which was triggered by the increasing temperature on the membrane structure (Sazali et al., 2015).
When the pyrolysis temperature was increased from 500 to 600 °C, the H₂ and N₂ permeabilities increased significantly from 35.2 Barrer to 2401.9 Barrer and from 0.9 Barrer to 7.5 Barrer, respectively. The H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity was increased considerably as well given that the magnitude of increment of H₂ permeability was relatively higher. This phenomenon was an indication of a well-developed pore structure with high porosity and ideal pore size for the separation. This phenomenon was believed to be due to dehydrogenation, in which a massive amount of hydrogen gas was released to establish a membrane structure with high porosity (Foley, 1995). The release also purged most of the entrapped and largely decomposed gases, such as carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide. The result also showed the absence of trade-off behavior between H₂ permeability and H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity. A similar observation was shown by previous work (Campo et al., 2010). As the pyrolysis temperature was increased to 700 °C, the H₂ and N₂ permeabilities and H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity decreased significantly because of thermal shrinkage, which led to decreased pore size and porosity (W. N. W. Salleh, Ismail, Matsuura, & Abdullah, 2011). At this stage, the thermal shrinkage was more dominant than the gaseous decomposition factor. Based on Robeson’s 2008 upper bound in Figure 5a, CM600 was the optimum carbon membrane for further enhancement.
**Figure 5. Performances of the PPO and carbon membranes against Robeson’s 2008 H₂/N₂ upperbound at different pyrolysis conditions**

**H₂ Permeability and H₂/N₂ Ideal Selectivity of Carbon Membrane Against Heating Rate**

The heating rate was varied in the pyrolysis of CM600 with a thermal soaking time of 0.25 h, as shown in Figure 6. The H₂ permeability indicated a slight increase after the heating rate at 7 and 10 °C/min. The increment of N₂ permeability can be clearly seen from the decrease of H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity starting at 4 °C/min. The increase of permeabilities with increasing heating rate indicated that the CM600 received a minimum impact of thermal shrinkage because of a shorter period of thermal exposure. In addition, the endothermic decomposition would also cause a cooling effect that minimized the shrinkage effect on the pore structure. The lack of thermal shrinkage effect left the pore developed by the decomposing gases large in size, which has caused a significant reduction in the H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity. The thermal shrinkage during the pyrolysis was highly effective at a slower heating rate region (1–3 °C/min). The effect can be seen from the high H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity, with only a slight decrease of H₂ permeability as compared with that at higher heating rates. According to Robeson’s 2008 upper bound in Figure 5b, 1 °C/min was the optimum heating rate to obtain CM600 with the best H₂/N₂ separation performance.
**H2 permeability and H2/N2 ideal selectivity of carbon membrane against thermal soaking time**

Figure 7 shows the H2/N2 permeability plot of sample 1 °C/min-CM600 against thermal soaking time. The H2 and N2 permeabilities indicated a consistent increase when thermal soak time was applied from 0 to 0.5 h. The H2 and N2 permeabilities increased from 2474 and 6.2 to 2756 and 8.7 Barrer, respectively. The pore structure of the carbon membrane continued to develop as the decomposition continued. Besides that, some of the pores developed earlier were cleansed from entrapped decomposing gases.
However, a further increase of thermal soak time to 4 h caused the N₂ permeability to decrease gradually, while the H₂ permeability remained consistent gradually. This was a clear indication of the thermal sintering effect, which reduced the membrane pore size and densified the pore structure (Wan Norharyati Wan Salleh & Ismail, 2012). The effect was more dominant against the larger N₂ than the H₂ indicating the porosity remained high since the decomposition replaced some of the pores collapsed due to the sintering effect (W. N. W. Salleh et al., 2011). The increase of N₂ permeability against the thermal soaking time of 0 to 0.5 h decreased the H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity. On the other hand, the consistency of H₂ permeability and gradual decrease of N₂ permeability against the thermal soaking time between 1 to 4 h resulted in a significant increase of H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity from 322 to 586. This result indicated that the membrane pores could be fine-tuned at a micro-scale by utilizing the thermal soak time to create a pore structure that obstructs large gases, with minimum impact on the smaller ones. As a result, the ideal selectivity of the H₂/N₂ was found to be at a maximum at the longer thermal soaking time. According to Robeson’s 2008 upper bound in Figure 5c, the best thermal soaking time for optimum H₂/N₂ separation performance was four hours. The carbon membrane samples became highly fragile and brittle after eight hours of thermal soaking, which shattered after exposure to gas pressure higher than 1 bar. As shown in Figure 8, some samples were tearing open because of extreme densification; the tear was as wide as 0.25 mm.

Figure 8. Physical appearance of 1 °C/min-CM600 after 8 h of thermal soaking showing a tear stretching along the fiber

Figure 9 shows the CM600s after enhancement. The results are plotted together with that from previous works against Robeson’s 2008 upper bound. The figures provide an overview of the current membranes in comparison with that in previous works for future improvement. Based on the single gas test, the performance of 1 °C/min-CM600-4 h hollow fiber carbon molecular sieve membrane was competitive. Besides, a balance between H₂/N₂ ideal selectivity and H₂ permeability was observed compared with the PFR-based tubular supported carbon membrane by (Llosa Tanco, Pacheco Tanaka, & Mendes, 2015). However, the 1 °C/min-CM600-4 h was located under Robeson’s 2008 upper bound for the mixture test because of extremely low H₂/N₂ permselectivity.

Conclusion

This paper presented the synthesis and enhancement of hollow fiber carbon membrane from PPO in terms of pyrolysis temperature, heating rate, and thermal soaking time based on the Robeson’s upper bound and H₂/N₂ commercial boundary. The surface
morphology of the 14-15-µm-thick carbon membrane was dense, homogeneous, and symmetrical. The structure of the carbon membrane was amorphous, and the transport mechanism of H$_2$ through the carbon membrane was dominated by molecular sieving. High pyrolysis temperature reduced the H$_2$ permeability and H$_2$/N$_2$ ideal selectivity. Increasing the heating rate increased the H$_2$ and N$_2$ permeabilities but decreased the H$_2$/N$_2$ ideal selectivity. Increasing the thermal soaking time slightly increased the H$_2$ permeability and decreased the N$_2$ permeability, which resulted in increased H$_2$/N$_2$ ideal selectivity. The well-balanced H$_2$ permeability and H$_2$/N$_2$ ideal selectivity from the single gas test was 2868.2 Barrer and 586, respectively. The study has shown that the carbon membrane performance enhancement through pyrolysis parameter adjustment, control, which can be well integrated to ensure the permeability and ideal selectivity obtained, is within the desired region.
Figure 9. Performance of optimized CM600 in the current work and carbon membranes from previous works against Robeson’s 2008 H₂/N₂ upper bound
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